

**APPENDIX G TO ANALYZE
SUBSEQUENT PROJECT IDENTIFIED IN MEIR SCH No. 2012111015/INITIAL
STUDY**

Environmental Checklist Form for:

EA No. R-15-007/T-6091

1. Project title:

Rezone Application No. R-15-007; and,
Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 6091/UGM

2. Lead agency name and address:

City of Fresno
Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721

3. Contact person and phone number:

Chris Lang, Planner
City of Fresno
Development & Resource Management Dept.
(559) 621-8023

4. Project location:

Located on the east side of North Cornelia Avenue between West McKinley and West
Normal Avenues, in the City and County of Fresno, California
Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 312-081-12
36° 45' 57.4" N Latitude, 119° 52' 48.4" W Longitude

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

Gary Giannetta
1119 S Street
Fresno, CA 93721

6. General plan designation:

The West Area Community Plan and the Fresno General Plan designate the subject property for medium low density residential planned land uses

7. **Zoning:**

Existing - AE-5/UGM (*Exclusive Five Acre Agricultural District/Urban Growth Management*)

Proposed – R-1/UGM (*Single Family Residential District/Urban Growth Management*)

8. **Description of project:**

Rezone Application No. R-15-007 proposes to rezone the subject property from the AE-5/UGM (*Exclusive Five Acre Agricultural District/Urban Growth Management*) zone district to the R-1/UGM (*Single Family Residential District/Urban Growth Management*) zone district.

Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 6091/UGM proposes to subdivide approximately 2.25 acres into an 8-lot single family residential subdivision.

9. **Surrounding land uses and setting:**

| | Planned Land Use | Existing Zoning | Existing Land Use |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| North | Medium Density Residential | AE-5, R-1 <i>Exclusive Five Acre Agricultural District, Single Family Residential</i> | Single-family Residential |
| East | Low Density Residential | AE-5 <i>Exclusive Five Acre Agricultural District</i> | Single-family Residential |
| South | Low Density Residential, Medium Low Density Residential | AE-5 <i>Exclusive Five Acre Agricultural District</i> | Rural Residential |
| West | County of Fresno | RR (County of Fresno) <i>Rural Residential</i> | Rural Residential |

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

City of Fresno (COF) Department of Public Works; COF Department of Public Utilities; COF Building and Safety Services Division; COF Fire Department; Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District; and, San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(b) and CEQA Guidelines 15177(b)(2), the purpose of this MEIR initial study is to analyze whether the subsequent project was described in the Master Environmental Impact Report No. 10130 and whether the subsequent project may cause any additional significant effect on the environment, which was not previously examined in MEIR No. 10130 ("MEIR") or the Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared for Plan Amendment A-09-02 to amend the Air Quality Element of the Fresno General Plan (SCH # 2009051016) ("Air Quality MND").

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Population /Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR and Air Quality MND because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR or the Air Quality MND such that no new additional mitigation measures or alternatives may be required. All applicable mitigation measures contained in the Mitigation Monitoring Checklist shall be imposed upon the proposed project. A FINDING OF CONFORMITY will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and Air Quality MND but that it is not fully within the scope of the MEIR and Air Quality MND because the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND. However, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in

the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. The project specific mitigation measures and all applicable mitigation measures contained in the MEIR Mitigation Monitoring Checklist will be imposed upon the proposed project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

_____ I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it MAY have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required to analyze the potentially significant effects not examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(d) and CEQA Guidelines 15178(a).

X

Signature

Date

EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOT ASSESSED IN THE MEIR or Air Quality MND:

1. For purposes of this MEIR Initial Study, the following answers have the corresponding meanings:
 - a. "No Impact" means the subsequent project will not cause any additional significant effect related to the threshold under consideration which was not previously examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND.
 - b. "Less Than Significant Impact" means there is an impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND, but that impact is less than significant;
 - c. "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation" means there is a potentially significant impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND, however, with the mitigation incorporated into the project, the impact is less than significant.
 - d. "Potentially Significant Impact" means there is an additional potentially significant effect related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR or Air Quality MND.
2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A

"No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

3. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
5. A "Finding of Conformity" is a determination based on an initial study that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR and Air Quality MND because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR or the Air Quality MND.
6. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
7. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR or MIER, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in the MEIR or another earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

8. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
9. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
10. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
11. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? | | | | x |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | | | | x |
| c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? | | | x | |
| d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? | | | | x |

The subject property currently contains a rural residence. The site is located in an area which has been substantially developed to the north. Any development on the subject site would be consistent with the existing development to the north and required to comply with the development standards (including height) of the R-1 (Single Family Residential District) zone district, therefore no scenic vista will be obstructed by the development. The project is not performing any work within a state scenic highway, therefore, the project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway. The project is proposing residential development consistent with the area to the north of the subject site, therefore it will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings. Furthermore, development of the site will not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would affect day or night time views in the project area, given that the project will only have lights consistent with other residential locations in the area.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| <p>II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. -- Would the project:</p> | | | | |
| <p>a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</p> | | | | x |
| <p>b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</p> | | | | x |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))? | | | | x |
| d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? | | | | x |
| e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use? | | | x | |

The subject property is deemed Rural Residential Land as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency; therefore it will not convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance. The project site is planned for residential uses and does not have a Williamson Act contract. The site is planned for residential uses, therefore it will not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land or timberland. The subject area is bordered by standard single family residential uses to the north, while there are rural residences to the east, west and south. The rural residences to the east contain approximately 2.4 acres each and some could potentially utilize the rear yard for agricultural uses. As such, the project has less than significant potential to facilitate the conversion of farmland.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| III. AIR QUALITY AND GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE - (Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations.) - - Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | | | | x |
| b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? | | | | x |
| c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? | | | | x |
| d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? | | | | x |
| e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? | | | | x |

The proposed project will comply with the Resource Conservation Element (regarding Air Quality) of the Fresno General Plan and the Goals, Policies and Objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan adopted by the Fresno Council of Fresno County Governments, therefore the project will not conflict with or obstruct an applicable air quality plan. The project must comply with the construction and development

requirements of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, therefore, no violations of air quality standards will occur. The project will not occur at a scale or scope to have potential to contribute substantially to existing or projected air quality violation. Additionally, the project will not occur at a scale or scope which will result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of a criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment. The proposed project is not proposed at a scale or scope which would be expected to generate substantial pollutant concentrations, therefore there will be no exposure to sensitive receptors. The project is proposing a residential use, therefore it is not expected to create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | | X |
| b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | | X |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? | | | | X |
| d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | | | | X |
| e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? | | | | X |
| f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? | | | | X |

The proposed project would not directly affect any sensitive, special status, or candidate species, nor would it modify any habitat that supports them. There is no riparian habitat or any other sensitive natural community identified in the vicinity of the proposed project by the California Department of Fish and Game or the US Fish and Wildlife Service. No federally protected wetlands are located on the subject site. Therefore, there would be no impacts to species, riparian habitat or other sensitive communities and wetlands. The site is not located within a native resident or migratory fish area, therefore it will not impede on their movement. The proposed project does not contain a native wildlife nursery site. No local policies, habitat conservation plan, regarding biological resources are applicable to the subject site and there would be no impacts with regard to those plans.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in '15064.5? | | | | X |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to '15064.5? | | | | X |
| c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? | | | | X |
| d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? | | | | X |

