CITY OF FRESNO
CEQA EXEMPTION
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR
TEXT AMENDMENT APPLICATION NO. P26-01326

THE PROJECT DESCRIBED HEREIN IS DETERMINED TO BE CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THE PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 5 OF THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES.

APPLICANT: City of Fresno
Planning and Development Department
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721

PROJECT LOCATION: Citywide

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The proposed project consists of a text amendment to the Fresno Municipal Code (FMC) Sections 15-1002, 15-1102, 15-1502, 15-5102 and 15-6802 to implement State Housing Element law requiring by-right approval of qualifying affordable housing projects on designated Housing Element sites. Qualifying projects include those that provide at least 20 percent of units affordable to lower-income households.

The amendment modifies the approval process to allow ministerial “Zone Clearance” for qualifying projects on applicable Housing Element sites. The amendment does not modify allowable land uses, density, floor area ratio, height limits, or development intensity. It does not change General Plan land use designations or expand development capacity.

State housing law requires the City to allow by-right development of qualifying affordable housing on certain Housing Element sites, including: (a) vacant sites included in the prior two Housing Elements and (b) non vacant sites included in at least one prior Housing Element. The Housing Element program calls for by-right approval of qualifying affordable projects on these sites (at least 20 percent of units affordable to lower income households), which requires a Zoning Ordinance text amendment.

The proposed text amendment would implement this requirement on Housing Element sites in the RM 2, RM 3, CMX, RMX, CR, DTN, DTG, and DTC districts. Residential uses are already permitted in these districts, and the amendment would not change maximum allowed density, floor area ratio, or height. The amendment does not expand the development envelope,

increase site capacity, alter land use designations, or authorize development beyond that already permitted and previously analyzed under the Fresno General Plan, zoning code and applicable program-level CEQA documents.

This project is exempt under Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines as follows:

CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) under the common sense exemption states that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Because the proposed Text Amendment complies with California Government Code Sections 65580-65589.11, permits a ministerial approval process for qualifying housing projects and does not commit the City to any specific project, it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that accepting this Text Amendment may have a significant effect on the environment.

ANALYSIS/BASIS FOR EXEMPTION

Methodology

Housing Element sites to parcels (polygon layer creation)

The analysis used a spatial join to associate Housing Element sites with assessor parcel polygons. The 2025 Fresno County assessor parcel layer served as the target feature class, and vacant Housing Element sites provided by the City served as the join features. Two site datasets were analyzed separately: (1) 2008–2013 vacant sites and (2) 2013–2023 vacant sites.

- Sites from 2008 to 2013:.shp point layer provided by the city on January 29, 2026.
- Sites from 2013 to 2023:.shp point layer provided by the city on January 29, 2026.

The City provided Housing Element sites as point feature classes for two (2) time periods:

- 2008–2013 vacant sites (layer name: *HEsites2008to2013_vacant*), and
- 2013–2023 vacant sites (layer name: *HEsites2013to2023_vacant*). [1]

The analysis was conducted using the Fresno County 2025 assessor parcel layer as the parcel boundary reference. Each Housing Element site point was spatially joined to the corresponding assessor parcel polygon based on intersecting features. Where a site point could not be matched to an existing parcel due to APN duplication or parcel reconfiguration, the site was flagged for reconciliation.

1 Vacant sites geodatabase is provided by the City of Fresno on January 29, 2026 via email. There are 81 data points in HEsites2008to2013_vacant and 184 data points in HEsites2013to2023_vacant.

Zone Clearance Screening Framework

The screening was conducted to identify sites that appear eligible for the existing ministerial Zone Clearance process under the objective applicability criteria in FMC Section 15-5102 and that do not trigger any "Sensitive Areas" exceptions requiring a Development Permit.

Because the Housing Element sites inventory reflects site capacity assumptions rather than specific development applications, ordinance triggers that depend on project-level information (e.g., Phase II ESA recommendations, building age and demolition scope, VMT screening outputs, or infrastructure capacity determinations) cannot be conclusively evaluated at this stage and are identified in this memorandum as "not GIS-determinable."

However, the absence of project-specific details does not expand development potential beyond existing zoning or eliminate compliance with objective and codified environmental standards applicable at the time of project review. Any future development must comply with adopted objective standards, building codes, floodplain regulations, airport safety regulations, hazardous materials remediation requirements, air quality thresholds, and other applicable laws independent of discretionary review authority.

Screening Fields and Logic

The table below identifies the screening fields added for this analysis, the GIS method used to populate each field, and the corresponding FMC §15-5102 subsection that the field supports.

Field name	Alias	Data type	Description	GIS method	Code section
ZC_TYPE	Zone clearance type	Text	Which ministerial pathway is being tested for this site: • D - Downtown Housing • E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop • E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	Based on zoning.	15-5102(D), 15-5102(E)(1)
ZC_Appl	Zone clearance applicability	Short	1 if meets screen criteria: • D: A minimum of 16 total dwelling units in the	Based on screen criteria.	15-5102(D), 15-5102(E)(1)

			project; A residential density of no less than 20 du/ac. [2] • E1c: within a half mile of bus stop. • E1d: within Infill Priority Area		
Farmland	Important farmland present	Short	1 if parcel intersects mapped Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Statewide Farmland, as designated by the California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program (FMMP), data dated 2022.	Parcel ∩ FMMP Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Statewide Farmland polygons (Intersect).	15-5102(E)(2)(a)(i)
WAC	Williamson Act contract	Short	1 if parcel is under Williamson Act contract, data from California Department of Conservation, dated 2023.	Parcel ∩ Williamson Act contract polygons (Intersect).	15-5102(E)(2)(a)(ii)
FEMA_SFHA	Special flood hazard area (SFHA)	Short	1 if parcel intersects Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) SFHA, including A, AE, AH, and AO.	Parcel ∩ FEMA SFHA polygons (Intersect).	15-5102(E)(2)(a)(iii)
ALUC_SZ	Airport Safety Zone	Short	0=None; 1=RPZ; 2=IADZ; 3=ITZ, based on the Fresno County Airport Land Use	Parcel ∩ ALUC safety zone polygons (Intersect).	15-5102(E)(2)(a)(iv)

2 Assumes the number of units will be built at the Minimum_Ca or Estimated_ number. Assumes residential uses will occupy 50 percent or more of the total floor area. Assumes that there are no historic resources on site since sites are vacant.

			Compatibility (ALUC) Plan		
HAZ_CORT	Hazardous site (Cortese)	Short	1 if parcel intersects with an active hazardous site, based on data from the National Priorities List (NPL) [3], Envirostor [4], and UST Cleanup Fund Potential Sites. [5]	Parcel ∩ hazard sites points (Intersect).	15-5102(E)(2)(a)(v)
VMT	VMT Screening	Short	1 if parcel isn't within a "low VMT" traffic analysis zone (TAZ) or High-Quality Transit Area, based on the Fresno County VMT Screening Application. [6]	Parcel ∩ medium or high VMT zones outside of a High-Quality Transit Area (Intersect).	15-5102(E)(3)(h)
AQ	Air Quality Emissions Thresholds	Short	0 if parcel is under 224 dwelling units, 1 if parcel has 225-340 dwelling units, and 2 if parcel exceeds 340 dwelling units. [7]	Based on reasonable unit assumptions from the housing element inventory.	15-5102(E)(3)(e)
ZC_Eligible	Zone clearance eligible	Short	1 if eligible for ministerial Zone Clearance, which means it meets screen criteria for *ZC_Appl* and is not within sensitive land	Calculated based on prior fields. [9]	15-5102(E)(1)-(3), 15-5102(D)

3 United States Environmental Protection Agency. Superfund: National Priorities List (NPL). Accessed January 30, 2026, https://www.epa.gov/superfund/superfund-national-priorities-list-npl

4 Department of Toxic Substances Control. Envirostor Public Data Export. Accessed January 30, 2026, https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=aaa6a5dcf4d349ac8fd7b8e58e88f974

5 State Water Resources Control Board. UST Cleanup Fund Potential Sites. Accessed January 30, 2026, https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=261ed794afce4f70b5c587e3ac2c94c5

6Fresno Council of Governments. Fresno County VMT Screening Application. Accessed February 10, 2026, https://lsa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/8c52b2d1f61b48f89312c904935f5d9b

7 San Joaquin Valley Air District. Small Project Analysis Levels (SPAL). Accessed February 12, 2026, https://www.valleyair.org/media/5jppiwed/cms-format-spal.pdf

9 If [ZC_Appl = '1' And Farmland = 0 And WAC = 0 And FEMA_SFHA = 0 And ALUC_SZ = 0 And HAZ_CORT = 0 And VMT = 0 And AQ = 0] , ZC_Eligible = 1

			or other review triggers. [8]		

Results

2008 to 2013 Vacant Sites

The City's 2008–2013 vacant sites inventory includes 81 site points. Following parcel matching to the Fresno County Assessor 2025 parcel layer, 80 unique parcel polygons were identified for analysis. [10] Eight (8) sites do not have the same APN when compared between the City's inventory and county's 2025 assessor data.

