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## Introduction and Summary

## Introduction

This Report describes the Updated Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) prepared by JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. (JLB) for Copper River Ranch (Project) located on the northwest quadrant corner of Willow Avenue at Copper Avenue in the City of Fresno. The original Project EIR No. 10126 was approved in 2003, and the subsequent EIR was approved on December 9, 2021. Figure 1 shows the location of the proposed Project site relative to the surrounding roadway network.

The purpose of this Updated TIA is to determine the traffic operational analysis of five intersections which the City of Fresno conditioned the Project to construct traffic signals at varying trigger points. This updated TIA presents actual LOS operations and warrant analysis for the Year 2023 while considering continued development of the Project and cumulative growth within the last three years as well as the anticipated development for the next two years.

## Summary

The potential traffic impacts of the proposed Project were evaluated in accordance with the standards set forth by the Level of Service (LOS) policies of the City of Fresno.

## Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions

- At present, all study intersections operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.


## Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

- In the next two years, the proposed Project is estimated to generate approximately 8,526 daily trips, 554 AM peak hour trips and 723 PM peak hour trips.
- Under this scenario, all study intersections are projected to operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.


## Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

- The total trip generation for the Year 2025 Near Term Projects is 27,567 weekday daily trips, 1,770 weekday AM peak hour trips and 2,575 weekday PM peak hour trips.
- Under this scenario, all study intersections are projected to operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.
- It is recommended that the preparation of construction documents for the ultimate signalization of the intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue, Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue be completed.
- Assuming that all of the near term projects and the additional Project residential and commercial development as listed in Table IV are fully built, it is recommended that the intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue be signalized in early 2026.


## Study Facilities

The existing intersection peak hour turning movement and segment volume counts were conducted at the study intersections and segments in April and May 2023 while schools in the vicinity of the Project site were in session. The intersection turning movement counts included pedestrian and bicycle volumes. The traffic counts for the existing study intersections and segments are contained in Appendix A. It should be noted that in the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021 the count for the intersection of Sommerville Avenue at Chestnut Avenue was expanded in an effort account for reductions in traffic from the COVID-19 shelter in place. The existing intersection turning movement volumes, intersection geometrics and traffic controls are illustrated in Figure 2.

## Study Intersections

1. Willow Avenue / Alicante Drive
2. Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue
3. Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue
4. Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue
5. Sommerville Drive / Chestnut Avenue

## Study Scenarios

## Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions

This scenario evaluates the Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions based on existing traffic volumes and roadway conditions from traffic counts and field surveys conducted in April and May 2023.

## Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

This scenario evaluates total traffic volumes and roadway conditions based on the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions. The Existing plus Project (Year 2025) traffic volumes were obtained by adding the Project Only Trips to the Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions scenario. The Project Only Trips to the study facilities were developed based on existing travel patterns, the Fresno COG ABM Project Select Zone, the surrounding roadway network, engineering judgment, data provided by the developer, knowledge of the study area, existing residential and commercial densities and the Fresno General Plan Circulation Element in the vicinity of the Project site. The Project Only Trips account for the construction anticipated to take place in the next two years. The Fresno COG Project Select Zone prepared by Fresno COG can be found in the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021.

## Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

This scenario evaluates total traffic volumes and roadway conditions based on the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions. The Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) traffic volumes were obtained by adding the Near Term related trips to the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario.

## LOS Methodology

LOS is a qualitative index of the performance of an element of the transportation system. LOS is a rating scale running from " $A$ " to " $F$, with " $A$ " indicating no congestion of any kind and " $F$ " indicating unacceptable congestion and delays. LOS in this study describes the operating conditions for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) 6th Edition is the standard reference published by the Transportation Research Board and contains the specific criteria and methods to be used in assessing LOS. U-turn movements were analyzed using HCM 2000 methodologies and would yield more accurate results for the reason that HCM 6 Edition methodologies do not allow the analysis of U-turns. Lane configurations not reflective of existing conditions are a result of software limitations and thus represent a worst-case scenario. Synchro software was used to define LOS in this study. Details regarding these calculations are included in Appendix B.

While LOS is no longer the criteria of significance for traffic impacts in the state of California, the City of Fresno continues to apply congestion-related conditions or requirements for land development projects through planning approval processes outside of CEQA Guidelines in order to continue the implementation of Fresno General Plan policies.

## LOS Thresholds

The Fresno General Plan has established various degrees of acceptable LOS on its major streets, which are dependent on four (4) Traffic Impact Zones (TIZ) within the City (City of Fresno 2014). The standard LOS threshold for TIZ I is LOS F, that for TIZ II is LOS E, that for TIZ III is LOS D and that for TIZ IV is LOS E. Additionally, the 2035 MEIR made findings of overriding consideration to allow a lower LOS threshold than that established by the underlying TIZ's. For those cases in which a LOS criterion for a roadway segment differs from that of the underlying TIZ, such criteria are identified in the roadway description. As all the study facilities fall within TIZ III, LOS D is used to evaluate the potential LOS impacts for the study intersections within the City of Fresno pursuant to the Fresno General Plan.

## Operational Analysis Assumptions and Defaults

The following operational analysis values, assumptions and defaults were used in this study to ensure a consistent analysis of LOS among the various scenarios.

- At all existing study intersections, the heavy vehicle factor observed for each intersection, or a minimum of 3 percent, were utilized under all scenarios.
- At all future study intersections, the heavy vehicle factor of 3 percent was utilized under all study scenarios.
- The number of observed pedestrians at existing intersections was utilized under all study scenarios.
- At existing study intersections, the observed Peak Hour Factor (PHF) is utilized under all study scenarios.


## Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions

## Roadway Network

The Project site and surrounding study area are illustrated in Figure 1. Important roadways serving the Project are discussed below.

Willow Avenue is an existing north-south two-lane undivided roadway adjacent to the proposed Project site. In this area, Willow Avenue extends south of Friant Road through the City of Fresno SOI. Willow Avenue is a two-lane super arterial between Friant Road and Copper Avenue, a predominantly four-lane divided super arterial between Copper Avenue and International Avenue and a predominantly six-lane divided super arterial between International Avenue and the City of Clovis SOI. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Willow Avenue as a two-lane super arterial between Friant Road and Copper Avenue, a four-lane super arterial between Copper Avenue and International Avenue, a six-lane super arterial between International Avenue and Herndon Avenue, a four-lane super arterial between Herndon Avenue and Escalon Avenue, and a six-lane super arterial between Escalon Avenue and Beverly Drive.