The project proposes a use (residential) that substantially exists in the vicinity, therefore it is not expected to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. There is no evidence that cultural resources of any type (including historical, archaeological, paleontological, or unique geologic features) exist on the subject property. Therefore, it is not expected that the proposed project may impact cultural resources. It should be noted however that lack of surface evidence of historical resources does not preclude the subsurface existence of archaeological resources. Therefore, due to the ground disturbing activities that will occur as a result of the project, the measures within the Master Environmental Impact Report No. 10130/SCH No. 2001071097 for the Fresno General Plan, Mitigation Monitoring Checklist to address archaeological resources, paleontological resources, and human remains will be employed to guarantee that should archaeological and/or animal fossil material be encountered during project excavations, then work shall stop immediately; and, that qualified professionals in the respective field are contacted and consulted in order to insure that the activities of the proposed project will not involve physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of historic, archaeological, or paleontological resources.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: | | | | |
| i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. | | | | X |
| ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? | | | | X |
| iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? | | | | X |
| iv) Landslides? | | | | X |
| b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? | | | | X |
| c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? | | | | X |
| d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? | | | | X |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? | | | | X |

Fresno has no known active earthquake faults, and is not in any Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zones. There are no known geologic hazards or unstable soil conditions known to exist on the site. The project site is not located within an area that has strong seismic ground shaking, liquefaction or landslides. The project proposes residential use on flat land, therefore substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil is not expected. Development of the property requires compliance with grading and drainage standards of the City of Fresno and Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District Standards, therefore the project is not expected to be unstable or located on expansive soil. All new structures are required to conform to current seismic protection standards in the California Building Code. Septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems will not be a part of the project.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? | | | X | |
| b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? | | | | X |

The proposed project will not occur at a scale or scope with potential to contribute substantially or cumulatively to the generation of greenhouse gas emissions, either

directly or indirectly. Under the MEIR and General Plan mitigation measures and policies for reducing all forms of air pollution, levels of greenhouse gases will be reduced along with other regulated air pollutants. At this point in time, detailed analyses and conclusions as to the significance of greenhouse gas emissions and strategies for mitigation are still not feasible, because the legislatively-mandated greenhouse gas inventory benchmarking and the environmental analysis policy formulation tasks are not completed.

The proposed project will not affect greenhouse gas emissions beyond what was analyzed in the Master Environmental Impact Report for the Fresno General Plan.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? | | | | X |
| b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? | | | | X |
| c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? | | | | X |
| d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? | | | | X |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? | | | | X |
| f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? | | | | X |
| g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? | | | | X |
| h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? | | | | X |

The proposed project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, because said project does not involve the use of hazardous materials; additionally, as such, there is no significant hazard to the public or the environment through an accident. The project proposes a residential use, therefore it is not expected to emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. There are no known existing hazardous material conditions on the site and the project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The project area is not located within an airport land use plan nor is the project area located in the vicinity of a private airstrip. The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip, therefore, it would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. The project is proposing a residential use on local streets, therefore the project is not expected to

interfere with an adopted emergency plan. The project must comply with the Fire Department requirement for emergency access points. The project area is not located near a wildland area, therefore the project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? | | | | X |
| b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? | | | X | |
| c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? | | | | X |
| d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site? | | | X | |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? | | | X | |
| f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? | | | X | |
| g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? | | | | X |
| h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows? | | | | X |
| i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? | | | | X |
| j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? | | | | X |

Fresno is one of the largest cities in the United States still relying primarily on groundwater for its public water supply. Surface water treatment and distribution has been implemented in the northeastern part of the City, but the city is still subject to an EPA Sole Source Aquifer designation. While the aquifer underlying Fresno typically exceeds a depth of 300 feet and is capacious enough to provide adequate quantities of safe drinking water to the metropolitan area well into the twenty-first century, groundwater degradation, increasingly stringent water quality regulations, and an historic trend of high consumptive use of water on a per capita basis (some 250 gallons per day per capita), have resulted in a general decline in aquifer levels, increased cost to provide potable water, and localized water supply limitations.

Fresno has addressed these issues through metering and revisions to the City's Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). The purpose of this management plan is to provide safe, adequate, and dependable water supplies in order to meet the future needs of the metropolitan area in an economical manner; protect groundwater quality from further degradation and overdraft; and, provide a plan of reasonably implementable measures and facilities. City water wells, pump stations, recharge facilities, water treatment and distribution systems have been expanded incrementally to mitigate increased water demands and respond to groundwater quality challenges.

The City has indicated that groundwater wells, pump stations, recharge facilities, water treatment and distribution systems shall be expanded incrementally to mitigate increased water demands. The City of Fresno Department of Public Utilities, Water Division has reviewed the proposed project and has determined that water service will be available to the proposed project subject to compliance with the Department of Public Works standards, specifications, and policies.

The project area is predominantly flat and will, therefore, not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site; additionally, there is no stream or river in the subject area. Development of the property requires compliance with grading and drainage standards of the City of Fresno and FMFCD.

The project is proposing residential uses and is therefore, not expected to substantially degrade water quality. The site is not located within a flood prone or hazard area. The subject property is proposed to be developed at an intensity and scale permitted by the planned land use and proposed zoning designation for the site. Additionally, the subject property is not located in an area subject to inundation by seiche, tsunami or mudflow.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Physically divide an established community? | | | | X |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? | | | | X |
| c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan? | | | | X |

The project proposes to develop 8 residential lots within a developing community and will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of the City of Fresno. The proposed project is consistent with the applicable Fresno General Plan and West Area Community Plan planned land use designation. Based upon the submitted subdivision design, the proposed subdivision is consistent with the medium low density residential planned land use for the subject property pursuant to the Fresno General Plan. The project will not conflict with any conservation plans since it is not located within any conservation plan areas.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? | | | | X |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | | | | X |

The subject property is not located in an area designated for mineral resource preservation or recovery.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XII. NOISE -- Would the project result in: | | | | |
| a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? | | | X | |
| b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? | | | X | |
| c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? | | | X | |
| d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? | | | X | |
| e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | | | | X |
| f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | | | | X |

In developed areas of the community, noise conflicts often occur when a noise sensitive land use is located adjacent to a noise generator. Noise in these situations frequently stems from on-site operations, use of outdoor equipment, uses where large numbers of persons assemble, and vehicular traffic. Some land uses, such as residential dwellings, are considered noise sensitive receptors and involve land uses associated with indoor and/or outdoor activities that may be subject to stress and/or significant interference from noise. The City of Fresno Noise Element of the Fresno General Plan establishes a land use compatibility criterion of 65dB DNL for exterior noise levels in outdoor activity areas of new residential developments. Outdoor activity areas generally include backyards of single family residences. The intent of the exterior noise level requirement is to provide an acceptable noise environment for outdoor activities and recreation. Furthermore, the Noise Element also requires that interior noise levels attributable to exterior noise sources not exceed 45 dB DNL. The intent of the interior noise level standard is to provide an acceptable noise environment for indoor communication and sleep.