Based on the GIS screening, **69** of 80 matched parcels in the 2008–2013 vacant inventory (approximately 89 percent) appear eligible for ministerial Zone Clearance (*ZC_ Eligible = 1*), subject to confirmation of non-GIS-determinable ordinance triggers at the project application stage.

Number of Parcels	Reason not eligible for ministerial approval	Recommended resolution	CEQA Clearance
8	Does not meet applicability of Code Section 15-5102(D).	Amend to remove Code Section 15-5102(D)(1)(b) regarding units.	No further action.
1	An active hazardous site is located on the parcel.	Verify that clean up remediation is in process or will be complete.	Remediation to be completed October 2027. [11]
3	Potential Air Quality Impacts. Based on the number of units proposed, the project cannot be cleared using SPAL.	Verify that potential buildout does not exceed SJVAPCD GAMAQI standards. Verification can occur through CalEEMod.	Based on a CalEEMod run (Version 2022.1.1.37) (Appendix C), criteria emissions would be under thresholds. No amendment required.

8 Assumes no sites would involve the modification or demolition of a designated Historic Resource since they are vacant. 15-5102(E)(2)(a)(vi)

10 APNs 47703030S, 47704075ST, and 50506008 was identified twice; APN 50613021T doesn't exist based on the 2025 county assessor data.

11 1457 H. Street cleanup site funded by a round 2, Equitable Community Revitalization Grant, a state program administered by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). Consultant team has completed the Supplemental Site Investigation Report (SSIR). Once DTSC approves the SSIR, a cleanup work plan will be drafted and submitted for their approval. Upon approval, site cleanup activities can begin. The project is expected to be completed by October 2027, aligning with the grant expenditure deadline.

2013 to 2023 Vacant Sites

The City's 2013–2023 vacant sites inventory includes 184 site points. Following parcel matching to the Fresno County Assessor 2025 parcel layer, 180 unique parcel polygons were identified for analysis. [12] A total of 33 sites do not have the same APN when compared between the City's inventory and county's 2025 assessor data.

Based on the GIS screening described in the prior section, **101** of 180 matched parcels (approximately 56 percent) appear eligible for ministerial Zone Clearance processing (*ZC_Eligible = 1*), subject to confirmation of non-GIS determinable ordinance triggers at the project application stage.

This analysis demonstrates that a substantial portion of Housing Element sites are already eligible for ministerial approval under existing FMC provisions. For sites not currently eligible, the amendment would not increase allowable density or change development standards but would adjust the approval pathway consistent with state housing law.

These results indicate that a substantial share of Housing Element sites already qualify for ministerial processing under existing FMC Section 15-5102, which is relevant to evaluating the incremental effect of the proposed by-right text amendment.

Number of Parcels	Reason not eligible for ministerial approval	Recommended resolution	CEQA Clearance
57	Does not meet applicability of Code Section 15-5102(D) or (E).	Amend to remove Code Section 15-5102(D)(1)(b); Amend to add carry-over housing element sites as permitted.	No further action.
14	Parcel located within mapped important farmland.	Conduct a Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA).	Based on LESA (Appendix A), all parcels would have a less than significant impact. No amendment required.
2	Parcel intersects with a FEMA flood zone (Zone A).	Retain existing floodplain prohibitions per City's floodplain regulations.	No further action.
19	Potential VMT Impacts. Parcel is not within a "low VMT" area or a high-quality transit	Conduct a project-level VMT analysis using the VMT Calculator.	Based on the VMT analysis (Appendix B), all parcels would have a less than significant impact because the

12 APNs 46605514 and 46605513 are merged and identified as APN 46605525 in the 2025 county assessor data.

	corridor area; or the potential buildout exceeds other VMT thresholds (e.g. 500 ADT).		projects would be under applicable VMT thresholds. No amendment required.
17	Potential Air Quality Impacts. Based on the number of units proposed, the project cannot be cleared using SPAL.	Verify that potential buildout does not exceed SJVAPCD GAMAQI standards. Verification can occur through CalEEMod.	Based on CalEEMod run (Version 2022.1.1.37) (Appendix C), criteria emissions would be under thresholds. No amendment required.

Conclusion

The proposed text amendment would not expand allowable uses or increase maximum permitted density, floor area, or height in the affected zone districts and therefore would not change the maximum development envelope established by the existing General Plan and Zoning Ordinance. The amendment is procedural in nature: it implements state housing law by modifying the approval pathway for qualifying projects, without authorizing any new or more intensive physical development than is already permitted and previously analyzed.

The proposed amendments specifically:

- Remove FMC §15-5102(D)(1)(b) unit screening criteria for Downtown sites;
- Add carry over Housing Element sites as eligible for ministerial Zone Clearance in RM/CMX/RMX districts; and
- Retain all existing "Sensitive Areas" triggers (farmland, floodplains, ALUC zones, hazardous sites) while confirming through project-level verification (LESA Appendix A, VMT Appendix B, CalEEMod Appendix C) that flagged sites demonstrate less-than-significant impacts.

The amendment does not remove or weaken any objective environmental regulations or override existing protections applicable to sensitive areas. Development on affected sites would remain subject to all applicable objective standards, including but not limited to floodplain regulations, airport safety compatibility requirements, hazardous materials remediation requirements, adopted air quality thresholds, adopted VMT thresholds, and General Plan consistency requirements under FMC §15-1106. The amendment does not authorize development that is otherwise prohibited by federal, state, or local law.

The GIS screening of Housing Element sites against CEQA impact areas, together with the supporting technical analyses for parcels flagged during screening (farmland/LESA, VMT, and air quality), demonstrates that implementing ministerial approval on these sites would not result in any new or more intensive physical development than what is already allowed under existing zoning and the General Plan, and would not result in significant environmental impacts. This

memorandum and its appendices provide substantial evidence that the amendment will not cause a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment beyond that already analyzed in applicable program-level CEQA documents.

Therefore, even though the text amendment expands the ministerial processing pathway for certain sites, the City may reasonably conclude there is no possibility that the amendment may have a significant effect on the environment within the meaning of CEQA Guidelines §15061(b)(3).

Attachments
Appendix A – LESA Analysis
Appendix B – VMT Analysis
Appendix C – Air Quality Analysis (CalEEMod)
Appendix D – GIS Screening Data
Appendix E – Draft Ordinance

Date: May 19, 2026

Prepared By: Adrienne Asadoorian-Gilbert
Supervising Planner

Submitted by: Ashley C. Atkinson

Ashley Atkinson
Assistant Director
City of Fresno
Planning & Development
Department
(559) 621-8492

NOTES

Site 1

- APN: 50506036, 50506037, 50506038
- Acreage: 8.57
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (truck nursery and berry crops, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
ScA	7.60	0.887	IVs	40	35.48	24	21.29
SeA	0.97	0.113	IIIs	60	6.78	33	3.73
Totals	8.57	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	42.26	***Storie Index Total Score***	25.02

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
			7.60
		0.97	
Total Acres		0.97	7.60
Project Size Scores		0	0

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	0.72	100	72
2	not irrigated	0.28	0	0
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	72

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
					0	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.
(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.
(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.
(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 42.26	0.25	10.57
Storie Index	<2> 25.02	0.25	6.25
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	15.37
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 72	0.15	10.8
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 0	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	10.8
		Final LESA Score	26.17

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 2

- APN: 50508029S
- Acreage: 11.92
- Irrigation Status: Not Irrigated

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
SdA	5.97	0.501	IVs	40	20.04	21	10.52
Es	5.75	0.482	IVs	40	19.28	34	16.39
Ex	0.19	0.016	IVs	40	0.64	35	0.56
Totals	11.92	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	39.96	***Storie Index Total Score***	27.47

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
			5.97
			5.75
			0.19
Total Acres			11.92
Project Size Scores			0

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	none	1.0	0	0
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	0

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
					0	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 39.96	0.25	9.99
Storie Index	<2> 27.47	0.25	6.87
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	16.86
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 0	0.15	0
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 0	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	0
		Final LESA Score	16.86

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 3

- APN: 51021004
- Acreage: 4.72
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (vineyard, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
SdA	3.00	0.636	IVs	40	25.44	21	13.36
SgA	1.72	0.364	IVs	40	14.56	25	9.1
Totals	4.72	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	40	***Storie Index Total Score***	22.46

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
			3.00
			1.72
Total Acres			4.72
Project Size Scores			0

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
			0	0	0	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 40	0.25	10
Storie Index	<2> 22.46	0.25	5.62
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	15.62
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 0	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	15
		Final LESA Score	30.62

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 4

- APN: 32610065
- Acreage: 8.87
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (vineyard, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
Es	5.00	0.564	IIIs	80	45.12	34	19.18
GtA	3.18	0.359	I	100	35.9	93	33.39
GuA	0.68	0.077	IIIs	80	6.16	46	3.54
Totals	8.87	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	87.18	***Storie Index Total Score***	56.11

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
		5.00	
	3.18		
		0.68	
Total Acres	3.18	5.68	
Project Size Scores	0	0	

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

based on California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Statewide Crop Mapping, 2024

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:
(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.
(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.
(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.
(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.
(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels
(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.
(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
198.30	85.91	0	0.433	0	10	0

NOTES

No sites under WAC.