Furthermore, the Fresno General Plan Circulation Element acknowledged that Willow Avenue would exceed LOS D as a two-lane super arterial between Friant Road and Copper Avenue. However, City Council made the appropriate findings to designate LOS F as the LOS threshold for this segment of Willow Avenue. Based on discussions with City staff, it was determined that this segment of Willow Avenue is planned as a four-lane super arterial. As a result, the Project proposes to develop Willow Avenue from Copper Avenue to the Project's northern limits with two (2) southbound lanes along its frontage to Willow Avenue.

Alicante Drive is an existing east-west two-lane divided collector within the proposed Project site. In this area, Alicante Drive exists as a two-lane divided collector between Copper River Drive and Willow Avenue. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Alicante Drive as a two-lane collector between Copper River Drive and Willow Avenue.

Millbrook Avenue is an existing north-south four-lane divided collector in the vicinity of the proposed Project site. In this area, Millbrook Avenue extends north of Copper Avenue for approximately 300 feet and southwest of Copper Avenue toward Liberty Hill Road. Millbrook Avenue is a four-lane collector divided by a two-way left-turn lane between Copper Avenue and Turnberry Avenue, a two-lane undivided collector between Turnberry Avenue and Fort Washington Road and a two-lane undivided local road between Fort Washington Road and Liberty Hill Road. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Millbrook Avenue as a four-lane collector between Copper Avenue and Turnberry Avenue, a two-lane collector between Turnberry Avenue and Fort Washington Road, and a two-lane undivided local road between Fort Washington Road and Liberty Hill Road.

Cedar Avenue is an existing north-south four-lane divided collector in the vicinity of the proposed Project site. In this area, Cedar Avenue extends south of Copper River Drive and curves eastbound onto International Avenue and connects to Maple Avenue. Cedar Avenue is a four-lane collector divided by a two-way left-turn lane between Copper Avenue and Olympic Avenue. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Cedar Avenue as a four-lane collector between Copper River Drive and Olympic Avenue.

Chestnut Avenue is an existing north-south two-lane undivided local roadway adjacent to the proposed Project site. In this area, Chestnut Avenue is a two-lane undivided local roadway north of Copper Avenue, a three- to four-lane collector divided by a two-way left-turn lane between Copper Avenue and International Avenue, a two- to three-lane undivided collector between International Avenue and Behymer Avenue, and a two-lane collector divided by a two-way left-turn lane between Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Chestnut Avenue as a two-lane local roadway between Copper River Drive and Copper Avenue, a four-lane collector between Copper Avenue and Behymer Avenue, and a two-lane collector between Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive.

Olympic Avenue is an east-west three-lane divided collector in the vicinity of the proposed Project. In this area, Olympic Avenue exists as a three-lane collector divided by a two-way left-turn lane between Millbrook Avenue and Cedar Avenue. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Olympic Avenue as a collector between Millbrook Avenue and Cedar Avenue.

Behymer Avenue is an existing east-west four-lane divided collector in the vicinity of the proposed Project site. In this area, Behymer Avenue is a two-lane collector divided by a two-way left-turn lane between Granville Avenue and Maple Avenue, a three- to four-lane divided arterial between Maple Avenue and Willow Avenue. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Behymer Avenue as a two-lane collector between Granville Avenue and Maple Avenue and a four-lane arterial between Maple Avenue and Willow Avenue.

Sommerville Drive is an existing predominantly north-south four-lane divided arterial in the vicinity of the proposed Project site. In this area, Sommerville Drive exists as a three- to four-lane divided arterial between Behymer Avenue and Shepherd Avenue. Sommerville Drive transitions into Maple Avenue north of Behymer Avenue. South of Shepherd Avenue, Sommerville Drive transitions into Chestnut Avenue. The Fresno General Plan Circulation Element designates Sommerville Drive as a four-lane arterial between Behymer Avenue and Shepherd Avenue.

## Traffic Signal Warrants

The CA MUTCD indicates that an engineering study of traffic conditions, pedestrian characteristics and physical features of an intersection shall be conducted to determine whether the installation of traffic signal controls are justified. The CA MUTCD provides a total of nine (9) warrants to evaluate the need for traffic signal controls. These warrants include 1) Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume, 2) Four-Hour Vehicular Volume, 3) Peak Hour, 4) Pedestrian Volume, 5) School Crossing, 6) Coordinated Signal System, 7) Crash Experience, 8) Roadway Network and 9) Intersection Near a Grade Crossing. Signalization of an intersection may be appropriate if one or more of the signal warrants are satisfied. However, the CA MUTCD also states that "[t]he satisfaction of a signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal" (Caltrans 2021).

If traffic signal warrants are satisfied when a LOS threshold impact is identified at an unsignalized intersection, then installation of a traffic signal control may serve as an improvement measure. For instances where traffic signal warrants are satisfied, a traffic signal control is not considered to be the default improvement measure. Since the installation of a traffic signal control typically requires the construction of additional lanes, an attempt is made to improve the intersection approach lane geometrics in order to improve its LOS while maintaining the existing intersection controls. If the additional lanes did not result in acceptable LOS at the intersection, then in those cases implementation of a traffic signal control would be considered.

Warrants 1, 2 and 3 were prepared for the unsignalized intersections under the Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions scenario. These warrants are contained in Appendix F. At present, Warrant 1 is not met for any unsignalized study intersections. Warrant 2 is met for the study intersection of Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue. Warrant 3 is met for the study intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue, Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue during the AM peak period. Based on operational analysis and engineering judgment, signalization is not recommended for any study intersection under this scenario. However, it is recommended that preparation of construction documents for the ultimate signalization of these.

## Results of Existing (Year 2023) Level of Service Analysis

Figure 2 illustrates the Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions turning movement volumes, intersection geometrics and traffic controls. LOS worksheets for the Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions scenario are provided in Appendix C. Table I presents a summary of the Existing (Year 2023) peak hour LOS at the study intersections.

At present, all study intersections operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.
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In the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021, the intersection of Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue exceeded its LOS threshold in the Existing scenario. The prior TIA was analyzed using Synchro 10 which only allowed for two (2) lanes per approach for an all-way stop intersection. This analysis was completed using Synchro 11, which allows for three (3) lanes per approach for an all-way stop intersection. Thus, this analysis presents a more realistic result than that previously conducted. Furthermore, this intersection contains four (4) lanes on the east and west approaches so the analysis in this TIA is still a more conservative analysis than the operations that currently take place.