The project is similar with other residential projects in the area and will also include a six-foot high solid masonry wall to be constructed along all lots with frontage on North Cornelia Avenue in accordance with the requirements of the Fresno Municipal Code. The project is not expected to expose persons to noise levels in excess of current standards. A residential development is not a significant noise generator, therefore it will not generate noise levels established in the General Plan. Construction activities associated with the development of the proposed project could expose persons or structures to excessive groundborne vibration or noise levels. However, this would only be during the construction phase of the proposed project. The proposed project is not located within an airport land use plan or within the vicinity of a private airstrip. The project will be required to comply with all noise policies from the Fresno General Plan and noise requirements within the Fresno Municipal Code.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - - Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | | | X | |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | | | | X |
| c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | | | | X |

Although the project will be intensifying the use of the site, development will occur at an intensity and scale that is permitted by the planned land use designation and zone district classification for the site. Thus, the subdivision of the subject property in accordance with the subject applications will not facilitate an additional intensification of uses beyond that which would be allowed by the medium density residential planned land use designation. The subject property is currently contains a single family residence which will remain and will, therefore, not displace existing housing or people.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES -- | | | | |
| a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: | | | | |
| Fire protection? | | | X | |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| Police protection? | | | X | |
| Drainage and flood control? | | | X | |
| Parks? | | | X | |
| Schools? | | | X | |
| Other public services? | | | X | |

The Department of Public Utilities has reviewed the proposed project and has determined that adequate sewer, water, and solid waste facilities are available subject to compliance with the conditions submitted by the Department of Public Utilities for this project. City police and fire protection services are also available to serve the proposed project. The FMFCD has indicated that the project may be approved with the requirement for temporary drainage facilities, until the time that permanent facilities are available. Development of the property requires compliance with grading and drainage standards of the City of Fresno and FMFCD. Various departments and agencies have submitted conditions that will be required as conditions of approval for the subject entitlement applications. All conditions of approval must be complied with prior to occupancy. Any urban residential development occurring as a result of the proposed project will have an impact on the District's student housing capacity. The developer will pay appropriate school fees at time of building permits.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XV. RECREATION -- | | | | |
| a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? | | | X | |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? | | | | X |

The project is proposed at a size and scope (8 lots) which is not expected to result in the substantial physical deterioration of existing parks or recreational facilities. The project does not propose recreational facilities at a size or scope which is expected to have an adverse physical effect on the environment.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - - Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths and mass transit? | | | | X |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? | | | | X |
| c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks? | | | | X |
| d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? | | | | X |
| e) Result in inadequate emergency access? | | | | X |
| f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities? | | | | X |

The Public Works Department/Traffic Engineering Division staff has reviewed the proposed traffic yield from the proposed single family residential development and the expected traffic generation will not adversely impact the existing and projected circulation system as analyzed in the MEIR. Furthermore, the design of the proposed subdivision has been evaluated and determined to be consistent with respect to connectivity and compliance with City of Fresno standards, specification and policies. The project is not located near an airport, therefore it will not change air traffic levels. The proposed streets were reviewed by the Department of Public Works and will not create hazards. The Fire Department has reviewed the project for emergency access

points; therefore there will not be inadequate emergency access. The project will not conflict with adopted policies or plans regarding public transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities because said features are incorporated into the conditions of approval for the project.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project: | | | | |
| a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? | | | | X |
| b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? | | | | X |
| c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? | | | X | |
| d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? | | | X | |
| e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? | | | X | |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? | | | X | |
| g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? | | | | X |

The Department of Public Utilities has determined that adequate sanitary sewer and water services will be available to serve the proposed project subject to the provision and construction of standard connections, extensions, and installations of facility infrastructure; compliance with the Department of Public Utilities standards, specifications, and policies. The project site will be serviced by the Solid Waste Division and have water and sewer facilities available subject to conditions. The project is proposed at a size and scope which will not require new water or wastewater treatment facilities. The Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District has indicated that permanent storm drainage facilities are available, which will not cause significant environmental effects. The project area has sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing resources. The solid waste division has conditioned the project and has sufficient capacity to accommodate the project's needs. The project is required to comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE -- | | | | |

| ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | | | | X |
| b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? | | | X | |
| c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | | | | X |

The proposed project is considered to be proposed at a size and scope which is neither a direct or indirect detriment to the quality of the environment through reductions in habitat, populations, or examples of local history (through either individual or cumulative impacts). The proposed project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or reduce the habitat of wildlife species and will not threaten plant communities or endanger any floral or faunal species. Furthermore the project has no potential to eliminate important examples of major periods in history. Therefore, as noted in preceding sections of this Initial Study, there is no evidence in the record to indicate that incremental environmental impacts facilitated by this project would be

cumulatively significant. There is also no evidence in the record that the proposed project would have any adverse impacts directly, or indirectly, on human beings.

MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist for EA No. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

INCORPORATING MEASURES FROM THE MASTER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (MEIR) CERTIFIED FOR THE CITY OF FRESNO GENERAL PLAN (SCH No. 2012111015)

This mitigation measure monitoring and reporting checklist was prepared pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15097 and Section 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code (PRC). It was certified as part of the Fresno City Council's approval of the MEIR for the Fresno General Plan (Fresno City Council Resolution 2014-225, adopted December 18, 2014).

- A** - Incorporated into Project
- B** - Mitigated
- C** - Mitigation in Progress
- D** - Responsible Agency Contacted
- E** - Part of City-wide Program
- F** - Not Applicable

Letter designations to the right of each MEIR mitigation measure listed in this Exhibit note how the mitigation measure relates to the environmental assessment of the above-listed project, according to the key found at right and at the bottoms of the following pages:

The timing of implementing each mitigation measure is identified in in the checklist, as well as identifies the entity responsible for verifying that the mitigation measures applied to a project are performed. Project applicants are responsible for providing evidence that mitigation measures are implemented. As lead agency, the City of Fresno is responsible for verifying that mitigation is performed/completed.

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Aesthetics: | | | | | | | | |
| <p>AES-1. Lighting systems for street and parking areas shall include shields to direct light to the roadway surfaces and parking areas. Vertical shields on the light fixtures shall also be used to direct light away from adjacent light sensitive land uses such as residences.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p> | <p>Public Works Department (PW) and Development & Resource Management Dept. (DARM)</p> | X | | | | X | |

Aesthetics (continued):

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| <p>AES-2: Lighting systems for public facilities such as active play areas shall provide adequate illumination for the activity; however, low intensity light fixtures and shields shall be used to minimize spillover light onto adjacent properties.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | Prior to issuance of building permits | DARM | | | | | | X |
| <p>AES-3: Lighting systems for non-residential uses, not including public facilities, shall provide shields on the light fixtures and orient the lighting system away from adjacent properties. Low intensity light fixtures shall also be used if excessive spillover light onto adjacent properties will occur.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | Prior to issuance of building permits | DARM | | | | | | X |
| <p>AES-4: Lighting systems for freestanding signs shall not exceed 100 foot Lamberts (FT-L) when adjacent to streets which have an average light intensity of less than 2.0 horizontal footcandles and shall not exceed 500 FT-L when adjacent to streets which have an average light intensity of 2.0 horizontal footcandles or greater.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | Prior to issuance of building permits | DARM | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
 B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
 D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
 F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Aesthetics (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>AES-5: Materials used on building facades shall be non-reflective.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | <p>X</p> | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|