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.
(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.
(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.
(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 87.18	0.25	21.80
Storie Index	<2> 56.11	0.25	14.03
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	35.83
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 10	0.15	1.5
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	16.5
		Final LESA Score	52.33

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 5

- APN: 47703028
- Acreage: 19.6
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (truck nursery and berry crops, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
ArA	18.07	0.922	IIs	80		93	85.75
Rb	1.53	0.078	IIs	80		48	3.74
Totals	19.6	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	80	***Storie Index Total Score***	89.50

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
	18.07		
	1.53		
Total Acres	19.6		
Project Size Scores	30		

Highest Project Size Score 30

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

based on California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Statewide Crop Mapping, 2024

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:
(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.
(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.
(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.
(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.
(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels
(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.
(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
244	15	0	0.06	0	0	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.
(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.
(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.
(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 80	0.25	20
Storie Index	<2> 89.50	0.25	22.38
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	42.38
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 30	0.15	4.5
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 0	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	19.5
		Final LESA Score	61.88

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 6

- APN: 47703030S
- Acreage: 57.09
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (deciduous fruits and nuts, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
HsM	28.94	0.507	IIIs	60	30.42	85	43.10
GuA	9.48	0.166	IIIs	60	9.96	46	7.64
Rb	6.79	0.119	IIs	80	9.52	48	5.71
Ra	6.05	0.106	I	100	10.6	95	10.07
Hst	5.82	0.102	IIs	80	8.16	90	9.18
Totals	57.09	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	68.66	***Storie Index Total Score***	75.7

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
		28.94	
		9.48	
	6.79		
	6.05		
	5.82		
Total Acres	18.66	38.42	
Project Size Scores	30	30	

Highest Project Size Score 30

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

based on California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Statewide Crop Mapping, 2024

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:
(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.
(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.
(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.
(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.
(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels
(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.
(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
321	125	0	0.389	0	0	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 68.66	0.25	17.17
Storie Index	<2> 75.7	0.25	18.93
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	36.1
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 30	0.15	4.5
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 0	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	19.5
		Final LESA Score	55.6

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 7

- APN: portions of 32808002
- Acreage: 9.88
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (truck nursery and berry crops, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
Re	5.34	0.54	IIs	80	43.2	48	25.92
Rc	3.02	0.306	I	100	30.6	100	30.6
Hsy	1.52	0.154	IIIs	60	9.24	60	9.24
Totals	9.88	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	83.04	***Storie Index Total Score***	65.76

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
	5.34		
	3.02		
		1.52	
Total Acres	8.36	1.52	
Project Size Scores	0	0	

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
284	121	0	0.426	0	10	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 83.04	0.25	20.76
Storie Index	<2> 65.76	0.25	16.44
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	37.2
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 10	0.15	1.5
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	16.5
		Final LESA Score	53.7

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 8

- APN: portions of 32808003
- Acreage: 4.74
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (truck nursery and berry crops, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
Re	1.18	0.248	IIs	80	19.84	48	11.9
Rc	1.53	0.323	I	100	32.3	100	32.3
Hsy	2.03	0.429	IIIs	60	25.74	60	25.74
Totals	4.74	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	77.88	***Storie Index Total Score***	69.94

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
	1.18		
	1.53		
		2.03	
Total Acres	2.71	2.03	
Project Size Scores	0	0	

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
284	121	0	0.426	0	10	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 77.88	0.25	19.47
Storie Index	<2> 69.94	0.25	17.49
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	36.96
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 10	0.15	1.5
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	16.5
		Final LESA Score	53.5

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

NOTES

Site 9

- APN: 31011147
- Acreage: 1.06
- Irrigation Status: Irrigated (vineyard, 2024)

Calculation of the Land Evaluation (LE) Score

Part 1. Land Capability Classification (LCC) Score:

(1) Determine the total acreage of the project.
(2) Determine the soil types within the project area and enter them in **Column A** of the **Land Evaluation Worksheet** provided on page 2-A.
(3) Calculate the total acres of each soil type and enter the amounts in **Column B**.
(4) Divide the acres of each soil type (**Column B**) by the total acreage to determine the proportion of each soil type present. Enter the proportion of each soil type in **Column C**.
(5) Determine the LCC for each soil type from the applicable Soil Survey and enter it in **Column D**.
(6) From the LCC Scoring Table below, determine the point rating corresponding to the LCC for each soil type and enter it in **Column E**.

LCC Scoring Table

LCC Class	I	IIe	IIs,w	IIIe	IIIs,w	IVe	IVs,w	V	VI	VII	VIII
Points	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

(7) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the point score (**Column E**) and enter the resulting scores in **Column F**.
(8) Sum the LCC scores in **Column F**.
(9) Enter the LCC score in box <1> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Part 2. Storie Index Score:

(1) Determine the Storie Index rating for each soil type and enter it in **Column G**.
(2) Multiply the proportion of each soil type (**Column C**) by the Storie Index rating (**Column G**) and enter the scores in **Column H**.
(3) Sum the Storie Index scores in **Column H** to gain the Storie Index Score.
(4) Enter the Storie Index Score in box <2> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Land Evaluation Worksheet

Land Capability Classification (LCC) and Storie Index Scores

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Soil Map Unit	Project Acres	Proportion of Project Area	LCC	LCC Rating	LCC Score	Storie Index	Storie Index Score
ArA	1.06	1.0	IIs	80	80	93	93
Totals	1.06	(Must Sum to 1.0)		***LCC Total Score***	80	***Storie Index Total Score***	93

Site Assessment Worksheet 1.

Project Size Score

	I	J	K
	LCC Class I - II	LCC Class III	LCC Class IV - VIII
	1.06		
Total Acres	1.06		
Project Size Scores	0		

Highest Project Size Score 0

NOTES

Calculation of the Site Assessment (SA) Score

Part 1. Project Size Score:.

(1) Using **Site Assessment Worksheet 1** provided on page 2-A, enter the acreage of each soil type from **Column B** in the **Column - I, J or K** - that corresponds to the LCC for that soil. (Note: While the Project Size Score is a component of the Site Assessment calculations, the score sheet is an extension of data collected in the Land Evaluation Worksheet, and is therefore displayed beside it).
(2) Sum **Column I** to determine the total amount of class I and II soils on the project site.
(3) Sum **Column J** to determine the total amount of class III soils on the project site.
(4) Sum **Column K** to determine the total amount of class IV and lower soils on the project site.
(5) Compare the total score for each LCC group in the Project Size Scoring Table below and determine which group receives the highest score.

Project Size Scoring Table

Class I or II		**Class III**		**Class IV or Lower**	
Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points	Acreage	Points
>80	100	>160	100	>320	100
60-79	90	120-159	90	240-319	80
40-59	80	80-119	80	160-239	60
20-39	50	60-79	70	100-159	40
10-19	30	40-59	60	40-99	20
10<	0	20-39	30	40<	0
		10-19	10		
		10<	0		

(6) Enter the **Project Size Score** (the highest score from the three LCC categories) in box <3> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

NOTES

Part 2. Water Resource Availability Score:

(1) Determine the type(s) of irrigation present on the project site, including a determination of whether there is dryland agricultural activity as well.

(2) Divide the site into portions according to the type or types of irrigation or dryland cropping that is available in each portion. Enter this information in **Column B** of **Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability**.

(3) Determine the proportion of the total site represented for each portion identified, and enter this information in **Column C**.

(4) Using the Water Resources Availability Scoring Table, identify the option that is most applicable for each portion, based upon the feasibility of irrigation in drought and non-drought years, and whether physical or economic restrictions are likely to exist. Enter the applicable Water Resource Availability Score into **Column D**.

(5) Multiply the Water Resource Availability Score for each portion by the proportion of the project area it represents to determine the weighted score for each portion in **Column E**.

(6) Sum the scores for all portions to determine the project's total Water Resources Availability Score

(7) Enter the Water Resource Availability Score in box <4> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 2. - Water Resources Availability

A	B	C	D	E
Project Portion	Water Source	Proportion of Project Area	Water Availability Score	Weighted Availability Score (C x D)
1	irrigated	1.0	100	100
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
		(Must Sum to 1.0)	***Total Water Resource Score***	100

Water Resource Availability Scoring Table

Option	Non-Drought Years			Drought Years			WATER RESOURCE SCORE
	RESTRICTIONS			RESTRICTIONS			
	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	Irrigated Production Feasible?	Physical Restrictions ?	Economic Restrictions ?	
1	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	100
2	YES	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	95
3	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	90
4	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	85
5	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	80
6	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	NO	75
7	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	65
8	YES	NO	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	50
9	YES	NO	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	45
10	YES	YES	NO	NO	-- --	-- --	35
11	YES	YES	YES	NO	-- --	-- --	30
12	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in both drought and non-drought years						25
13	Irrigated production not feasible, but rainfall adequate for dryland production in non-drought years (but not in drought years)						20
14	Neither irrigated nor dryland production feasible						0

NOTES

Part 3. Surrounding Agricultural Land Use Score:

(1) Calculate the project's Zone of Influence (ZOI) as follows:

(a) a rectangle is drawn around the project such that the rectangle is the smallest that can completely encompass the project area.