Table I: Existing (Year 2023) Intersection LOS Results

| ID | Intersection | Intersection Control | AM (7-9) Peak Hour |  | PM (4-6) Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average Delay (sec/veh) | LOS | Average Delay (sec/veh) | LOS |
| 1 | Willow Avenue / Alicante Drive | Does Not Exist | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue | All-Way Stop | 20.5 | C | 8.8 | A |
| 3 | Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue | All-Way Stop | 12.2 | B | 8.6 | A |
| 4 | Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue | All-Way Stop | 19.6 | C | 10.6 | B |
| 5 | Sommerville Drive / Chestnut Avenue | All-Way Stop | 21.2 | C | 12.4 | B |

Note: LOS = Level of Service based on average delay on signalized intersections and All-Way STOP Controls LOS for two-way and one-way STOP controlled intersections are based on the worst approach/movement of the minor street.



## Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

## Project Description

At buildout, the entire Project proposed to construct a total of 3,278 residential units ( 2,429 single-family and 849 multi-family residential units), develop 254,423 square feet of mixed-use commercial land uses in addition to a park-n-ride lot (27 parking spaces), 28.80 acres of park space and a 3.30-acre wastewater treatment plant.

## Project Trip Generation

In the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021, there were a total of 1,270 units of Single-Family Detached Housing, 849 units of Multifamily Housing, 25.30 acres of City Park and 192,273 square feet of Commercial that remained to be built. Table II presents a summary of the anticipated trip generation of the Project components that remained to be built as of September 2020, the date of the counts for the Copper River EIR dated April 20, 2021. This trip generation is approximately 32,452 daily, 2,173 AM peak hour and 2,858 PM peak hour total driveway trips.

Table II: Project Trip Generation - Remain to be Built (2020)

| Land Use | Size | Unit | Daily <br> Trips | AM (7-9) Peak Hour |  |  | PM (4-6) Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 1,270 | d.u. | 11,987 | 233 | 705 | 938 | 792 | 466 | 1,258 |
| Apartments | 849 | d.u. | 6,215 | 89 | 301 | 390 | 299 | 176 | 475 |
| City Park | 25.30 | ac. | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Commercial | 192.273 | k.s.f. | 14,230 | 464 | 380 | 844 | 539 | 583 | 1,122 |
| Total |  |  | 32,452 | 787 | 1,386 | 2,173 | 1,632 | 1,226 | 2,858 |

Note: d.u. = dwelling unit
ac. = acre
k.s.f. $=$ thousand square feet

Since the Project has been in a state of development since its approval, Table III presents a summary of the anticipated trip generation of the Project components that have been built between September 2020 as of May 2023. The trip generation of the Project components that were built include 254 units of SingleFamily Detached Housing, 216 units of Multifamily Housing, 0.00 acres of City Park and 22,500 square feet of Commercial. Between September 2020 and May 2023, the proposed Project which was built is estimated to generate 5,899 daily, 405 AM peak hour and 515 PM peak hour total driveway trips.

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Table III: Project Trip Generation - Built (2020-2023)

| Land Use | Size | Unit | Daily <br> Trips | AM (7-9) Peak Hour |  |  | PM (4-6) Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 254 | d.u. | 2,397 | 48 | 138 | 186 | 155 | 96 | 251 |
| Apartments | 216 | d.u. | 1,581 | 23 | 76 | 99 | 76 | 45 | 121 |
| City Park | 0 | ac. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial | 22.500 | k.s.f. | 1,921 | 68 | 52 | 120 | 67 | 76 | 143 |
| Total |  |  | 5,899 | 139 | 266 | 405 | 298 | 217 | 515 |

Note: d.u. = dwelling unit
ac. = acre
k.s.f. = thousand square feet

The counts that were collected in April 2023 for this Report include the traffic generated listed in Table III. This Report assumes that a rate of construction that is twenty five percent (25\%) greater than that experienced between 2020 and April 2023, or more in specific cases, continues for the next two years. Table IV presents a summary of the trip generation of the Project components that are anticipated to be built in the next two (2) years. These include 240 Single-Family Detached Housing units, 276 Multifamily Housing units and 38,400 square feet of Commercial. Through May of 2025, the proposed Project is estimated to generate an additional 8,526 daily, 554 AM peak hour and 723 PM peak hour total driveway trips.

Table IV: Project Trip Generation - Build Projections (2023-2025)

| Land Use | Size | Unit | Daily <br> Trips | AM (7-9) Peak Hour |  |  | PM (4-6) Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single-Family Detached Housing (210) | 240 | d.u. | 2,265 | 41 | 135 | 176 | 152 | 87 | 239 |
| Apartments (220) | 276 | d.u. | 2,020 | 29 | 98 | 127 | 98 | 57 | 155 |
| Commercial (820, 934 and 945) | 38.400 | k.s.f. | 4,241 | 132 | 119 | 251 | 165 | 164 | 329 |
| Total |  |  | 8,526 | 202 | 352 | 554 | 415 | 308 | 723 |

Note: d.u. = dwelling unit
ac. = acre
k.s.f. $=$ thousand square feet

It is worth noting that this TIA does not consider reductions in the Project's anticipated trip generation as a result of internal capture or pass-by trips. Internal capture trip reductions are typically applied to account for the interaction between various individual land uses included as part of the Project. For example, in a mixed-use development containing offices and shops, trips made by the office workers to the shops within the site are defined as internal, or captured, trips within the site. Pass-by trip reductions are typically applied to account for those vehicles already on the road that the Project may attract. Typically, internally captured trips are deducted from the total number of driveway trips that leave the Project site while pass-by are those which are already on the street adjacent to the Project site. Since internal capture and pass-by trips are not deducted from the Project's estimated maximum trip generation, this TIA represents a worst-case scenario.

## Trip Distribution

The trip distribution assumptions were developed based on existing travel patterns, the Fresno COG Project Select Zone, the existing roadway network, engineering judgment, data provided by the developer, knowledge of the study area, existing residential and commercial densities and the Fresno General Plan Circulation Element in the vicinity of the Project. The Project's trip generation data was provided to Fresno COG in order to conduct a Project-specific Select Zone analysis using the Fresno COG ABM (Base Year 2019 and Cumulative Year 2035). The Fresno COG Project Select Zone prepared by Fresno COG can be found in the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021. Figure 3 illustrates the Project Only Trips at the study intersections of those components projected to be built in the next two (2) years.

## Roadway Network

The Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario assumes that the existing roadway geometrics and traffic controls will remain in place with one exception. By this scenario, Alicante Drive will be constructed to Willow Avenue. Figure 4 illustrates the assumed intersection geometrics and traffic controls under the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) scenario.

