Sadly, since Mr. Campos failed to include my emailed February 1st comments in your agenda packet today, I'll make as many of my main points now for inclusion in the record as only 3 minutes will allow.

My husband and I are Sunnyside County Island property owners less than 2 feet from this planned Fancher Creek Trail Project. "Segment 4" in the Feasibility Study, includes us, as well as 23 of our neighbors whose properties also back up to the trail. But as such, we never received any notice of this plan mailed to our homes. Thankfully, we found out about the final January 31st meeting at Fancher Creek Elementary School from friends on Facebook and in the three short weeks since that meeting, we have gathered more than 55 signatures of our neighbors opposed to this plan with more on the way.

We want to join our County Supervisor in voicing our STRONG OPPOSITION to this and any plan to pave the existing Bridle Trail behind our homes to create a Class 1 Bikeway or for any other purpose. We also STRONGLY OPPOSE any proposal to construct a Tunnel under the railroad tracks adjacent to Fancher Creek.

We are also Opposed to any concrete lining of Fancher Creek which will be necessary for any tunneling under the railroad tracks. This concrete lining would deprive water to the existing 100+ year-old shade trees along the Creek, displace wildlife and ruin the amenity of the bridle trail forever.

You need to know that the document before you today is internally inconsistent.

Citing just a few examples, starting on page 45, the Study states this Segment has a HIGH Feasibility rating. However, on Page 53, it states the Feasibility of this very same Segment as MEDIUM and only utilizing the **existing** Bridle Trail.

On Page 52, the Study describes the Tunnel under the railroad and recommends amenities such as signs along a <u>Class 1 Bikeway</u>, saying nothing about leaving the existing Bridle Trail as is.

Also, Page 53 states N/A under the cost estimate for Segment 4, while page 51 estimates a cost of \$9,505,000 for construction including the Tunnel under the railroad and Page 55 discusses "conversion of soft surface path to Class 1 bikeway" under Segment 5.

In conclusion, We, and my neighbors in the County Island, urge you NOT TO ACCEPT the Fancher Creek Trail Plan Project Feasibility Study as submitted today, but instead <u>return it to the consultant</u> so they may correct the internal inconsistencies within the document and restate the conversion of our Fancher Creek Bridle Trail to a Class 1 Bikeway and construction of a Tunnel as NOT FEASIBLE.

Sadly, since Mr. Campos failed to include my emailed February 1st comments in your agenda packet today, I'll make as many of my main points now for inclusion in the record as only 3 minutes will allow.

My husband and I are Sunnyside County Island property owners less than 2 feet from this planned Fancher Creek Trail Project. "Segment 4" in the Feasibility Study, includes us, as well as 23 of our neighbors whose properties also back up to the trail. But as such, we never received any notice of this plan mailed to our homes. Thankfully, we found out about the final January 31st meeting at Fancher Creek Elementary School from friends on Facebook and in the three short weeks since that meeting, we have gathered more than 55 signatures of our neighbors opposed to this plan with more on the way.

We want to join our County Supervisor in voicing our STRONG OPPOSITION to this and any plan to pave the existing Bridle Trail behind our homes to create a Class 1 Bikeway or for any other purpose. We also STRONGLY OPPOSE any proposal to construct a Tunnel under the railroad tracks adjacent to Fancher Creek.

We are also Opposed to any concrete lining of Fancher Creek which will be necessary for any tunneling under the railroad tracks. This concrete lining would deprive water to the existing 100+ year-old shade trees along the Creek, displace wildlife and ruin the amenity of the bridle trail forever.

You need to know that the document before you today is internally inconsistent.

Citing just a few examples, starting on page 45, the Study states this Segment has a HIGH Feasibility rating. However, on Page 53, it states the Feasibility of this very same Segment as MEDIUM and only utilizing the **existing** Bridle Trail.

On Page 52, the Study describes the Tunnel under the railroad and recommends amenities such as signs along a <u>Class 1 Bikeway</u>, saying nothing about leaving the existing Bridle Trail as is.

Also, Page 53 states N/A under the cost estimate for Segment 4, while page 51 estimates a cost of \$9,505,000 for construction including the Tunnel under the railroad and Page 55 discusses "conversion of soft surface path to Class 1 bikeway" under Segment 5.

In conclusion, We, and my neighbors in the County Island, urge you NOT TO ACCEPT the Fancher Creek Trail Plan Project Feasibility Study as submitted today, but instead <u>return it to the consultant</u> so they may correct the internal inconsistencies within the document and restate the conversion of our Fancher Creek Bridle Trail to a Class 1 Bikeway and construction of a Tunnel as NOT FEASIBLE.

Sadly, since Mr. Campos failed to include my emailed February 1st comments in your agenda packet today, I'll make as many of my main points now for inclusion in the record as only 3 minutes will allow.

My husband and I are Sunnyside County Island property owners less than 2 feet from this planned Fancher Creek Trail Project. "Segment 4" in the Feasibility Study, includes us, as well as 23 of our neighbors whose properties also back up to the trail. But as such, we never received any notice of this plan mailed to our homes. Thankfully, we found out about the final January 31st meeting at Fancher Creek Elementary School from friends on Facebook and in the three short weeks since that meeting, we have gathered more than 55 signatures of our neighbors opposed to this plan with more on the way.

We want to join our County Supervisor in voicing our STRONG OPPOSITION to this and any plan to pave the existing Bridle Trail behind our homes to create a Class 1 Bikeway or for any other purpose. We also STRONGLY OPPOSE any proposal to construct a Tunnel under the railroad tracks adjacent to Fancher Creek.

We are also Opposed to any concrete lining of Fancher Creek which will be necessary for any tunneling under the railroad tracks. This concrete lining would deprive water to the existing 100+ year-old shade trees along the Creek, displace wildlife and ruin the amenity of the bridle trail forever.

You need to know that the document before you today is internally inconsistent.

Citing just a few examples, starting on page 45, the Study states this Segment has a HIGH Feasibility rating. However, on Page 53, it states the Feasibility of this very same Segment as MEDIUM and only utilizing the **existing** Bridle Trail.

On Page 52, the Study describes the Tunnel under the railroad and recommends amenities such as signs along a <u>Class 1 Bikeway</u>, saying nothing about leaving the existing Bridle Trail as is.

Also, Page 53 states N/A under the cost estimate for Segment 4, while page 51 estimates a cost of \$9,505,000 for construction including the Tunnel under the railroad and Page 55 discusses "conversion of soft surface path to Class 1 bikeway" under Segment 5.

In conclusion, We, and my neighbors in the County Island, urge you NOT TO ACCEPT the Fancher Creek Trail Plan Project Feasibility Study as submitted today, but instead <u>return it to the consultant</u> so they may correct the internal inconsistencies within the document and restate the conversion of our Fancher Creek Bridle Trail to a Class 1 Bikeway and construction of a Tunnel as NOT FEASIBLE.