(b) a second rectangle is then drawn which extends one quarter mile on all sides beyond the first rectangle.

(c) The ZOI includes all parcels that are contained within or are intersected by the second rectangle, less the area of the project itself.

(2) Sum the area of all parcels to determine the total acreage of the ZOI.

(3) Determine which parcels are in agricultural use and sum the areas of these parcels

(4) Divide the area in agriculture found in step (3) by the total area of the ZOI found in step (2) to determine the percent of the ZOI that is in agricultural use.

(5) Determine the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Agricultural Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI in Agriculture	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Surrounding Agricultural Land Score in box <5> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

Site Assessment Worksheet 3.
Surrounding Agricultural Land and Surrounding Protected Resource Land

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Zone of Influence						
Total Acres	Acres in Agriculture	Acres of Protected Resource Land	Percent in Agriculture (A/B)	Percent Protected Resource Land (A/C)	Surrounding Agricultural Land Score (From Table)	Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score (From Table)
156	40	0	0.254	0	0	0

NOTES

Part 4. Protected Resource Lands Score:

The Protected Resource Lands scoring relies upon the same Zone of Influence information gathered in Part 3, and figures are entered in Site Assessment Worksheet 3, which combines the surrounding agricultural and protected lands calculations.

(1) Use the total area of the ZOI calculated in Part 3. for the Surrounding Agricultural Land Use score.

(2) Sum the area of those parcels within the ZOI that are protected resource lands, as defined in the California Agricultural LESA Guidelines.

(3) Divide the area that is determined to be protected in Step (2) by the total acreage of the ZOI to determine the percentage of the surrounding area that is under resource protection.

(4) Determine the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Score utilizing the Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table below.

Surrounding Protected Resource Land Scoring Table

Percent of ZOI Protected	Protected Resource Land Score
90-100	100
80-89	90
75-79	80
70-74	70
65-69	60
60-64	50
55-59	40
50-54	30
45-49	20
40-44	10
<40	0

(5) Enter the Protected Resource Land score in box <6> of the **Final LESA Score Sheet** on page 10-A.

LESA Worksheet (cont.)

NOTES

Final LESA Score Sheet

Calculation of the Final LESA Score:

(1) Multiply each factor score by the factor weight to determine the weighted score and enter in Weighted Factor Scores column.
(2) Sum the weighted factor scores for the LE factors to determine the total LE score for the project.
(3) Sum the weighted factor scores for the SA factors to determine the total SA score for the project.
(4) Sum the total LE and SA scores to determine the Final LESA Score for the project.

	Factor Scores	Factor Weight	Weighted Factor Scores
LE Factors			
Land Capability Classification	<1> 80	0.25	20
Storie Index	<2> 93	0.25	23.25
LE Subtotal		***0.50***	43.25
SA Factors			
Project Size	<3> 0	0.15	0
Water Resource Availability	<4> 100	0.15	15
Surrounding Agricultural Land	<5> 0	0.15	0
Protected Resource Land	<6> 0	0.05	0
SA Subtotal		***0.50***	15
		Final LESA Score	58.25

For further information on the scoring thresholds under the California Agricultural LESA Model, consult Section 4 of the Instruction Manual.

Appendix B. VMT Analysis

There are 19 parcels that are not within a "low VMT" area or a high-quality transit corridor area; or the potential buildout is under other VMT thresholds (e.g. 500 ADT). The City of Fresno Urban Design Calculator Tool (Calculator) from the Vehicle Miles Traveled Reduction Program is applied to each parcel to identify whether a reduction fee might need to be applied to projects developed on these parcels.

#	APN	Acreage	Min. Units	TAZ#	Average VMT/capita	Analysis
1	477-030-30S	4.7	75	762	N/A	**Less than Significant.** Since there is no data available, the adjacent TAZ are used to determine VMT generation. TAZs to the north, east, and west are all within a low VMT area. As such, it is assumed that development on this parcel would be low.
2	316-160-52	4.7	76	1176	16.56	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
3	510-210-04	4.7	76	1330	18.22	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
4	510-022-01S	4.8	76	1331	15.84	**Less than Significant.** Due to the proposed density (16.0 du/ac), the Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
5	512-043-18	5.0	79	1402	N/A	Parc West Subdivision – EIR is being prepared
6	512-043-19	4.9	79	1402	N/A	Parc West Subdivision – EIR is being prepared
7	505-060-68	5.2	82	1321	17.72	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
8	504-091-35	5.3	85	521	N/A	**Less than Significant.** Since there is no data available, the adjacent 5 TAZs are used to determine VMT generation: 25.26. The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
9	504-091-37	8.7	139	521	N/A	**Less than Significant.** Since there is no data available, the adjacent 5 TAZs are used to determine VMT generation: 25.26. The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.

10	326-100-65	8.9	142	804	17.02	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
11	505-060-67	9.2	147	1321	17.72	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
12	504-091-17	12.8	205	523	N/A	**Less than Significant.** Since there is no data available, the adjacent 4 TAZs are used to determine VMT generation: 19.95. The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
13	505-060-040	14.3	229	1321	17.72	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
14	506-130-28	16.5	263	539	15.42	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
15	310-201-03	18.8	300	1016	17.17	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
16	512-030-82	10.6	317	1379	15.38	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
17	505-060-17	20.3	325	1321	17.72	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.
18	512-043-17S	22.6	361	1402	N/A	Parc West Subdivision – EIR is being prepared
19	505-060-74	24.3	389	1321	17.72	**Less than Significant.** The Calculator determines that the project would not have a VMT impact.

The average VMT/capita for the TAZ was utilized as the VMT Metric for the Calculator. Population is estimated by multiplying the number of units by 3 (average household size of City of Fresno).

Assumptions: It is assumed that each parcel is developed at its minimum density (16 du/ac). It is assumed that each parcel/development includes 20% of affordable housing units and that there is one (1) ungated street connection from the project to adjacent major streets.

City of Fresno

URBAN DESIGN VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED CALCULATOR

Source: CAPCOA Handbook for Analyzing Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions, Assessing Climate Vulnerabilities, and Advancing Health and Equity, October 2024.

Basic Information

Calculation Run By:		
Date of Calculation:	2/27/2026	
Project Name:	HOT2	
Applicant/Developer:	N/A	
Major Cross Streets:		
Project Address:		
APN(s):	505-060-74	
Net Project Site Area:	24.3	acres

Type of Project (Select all that apply):

Single Family Residential	☐	
Multi-Family Residential	☑	
Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Metric:	17.7	VMT per Capita
Multi-Family Residential Population:	1167	ABM Input
Baseline VMT Threshold:	15.3	VMT per Capita
Multi-Family Residential VMT:	20679	VMT
Multi-Family VMT Overage:	2777	VMT

Office	☐
Hotel/Hospital/Medical Office	☐
Warehouse/Industrial	☐
Other Land Use	☐
Retail	☐

Total Project VMT:	**20,679**	VMT
Total VMT Overage:	**2,777**	VMT
Does the project have a VMT Impact?	**Yes**	

City of Fresno

URBAN DESIGN VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED CALCULATOR

Source: CAPCOA Handbook for Analyzing Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions, Assessing Climate Vulnerabilities, and Advancing Health and Equity, October 2024.

Land Use Related Project Design Features/Mitigations

T-1: Increase Residential Density [Project]

Residential density of project development:	16.0	dwelling unit/ac
VMT Reduction:	**30.00**	%

T-4: Integrate Affordable and Below Market Rate Housing [Project]

Percent of multifamily units permanently dedicated as affordable:	20	%
VMT Reduction:	**5.72**	%

T-2: Increase Job Density [Project]

Job density of project development:		jobs/acre
Job density of typical development:		jobs/acre
VMT Reduction:	**0.00**	%

T-17: Improve Street Connectivity [Community]

Total number of ungated street connections from project to adjacent development sites:		connections
Total number of ungated street connections from project to adjacent major streets:	1	connections
Total number of intersections on adjacent major streets:		intersections
VMT Reduction:	**0.00**	%

Total Land Use VMT Reduction

Land Use Project Scale VMT Reduction:	**34.00**	%
Land Use Community Scale VMT Reduction:	**0.00**	%

City of Fresno

URBAN DESIGN VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED CALCULATOR

Source: CAPCOA Handbook for Analyzing Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions, Assessing Climate Vulnerabilities, and Advancing Health and Equity, October 2024.