## Traffic Signal Warrants

Warrant 3 was prepared for the unsignalized intersections under the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario. These warrants are contained in Appendix F. Under this scenario, the study intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue, Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue are projected to satisfy the peak hour signal warrant during one or both peak periods. Based on operational analysis and engineering judgment, signalization is not recommended for any of the study intersections under this scenario. However, it is recommended that preparation of construction documents for the ultimate signalization of these three (3) intersections be completed.

## Results of Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Level of Service Analysis

Figure 4 illustrates the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) turning movement volumes, intersection geometrics and traffic controls. LOS worksheets for the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario are provided in Appendix D. Table V presents a summary of the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) peak hour LOS at the study intersections.

Under this scenario, all study intersections are projected to operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.

Table V: Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Intersection LOS Results

| ID | Intersection | Intersection Control | AM (7-9) Peak Hour |  | PM (4-6) Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average Delay (sec/veh) | LOS | Average Delay (sec/veh) | LOS |
| 1 | Willow Avenue / Alicante Drive | One-Way Stop | 9.8 | A | 9.3 | A |
| 2 | Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue | All-Way Stop | 27.1 | D | 9.0 | A |
| 3 | Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue | All-Way Stop | 12.3 | B | 8.6 | A |
| 4 | Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue | All-Way Stop | 20.4 | C | 10.8 | B |
| 5 | Sommerville Drive / Chestnut Avenue | All-Way Stop | 26.4 | D | 13.5 | B |

Note: LOS = Level of Service based on average delay on signalized intersections and All-Way STOP Controls
LOS for two-way and one-way STOP controlled intersections are based on the worst approach/movement of the minor street.
In the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021, the intersection of Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue exceeded its LOS threshold in the Existing plus Project scenario. This TIA does not consider the Project to be fully built in the Existing plus Project in contrast to the prior TIA. Furthermore, the prior TIA was analyzed using Synchro 10 which only allowed for two (2) lanes per approach for an all-way stop intersection. This analysis was completed using Synchro 11, which allows for three (3) lanes per approach for an all-way stop intersection. Thus, this analysis presents a more realistic result than that previously conducted. Currently, this intersection contains four (4) lanes on the east and west approaches so the analysis in this TIA is a more conservative analysis than the operations that currently take place.



## Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

## Description of Near Term Projects

Near Term Projects consist of developments that are either under construction, built but not fully occupied, are not built but have final site development review (SDR) approval, or for which the lead agency or responsible agencies have knowledge of. The City of Fresno, County of Fresno and Caltrans staff were consulted throughout the preparation of the prior TIA regarding Near Term Projects that could potentially impact the study intersections. JLB staff conducted a reconnaissance of the surrounding area to confirm the Near Term Projects. Therefore, the Near Term Projects listed in Table VI were within proximity of the Project site.

Table VI: Year 2025 Near Term Projects' Trip Generation

| Near Term Project ID | Near Term Project Name | Daily <br> Trips | AM Peak Hour | PM <br> Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | TT 5903 (portion of) ${ }^{1}$ | 28 | 2 | 3 |
| B | TT 6135 ${ }^{1}$ | 57 | 4 | 6 |
| C | 18 acres $^{1}$ | 850 | 67 | 89 |
| D | 6 acres $^{1}$ | 1,010 | 63 | 77 |
| E | TT 5261 (portion of) ${ }^{1}$ | 28 | 2 | 3 |
| F | TT 5029 (portion of) ${ }^{1}$ | 47 | 4 | 5 |
| G | SWQ Willow-Copper MFR | 113 | 9 | 12 |
| H | Willow-Shepherd Mixed-Use ${ }^{3}$ | 512 | 11 | 44 |
| 1 | CEMEX Rockfield Modification Project ${ }^{4}$ | 1,076 | 250 | 260 |
| J | Alluvial Professional Offices ${ }^{5}$ | 2,199 | 262 | 40 |
| K | Extended Stay Hotel ${ }^{1}$ | 491 | 37 | 152 |
| L | Fresno-Nees Mixed-Use ${ }^{1}$ | 1,948 | 134 | 632 |
| M | Friant-Audubon Mixed-Use ${ }^{1}$ | 5,806 | 400 | 632 |
| N | Villages at the Ranch ${ }^{1}$ | 286 | 23 | 30 |
| 0 | Heritage Crossing Care Facility ${ }^{1}$ | 140 | 10 | 14 |
| P | Willow-Alluvial Apartments ${ }^{1}$ | 1,940 | 122 | 148 |
| Q | Willow-Herndon Commercial ${ }^{1}$ | 8,284 | 206 | 836 |
| R | Sam's Club Fuel Station ${ }^{1}$ | 2,752 | 164 | 224 |
| Total Near Term Project Trips |  | 27,567 | 1,770 | 2,575 |

Note: $\quad 1$ = Trip Generation prepared by JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. based on readily available information
2 = Trip Generation based on JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. Traffic Impact Analysis Report
3 = Trip Generation based on a Traffic Impact Analysis Report by another Traffic Engineering Firm
The trip generation listed in Table VI is that which is anticipated to be added to the streets and highways by Near Term Projects by the year 2025. As shown in Table VI, the total trip generation for the Near Term Projects is 27,567 weekday daily trips, 1,770 weekday AM peak hour trips and 2,575 weekday PM peak hour trips. Figure 5 illustrates the location of the Year 2025 Near Term Projects and their combined trip assignment to the study intersections under the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario.

## Roadway Network

The Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario assumes that the Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions roadway geometrics and traffic controls will remain in place. Figure 6 illustrates the assumed intersection geometrics and traffic controls for these intersections under this scenario.

## Traffic Signal Warrants

Warrant 3 was prepared for the unsignalized intersections under the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario. These warrants are contained in Appendix F. Under this scenario, the study intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue, Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue are projected to satisfy the peak hour signal warrant during one or both peak periods. Based on operational analysis and engineering judgment, signalization is not recommended for any study intersections under this scenario. However, it is recommended that preparation of construction documents for the ultimate signalization of these three (3) intersections be completed.

Assuming that all of the near term projects and the additional Project residential and commercial development as listed in Table IV are fully built, it is estimated that the intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue would need to have fully operational traffic signals by the Year 2026. As a result, it is recommended that these two intersections be signalized by early 2026.

## Results of the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Level of Service Analysis

Figure 6 illustrates the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) turning movement volumes, intersection geometrics and traffic controls. LOS worksheets for the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario are provided in Appendix E. Table VII presents a summary of the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) peak hour LOS at the study intersections.

Under this scenario, all study intersections are projected to operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.

