

**EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS**

FOR: SEWER REHABILITATION AND REPLACEMENT IN NORTH CENTRAL DOWNTOWN FRESNO

Bid File No. 3432
Bid Opening: 8/30/16

BIDDER'S	TOTAL NET BID AMOUNT
1. BILL NELSON GEC, INC. 7600 N INGRAM #125/126 FRESNO, CA 93711	\$1,526,786.00
2. EMMETT'S EXCAVATION, INC. 6207 E CLINTON FRESNO, CA 93727	\$1,544,670.00
3. DAWSON-MAULDIN CONSTRUCTION, INC. PO BOX 8397 HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92612	\$1,876,109.57
4. FLOYD JOHNSTON CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. 2301 HERNDON CLOVIS, CA. 93611	\$1,913,149.00

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Wednesday, September 15, 2016, 5:00 P.M.

The construction budget allocation for this expenditure is \$1,500,000.00. The contract price is 1.8% above the budget allocation.

BACKGROUND OF PROJECT

In conjunction with the Downtown Revitalization Planning, the Collection System Maintenance work group performed an inspection of the Downtown sewer systems. The goals of these inspections were to evaluate the structural and capacity handling condition of all the downtown sewers. The investigation was done using Closed Circuit Television (CCTV). Through this investigation some pipes were found to have structural deficiencies such as holes, cracks and voids, some were found to be past the design useful life and others were undersized per the City Standard design requirements. These deficient areas must be rehabilitated, removed or replaced.

The project sewers range in size from 6 to 8 inches in diameter with the majority being 6 inches in diameter. All of the project sewers are vitrified clay pipe. These pipes range in ages from 104 to 127 years old.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PROGRAM: Sewer Rehabilitation and Replacement in North Central Downtown

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>	<u>TOTAL OR CURRENT</u>	<u>ANNUALIZED COST</u>
Direct Cost	<u>\$1,526,786.00</u>	_____
Indirect Cost	<u>\$58,200.00</u>	_____
TOTAL COST	<u>\$1,584,986.00</u>	_____
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated	<u>\$0.00</u>	_____
Net City Cost	<u>\$1,584,986.00</u>	_____
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)	<u>\$1,500,000.00</u>	_____
<u>*Indirect Costs</u>		
Inspection	<u>\$38,000.00</u>	
Administration	<u>\$7,600.00</u>	
Contract Compliance	<u>\$7,600.00</u>	
Other	<u>\$5,000.00</u>	