Subsector VMT Reduction

Land Use Project Scale Subsector	34.00	%
Land Use Community Scale Subsector	0.00	%
Trip Reduction Programs Subsector (Commute Reduction)	0.00	%
Parking Pricing/Management Subsector	0.00	%
Design Subsector	0.00	%
Transit Subsector	0.00	%
School Programs Subsector (School Commute Reduction)	0.00	%

Results of Urban Form VMT Analysis

Total VMT Overage:	2,777	VMT
Total VMT Reduction from Urban Design Strategies:	7,032	VMT
Total VMT Overage After Urban Design Strategies:	0	VMT
After analysis of its urban form, does this project still have a VMT impact which must be mitigated through a fee or other measure?	NO	
Estimated VMT Mitigation Fee:	$ -	

HOT2 Summary Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	HOT2
Construction Start Date	7/1/2026
Operational Year	2030
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.70000
Precipitation (days)	22.6000
Location	36.80411581004479, -119.90045546782427
County	Fresno
City	Fresno
Air District	San Joaquin Valley APCD
Air Basin	San Joaquin Valley
TAZ	2412
EDFZ	5
Electric Utility	Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Gas Utility	Pacific Gas & Electric
App Version	2022.1.1.38

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Apartments Low Rise	913.000	Dwelling Unit	30.4000	967,780	10,000.00	—	2,922.00	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	4.16719	3.75428	29.1997	36.3731	0.06093	1.24251	19.7522	20.9947	1.14311	10.1248	11.2679	—	7,559.29	7,559.29	0.27119	0.37836	16.4895	7,694.61
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	303.457	303.399	13.6530	32.1142	0.03200	0.39587	3.90373	4.29960	0.36558	0.92874	1.29432	—	7,123.89	7,123.89	0.27229	0.37836	0.42737	7,243.88
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	10.0947	10.0928	8.49812	20.2869	0.02110	0.24110	2.46068	2.70178	0.22269	0.75340	0.93891	—	4,637.25	4,637.25	0.15844	0.23615	4.08822	4,715.67
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.84228	1.84194	1.55091	3.70236	0.00385	0.04400	0.44907	0.49307	0.04064	0.13750	0.17135	—	767.750	767.750	0.02623	0.03910	0.67685	780.734

2.3. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	97.8368	72.3088	34.0812	402.642	1.11045	30.8059	30.5594	61.3652	29.6932	7.74801	37.4412	5,396.16	55,872.5	61,268.7	69.5630	2.01759	87.9634	63,697.0

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	90.8382	65.4613	35.7961	336.109	1.07942	30.7825	30.5594	61.3419	29.6756	7.74801	37.4236	5,396.16	52,823.1	58,219.3	69.8094	2.13931	9.03238	60,611.1
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	54.9241	47.9456	24.6692	185.019	0.49577	7.48807	26.9658	34.4539	7.22691	6.83832	14.0652	1,549.10	42,771.3	44,320.4	51.3912	1.86944	38.2605	46,200.6
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	10.0236	8.75008	4.50213	33.7659	0.09048	1.36657	4.92127	6.28784	1.31891	1.24799	2.56690	256.471	7,081.28	7,337.75	8.50841	0.30951	6.33446	7,649.03

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	0	0	0	N/A
Drought	0	0	0	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	1	1	1	2
Drought	1	1	1	2
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

7. Health and Equity Details

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	90.0000
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	35.0000
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

Join_Count	TARGET_FID	APN	AGENCY_COD	ACTIVE_DAT	ROLL_YEAR
1	218767	46707305T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219545	46829206	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219021	46819236	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	215831	46712113	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218684	46706123T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	215832	46712115	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218366	46613402	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218814	46708208	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218716	46706335	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	215830	46712112	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218183	46605512	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	218187	46605525	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218233	46608104	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219525	46828613	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218149	46604201	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218377	46613418	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218737	46706611	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218768	46707306T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218800	46708110	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218801	46708111	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218834	46708504	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218842	46708515	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219586	46829507	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218782	46707411	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218837	46708510	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219018	46819227	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	215833	46712117	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218861	46710305	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218391	46614214	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218862	46710306	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219016	46819222	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218358	46613333	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218695	46706211T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219012	46819213T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219330	46826202	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219332	46826203	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219580	46829503	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218347	46613213	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218392	46614215	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218701	46706312	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218669	46704030T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218769	46707307T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218721	46706344	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219334	46826209	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219317	46826111	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219325	46826117	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218357	46613328	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218820	46708234	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	219023	46819237	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218685	46706124T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218190	46605607	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218389	46614209	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218808	46708119T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
1	218237	46608122	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	

1	218806 46708116T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218216 46607406	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218783 46707412	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218853 46710202	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218499 46619602	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218843 46708516	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219543 46829205	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219107 46822215T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219014 46819214T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219105 46822212T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218917 46816101	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219109 46822216T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219584 46829506	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218770 46707316T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218816 46708226T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218957 46817108S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218310 46611308	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218530 46620529	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219270 46825402	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218529 46620528	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218756 46707202	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218673 46705013ST	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218657 46703040ST	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	218572 46621426T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	219031 46819534T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	217287 46504038ST	FR	1/0/1900 0:00

APN_1	Split_Zone	Site_Type	Zone_Code	Zoning_Des
46707305T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46829206	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46819236	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46712113	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46706123T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46712115	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46613402	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708208	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46706335	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46712112	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46605512		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46605514		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46608104		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46828601	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46604201		Vacant	DTN- AH	Downtown Neighborhood
46613418	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46706611	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46707306T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708110	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708111	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708504	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708515	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46829507		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46707411	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708510	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46819227	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46712117	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46710305	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46614214T	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46710306	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46819222	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46613333	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46706211T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46819213T	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46826202	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46826203	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46829503		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46613213	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46614215T	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46706312	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46704030ST		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46707307T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46706344	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46826209	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46826111	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46826117	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46613328	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708212	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46819237	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46706124T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46605607		Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46614209		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708119T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46608122	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood

46708116T		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46607406	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46707412	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46710202	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46619602	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708516	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46829205	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46822215T	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46819214T	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46822212T	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46816101		Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46822216T	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46829506		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46707316T	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46708226T	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46835036S	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46611308	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46620529	0	Vacant	DTC	Downtown Core
46825402	0	Vacant	DTC	Downtown Core
46620528	0	Vacant	DTC	Downtown Core
46707202	0	Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46705013ST		Vacant	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
46703040ST		Vacant	DTC	Downtown Core
46621417T	0	Vacant	DTC	Downtown Core
46819534T	0	Vacant	DTG	Downtown General
46504038ST		Vacant	DTC	Downtown Core

General_Pl	Acres	Zoning_Min	Zoning_Max	Density_Us
Downtown Neighborhood	0.08635114	NA	NA	108
Downtown South Stadium District	0.0810598	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.0562871	NA	NA	189
Downtown South Stadium District	0.117882	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.12054102	NA	NA	
Downtown South Stadium District	0.129868	NA	NA	113
Downtown Cultural Arts District	0.129036	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.129495	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.141375	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.154348	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.16967624	NA	NA	108
Downtown Neighborhood	0.16952118	NA	NA	108
Downtown Neighborhood	0.17084587	NA	NA	108
Downtown South Stadium District	0.15936	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.35583649	NA	NA	54
Downtown Cultural Arts District	0.172457	NA	NA	113
Downtown Chinatown District	0.172445	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.17726845	NA	NA	108
Downtown South Stadium District	0.172148	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.172293	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.172232	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.172503	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.17249099	NA	NA	108
Downtown Chinatown District	0.17264	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.187854	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.117273	NA	NA	189
Downtown South Stadium District	0.19915	NA	NA	113
Downtown Chinatown District	0.207006	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhoods	0.223829	NA	NA	113
Downtown Chinatown District	0.224236	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.143338	NA	NA	189
Downtown Cultural Arts District	0.246386	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.25939999	NA	NA	108
Downtown Central Business District	0.149218	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.146241	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.149226	NA	NA	189
Downtown Neighborhood	0.25873687	NA	NA	108
Downtown Cultural Arts District	0.258698	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhoods	0.258267	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.258638	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	0.2764103	NA	NA	108
Downtown Neighborhood	0.27938714	NA	NA	108
Downtown Central Business District	0.274226	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.166273	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.172701	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.172707	NA	NA	189
Downtown Cultural Arts District	0.304664	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.302236	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.185795	NA	NA	189
Downtown Neighborhood	0.33506418	NA	NA	108
Downtown General	0.20626413	NA	NA	180
Downtown Neighborhood	0.34435384	NA	NA	108
Downtown Neighborhood	0.34411892	NA	NA	108
Downtown Neighborhoods	0.340359	NA	NA	113

Downtown Neighborhood	0.34760319	NA	NA	108
Downtown Neighborhoods	0.342315	NA	NA	113
Downtown Chinatown District	0.344509	NA	NA	113
Downtown Chinatown District	0.344882	NA	NA	113
Downtown Cultural Arts District	0.350017	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.349878	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.405293	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.259158	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.300643	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.298991	NA	NA	189
Downtown General	0.34308982	NA	NA	180
Downtown Central Business District	0.3446	NA	NA	189
Downtown Neighborhood	0.62018578	NA	NA	108
Downtown South Stadium District	0.602645	NA	NA	113
Downtown South Stadium District	0.604032	NA	NA	113
Downtown Central Business District	0.417279	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.586833	NA	NA	189
Downtown Central Business District	0.42908	NA	NA	283
Downtown Central Business District	0.430448	NA	NA	283
Downtown Central Business District	0.455807	NA	NA	283
Downtown Chinatown District	1.20815	NA	NA	113
Downtown Neighborhood	1.46603124	NA	NA	108
Downtown Core	0.79714022	NA	NA	270
Downtown Central Business District	1.27842	NA	NA	283
Downtown Central Business District	2.13173	NA	NA	189
Downtown Core	2.98246691	NA	NA	270