In the Copper River EIR TIA dated April 30, 2021, the intersection of Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue exceeded its LOS threshold in the Near Term plus Project scenario. This TIA does not consider the Project to be fully built in the Near Term plus Project in contrast to the prior TIA. Furthermore, the prior TIA was analyzed using Synchro 10 which only allowed for two (2) lanes per approach for an all-way stop intersection. This analysis was completed using Synchro 11, which allows for three (3) lanes per approach for an all-way stop intersection. Thus, this analysis presents a more realistic result than that previously conducted. Currently, this intersection contains four (4) lanes on the east and west approaches so the analysis in this TIA is a more conservative analysis than the operations that currently take place.

## Table VII: Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Intersection LOS Results

| ID | Intersection | Intersection Control | AM (7-9) Peak Hour |  | PM (4-6) Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average Delay (sec/veh) | LOS | Average Delay (sec/veh) | LOS |
| 1 | Willow Avenue / Alicante Drive | One-Way Stop | 9.9 | A | 9.3 | A |
| 2 | Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue | All-Way Stop | 32.5 | D | 9.0 | A |
| 3 | Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue | All-Way Stop | 12.3 | B | 8.7 | A |
| 4 | Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue | All-Way Stop | 21.1 | C | 10.9 | B |
| 5 | Sommerville Drive / Chestnut Avenue | All-Way Stop | 30.2 | D | 14.1 | B |

Note: $\quad$ LOS = Level of Service based on average delay on signalized intersections and All-Way STOP Controls
LOS for two-way and one-way STOP controlled intersections are based on the worst approach/movement of the minor street.
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## Queuing Analysis

Table VIII provides a queue length summary for left-turn and right-turn lanes at the study intersections under all study scenarios. The queuing analyses for the study intersections are contained in the LOS worksheets for the respective scenarios. Appendix B contains the methodologies used to evaluate these intersections. Queuing analyses were completed using SimTraffic output information. Synchro provides both 50th and 95th percentile maximum queue lengths (in feet). According to the Synchro Studio 11 User Guide, "the 50th percentile maximum queue is the maximum back of queue on a typical cycle and the 95th percentile queue is the maximum back of queue with 95th percentile volumes" (Cubic ITS, Inc., 2019). The queues shown in Table VIII are the 95th percentile queue lengths for the respective lane movements.

The California Highway Design Manual (CA HDM) provides guidance for determining deceleration lengths for the left-turn and right-turn lanes based on design speeds. According to the CA HDM, tapers for rightturn lanes are "usually unnecessary since main line traffic need not be shifted laterally to provide space for the right-turn lane. If, in some rare instances, a lateral shift were needed, the approach taper would use the same formula as for a left-turn lane" (Caltrans, 2019). Therefore, a bay taper length pursuant to the CA HDM would need to be added, as necessary, to the recommended storage lengths presented in Table VIII.
The storage capacity for the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions shall be based on the SimTraffic output files and engineering judgment. The values in bold presented in Table VIII are the projected queue lengths that will likely need to be accommodated by the Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions scenario. At the remaining approaches of the study intersections, the existing storage capacity will be sufficient to accommodate the maximum queue.

Table VIII: Queuing Analysis

| ID | Intersection | Existing Queue Storage Length (ft.) |  | Existing (Year 2023) |  | ```Existing plus Project (Year 2025)``` |  | Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | * | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Willow Avenue | Eastbound Right | * | * | * | 56 | 48 | 52 | 45 |
| 1 | / | Northbound Left | * | * | * | 24 | 24 | 12 | 10 |
|  | Alicante Drive | Northbound Through | * | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Southbound Through-Right | * | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Westbound Left | >300 | 87 | 67 | 106 | 77 | 129 | 64 |
|  |  | Westbound Right | >300 | 47 | 16 | 51 | 30 | 46 | 34 |
|  |  | Northbound Through | >500 | 59 | 50 | 48 | 61 | 58 | 51 |
| 2 | Millbrook Avenue | Northbound Through | >500 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 55 | 49 | 51 |
| 2 | Olympic Avenue | Northbound Right | 160 | 70 | 65 | 56 | 69 | 59 | 74 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | 100 | 53 | 38 | 43 | 33 | 49 | 31 |
|  |  | Southbound Through | >500 | 53 | 48 | 60 | 72 | 80 | 43 |
|  |  | Southbound Through | >500 | 50 | 47 | 47 | 39 | 44 | 40 |
|  |  | Eastbound Left | 200 | 41 | 40 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 37 |
|  |  | Eastbound Right | >300 | 53 | 54 | 48 | 50 | 35 | 52 |
|  |  | Northbound Left | 200 | 81 | 55 | 83 | 55 | 93 | 61 |
| 3 | Cedar Avenue | Northbound Through | >500 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 46 | 45 | 44 |
| 3 | Olympic Avenue | Northbound Through | >500 | 42 | 28 | 51 | 42 | 40 | 43 |
|  |  | Southbound Through | >500 | 43 | 47 | 49 | 44 | 60 | 55 |
|  |  | Southbound Through | >500 | 26 | 0 | 38 | 23 | 32 | 18 |
|  |  | Southbound Right | 100 | 55 | 50 | 60 | 45 | 54 | 43 |

Note: $\quad *=$ Does not exist or is not projected to exist

## Table VIII: Queuing Analysis (Continued)

| ID | Intersection | Existing Queue Storage Length (ft.) |  | Existing (Year 2023) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Existing plus } \\ & \text { Project (Year } \\ & \text { 2025) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| 4 | Chestnut Avenue//Behymer Avenue | Eastbound Left | 200 | 44 | 47 | 49 | 43 | 58 | 42 |
|  |  | Eastbound Through | >500 | 43 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 41 |
|  |  | Eastbound Through-Right | >500 | 52 | 42 | 58 | 34 | 60 | 34 |
|  |  | Westbound Left | 230 | 62 | 47 | 72 | 44 | 77 | 47 |
|  |  | Westbound Through | >500 | 64 | 62 | 74 | 78 | 71 | 75 |
|  |  | Westbound Through-Right | >500 | 60 | 55 | 60 | 55 | 66 | 44 |
|  |  | Northbound Left | 100 | 52 | 43 | 52 | 38 | 50 | 39 |
|  |  | Northbound Through-Right | >500 | 106 | 71 | 117 | 67 | 125 | 71 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | 120 | 63 | 48 | 85 | 44 | 73 | 46 |
|  |  | Southbound Through | >500 | 72 | 60 | 83 | 70 | 86 | 68 |
|  |  | Southbound Right | 120 | 56 | 57 | 54 | 51 | 55 | 59 |
| 5 | Sommerville Drive / Chestnut Avenue | Eastbound Left | 150 | 31 | 31 | 38 | 33 | 27 | 26 |
|  |  | Eastbound Through | >500 | 49 | 53 | 60 | 53 | 53 | 62 |
|  |  | Eastbound Through | >500 | 51 | 48 | 58 | 53 | 60 | 67 |
|  |  | Westbound Left | 150 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 19 | 16 |
|  |  | Westbound Through | >500 | 56 | 60 | 59 | 52 | 54 | 69 |
|  |  | Westbound Through-Right | >500 | 71 | 85 | 94 | 73 | 87 | 83 |
|  |  | Southbound Left | 140 | 83 | 75 | 102 | 69 | 103 | 80 |
|  |  | Southbound Right | >300 | 26 | 35 | 33 | 38 | 27 | 37 |