Air Quality:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>AIR-1: Projects that include five or more heavy-duty truck deliveries per day with sensitive receptors located within 300 feet of the truck loading area shall provide a screening analysis to determine if the project has the potential to exceed criteria pollutant concentration based standards and thresholds for NO2 and PM2.5. If projects exceed screening criteria, refined dispersion modeling and health risk assessment shall be accomplished and if needed, mitigation measures to reduce impacts shall be included in the project to reduce the impacts to the extent feasible. Mitigation measures include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate loading docks and truck access routes as far from sensitive receptors as reasonably possible considering site design limitations to comply with other City design standards. • Post signs requiring drivers to limit idling to 5 minutes or less. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Air Quality *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>AIR-2: Projects that result in an increased cancer risk of 10 in a million or exceed criteria pollutant ambient air quality standards shall implement site-specific measures that reduce toxic air contaminant (TAC) exposure to reduce excess cancer risk to less than 10 in a million. Possible control measures include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Locate loading docks and truck access routes as far from sensitive receptors as reasonably possible considering site design limitations to comply with other City design standards. • Post signs requiring drivers to limit idling to 5 minutes or less • Construct block walls to reduce the flow of emissions toward sensitive receptors • Install a vegetative barrier downwind from the TAC source that can absorb a portion of the diesel PM emissions • For projects proposing to locate a new building containing sensitive receptors near existing sources of TAC emissions, install HEPA filters in HVAC systems to reduce TAC emission levels exceeding risk thresholds. • Install heating and cooling services at truck stops to eliminate the need for idling during overnight stops to run onboard systems. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Air Quality *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>AIR-2 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For large distribution centers where the owner controls the vehicle fleet, provide facilities to support alternative fueled trucks powered by fuels such as natural gas or bio-diesel Utilize electric powered material handling equipment where feasible for the weight and volume of material to be moved. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>AIR-3: Require developers proposing projects on ARB's list of projects in its Air Quality and Land Use Handbook (Handbook) warranting special consideration to prepare a cumulative health risk assessment when sensitive receptors are located within the distance screening criteria of the facility as listed in the ARB Handbook.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Air Quality (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>AIR-4: Require developers of projects containing sensitive receptors to provide a cumulative health risk assessment at project locations exceeding ARB Land Use Handbook distance screening criteria or newer criteria that may be developed by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD).</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |
| <p>AIR-5: Require developers of projects with the potential to generate significant odor impacts as determined through review of SJVAPCD odor complaint history for similar facilities and consultation with the SJVAPCD to prepare an odor impact assessment and to implement odor control measures recommended by the SJVAPCD or the City to the extent needed to reduce the impact to less than significant.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>BIO-1: Construction of a proposed project should avoid, where possible, vegetation communities that provide suitable habitat for a special-status species known to occur within the Planning Area. If construction within potentially suitable habitat must occur, the presence/absence of any special-status plant or wildlife species must be determined prior to construction, to determine if the habitat supports any special-status species. If special-status species are determined to occupy any portion of a project site, avoidance and minimization measures shall be incorporated into the construction phase of a project to avoid direct or incidental take of a listed species to the greatest extent feasible.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | <p>X</p> | | | | | |
| <p>BIO-2: Direct or incidental take of any state or federally listed species should be avoided to the greatest extent feasible. If construction of a proposed project will result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species, consultation with the resources agencies and/or additional permitting may be required. Agency consultation through the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) 2081 and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Section 7 or Section 10 permitting processes must take place prior to any action that</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | <p>X</p> | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>BIO-2 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> may result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species. Specific mitigation measures for direct or incidental impacts to a listed species will be determined on a case-by-case basis through agency consultation.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>BIO-3: Development within the Planning Area should avoid, where possible, special-status natural communities and vegetation communities that provide suitable habitat for special-status species. If a proposed project will result in the loss of a special-status natural community or suitable habitat for special-status species, compensatory habitat-based mitigation is required under CEQA and the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Mitigation will consist of preserving on-site habitat, restoring similar habitat or purchasing off-site credits from an approved mitigation bank. Compensatory mitigation will be determined through consultation with the City and/or resource agencies. An appropriate mitigation strategy and ratio will be agreed upon by the developer and lead agency to reduce project impacts to special-status natural communities to a less than significant</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>BIO-3 <i>(continued from previous page):</i> level. Agreed-upon mitigation ratios will depend on the quality of the habitat and presence/absence of a special-status species. The specific mitigation for project level impacts will be determined on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>BIO-4: Proposed projects within the Planning Area should avoid, if possible, construction within the general nesting season of February through August for avian species protected under Fish and Game Code 3500 and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), if it is determined that suitable nesting habitat occurs on a project site. If construction cannot avoid the nesting season, a pre-construction clearance survey must be conducted to determine if any nesting birds or nesting activity is observed on or within 500-feet of a project site. If an active nest is observed during the survey, a biological monitor must be on site to ensure that no proposed project activities would impact the active nest. A suitable buffer will be established around the active nest until the nestlings have fledged and the nest is no longer active. Project activities</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to development project approval and during construction activities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | X | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>BIO-4 <i>(continued from previous page):</i> may continue in the vicinity of the nest only at the discretion of the biological monitor. Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>BIO-5: If a proposed project will result in the removal or impact to any riparian habitat and/or a special-status natural community with potential to occur in the Planning Area, compensatory habitat-based mitigation shall be required to reduce project impacts. Compensatory mitigation must involve the preservation or restoration or the purchase of off-site mitigation credits for impacts to riparian habitat and/or a special-status natural community. Mitigation must be conducted in-kind or within an approved mitigation bank in the region. The specific mitigation ratio for habitat-based mitigation will be determined through consultation with the appropriate agency (<i>i.e.</i>, CDFW or USFWS) on a case-by-case basis. Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>BIO-6: Project impacts that occur to riparian habitat may also result in significant impacts to streambeds or waterways protected under Section 1600 of Fish and Wildlife Code and Section 404 of the CWA. CDFW and/or USACE consultation, determination of mitigation strategy, and regulatory permitting to reduce impacts, as required for projects that remove riparian habitat and/or alter a streambed or waterway, shall be implemented.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>BIO-7: Project-related impacts to riparian habitat or a special-status natural community may result in direct or incidental impacts to special-status species associated with riparian or wetland habitats. Project impacts to special-status species associated with riparian habitat shall be mitigated through agency consultation, development of a mitigation strategy, and/or issuing incidental take permits for the specific special-status species, as determined by the CDFW and/or USFWS.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>BIO-8: If a proposed project will result in the significant alteration or fill of a federally protected wetland, a formal wetland delineation conducted according to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) accepted methodology is required for each project to determine the extent of wetlands on a project site. The delineation shall be used to determine if federal permitting and mitigation strategy are required to reduce project impacts. Acquisition of permits from USACE for the fill of wetlands and USACE approval of a wetland mitigation plan would ensure a “no net loss” of wetland habitat within the Planning Area. Appropriate wetland mitigation/creation shall be implemented in a ratio according to the size of the impacted wetland.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development project approval</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |
| <p>BIO-9: In addition to regulatory agency permitting, Best Management Practices (BMPs) identified from a list provided by the USACE shall be incorporated into the design and construction phase of the project to ensure that no pollutants or siltation drain into a federally protected wetland. Project design features such as fencing, appropriate drainage and</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to development project approval; but for long-term operational BMPs, prior to issuance of occupancy</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Biological Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>BIO-9 <i>(continued from previous page):</i> incorporating detention basins shall assist in ensuring project-related impacts to wetland habitat are minimized to the greatest extent feasible. Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Cultural Resources:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>CUL-1: If previously unknown resources are encountered before or during grading activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified historical resources specialist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified historical resources specialist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds in accordance with Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines and the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance. If the resources are determined to be unique historical resources as defined under Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, measures shall be identified by the monitor and <i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | X | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>CUL-1 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds.</p> <p>No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these. Any historical artifacts recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>CUL-2: Subsequent to a preliminary City review of the project grading plans, if there is evidence that a project will include excavation or construction activities within previously undisturbed soils, a field survey and literature search for prehistoric archaeological resources shall be conducted. The following procedures shall be followed.</p> <p>If prehistoric resources are not found during either the field survey or literature search, excavation and/or construction activities can commence. In the event that buried prehistoric</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | X | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>CUL-2 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>archaeological resources are discovered during excavation and/or construction activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified archaeologist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified archaeologist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5.</p> <p>If the resources are determined to be unique prehistoric archaeological resources as defined under Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, mitigation measures shall be identified by the monitor and recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these resources. Any prehistoric archaeological artifacts recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>CUL-2 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i></p> <p>to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study.</p> <p>If prehistoric resources are found during the field survey or literature review, the resources shall be inventoried using appropriate State record forms and submit the forms to the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center. The resources shall be evaluated for significance. If the resources are found to be significant, measures shall be identified by the qualified archaeologist. Similar to above, appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds.</p> <p>In addition, appropriate mitigation for excavation and construction activities in the vicinity of the resources found during the field survey or literature review shall include an archaeological monitor. The monitoring period shall be determined by the qualified archaeologist. If additional prehistoric archaeological resources are found during</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see Page 14]</i></p> | <p><i>[see Page 14]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|---|--|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| <p>CUL-2 (further continued from previous three pages) excavation and/or construction activities, the procedure identified above for the discovery of unknown resources shall be followed. Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see Page 14]</p> | <p>[see Page 14]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>CUL-3: Subsequent to a preliminary City review of the project grading plans, if there is evidence that a project will include excavation or construction activities within previously undisturbed soils, a field survey and literature search for unique paleontological/geological resources shall be conducted. The following procedures shall be followed: If unique paleontological/geological resources are not found during either the field survey or literature search, excavation and/or construction activities can commence. In the event that unique paleontological/geological resources are discovered during excavation and/or construction activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified paleontologist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified paleontologist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered (continued on next page)</p> | <p>Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | X | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
 B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
 D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
 F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| <p>CUL-3 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>resources, including but not limited to, excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds. If the resources are determined to be significant, mitigation measures shall be identified by the monitor and recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these resources. Any paleontological/geological resources recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study.</p> <p>If unique paleontological/geological resources are found during the field survey or literature review, the resources shall be inventoried and evaluated for significance. If the resources are found to be significant, mitigation measures shall be identified by the qualified paleontologist. Similar to above, appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. In addition, appropriate mitigation for excavation and construction activities in the vicinity of the</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MEIR MITIGATION MEASURE MONITORING CHECKLIST FOR EA NO. R-15-007/T-6091