Estimated_	Affordabil	Existing_L	Shape_Length	Shape_Area
9	Very Low/Low	Vacant	350.9227484	3761.392228
9	Very Low/Low	Vacant	332.0841169	3530.927976
11	Very Low/Low	Vacant	237.4748325	2416.364029
13	Very Low/Low	Vacant	306.4784838	5134.960329
13	Very Low/Low	Vacant	324.9436262	5246.705426
15	Very Low/Low	Vacant	327.3619145	5657.027375
15	Very Low/Low	Vacant	374.7434216	5620.827182
15	Very Low/Low	Vacant	375.065662	5640.819671
16	Very Low/Low	Vacant	382.4056356	6158.307353
17	Very Low/Low	Vacant	330.9134496	6723.455079
18	Very Low/Low	Vacant	395.8242574	7391.076811
18	Very Low/Low	Vacant	692.1428117	29546.17815
18	Very Low/Low	Vacant	397.7880907	7442.037503
18	Very Low/Low	Vacant	594.7325821	22458.83439
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	506.8462957	15500.16416
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.2704948	7512.231376
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	350.2343017	7511.789655
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	408.8824612	7721.794294
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.7262554	7521.464362
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	401.2321211	7534.087683
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.1054824	7502.494128
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.5792429	7514.194321
19	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.1828133	7513.705877
20	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.8076785	7520.196568
21	Very Low/Low	Vacant	409.5798865	8183.060195
22	Very Low/Low	Vacant	318.9656949	5077.807574
23	Very Low/Low	Vacant	392.8285665	8675.027267
23	Very Low/Low	Vacant	420.5739211	9017.185892
25	Very Low/Low	Vacant	430.0001449	9749.997677
25	Very Low/Low	Vacant	430.5709546	9767.740556
27	Very Low/Low	Vacant	359.1075427	6219.898359
28	Very Low/Low	Vacant	421.0008168	10762.46215
28	Very Low/Low	Vacant	450.9290867	11299.38885
28	Very Low/Low	Vacant	360.2558688	6506.390797
28	Very Low/Low	Vacant	358.0082154	6370.186964
28	Very Low/Low	Vacant storage use	360.0133022	6500.327357
28	Very Low/Low	Vacant	450.2741691	11270.5499
29	Very Low/Low	Vacant	450.3564954	11268.90513
29	Very Low/Low	Vacant	450.0002686	11250.01709
29	Very Low/Low	Vacant	450.4371506	11266.38221
30	Very Low/Low	Vacant	460.9458037	12040.38632
30	Very Low/Low	Vacant	456.7351993	11575.77355
31	Very Low/Low	Vacant	459.5118729	11945.31568
31	Very Low/Low	Vacant	389.9023464	7242.896351
33	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.575468	7522.882483
33	Very Low/Low	Vacant	400.5840804	7523.071175
34	Very Low/Low	Vacant	604.5234901	14415.34821
34	Very Low/Low	Vacant	435.3060803	10153.14199
35	Very Low/Low	Vacant	397.3744902	8183.711963
36	Very Low/Low	Vacant	484.7967921	14595.30948
37	Very Low/Low	Vacant	419.4764977	8984.82441
37	Very Low/Low	Vacant	500.0001001	15000.00657
37	Very Low/Low	Vacant	499.6729211	14989.65911
38	Very Low/Low	Vacant	496.8814361	14826.03841

38	Very Low/Low	Vacant	502.7106106	15141.52379
39	Very Low/Low	Vacant	498.5514265	14911.34817
39	Very Low/Low	Vacant	500.3498211	15006.85422
39	Very Low/Low	Vacant	500.4632567	15023.11653
40	Very Low/Low	Vacant	503.3035846	15246.74248
40	Very Low/Low	Vacant	503.386998	15240.76082
46	Very Low/Low	Vacant	532.42141	17654.69399
49	Very Low/Low	Vacant	449.9997705	11249.9828
57	Very Low/Low	Vacant	460.1956584	13008.27967
57	Very Low/Low	Vacant	459.9998795	12999.9956
62	Very Low/Low	Vacant	498.9018845	14944.94945
65	Very Low/Low	Vacant	499.9999943	15000.00437
67	Very Low/Low	Vacant	660.2014149	27015.06936
68	Very Low/Low	Vacant	661.6259465	27539.14409
68	Very Low/Low	Vacant	650.8807102	26311.78504
79	Very Low/Low	Vacant	519.3247991	16806.12554
111	Very Low/Low	Vacant	640.8116492	25562.54665
121	Very Low/Low	Vacant	550.7489429	18790.91327
122	Very Low/Low	Vacant	600.0080681	18750.23869
129	Very Low/Low	Vacant	566.6809159	19990.60308
137	Very Low/Low	Vacant	1000.683483	52627.34993
158	Very Low/Low	Vacant	1017.817631	63859.78687
215	Very Low/Low	Vacant	1064.863596	34755.02395
362	Very Low/Low	Vacant	503.985055	14023.86189
403	Very Low/Low	Vacant	1849.219864	93568.94404
805	Very Low/Low	Vacant	1539.903542	130101.7257

ZC_TYPE	ZC_Appl	Farmland	WAC	FEMA_SFHA	ALUC_SZ	HAZ_CORT
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0

D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	0
D - Downtown Housing	1	0	0	0	0	1

VMT	AQ	ZC_Eligible
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1

0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	0	1
0	1	0
0	1	0
0	2	0

Join_Count	APN	Split_Zone	Site_Type	Zone_Code
1	30305308	0	Vacant	CMX
1	30305417	0	Vacant	CMX
1	30306208	0	Vacant	CMX
1	30306209	0	Vacant	CMX
1	30306210	0	Vacant	CMX
1	30306225	0	Vacant	CMX
1	46305029T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	46305040T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47008104T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47008105T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47403071T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	51011009	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011037	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011040	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	40919105	0	Vacant	CMX
1	40919106	0	Vacant	CMX
1	40919107	0	Vacant	CMX
1	40919108	0	Vacant	CMX
1	40919109	0	Vacant	CMX
1	43032226	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47713104	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47713112	0	Vacant	CMX
1	51011020	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	30305418	0	Vacant	CMX
1	43619308	0	Vacant	RMX
1	45129633	0	Vacant	CMX
1	51004007	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011024	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011025	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011029	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011030	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011031	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	42707140	0	Vacant	CMX
1	43602210	0	Vacant	RMX
1	31322131	0	Vacant	CMX
1	31322133	0	Vacant	CMX
1	46218211	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47216112	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47217101	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47217102	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47403075	0	Vacant	CMX
1	51004004	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	40914048	0	Vacant	CMX
1	41816102S	0	Vacant	RM-3
1	42509103	0	Vacant	CMX
1	46305029T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	46218205	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47008103T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47008106T	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47704052	0	Vacant	CMX
1	47711302	0	Vacant	CMX
1	51004009	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51004011	0	Vacant	RM-2
1	51011034	0	Vacant	RM-2

1 46708501	0 Vacant	DTN
1 46708517T	0 Vacant	DTN
1 42466002	0 Vacant	RMX
1 42466004	0 Vacant	RMX
1 42466005	0 Vacant	RMX
1 42466007	0 Vacant	RMX
1 47217208	0 Vacant	CMX
1 51011035	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 42464010	0 Vacant	RMX
1 47711110T	0 Vacant	CMX
1 51004008	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 51004013	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 51011005	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 51011032	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 51011033	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 31309122S	0 Vacant	CMX
1 43602228	0 Vacant	RMX
1 46712118	0 Vacant	DTN
1 41820145	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47713101	0 Vacant	CMX
1 42517217	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46417306	0 Vacant	CMX
1 42708120	0 Vacant	CMX
1 42517218	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47711301	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47713102	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46603505	0 Vacant	DTN-AH
1 47711303	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47711304	0 Vacant	CMX
1 42809030	0 Vacant	CMX
1 51011006	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 42402216	0 Vacant	RMX
1 45603038	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46706604	0 Vacant	DTN
2 47704075ST	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 46706325	0 Vacant	DTN
1 46706329	0 Vacant	DTN
1 51011008	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 41816306S	0 Vacant	RM-3
1 45603037	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46706615	0 Vacant	DTN
1 41504440	0 Vacant	RMX
1 51004003	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 40915051	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46839063	0 Vacant	DTN
1 46706338	0 Vacant	DTN
1 47704049	0 Vacant	CMX
1 31324082	0 Vacant	CMX
1 45603055T	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46839055S	0 Vacant	DTN
1 41809118	0 Vacant	CMX
1 41808087	0 Vacant	RMX
1 46306017	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46202029	0 Vacant	CMX
1 41808083	0 Vacant	RMX