[^0]www.JLBtraffic.com
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## Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions and recommendations regarding the proposed Project are presented below.

## Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions

- At present, all study intersections operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.


## Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

- At buildout, the proposed Project is estimated to generate approximately 8,526 daily trips, 554 AM peak hour trips and 723 PM peak hour trips.
- Under this scenario, all study intersections are projected to operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.


## Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

- The total trip generation for the Near Term Projects is 27,567 weekday daily trips, 1,770 weekday AM peak hour trips and 2,575 weekday PM peak hour trips.
- Under this scenario, all study intersections are projected to operate at an acceptable LOS during both peak periods.
- It is recommended that preparation of construction documents for the ultimate signalization of the intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue, Chestnut Avenue at Behymer Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue be completed.
- Assuming that all of the near term projects and the additional Project residential and commercial development as listed in Table IV are fully built, it is recommended that the intersections of Millbrook Avenue at Olympic Avenue and Sommerville Drive at Chestnut Avenue be signalized in early 2026.
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## Appendix A: Traffic Counts

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Millbrook at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 4/18/2023
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted - Turns

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Northbound |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 1 | 5 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 43 | 0 | 25 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 281 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 46 | 0 | 22 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 141 | 2 | 0 | 94 | 141 | 1 | 55 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 864 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 44 | 43 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 117 | 117 | 2 | 8 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 573 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 151 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 45 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 160 | 162 | 0 | 13 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 634 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 50 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 177 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 56 | 2 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 57 | 50 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 190 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 55 | 67 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 20 | 14 | 1 | 197 | 224 | 3 | 14 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 739 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 212 | 18 | 1 | 568 | 644 | 6 | 90 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 2810 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76.9 | 0 | 21.3 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 46.6 | 52.8 | 0.5 | 15.1 | 84.9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.3 | 0 | 7.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 20.2 | 22.9 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Unshifted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 | 0 | 212 | 18 | 0 | 568 | 644 | 6 | 90 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 2809 |
| \% Unshifted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| U-Turns <br> \% U-Turns | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 100 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Millbrook at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/18/2023
Page No : 2

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 136 | 0 | 28 | 43 | 0 | 71 | 25 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 281 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 83 | 1 | 190 | 0 | 34 | 46 | 0 | 80 | 22 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 354 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 43 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 187 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 32 | 30 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 154 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 145 | 3 | 436 | 0 | 138 | 162 | 0 | 300 | 52 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 976 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 66.1 | 0 | 33.3 | 0.7 |  | 0 | 46 | 54 | 0 |  | 21.7 | 78.3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 679 | . 000 | . 437 | . 750 | . 574 | . 000 | . 784 | . 880 | . 000 | . 862 | . 520 | . 758 | . 000 | . 000 | . 714 | . 689 |
| Unshifted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 145 | 3 | 436 | 0 | 138 | 162 | 0 | 300 | 52 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 976 |
| \% Unshifted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions
www.JLBtraffic.com
File Name : Millbrook at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/18/2023
Page No : 3

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 55 | 0 | 50 | 51 | 0 | 101 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 177 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 35 | 56 | 2 | 93 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 173 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 49 | 1 | 57 | 50 | 0 | 108 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 190 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 55 | 67 | 1 | 123 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 199 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 20 | 14 | 196 | 1 | 197 | 224 | 3 | 425 | 14 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 739 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 82.7 | 0 | 10.2 | 7.1 |  | 0.2 | 46.4 | 52.7 | 0.7 |  | 11.9 | 88.1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 880 | . 000 | . 714 | . 583 | 891 | . 250 | . 864 | . 836 | . 375 | . 864 | . 438 | . 839 | . 000 | . 000 | . 894 | . 928 |
| Unshifted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 20 | 14 | 196 | 0 | 197 | 224 | 3 | 424 | 14 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 738 |
| \% Unshifted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99.9 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103
Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Millbrook at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/18/2023
Page No : 1

## Groups Printed- Bicycles

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Northbound |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |

*** BREAK ***

| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

*** BREAK ***

| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |


| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Millbrook at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/18/2023
Page No : 2


Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM

| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:30 AM Bicycles |  |
|  |  |  |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Millbrook at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/18/2023
Page No : 3

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | MILLBROOK <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 350 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name: Cedar at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/19/2023
Page No :1

Groups Printed- Unshifted - Turns

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 59 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 84 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 77 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 55 | 3 | 211 |
| 07:45 AM | 22 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 64 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 50 | 13 | 255 |
| Total | 31 | 0 | 78 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 204 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 125 | 18 | 609 |
| 08:00 AM | 7 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 98 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 108 |
| 08:30 AM | 8 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 131 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 66 |
| Total | 18 | 0 | 122 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 152 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 29 | 1 | 403 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 92 |
| 04:30 PM | 10 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 96 |
| 04:45 PM | 8 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 104 |
| Total | 30 | 0 | 115 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 3 | 375 |


| $05: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 10 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 3 | 120 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 9 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 122 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 97 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 102 |
| Total | 35 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 108 | 42 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 30 | 11 | 441 |


| Grand Total | 114 | 0 | 474 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 582 | 170 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 197 | 215 | 33 | 1828 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 19.1 | 0 | 79.5 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 77.1 | 22.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 44.2 | 48.2 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.2 | 0 | 25.9 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 31.8 | 9.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 1.8 | 0 | 197 |
| Unshifted | 114 | 0 | 474 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 582 | 170 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 1827 |  |  |  |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.9 |  |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |  |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> \author{ 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

}

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Cedar at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/19/2023
Page No : 2