July 2, 2015

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>CUL-3 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i></p> <p>resources found during the field survey or literature review shall include a paleontological monitor. The monitoring period shall be determined by the qualified paleontologist. If additional paleontological/geological resources are found during excavation and/or construction activities, the procedure identified above for the discovery of unknown resources shall be followed.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see Page 17]</p> | <p>[see Page 17]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>CUL-4: In the event that human remains are unearthed during excavation and grading activities of any future development project, all activity shall cease immediately. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) Section 7050.5, no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98(a). If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner shall within 24 hours notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC shall then contact the most</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | X | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>CUL-4 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>likely descendent of the deceased Native American, who shall then serve as the consultant on how to proceed with the remains.</p> <p>Pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98(b), upon the discovery of Native American remains, the landowner shall ensure that the immediate vicinity, according to generally accepted cultural or archaeological standards or practices, where the Native American human remains are located is not damaged or disturbed by further development activity until the landowner has discussed and conferred with the most likely descendants regarding their recommendations, if applicable, taking into account the possibility of multiple human remains. The landowner shall discuss and confer with the descendants all reasonable options regarding the descendants' preferences for treatment.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>HAZ-1: Re-designate the existing vacant land proposed for low density residential located northwest of the intersection of East Garland Avenue and North Dearing Avenue and located within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 1-RPZ, to Open Space.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development approvals</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
| <p>HAZ-2: Limit the proposed low density residential (1 to 3 dwelling units per acre) located northwest of the airport, and located within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 3-Inner Turning Area, to 2 dwelling units per acre or less.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development approvals</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
| <p>HAZ-3: Re-designate the current area within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 5-Sideline located northeast of the airport to Public Facilities-Airport or Open Space.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development approvals</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |

Hazards and Hazardous Materials *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>HAZ-4: Re-designate the current vacant lots at the northeast corner of Kearney Boulevard and South Thorne Avenue to Public Facilities-Airport or Open Space.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development approvals</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | | |
| <p>HAZ-5: Prohibit residential uses within Safety Zone 1 northwest of the Hawes Avenue and South Thorne Avenue intersection.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development approvals</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | | |
| <p>HAZ-6: Establish an alternative Emergency Operations Center in the event the current Emergency Operations Center is under redevelopment or blocked.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to redevelopment of the current Emergency Operations Center</p> | <p>Fresno Fire Department and Mayor/ City Manager's Office</p> | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
 B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
 D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
 F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hydrology and Water Quality | | | | | | | | |
| <p>HYD-1: The City shall develop and implement water conservation measures to reduce the per capita water use to 215 gallons per capita per day.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | Prior to water demand exceeding water supply | Department of Public Utilities (DPU) | | | | | X | |
| <p>HYD-2: The City shall continue to be an active participant in the Kings Water Authority and the implementation of the Kings Basin IRWMP.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | Ongoing | DPU | | | | | X | |
| <p>HYD-5.1: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan collection systems to less than significant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement the existing Storm Drainage Master Plan (SDMP) for collection systems in drainage areas where the amount of imperviousness is unaffected by the change in land uses. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing stormwater drainage facilities | Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD), DARM, and PW | | | | X | X | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>HYD-5.1 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update the SDMP in those drainage areas where the amount of imperviousness increased due to the change in land uses to determine the changes in the collection systems that would need to occur to provide adequate capacity for the stormwater runoff from the increased imperviousness. Implement the updated SDMP to provide stormwater collection systems that have sufficient capacity to convey the peak runoff rates from the areas of increased imperviousness. <p>Require developments that increase site imperviousness to install, operate, and maintain FMFCD approved on-site detention systems to reduce the peak runoff rates resulting from the increased imperviousness to the peak runoff rates that will not exceed the capacity of the existing stormwater collection systems.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p>HYD-5.2: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan retention basins to less than significant:</p> <p>Consult the SDMP to analyze the impacts to existing and planned retention basins to determine remedial measures required to reduce the impact on retention basin capacity to less than significant. Remedial measures would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the size of the retention basin through the purchase of more land or deepening the basin or a combination for planned retention basins. • Increase the size of the emergency relief pump capacity required to pump excess runoff volume out of the basin and into adjacent canal that convey the stormwater to a disposal facility for existing retention basins. • Require developments that increase runoff volume to install, operate, and maintain, Low Impact Development (LID) measures to reduce runoff volume to the runoff volume that will not exceed the capacity of the existing retention basins. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing retention basin facilities</p> | <p>FMFCD, DARM, and PW</p> | | | | X | X | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p>HYD-5.3: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan urban detention (stormwater quality) basins to less than significant.</p> <p>Consult the SDMP to determine the impacts to the urban detention basin weir overflow rates and determine remedial measures required to reduce the impact on the detention basin capacity to less than significant. Remedial measures would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify overflow weir to maintain the suspended solids removal rates adopted by the FMFCD Board of Directors. • Increase the size of the urban detention basin to increase residence time by purchasing more land. The existing detention basins are already at the adopted design depth. • Require developments that increase runoff volume to install, operate, and maintain, Low Impact Development (LID) measures to reduce peak runoff rates and runoff volume to the runoff rates and volumes that will not exceed the weir overflow rates of the existing urban detention basins. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing urban detention basin (stormwater quality) facilities</p> | <p>FMFCD, DARM, and PW</p> | | | | X | X | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p>HYD-5.4: The City shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan pump disposal systems to less than significant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consult the SDMP to determine the extent and degree to which the capacity of the existing pump system will be exceeded. • Require new developments to install, operate, and maintain FMFCD design standard on-site detention facilities to reduce peak stormwater runoff rates to existing planned peak runoff rates. • Provide additional pump system capacity to maximum allowed by existing permitting to increase the capacity to match or exceed the peak runoff rates determined by the SDMP. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing pump disposal systems</p> | <p>FMFCD, DARM, and PW</p> | | | | X | X | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HYD-5.5: The City shall work with FMFCD to develop and adopt an update to the SDMP for the Southeast Development Area that would be adequately designed to collect, convey and dispose of runoff at the rates and volumes which would be generated by the planned land uses in that area. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to development approvals in the Southeast Development Area</p> | <p>FMFCD, DARM, and PW</p> | | | | | X | |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|

