

#### Wireless Telecommunications Facilities within the Public Right-of-Way



FRESNO CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP November 3, 2016

### Why Does Fresno Need a New Policy?

- \* Changes in technology
- \* Telephone lines -> Fiber -> Wireless Telecommunications Facilities
- \* City Standards and Policies currently address:
  - \* On-site telecommunications facilities (i.e. cell towers)
  - \* Underground fiber and telecommunications
  - \* ADA Clearances
  - \* Conversion of overhead to underground with new development
- \* A policy is needed for Wireless Telecommunications Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way







### Why Does Fresno Need a New Policy ?

- \* The need for more and faster cell phone service has exploded. Wireless providers are all competing for more antenna locations and better coverage.
- \* The City has policies and standards for below grade infrastructure but no policies for poles, antennas and cabinets.
- \* State and Federal Laws require that cities allow public utilities within the right-of-way.
- \* California Court of Appeals and 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court have upheld the city's right to discretionarily grant wireless carriers access to city right-of-way.
- \* Municipalities can charge a processing fee but cannot charge rent for using the right-of-way. The City can lease street light and traffic signal poles.
- \* Cities can regulate aesthetics and establish standards.
- \* Some citizens have expressed concerns about radiofrequency emissions (RF).





#### Wireless Telecommunications Facilities within the Public Right-of-Way

- \* The City cannot legally prohibit new facilities within the right-of-way if the applicant is a certified Public Utility provider
- \* The existing Development and Resources Management (DARM) policy was drafted to address cell facilities on private property
- \* Mobile providers are installing small towers within the right-of-way in other cities

\* The City has received several applications





### **Goals and Elements of a New Policy**

\*Encourage collocation but do not prohibit new poles

- \*New poles need to be set back from intersections and driveways to provide line-of-site for motorists
- \*Require five feet of sidewalk clearance for clear path of travel.
- \*Prohibit new poles in front of a single-family or multi-family homes unless in front of block wall
- \*Require permittees to move poles if street needs to be widened or modified, similar to our agreements with PG&E

# **Proposed Design Criteria**

- \* Any new poles must be metal, no restriction on existing wood poles, but permit still required
- \* Height limit of 45 feet, but can go higher with PW Director approval
- \* No larger than 24-inch base diameter
- \* Pole mounted equipment must be concealed
- \* Ground-mounted equipment discouraged but one cabinet would be allowed
- \* Electrical service must be underground for a new pole
- \* Cables must be inside pole or in conduit on wood poles
- \* PW Director can approve minor technical exceptions



## **Procedural Guidelines**

- \* Conditional Use Permit (CUP) required, same as on private property
- \* Street Work Permit required for contractor
- \* Modifications to existing facilities can be accomplished with an amended CUP
- \* Encourages pre-submittal conference, but not required
- \* Requires a site-plan that shows all equipment that is proposed and existing homes, and other buildings





## **Standard Conditions of Approval**

- \* Permit must be renewed every 10 years
- \* Permittee must remove any graffiti within 48 hours of notification
- \* Permittee must indemnify and hold harmless the City
- \* Permittee shall not use standby power generator except during primary power failure







## **Next Steps**



November 17, 2016: staff to bring forward a City Council agenda item to recommend adoption of a new policy

Following Council adoption: Public Works to implement the permitting process.

## **Questions and Discussion**