## Page 1

# EVALUATION OF BID PROPOSALS

FOR: REHABILITATION OF DIGESTER #2 AT THE REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

26713 Madison Avenue Murrieta CA 92562 Bid File No. 3470

Bid Opening: Sept. 20, 2016

# 1. F. D. Thomas, Inc. \$543,070.00 217 Bateman Drive Central Point OR 97502 2. Universal Coatings, Inc. \$568,420.00 3373 South Chestnut Avenue Fresno CA 93725 3. National Coating and Lining Co. \$690,200.00

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Monday, October 10, 2016, 5:00 P.M.

The Engineer's Estimate for this expenditure is \$500,000. The contract price is 8.6% above the Engineer's Estimate.

<u>BACKGROUND OF PROJECT</u> (To be completed by Evaluating Department/Division. Explain need for project/equipment):

Digester #2 is one of thirteen anaerobic digesters at the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Facility. The digesters are used in treatment of the solids generated at the RWRF to eliminate pathogens and volatile organic compounds. Upon inspection of digester #2, it was discovered that gasses were escaping through cracks in the roof of the digester. Leaking methane to the atmosphere is against the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control Districts (SJVAPCD) rules. Also methane is highly flammable, and leaking methane is a potential fire/explosion hazard. The work of this project includes the removal and replacement of the failing interior coatings. The project also includes application of a ferric corrosion eliminator and a new roof coating.

# Page 2

# EVALUATION OF BID PROPOSALS

FOR: REHABILITATION OF DIGESTER #2 AT THE REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

**DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:** 

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Purchasing Manager

Bid File No. 3470

Bid Opening: Sept. 20, 2016

[X] Award a contract in the amount of \$543,070.00 to F.D. Thomas, Inc. as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.				
Remarks:				
[] Reject all bids. Reason:				
Department Head Approval				
Title Director of Public Utilities  Date				
[X] Approve Dept. Recommendation $[X]$ Approve Finance/Purchasing Recommendation				
[_] Disapprove [_] Disapprove				
[] See Attachment				

**CITY MANAGER** 

City Manager or Designee

K:\FORMS\EVALUATIONFORM

# FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

### PROGRAM:

RECOMMENDATION		TOTAL OR CURRENT	ANNUALIZED COST
Direct Cost		\$543,070.00	0
Indirect Cost		*\$44,300.00	4
TOTAL COST		\$587,370.00	2 <del></del>
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated		<u>\$0</u>	
Net City Cost		_\$587,370.00	-
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)		**\$630,000.00	
*Indirect Costs Inspection	<u>\$35,000.00</u>		
Administration	_\$3,100.00		
Contract Compliance	_\$3,100.00		
Other	\$3,100.00		

\*\*Annual Appropriation Resolution 23<sup>rd</sup> AAR No. 2016-118
This project was not budgeted for in FY2017. Funds are available in the Sewer Enterprise Fund No. 40501 and are being appropriated this year.