EVALUATION OF BID PROPOSALS

Page 1

FOR: Roeding Park Court Repurposing

Bid File No. 12501202 Bid Opening: 01/14/2025

	BIDDERS	BID AMOUNT	
1.	Avison Construction Inc 40434 Brickyard Drive Madera, CA 93636	Total Net Bid Amount: Base Bid: Add Alt 1: Add Alt 2: Add Alt 3:	\$1,228,145.00 \$1,062,145.00 \$70,000.00 \$70,000.00 \$26,000.00
2.	American Paving Co. 525 W. Alluvial Avenue Fresno, CA 93711	Total Net Bid Amount: Base Bid: Add Alt 1: Add Alt 2: Add Alt 3:	\$1,341,936.95 \$1,188,816.95 \$62,000.00 \$62,000.00 \$29,120.00
3.	Estate Design and Construction 1902 Westwood Blvd., STE #200 Los Angeles, CA 90025	Total Net Bid Amount: Base Bid: Add Alt 1: Add Alt 2: Add Alt 3: *Non-Responsive	\$1,376,890.39 \$1,194,326.29 \$87,720.00 \$87,720.00 \$7,124.10
4.	Frontline General Engineering Construction, Inc. 16440 Ashland Avenue San Lorenzo, CA 94580	Total Net Bid Amount: Base Bid: Add Alt 1: Add Alt 2: Add Alt 3:	\$1,389,851.54 \$1,260,796.54 \$52,449.35 \$54,449.35 \$24,156.30
5.	McDaniels Construction 1610 20 th Street Bakersfield, CA 93301	Total Net Bid Amount: Base Bid: Add Alt 1: Add Alt 2: Add Alt 3:	\$1,522,237.30 \$1,403,997.30 \$45,550.00 \$45,550.00 \$27,140.00
6.	GCB1 Inc. 3003 N. Monroe Avenue Fresno, CA 93723	Total Net Bid Amount: Base Bid: Add Alt 1: Add Alt 2: Add Alt 3: **Non-Responsive	\$1,506,164,219.00 \$1,504,583,280.00 \$144,474.00 \$14,474.00 \$1,421,991.00

^{*}Bidder did not return all required bid documents.
**Bidder did not fill in all required cost information as required.

EVALUATION OF BID PROPOSALS

Page 2

FOR: Roeding Park Court Repurposing

Bid File No. 12501202 Bid Opening: 01/14/2025

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Monday, February 10, 2025, 5:00 P.M.

The Engineer's Estimate for this expenditure is $\frac{1,050,000.00}{1,050,000.00}$. The contract price is $\frac{17}{6}$ % above the Engineer's Estimate.

Staff believes that the contractors may have factored in higher inflation costs and market volatility into their bids to account for potential future price increases. The shade structures and drinking fountain were included as additive alternate in anticipation of receiving higher bids than the engineer's estimate.

BACKGROUND OF PROJECT: In an effort to support the growth of the pickleball, futsal and basketball communities in the surrounding area, the City of Fresno Parks, After School, Recreation and Community Services Department (PARCS) proposes the repurposing of three existing tennis courts into four pickleball courts and two multi-stripe futsal and basketball courts

DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:

[X] Award a contract in the amount of \$ 1,228,145.00 for the Base Bid plus all three (3) add alternates to Avison Construction Inc. as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.			
Remarks:			
[_] Reject all bids. Reason:			
Department Head Approval			
Francisco V. Magos Ad			
Title Assistant Director, Capital Projects Department			
Date <u>02/10/2025</u>			

General Services Director

PROPOSALS

Page 3

Date