Public Services:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>PS-1: As future fire facilities are planned, the fire department shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from fire facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce these impacts includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks on the fire department sites. <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation and a “keep clear zone” during emergency responses. <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures on the fire department sites. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>During the planning process for future fire department facilities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | X | |
|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Public Services *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>PS-2: As future police facilities are planned, the police department shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from police facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from police department facilities includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks on the police department sites. • <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures on the fire department sites. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>During the planning process for future Police Department facilities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | X | |
| <p>PS-3: As future public and private school facilities are planned, school districts shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur with regard to public schools, and DARM shall evaluate other school facilities. Typical impacts from school facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from school facilities includes:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>During the planning process for future school facilities</p> | <p>DARM, local school districts, and the Division of the State Architect</p> | | | | | X | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Public Services (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>PS-3 (continued from previous page)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise: Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. Traffic: Traffic devices for circulation. Lighting: Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures for stadium lights. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>PS-4: As future parks and recreational facilities are planned, the City shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from school facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from park and recreational facilities includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise: Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. Traffic: Traffic devices for circulation. Lighting: Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures for outdoor play area/field lights. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>During the planning process for future park and recreation facilities</p> | <p>DARM</p> | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Public Services (continued):

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| <p>PS-5: As future detention, court, library, and hospital facilities are planned, the appropriate agencies shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from court, library, and hospital facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. • <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on outdoor lighting fixtures <p>Verification comments:</p> | During the planning process for future detention, court, library, and hospital facilities | DARM, to the extent that agencies constructing these facilities are subject to City of Fresno regulation | | | | | | |

Utilities and Service Systems

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-1: The City shall develop and implement a wastewater master plan update.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | Prior to wastewater conveyance and treatment demand exceeding capacity | DPU | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
 B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
 D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
 F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|--|--|--|--|----------|--|
| <p>USS-2: Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity, the City shall evaluate the wastewater system and shall not approve additional development that contributes wastewater to the wastewater treatment facility that could exceed capacity until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct the following improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct an approximately 70 MGD expansion of the Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. • Construct an approximately 0.49 MGD expansion of the North Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | <p>X</p> | |
| <p>USS-3: Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity, the City shall evaluate the wastewater system and shall not approve additional development that contributes wastewater to the wastewater treatment facility that could exceed capacity until additional capacity is provided. After</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | <p>X</p> | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-3 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct the following improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct an approximately 24 MGD wastewater treatment facility within the Southeast Development Area and obtain revised waste discharge requirements as the generation of wastewater is increased. • Construct an approximately 9.6 MGD expansion of the Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>USS-4: A Traffic Control/Traffic Management Plan to address traffic impacts during construction of water and sewer facilities shall be prepared and implemented, subject to approval by the City (and Fresno County, when work is being done in unincorporated area roadways). The plan shall identify access and parking restrictions, pavement markings and signage, and hours of construction and for deliveries. It shall include haul routes, the notification plan, and coordination with emergency service providers and schools.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to construction of water and sewer facilities</p> | <p>PW for work in the City; PW and Fresno County Public Works and Planning when unincorporated area roadways are involved</p> | | | | | X | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-5: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing wastewater collection system facilities, the City shall evaluate the wastewater collection system and shall not approve additional development that would generate additional wastewater and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the following capacity improvements shall be provided.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orange Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Dakota and Jensen Avenues. Approximately 37,240 feet of new sewer main shall be installed and approximately 5,760 feet of existing sewer main shall be rehabilitated. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 27 inches to 42 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are RS03A, RL02, C01-REP, C02-REP, C03-REP, C04-REP, C05-REP, C06-REL and C07-REP. • Marks Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Clinton Avenue and Kearney Boulevard. Approximately 12,150 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 33 inches to 60 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CM1-REP and CM2-REP. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing wastewater collection system facilities</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | X | |
|---|--|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-5 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Polk and Fruit Avenues and also between Orange and Maple Avenues. Approximately 25,700 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 48 inches to 66 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CN1-REL1 and CN3-REL1. • Ashlan Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Hughes and West Avenues and also between Fruit and Blackstone Avenues. Approximately 9,260 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 24 inches to 36 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CA1-REL and CA2-REP. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-6: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing 28 pipeline segments shown in Figures 1 and 2 in Appendix J-1, the City shall evaluate the wastewater collection system and shall not approve additional development that would generate additional wastewater and exceed the capacity of one of the 28 pipeline segments until additional capacity is provided.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing 28 pipeline segments shown in Figures 1 and 2 in Appendix J-1 of the MEIR</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | X | |
| <p>USS-7: Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity, the City shall evaluate the water supply system and shall not approve additional development that demand additional water until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the following capacity improvements shall be provided.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an approximately 80 million gallon per day (MGD) surface water treatment facility near the intersection of Armstrong and Olive Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan Update (2014 Metro Plan Update) Phase 2 Report, dated January 2012. <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | X | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-7 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct an approximately 30 MGD expansion of the existing northeast surface water treatment facility for a total capacity of 60 MGD, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Construct an approximately 20 MGD surface water treatment facility in the southwest portion of the City, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>USS-8: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities, the City shall evaluate the water conveyance system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. The following capacity improvements shall be provided by approximately 2025.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct 65 new groundwater wells, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <p><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | X | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-8 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construct a 2.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T2) near the intersection of Clovis and California Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct a 3.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T3) near the intersection of Temperance and Dakota Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct a 3.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T4) in the Downtown Planning Area, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T5) near the intersection of Ashlan and Chestnut Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T6) near the intersection of Ashlan Avenue and Highway 99, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-8 <i>(continued from previous two pages)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct 50.3 miles of regional water transmission mains ranging in size from 24-inch to 48-inch diameter, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Construct 95.9 miles of 16-inch diameter transmission grid mains, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see Page 37]</i></p> | <p><i>[see Page 37]</i></p> | | | | | | |
| <p>USS-9: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities, the City shall evaluate the water conveyance system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. The following capacity improvements shall be provided after approximately the year 2025 and additional water conveyance facilities shall be provided prior to exceedance of capacity within the water conveyance facilities to accommodate full buildout of the General Plan Update.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities</p> | <p>DPU</p> | | | | | X | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-9 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (SEDA Reservoir 1) within the northern part of the Southeast Development Area. Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (SEDA Reservoir 2) within the southern part of the Southeast Development Area. <p>Additional water conveyance facilities shall be provided prior to exceedance of capacity within the water conveyance facilities to accommodate full buildout of the General Plan Update.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Utilities and Service Systems - Hydrology and Water Quality

