

# Regular Council Meeting

March 19, 2026

## FRESNO CITY COUNCIL



### Supplement Packet

**ITEM(S)**

RECEIVED  
2026 MAR 17 12 58 PM  
CITY OF FRESNO  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

**9:20 A.M. (ID 26-266) (TO BE HEARD AT 3:55 P.M. OR THEREAFTER)**

HEARING to consider the adoption of the Central Southeast Area Specific Plan and related Environmental Assessment. The following Plan Amendment and Rezone applications (collectively numbered as application P23-00400) have been filed by the Fresno City Council and pertain to approximately 2,067 acres in the Southeast area of the City of Fresno (Council Districts 5 and 7)

[TITLE TRUNCATED FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PACKET COVER PAGE]

**Contents of Supplement:** Public Comment – Response to Public Comment – Revised Exhibit T

**Item(s)**

**Supplemental Information:**

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed. The Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk's Office, 2600 Fresno Street, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2)). In addition, Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2600 Fresno Street. Supplemental Packets are also available on-line on the City Clerk's website.

**Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):**

The meeting room is accessible to the physically disabled, and the services of a translator can be made available. Requests for additional accommodations for the disabled, sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or translators should be made one week

prior to the meeting. Please call City Clerk's Office at 621-7650. Please keep the doorways, aisles and wheelchair seating areas open and accessible. If you need assistance with seating because of a disability, please see Security.

**From:** [Jose Luis Barraza](#)  
**To:** [Juan Lara](#)  
**Cc:** [Pedro Navarro Cruz](#); [Cristina Gutierrez](#); [lbsales336@gmail.com](mailto:lbsales336@gmail.com); [Jose Leon Barraza](#); [German Quinonez](#); [Brandon Vang](#); [Nelson Esparza](#); [Tyler Maxwell](#); [Mike Karbassi](#); [Miquel Arias](#); [Annalisa Perea](#); [Jerry Dyer](#); [Nick Richardson](#)  
**Subject:** Repeal of the Roosevelt Community Plan  
**Date:** Monday, March 16, 2026 7:16:06 AM

---

**External Email: Use caution with links and attachments**

Dear Mr. Lara

Upon reviewing the draft Central Southeast Specific Plan, I was unable to locate any section recommending the repeal of the Roosevelt Community Plan or the Butler/Willow Specific Plan. Kindly indicate the exact pages or sections within the draft plan where this recommendation is presented. I raised this issue during the public comment period in December 2025 but have not yet received a definitive response.

Given that the Specific Plan is scheduled for consideration by the Fresno City Council on Thursday, March 19, 2026, I respectfully request a prompt reply. This matter is of considerable importance to the community, as residents of Southeast Fresno require a clear understanding prior to supporting the proposed repeal.

Our community cannot endorse the repeal of these plans without fully comprehending its necessity within the approval process. Please clarify why the repeal of the Roosevelt Community Plan and the Butler/Willow Specific Plan is essential.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Jose Luis Barraza, Chief Executive Director &  
Cultural Arts Coordinator  
SEFCEDA


[REDACTED]  
Fresno, CA 93702

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Office: [REDACTED]

Comments for Public Review Draft Central Southeast Area Specific Plan (2025)

Comment #	Name	Organization	Section	Category	Sub-Section Name	Page #(s)	Comments	Date received	Staff Response
1	Jose Luis Barraza	Southeast Fresno Community Economic Development Association	1.4 Introduction	Existing Plans and Programs	Specific Plan for the Butler/Willow Area (1971) & Roosevelt Community Plan (1992)	10	<p>Upon reviewing the draft Central Southeast Specific Plan, I was unable to locate any section recommending the repeal of the Roosevelt Community Plan or the Butler/Willow Specific Plan. Kindly indicate the exact pages or sections within the draft plan where this recommendation is presented. I raised this issue during the public comment period in December 2025 but have not yet received a definitive response.</p> <p>Given that the Specific Plan is scheduled for consideration by the Fresno City Council on Thursday, March 19, 2026, I respectfully request a prompt reply. This matter is of considerable importance to the community, as residents of Southeast Fresno require a clear understanding prior to supporting the proposed repeal.</p> <p>Our community cannot endorse the repeal of these plans without fully comprehending its necessity within the approval process. Please clarify why the repeal of the Roosevelt Community Plan and the Butler/Willow Specific Plan is essential.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter.</p>	3/16/2026	<p>Information on this topic can be found on page 10 of the draft Central Southeast Specific Plan (2025), under the paragraph headings titled “Specific Plan for the Butler/Willow Area (1971)” and “Roosevelt Community Plan (1992).”</p> <p>The draft plan proposes repealing the Butler/Willow Specific Plan and the Roosevelt Community Plan to avoid overlap and confusion.</p> <p>This approach is also consistent with Policy D-7-a of the Fresno General Plan.</p>



**Request G – Land Use Change Request**

<b>APNs</b>	<b>City/ County</b>	<b>Requested by</b>
Portion of 470-300-08	City	Applicant: Precision Engineering

<b>PLU Request</b>	<b>Zoning Request</b>	<b>Same as General Plan?</b>
3.3 ac of Community Commercial and 3.3 ac of Urban Neighborhood to 6.6 ac of Corridor Center Mixed Use	CC and RUN to CMX	No

<b>Total Acreage</b>	<b>Housing Capacity Impact</b>	<b>CEQA</b>
6.6 ac	Gain of 396 dwelling units of capacity	Requires additional review to determine impact

**Staff Comments** – This change was requested by the applicant on behalf of the property owner of the site. Because the proposed land uses differ from the current General Plan, additional review would be required to determine the impact under CEQA.