1 31309127	0 Vacant	CMX
1 41808086	0 Vacant	RMX
1 30363021	0 Vacant	RM-3
1 46706334	0 Vacant	DTN
1 41808085	0 Vacant	RMX
1 48003060	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 47711109ST	0 Vacant	CMX
1 50506038	0 Vacant	RMX
1 50506036	0 Vacant	RMX
1 41808082	0 Vacant	RMX
1 40402102	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 46202009	0 Vacant	CMX
1 32808003	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 46305043	0 Vacant	CMX
1 45603048	0 Vacant	CMX
1 45603044	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47403066	0 Vacant	CMX
1 50506037	0 Vacant	RMX
1 47704073T	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47403078	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47703028	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 32808002	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 47403072	0 Vacant	CMX
1 47904014	0 Vacant	RM-3
1 50803004	0 Vacant	RMX
1 50508029S	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 42402202	0 Vacant	RMX
1 51102301	0 Vacant	RM-2
2 50506008	1 Vacant	CMX
1 50803005	0 Vacant	RMX
1 50803014	1 Vacant	CMX
1 50506016S	1 Vacant	RMX
1 31302101	0 Cap and Trade (Fancher)	CR
1 31310124	0 Cap and Trade (Fancher)	CR
1 50506019	0 Vacant	RMX
1 50506007	0 Vacant	RMX
1 50409118ST	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 50506070	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 46410208T	0 Vacant	CMX
1 50020027S	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 50506039	0 Vacant	CMX
1 50020028S	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 31011147	0 Vacant	CMX
1 46410215	0 Vacant	CMX
1 50506066	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 51203052	0 Vacant	RMX
1 51203038	0 Vacant	RMX
1 50020029S	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 31616053	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 57907401S	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 50506024	0 Vacant	CMX
1 50601014T	0 Vacant	RM-2
2 47703030S	1 Vacant	RM-2
1 51021004	0 Vacant	RM-2
1 31616052	0 Vacant	RM-2

1	51002201S	0 Vacant	RM-2
1	51204318	1 Vacant	RM-2
1	51204319	1 Vacant	RM-2
1	50506068	0 Vacant	CMX
1	50409135	0 Vacant	RM-2
1	50409137	0 Vacant	RM-2
1	32610065	0 Vacant	CMX
1	50506067	1 Vacant	CMX
1	50409117	0 Vacant	RM-2
1	50506040	0 Vacant	CMX
1	50613028	1 Vacant	RM-2
1	31020103	0 Vacant	RM-2
1	51203082	0 Vacant	RMX
1	50506017	0 Vacant	CMX
1	51204317S	1 Vacant	RM-2
1	50506074	0 Vacant	RM-2

Zoning_Des	General_Pl	Acres
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.154959
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.138889
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.137742
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.137741
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.13774
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.137742
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.125206
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.126334
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.143
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.143004
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.13199
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.128419
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.141968
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.145918
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.187689
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.187771
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.187853
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.187934
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.187143
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.209413
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.185701
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.181033
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.170583
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.277777
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.14153
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.26694
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.249999
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.27043
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.234849
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.279241
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.260737
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.243266
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.315138
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.179465
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.296441
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.319045
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.288741
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.307174
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.307365
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.306553
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.327319
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.339125
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.363039
Residential Multi-Family, High Desnity	Residential High Density	0.183648
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.344313
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.36419
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.352401
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.357474
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.367052
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.361941
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.395944
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.369305
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.369087
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.353124

Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown South Stadium District	0.0653741
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown South Stadium District	0.0641142
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.236259
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.224563
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.222613
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.239325
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.447938
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.431279
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.250936
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.515336
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.493936
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.503791
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.488157
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.488535
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.488437
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.572337
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.309319
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown South Stadium District	0.0849674
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.609259
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.645071
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.687635
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.673785
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.789438
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.798808
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.790699
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.82602
Downtown Neighborhood - Apt. House Overlay	Downtown Neighborhoods	0.254385
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.853534
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.92821
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.999717
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.976602
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.595673
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.10323
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Chinatown District	0.172439
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	1.1925
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Chinatown District	0.172697
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Chinatown District	0.172728
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	1.26624
Residential Multi-Family, High Desnity	Residential High Density	0.786915
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.47105
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Chinatown District	0.216119
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.847629
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	1.56233
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.71371
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Town Center	0.240389
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Central Business District	0.259428
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.80241
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.98535
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	2.07541
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Town Center	0.313914
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	2.33764
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	1.31171
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	2.42221
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	2.4609
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	1.47104

Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	2.76973
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	1.5539
Residential Multi-Family, High Desnity	Residential High Density	1.6411
Downtown Neighborhood	Downtown Chinatown District	0.433152
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	1.8129
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	3.53154
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	3.59496
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	2.30
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	2.34
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	2.36488
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.51686
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	4.61363
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.74
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	5.08505
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	5.32631
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	5.50585
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	6.74529
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	3.93
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	8.0552
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	8.45922
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	9.68
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	9.88
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	9.85401
Residential Multi-Family, High Desnity	Residential High Density	5.26968
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	5.55813
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	11.92
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	7.7
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	14.568
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	19.0998
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	11.163
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	22.3774
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	14.5713
Commercial - Regional	Commercial Regional	46.8379
Commercial - Regional	Commercial Regional	40.0796
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	16.5176
Regional Mixed Use	Mixed Use Regional	30.4286
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.282822
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.453642
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.440703
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.807004
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	0.84997
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	0.931834
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.06
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	1.24611
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	1.32877
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	0.712197
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	1.02304
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	2.03688
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	2.31732
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	3.34267
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	3.30443
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.04497
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.71
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.72
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.73316

Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.76157
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.96
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	4.95
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	5.15442
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	5.30245
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	8.6955
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	8.87
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	9.196
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	12.8113
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	14.3068
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	16.4513
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	18.7676
Regional Mixed Use	Regional Mixed Use	10.571
Corridor/Center Mixed Use	Mixed Use Corridor/Center	20.3087
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	22.57
Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood	Residential Urban Neighborhood	24.3182

Zoning_Min	Zoning_Max	Density_Us	Minimum_Ca	Affordabil	Existing_L
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	2	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	3	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	4	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	6	Very Low/Low	Vacant

NA	NA	113	7	Very Low/Low	vacant
NA	NA	113	7	Very Low/Low	vacant
30	45	30	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	8	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	9	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	9	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	10	Very Low/Low	vacant
16	30	16	10	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	10	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	11	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	11	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	13	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	13	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	13	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	13	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	57	14	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	14	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	15	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	16	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	16	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	18	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	18	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	19	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	19	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	20	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	20	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	20	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	24	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	24	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	24	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	25	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	25	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	27	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	27	Very Low/Low	vacant
NA	NA	113	29	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	29	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	32	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	33	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	35	Very Low/Low	vacant
16	30	16	37	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	39	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	39	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	39	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	44	Very Low/Low	Vacant

16	30	16	44	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	47	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	49	Very Low/Low	Vacant
NA	NA	113	49	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	54	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	57	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	58	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	69	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	70	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	71	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	72	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	74	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	76	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	81	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	85	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	88	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	108	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	118	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	129	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	135	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	155	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	158	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	158	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	158	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	167	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	191	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	231	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	233	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	306	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	335	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	358	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	437	Very Low/Low	Vacant
12	16	Based on project	440	Very Low	Vacant
12	16	Based on project	440	Very Low	Vacant
30	45	30	496	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	913	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	5	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	7	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	13	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	14	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	15	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	17	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	20	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	21	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	21	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	31	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	33	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	37	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	53	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	53	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	65	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	75	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	76	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	76	Very Low/Low	Vacant

16	30	16	76	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	79	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	79	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	82	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	85	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	139	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	142	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	147	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	205	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	229	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	263	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	300	Very Low/Low	Vacant
30	45	30	317	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	325	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	361	Very Low/Low	Vacant
16	30	16	389	Very Low/Low	Vacant