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>USS-10: In order to maintain Fresno Irrigation District canal operability, FMFCD shall maintain operational intermittent flows during the dry season, within defined channel capacity and downstream capture capabilities, for recharge.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>During the dry season</p> | <p>Fresno Irrigation District (FID)</p> | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources:*

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>USS-11: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside of urbanized areas:</p> <p>(a) FMFCD shall conduct preliminary investigations on undeveloped lands outside of highly urbanized areas. These investigations shall examine wetland hydrology, vegetation and soil types. These preliminary investigations shall be the basis for making a determination on whether or not more in-depth wetland studies shall be necessary. If the proposed project site does not exhibit wetland hydrology, support a prevalence of wetland vegetation and wetland soil types then no further action is required.</p> <p>(b) Where proposed activities could have an impact on areas verified by the Corps as jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the U.S. (urban and rural streams, seasonal wetlands, and vernal pools), FMFCD shall obtain the necessary Clean Water Act, Section 404 permits for activities where fill material shall be placed in a wetland, obstruct the flow or circulation of waters of the United States, impair or reduce the reach of such waters. As part of FMFCD's Memorandum of Understanding with CDFG, Section 404 and 401 permits would be obtained from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and from the</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to development approvals outside of highly urbanized areas</p> | <p>California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and USACE</p> | | | | | | X |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-11 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>Regional Water Quality Control Board for any activity involving filling of jurisdictional waters). At a minimum, to meet “no net loss policy,” the permits shall require replacement of wetland habitat at a 1:1 ratio.</p> <p>(c) Where proposed activities could have an impact on areas verified by the Corps as jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the U.S. (urban and rural streams, seasonal wetlands, and vernal pools), FMFCD shall submit and implement a wetland mitigation plan based on the wetland acreage verified by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The wetland mitigation plan shall be prepared by a qualified biologist or wetland scientist experienced in wetland creation, and shall include the following or equally effective elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Specific location, size, and existing hydrology and soils within the wetland creation area. ii. Wetland mitigation techniques, seed source, planting specifications, and required buffer setbacks. In addition, the mitigation plan shall ensure adequate water supply is provided to the created wetlands in order to maintain the proper <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-11 <i>(continued from previous two pages)</i></p> <p>hydrologic regimes required by the different types of wetlands created. Provisions to ensure the wetland water supply is maintained in perpetuity shall be included in the plan.</p> <p>iii. A monitoring program for restored, enhanced, created, and preserved wetlands on the project site. A monitoring program is required to meet three objectives; 1) establish a wetland creation success criteria to be met; 2) to specify monitoring methodology; 3) to identify as far as is possible, specific remedial actions that will be required in order to achieve the success criteria; and 4) to document the degree of success achieved in establishing wetland vegetation.</p> <p>(d) A monitoring plan shall be developed and implemented by a qualified biologist to monitor results of any on-site wetland restoration and creation for five years. The monitoring plan shall include specific success criteria, frequency and timing of monitoring, and assessment of whether or not maintenance activities are being carried out and how these shall be adjusted if necessary.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see Page 41]</i></p> | <p><i>[see Page 41]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>USS-11 (continued from previous three pages)</p> <p>If monitoring reveals that success criteria are not being met, remedial habitat creation or restoration should be designed and implemented by a qualified biologist and subject to five years of monitoring as described above.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>(e) In lieu of developing a mitigation plan that outlines the avoidance, purchase, or creation of wetlands, FMFCD could purchase mitigation credits through a Corps approved Mitigation Bank.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see Page 41]</p> | <p>[see Page 41]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>USS-12: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools:</p> <p>(a) During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools, FMFCD shall conduct a preliminary rare plant assessment. The assessment will determine the likelihood on whether or not the project site could support rare plants. If it is determined that the project site would not support rare plants, then no further</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools</p> | <p>California Department of Fish & Wildlife (CDFW) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)</p> | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-12 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>action is required. However, if the project site has the potential to support rare plants; then a rare plant survey shall be conducted. Rare plant surveys shall be conducted by qualified biologists in accordance with the most current CDFG/USFWS guidelines or protocols and shall be conducted at the time of year when the plants in question are identifiable.</p> <p>(b) Based on the results of the survey, prior to design approval, FMFCD shall coordinate with CDFG and/or implement a Section 7 consultation with USFWS, shall determine whether the project facility would result in a significant impact to any special status plant species. Evaluation of project impacts shall consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The status of the species in question (e.g., officially listed by the State or Federal Endangered Species Acts). • The relative density and distribution of the on-site occurrence versus typical occurrences of the species in question. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>USS-12 (continued from previous two pages)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The habitat quality of the on-site occurrence relative to historic, current or potential distribution of the population. <p>(c) Prior to design approval, and in consultation with the CDFG and/or the USFWS, FMFCD shall prepare and implement a mitigation plan, in accordance with any applicable State and/or federal statutes or laws, that reduces impacts to a less than significant level.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see Page 44]</p> | <p>[see Page 44]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>USS-13: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools:</p> <p>(a) During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools, FMFCD shall conduct a preliminary survey to determine the presence of listed vernal pool crustaceans.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools</p> | <p>CDFW and USFWS</p> | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-13 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>(b) If potential habitat (vernal pools, seasonally inundated areas) or fairy shrimp exist within areas proposed to be disturbed, FMFCD shall complete the first and second phase of fairy shrimp presence or absence surveys. If an absence finding is determined and accepted by the USFWS, then no further mitigation shall be required for fairy shrimp.</p> <p>(c) If fairy shrimp are found to be present within vernal pools or other areas of inundation to be impacted by the implementation of storm drainage facilities, FMFCD shall mitigate impacts on fairy shrimp habitat in accordance with the USFWS requirements of the Programmatic Biological Opinion. This shall include on-site or off-site creation and/or preservation of fairy shrimp habitat at ratios ranging from 3:1 to 5:1 depending on the habitat impacted and the choice of on-site or off-site mitigation. Or mitigation shall be the purchase of mitigation credit through an accredited mitigation bank.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| <p>USS-14: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in an area where elderberry bushes may occur:</p> <p>(a) During facility design and prior to initiation of construction activities, FMFCD shall conduct a project-specific survey for all potential Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (VELB) habitats (elderberry shrubs), including a stem count and an assessment of historic or current VELB habitat.</p> <p>(b) FMFCD shall avoid and protect all potential identified VELB habitat where feasible.</p> <p>(c) Where avoidance is infeasible, develop and implement a VELB mitigation plan in accordance with the most current USFWS mitigation guidelines for unavoidable take of VELB habitat pursuant to either Section 7 or Section 10(a) of the Federal Endangered Species Act. The mitigation plan shall include, but might not be limited to, relocation of elderberry shrubs, planting of elderberry shrubs, and monitoring of relocated and planted elderberry shrubs.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>During facility design and prior to initiation of construction activities</p> | <p>CDFW and USFWS</p> | | | | | | <p>X</p> |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|----------|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>USS-15: Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat, FMFCD shall conduct a survey of trees. If nests are found during the survey, a qualified biologist shall assess the nesting activity on the project site. If active nests are located, no construction activities shall be allowed within 250 feet of the nest until the young have fledged. If construction activities are planned during the non-breeding period (August through February), a nest survey is not necessary.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat</p> | <p>CDFW and USFWS</p> | | | | | | X |
| <p>USS-16: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in an area that supports bird nesting habitat:</p> <p>(a) FMFCD shall conduct a pre-construction breeding-season survey (approximately February 1 through August 31) of proposed project sites in suitable habitat (levee and canal berms, open grasslands with suitable burrows) during the same calendar year that construction is planned to begin. If phased construction procedures are planned for the proposed project, the results of the above survey shall be valid only for the season when it is conducted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat</p> | <p>CDFW and USFWS</p> | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-16 <i>(continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>(b) During the construction stage, FMFCD shall avoid all burrowing owl nest sites potentially disturbed by project construction during the breeding season while the nest is occupied with adults and/or young. The occupied nest site shall be monitored by a qualified biologist to determine when the nest is no longer used. Avoidance shall include the establishment of a 160-foot diameter non-disturbance buffer zone around the nest site. Disturbance of any nest sites shall only occur outside of the breeding season and when the nests are unoccupied based on monitoring by a qualified biologist. The buffer zone shall be delineated by highly visible temporary construction fencing.</p> <p>Based on approval by CDFG, pre-construction and pre-breeding season exclusion measures may be implemented to preclude burrowing owl occupation of the project site prior to project-related disturbance. Burrowing owls can be passively excluded from potential nest sites in the construction area, either by closing the burrows or placing one-way doors in the burrows according to current CDFG protocol. Burrows shall be examined not more than 30 days before construction to ensure that no owls have recolonized the area of construction.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | <p><i>[see previous page]</i></p> | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>USS-16 (continued from previous two pages)</p> <p>For each burrow destroyed, a new burrow shall be created (by installing artificial burrows at a ratio of 2:1 on protected lands nearby).</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see Page 49]</p> | <p>[see Page 49]</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>USS-17: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in the San Joaquin River corridor:</p> <p>(a) FMFCD shall not conduct instream activities in the San Joaquin River between October 15 and April 15. If this is not feasible, FMFCD shall consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service and CDFW on the appropriate measures to be implemented in order to protect listed salmonids in the San Joaquin River.</p> <p>(b) Riparian vegetation shading the main-channel that is removed or damaged shall be replaced at a ratio and quantity sufficient to maintain the existing shading of the channel. The location of replacement trees on or within</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>During instream activities conducted between October 15 and April 15</p> | <p>National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), CDFW, and Central Valley Flood Protection Board (CVFPB)</p> | | | | | | X |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems / Biological Resources (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-17 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>FMFCD berms, detention ponds or river channels shall be approved by FMFCD and the Central Valley Flood Protection Board.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Utilities and Service Systems – Recreation / Trails:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-18: When FMFCD updates its District Service Plan:</p> <p>Prior to final design approval of all elements of the District Services Plan, FMFCD shall consult with Fresno County, City of Fresno, and City of Clovis to determine if any element would temporarily disrupt or permanently displace adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities as a result of the proposed District Services Plan. If the proposed project would not temporarily disrupt or permanently displace adopted existing or planned trails, no further mitigation is necessary. If the proposed project would have an effect on the trails and associated facilities, FMFCD shall implement the following:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>Prior to final design approval of all elements of the District Services Plan</p> | <p>DARM, PW, City of Clovis, and County of Fresno</p> | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems – Recreation / Trails (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-18 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>(a) If short-term disruption of adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities occur, FMFCD shall consult and coordinate with Fresno County, City of Fresno, and City of Clovis to temporarily re-route the trails and associated facilities.</p> <p>(b) If permanent displacement of the adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities occur, the appropriate design modifications to prevent permanent displacement shall be implemented in the final project design or FMFCD shall replace these facilities.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Utilities and Service Systems – Air Quality:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| <p>USS-19: When District drainage facilities are constructed, FMFCD shall:</p> <p>(a) Minimize idling time of construction equipment vehicles to no more than ten minutes, or require that engines be shut off when not in use.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(continued on next page)</i></p> | <p>During storm water drainage facility construction activities</p> | <p>Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District and SJVAPCD</p> | | | | X | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems – Air Quality (continued):