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 77 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 28 | 55 | 3 | 87 | 211 |
| 07:45 AM | 22 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 64 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 38 | 50 | 13 | 101 | 255 |
| 08:00 AM | 7 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 98 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 108 |
| Total Volume | 36 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 208 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 1 | 85 | 119 | 16 | 221 | 672 |
| \% App. Total | 21.6 | 0 | 78.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 80.9 | 19.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.5 | 38.5 | 53.8 | 7.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 409 | . 000 | . 819 | . 000 | . 732 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 519 | . 519 | . 675 | . 613 | . 000 | . 000 | 739 | . 250 | . 559 | . 541 | . 308 | . 547 | 659 |
| Unshifted | 36 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 208 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 85 | 119 | 16 | 220 | 671 |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.5 | 99.9 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Cedar at Olympic<br>Site Code : 00000000<br>Start Date : 4/19/2023<br>Page No : 3



Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 8 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 10 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 3 | 34 | 120 |
| 05:15 PM | 9 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 26 | 122 |
| 05:30 PM | 8 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 97 |
| Total Volume | 35 | 0 | 155 | 3 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 156 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 9 | 93 | 443 |
| \% App. Total | 18.1 | 0 | 80.3 | 1.6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 73.7 | 24.4 | 0 | 1.9 |  | 0 | 51.6 | 38.7 | 9.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 875 | . 000 | . 824 | . 250 | . 862 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 871 | . 594 | . 000 | . 250 | . 907 | . 000 | . 667 | . 692 | . 450 | . 684 | . 908 |
| Unshifted | 35 | 0 | 155 | 3 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 115 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 156 | 0 | 48 | 36 | 9 | 93 | 443 |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103
Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name: Cedar at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/19/2023
Page No :1

Groups Printed- Bicycles

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Northbound |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |


| *** BREAK $* * *$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name: Cedar at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/19/2023
Page No : 2

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM

| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Cedar at Olympic
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/19/2023
Page No : 3

|  | OLYMPIC <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | OLYMPIC <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | CEDAR <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 04:45 PM to 05:30 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 500 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut and Behymer Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 4/25/2023
Page No :1

Groups Printed- Unshifted - Turns

|  | BEHYMER <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | BEHYMER <br> Westbound |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Northbound |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 10 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 108 |
| 07:15 AM | 16 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 2 | 15 | 29 | 14 | 4 | 194 |
| 07:30 AM | 11 | 41 | 15 | 5 | 52 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 19 | 21 | 44 | 2 | 13 | 25 | 7 | 1 | 300 |
| 07:45 AM | 18 | 46 | 24 | 1 | 51 | 39 | 11 | 1 | 20 | 38 | 64 | 1 | 21 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 365 |
| Total | 55 | 138 | 52 | 7 | 124 | 107 | 26 | 4 | 49 | 89 | 119 | 7 | 64 | 88 | 31 | 7 | 967 |
| 08:00 AM | 22 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 42 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 59 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 33 | 14 | 0 | 297 |
| 08:15 AM | 26 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 27 | 0 | 6 | 61 | 10 | 2 | 37 | 59 | 22 | 1 | 306 |
| 08:30 AM | 10 | 29 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 32 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 38 | 43 | 19 | 0 | 228 |
| 08:45 AM | 3 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 103 |
| Total | 61 | 120 | 17 | 2 | 26 | 114 | 61 | 2 | 18 | 148 | 35 | 5 | 112 | 154 | 58 | 1 | 934 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 17 | 31 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 26 | 25 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 16 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 17 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 161 |
| 04:30 PM | 11 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 45 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 27 | 11 | 0 | 177 |
| 04:45 PM | 12 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 45 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 190 |
| Total | 56 | 82 | 15 | 9 | 32 | 165 | 32 | 1 | 20 | 90 | 41 | 8 | 54 | 87 | 43 | 2 | 737 |
| 05:00 PM | 19 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 212 |
| 05:15 PM | 13 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 58 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 20 | 0 | 223 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 43 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 52 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 14 | 1 | 226 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 46 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 201 |
| Total | 67 | 124 | 19 | 5 | 36 | 205 | 49 | 4 | 19 | 98 | 29 | 2 | 45 | 99 | 59 | 2 | 862 |
| Grand Total | 239 | 464 | 103 | 23 | 218 | 591 | 168 | 11 | 106 | 425 | 224 | 22 | 275 | 428 | 191 | 12 | 3500 |
| Apprch \% | 28.8 | 56 | 12.4 | 2.8 | 22.1 | 59.8 | 17 | 1.1 | 13.6 | 54.7 | 28.8 | 2.8 | 30.4 | 47.2 | 21.1 | 1.3 |  |
| Total \% | 6.8 | 13.3 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 6.2 | 16.9 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 3 | 12.1 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 12.2 | 5.5 | 0.3 |  |
| Unshifted | 148 | 464 | 103 | 23 | 152 | 591 | 168 | 11 | 106 | 425 | 224 | 22 | 275 | 428 | 191 | 12 | 3343 |
| \% Unshifted | 61.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 69.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95.5 |
| U-Turns | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| \% U-Turns | 38.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut and Behymer
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/25/2023
Page No : 2

|  | BEHYMER <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | BEHYMER <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 11 | 41 | 15 | 5 | 72 | 52 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 96 | 19 | 21 | 44 | 2 | 86 | 13 | 25 | 7 | 1 | 46 | 300 |
| 07:45 AM | 18 | 46 | 24 | 1 | 89 | 51 | 39 | 11 | 1 | 102 | 20 | 38 | 64 | 1 | 123 | 21 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 51 | 365 |
| 08:00 AM | 22 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 71 | 13 | 42 | 18 | 0 | 73 | 4 | 59 | 14 | 0 | 77 | 29 | 33 | 14 | 0 | 76 | 297 |
| 08:15 AM | 26 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 24 | 27 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 61 | 10 | 2 | 79 | 37 | 59 | 22 | 1 | 119 | 306 |
| Total Volume | 77 | 157 | 46 | 6 | 286 | 119 | 139 | 64 | 3 | 325 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 5 | 365 | 100 | 138 | 50 | 4 | 292 | 1268 |
| \% App. Total | 26.9 | 54.9 | 16.1 | 2.1 |  | 36.6 | 42.8 | 19.7 | 0.9 |  | 13.4 | 49 | 36.2 | 1.4 |  | 34.2 | 47.3 | 17.1 | 1.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 740 | . 853 | . 479 | . 300 | . 803 | . 572 | . 827 | . 593 | . 375 | .797 | . 613 | . 734 | . 516 | . 625 | 742 | . 676 | . 585 | . 568 | . 500 | . 613 | . 868 |
| Unshifted | 50 | 157 | 46 | 6 | 259 | 73 | 139 | 64 | 3 | 279 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 5 | 365 | 100 | 138 | 50 | 4 | 292 | 1195 |
| \% Unshifted | 64.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90.6 | 61.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 85.8 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94.2 |
| U-Turns | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| \% U-Turns | 35.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.4 | 38.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.8 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
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File Name : Chestnut and Behymer
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/25/2023
Page No : 3