Shape_Length	Shape_Area	ZC_TYPE	ZC_Appl
370.0011825	6750.029969	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
341.0105921	6025.025998	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
340.0005369	6000.023752	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
340.0005337	6000.008086	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
340.0005337	6000.008086	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
340.0008601	6000.027752	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1142.999916	15870.02816	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
306.529531	5503.098174	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
349.2236042	6230.00329	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
349.2239334	6230.003631	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
400.2580229	5752.969924	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
301.6211625	5593.895805	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
314.5937727	6184.167525	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
324.8258346	6356.160493	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
367.1735907	8175.74269	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
367.2680924	8179.317827	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
367.3622501	8182.891578	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
367.455083	8186.420513	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
364.3716638	8151.952781	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
394.5402718	9121.982624	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
389.6490491	8089.109995	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
404.0420535	7885.807134	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
397.2249222	7430.48695	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
442.000144	12100.00452	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
312.4251818	6165.061601	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
432.0672607	11627.76605	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
429.0018658	10889.98913	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
459.0016582	11779.95697	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
419.0019323	10229.97906	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
462.1871338	12163.86202	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
442.2568333	11357.82179	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
423.4541465	10596.73596	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
471.0792111	12575.33237	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
380.9201236	7817.489674	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
459.572527	12921.30463	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
472.2395357	13907.44807	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
473.9562892	12586.23087	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
463.3250915	13380.51785	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
463.4760046	13388.79818	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
458.1410251	13353.44821	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
492.8372769	14257.99173	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
470.2000532	11836.84409	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
551.4313792	15813.98859	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
377.9998635	7999.821484	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
499.9957911	14998.24057	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
875.6446703	44879.06103	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
497.538365	15350.5697	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
499.2235918	15575.01071	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
505.8730503	15989.52531	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1143.778631	15955.18758	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
554.1100804	17247.01247	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
558.4970048	16086.99482	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
558.4015311	16077.55313	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
541.667495	15382.04734	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1

226.0153843	2847.678131	D - Downtown Housing	0
224.4814218	2792.836834	D - Downtown Housing	0
433.8817272	10291.43829	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
413.9998637	9781.987978	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
421.4994911	9696.998667	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
427.9997464	10424.98633	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
578.0586578	19518.81362	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
556.7090103	18779.26473	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
436.6240539	10930.72523	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1049.620426	22448.13108	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
691.219986	21488.88786	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
697.0034424	21945.08869	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
587.0155095	21255.58465	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
587.484336	21290.27257	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
587.1844741	21266.55967	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1617.772407	32176.31359	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
586.8395609	13479.56238	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
249.1298345	3701.234205	D - Downtown Housing	0
650.5347208	26539.37524	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
945.8255021	28099.35729	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
693.774856	29952.48799	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
699.3995054	29350.08211	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
738.390034	34391.07754	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1021.196026	34796.11502	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
814.4461444	34439.29171	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
839.7512034	35981.41176	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
446.2685781	11081.07661	D - Downtown Housing	0
872.1293499	37180.96371	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
949.768668	40431.93568	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1381.705719	50316.5416	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
847.0991595	42522.15351	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1174.914276	72544.3366	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
880.4931578	48056.60642	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
400.4588293	7511.452615	D - Downtown Housing	1
5416.542915	492117.7117	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
400.9084549	7522.712171	D - Downtown Housing	1
400.9619103	7524.032149	D - Downtown Housing	1
1002.308252	55221.83206	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
816.9976974	34278.16639	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1040.538899	64078.80537	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
425.7424461	9414.063285	D - Downtown Housing	1
791.0048695	36922.7273	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
490.0977902	13653.36452	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1107.85376	74652.7907	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
545.1567246	10496.40264	D - Downtown Housing	1
450.9637377	11300.76365	D - Downtown Housing	1
1365.956777	78568.58095	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1318.153927	82861.02549	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
2995.817773	90404.92816	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
492.1899662	13694.67386	D - Downtown Housing	1
1602.331571	101827.566	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
963.7360292	57137.35367	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1583.506259	105511.5288	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1594.809675	107197.0837	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1029.355741	64076.88315	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1

2031.488684	120933.9045	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1064.13299	67688.19173	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1079.863375	71482.34576	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
551.7529076	18868.15086	D - Downtown Housing	1
1214.877452	78970.13674	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1792.062372	124025.4077	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1889.20752	156596.1799	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1336.879064	100036.2889	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1562.999822	101722.4346	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1440.06237	103025.2296	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1851.389902	196752.1856	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1893.675021	200969.7064	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3915.121986	857570.014	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1010.349041	63850.42147	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
2362.117056	232014.3189	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3669.408626	421486.7066	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3919.781256	293837.9622	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1652.095176	171057.5735	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
2427.918583	350865.6079	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
2718.555944	368483.8545	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
3910.246791	853785.759	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
3915.241951	857609.881	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
2718.000009	429240.503	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
2189.596028	229547.0796	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
2703.744427	243229.3743	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3481.086156	505534.1684	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
3313.921647	562577.1253	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
3259.893894	634581.1021	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
9787.109125	1881201.892	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
2892.943242	499024.2598	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
4070.794936	987810.404	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
6281.148968	831369.1641	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
7337.669556	1537755.055	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
4218.45612	765320.9121	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3852.199798	695895.7182	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
4580.524356	1319059.228	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
531.9935833	12328.06624	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
717.7938135	19760.57258	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
630.7780874	19197.09314	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
752.3391206	35153.13818	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
772.0102264	37024.48097	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
794.9075685	40581.15805	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
986.6832051	46382.14653	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1186.162811	54280.46209	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
1049.744215	61750.74357	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
737.769496	31023.2102	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1081.110558	72645.0951	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
1201.779062	88722.65386	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1581.716921	100942.09	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1400.04101	110782.1097	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
1849.347067	143941.2839	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
4410.92575	303099.4857	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
6449.075979	2486837.988	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
1894.084512	205571.9456	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
1896.821541	206177.0154	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1

1944.4929	207427.7264	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
3227.410082	416963.2156	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
3226.823914	416869.282	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
2023.85964	247470.8505	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
2278.762353	230853.4527	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
2451.842721	379093.9298	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
2431.470239	373921.763	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	1
2615.717348	431190.863	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3572.572693	570593.097	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
3443.037606	623199.908	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
10571.33378	1453959.923	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	1
5211.537022	1076522.182	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
3297.050954	463868.6261	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
3729.378463	884486.5375	E1d - Mixed Use districts within Infill Priority Area	0
4482.177531	1235620.251	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0
5234.35918	1077064.145	E1c - RM districts within half mile of Bus Stop	0

Farmland	WAC	FEMA_SFHA	ALUC_SZ	HAZ_CORT	VMT	AQ	ZC_Eligible
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0

Join_Count_1	APN_1	AGENCY_COD	ACTIVE_DAT	ROLL_YEAR
5	30305308	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	30305417	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	30306208	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	30306209	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	30306210	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	30306225	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	46305029T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	46305040T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	47008104	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	47008105	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	47403071T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
7	51011009	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	51011037	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	51011040	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	40919105	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	40919106	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	40919107	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	40919108	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	40919109	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	43032226	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	47713104	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	47713112	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	51011020	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	30305418	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	43619308T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	45129633	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	51004007	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	51011024	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	51011025	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	51011029	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	51011030	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	51011031	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	42707140	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	43602210	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	31322131	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	31322133	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	46218211	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	47216112	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	47217101	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	47217102	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	47403075	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
7	51054027	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	40914048	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	41816102S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	42509103	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	46305048	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	46218205	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
3	47008103	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
2	47008106	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	47704052	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
5	47711302	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	51004009	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
6	51004011	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	
4	51011034	FR	1/0/1900 0:00	

3 46708501	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 46708517	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 42466002	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 42466004	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 42466005	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 42466007	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 47217208	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 51011035	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 42464010	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 47711110	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 51004008	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8 51004013	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 51011005	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
7 51011032	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 51011033	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 31309122S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 43602228	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 46712118	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8 41820145	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 47713101	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 42517217	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 46417306	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 42708120	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 42517218	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 47711301	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 47713102	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 46603505	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 47711303	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 47711304	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 42809047	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 51011006	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 42402220	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 45603038	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 46706604	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8 47704075ST	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 46706325	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 46706329	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
13 51011008	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 41816306S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 45603037	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 46706615	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 41504440	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
7 51054030	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 40915051	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 46839063	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 46706338U	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
7 47704049	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 31324104	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2 45603055T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3 46839055S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5 41809118	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6 41808087	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
11 46306017	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 46202029	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4 41808083	FR	1/0/1900 0:00

4	31309127	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	41808086	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	30363021	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2	46706334U	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	41808085	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
19	48049012	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2	47711109S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50506038	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	50506036	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	41808082	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
13	40402102	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	46202009	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	32808003	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	46305046	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	45603048	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	45603060T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
13	47403066	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	50506037	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	47704073T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	47403078	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	47703028	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	32808002	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8	47403072	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
18	47904014	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50803004	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
10	50508042S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8	42402202	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
28	51102301	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50506008	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50803005	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50803014	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
7	50506016S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	31302137	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
19	31310127	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
10	50506076	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50506007	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
2	50409210ST	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
7	50506070	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	46410208T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
10	50020027S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	50506039	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	50020028S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	31011147	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8	46410215	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
13	50506066	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	51203107	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	51203102	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
10	50020029S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	31616053	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	57941055S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	50506024	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
1	50601014T	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	47703030S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	51021004	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	31616052	FR	1/0/1900 0:00

8	51002201S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	51204318	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
5	51204319	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	50506068	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	50409220	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	50409221	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	32610065	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
13	50506067	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
4	50409209	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
6	50506040	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
37	50613039	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
18	31020164	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
26	51203108	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
8	50506017	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
3	51204317S	FR	1/0/1900 0:00
28	50506074	FR	1/0/1900 0:00