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>USS-19 (continued from previous page)</p> <p>(b) Construction shall be curtailed as much as possible when the Air Quality Index (AQI) is above 150. AQI forecasts can be found on the SJVAPCD web site.</p> <p>(c) Off-road trucks should be equipped with on-road engines if possible.</p> <p>(d) Construction equipment should have engines that meet the current off-road engine emission standard (as certified by CARB), or be re-powered with an engine that meets this standard.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | <p>[see previous page]</p> | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Storm Water Drainage Facilities:

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p>USS-20: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing storm water drainage facilities, the City shall coordinate with FMFCD to evaluate the storm water drainage system and shall not approve additional development that would convey additional storm water to a facility that would experience an exceedance of capacity until the necessary additional capacity is provided.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing storm water drainage facilities</p> | <p>FMFCD, PW, and DARM</p> | | | | X | X | |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

| MITIGATION MEASURE | WHEN IMPLEMENTED | COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY | A | B | C | D | E | F |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Water Supply Capacity:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p>USS-21: Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity, the City shall evaluate the water supply system and shall not approve additional development that demand additional water until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct an approximately 25,000 AF/year tertiary recycled water expansion to the Fresno-Clovis Regional Wastewater Reclamation Facility in accordance with the 2013 Recycled Water Master Plan and the 2014 City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan update.</p> <p>Implementation of Mitigation Measure USS-5 is also required prior to approximately the year 2025.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity</p> | <p>DPU and DARM</p> | | | | X | X | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Landfill Capacity:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>USS-22: Prior to exceeding landfill capacity, the City shall evaluate additional landfill locations and shall not approve additional development that could contribute solid waste to a landfill that is at capacity until additional capacity is provided.</p> <p>Verification comments:</p> | <p>Prior to exceeding landfill capacity</p> | <p>DPU and DARM</p> | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | | | |

A - Incorporated into Project
 B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
 D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
 F - Not Applicable