|  | BEHYMER <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | BEHYMER <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 19 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 49 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 11 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 212 |
| 05:15 PM | 13 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 38 | 18 | 58 | 14 | 1 | 91 | 6 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 14 | 21 | 20 | 0 | 55 | 223 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 43 | 7 | 4 | 70 | 8 | 52 | 5 | 3 | 68 | 3 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 33 | 10 | 30 | 14 | 1 | 55 | 226 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 7 | 46 | 15 | 0 | 68 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 43 | 201 |
| Total Volume | 67 | 124 | 19 | 5 | 215 | 36 | 205 | 49 | 4 | 294 | 19 | 98 | 29 | 2 | 148 | 45 | 99 | 59 | 2 | 205 | 862 |
| \% App. Total | 31.2 | 57.7 | 8.8 | 2.3 |  | 12.2 | 69.7 | 16.7 | 1.4 |  | 12.8 | 66.2 | 19.6 | 1.4 |  | 22 | 48.3 | 28.8 | 1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 882 | . 721 | . 679 | . 313 | . 768 | . 500 | . 884 | . 817 | . 333 | . 808 | . 792 | . 875 | . 806 | . 250 | . 949 | . 804 | . 825 | . 738 | . 500 | 932 | . 954 |
| Unshifted | 46 | 124 | 19 | 5 | 194 | 24 | 205 | 49 | 4 | 282 | 19 | 98 | 29 | 2 | 148 | 45 | 99 | 59 | 2 | 205 | 829 |
| \% Unshifted | 68.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90.2 | 66.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96.2 |
| U-Turns | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| \% U-Turns | 31.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.8 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com
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## Groups Printed- Bicycles

|  | BEHYMER <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | BEHYMER <br> Westbound |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Northbound |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 08:00 AM } \\ \text { 08:15 AM } \\ \text { *** BREAK ** } \end{array}$ | 1 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 2 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 3 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| *** BREAK ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Apprch \% | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut and Behymer
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/25/2023
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Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM

| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| \% App. Total | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 333 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:30 AM <br> Bicycles |  |
|  |  |  |

# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103
Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut and Behymer
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/25/2023
Page No : 3

|  | BEHYMER <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | BEHYMER <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | CHESTNUT <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
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| Groups Printed- Unshifted - Turns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHESTNUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Northbound |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 44 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 07:15 AM | 44 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 42 | 3 | 5 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 149 |
| 07:30 AM | 65 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 101 | 1 | 4 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| 07:45 AM | 84 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 302 |
| Total | 237 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 123 | 249 | 6 | 15 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 836 |
| 08:00 AM | 62 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| 08:15 AM | 81 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 234 |
| 08:30 AM | 64 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| 08:45 AM | 45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| Total | 252 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 116 | 174 | 3 | 4 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 744 |

*** BREAK ***

| 03:45 PM | 46 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 41 | 0 | 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 46 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 41 | 0 | 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 56 | 35 | 0 | 6 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
| 04:30 PM | 44 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| 04:45 PM | 40 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| Total | 129 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 157 | 130 | 1 | 10 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 569 |
| 05:00 PM | 54 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| 05:15 PM | 35 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 55 | 59 | 0 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| 05:30 PM | 57 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 68 | 2 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 230 |
| 05:45 PM | 41 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| Total | 187 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 197 | 231 | 5 | 6 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 807 |
| Grand Total | 851 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 12 | 628 | 825 | 15 | 39 | 701 | 0 | 0 | 3131 |
| Apprch \% | 93.4 | 0 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 42.4 | 55.7 | 1 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 27.2 | 0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 20.1 | 26.3 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 22.4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Unshifted | 851 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 628 | 825 | 15 | 37 | 701 | 0 | 0 | 3117 |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 99.6 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
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|  | CHESTNUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 65 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 42 | 101 | 1 | 144 | 4 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 269 |
| 07:45 AM | 84 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 49 | 78 | 0 | 127 | 5 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 302 |
| 08:00 AM | 62 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 32 | 44 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 183 |
| 08:15 AM | 81 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 83 | 1 | 40 | 58 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 234 |
| Total Volume | 292 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 307 | 1 | 163 | 281 | 2 | 447 | 11 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 988 |
| \% App. Total | 95.1 | 0 | 4.6 | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 36.5 | 62.9 | 0.4 |  | 4.7 | 95.3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 869 | . 000 | . 389 | . 250 | . 825 | . 250 | . 832 | . 696 | . 500 | .776 | . 550 | . 724 | . 000 | . 000 | . 713 | . 818 |
| Unshifted | 292 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 307 | 0 | 163 | 281 | 2 | 446 | 11 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 987 |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 99.9 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut at Sommerville
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/20/2023
Page No : 3

|  | CHESTNUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 40 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 56 | 51 | 0 | 107 | 2 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 202 |
| 05:00 PM | 54 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 43 | 53 | 2 | 98 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 200 |
| 05:15 PM | 35 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 38 | 2 | 55 | 59 | 0 | 116 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 202 |
| 05:30 PM | 57 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 55 | 68 | 2 | 126 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 230 |
| Total Volume | 186 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 204 | 3 | 209 | 231 | 4 | 447 | 8 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 183 | 834 |
| \% App. Total | 91.2 | 0 | 7.8 | 1 |  | 0.7 | 46.8 | 51.7 | 0.9 |  | 4.4 | 95.6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 816 | . 000 | . 571 | . 250 | . 797 | . 375 | . 933 | . 849 | . 500 | . 887 | . 667 | . 893 | . 000 | . 000 | . 897 | 907 |
| Unshifted | 186 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 204 | 0 | 209 | 231 | 4 | 444 | 7 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 830 |
| \% Unshifted | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.3 | 87.5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% U-Turns | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |



## JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> 516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut at Sommerville
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/20/2023
Page No : 1


# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. <br> \section*{516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103} 

Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut at Sommerville
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/20/2023
Page No : 2

|  | CHESTNUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |



# JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 

516 W. Shaw Ave., Ste. 103
Fresno, CA, 93704
Traffic Engineering, Transportation Planning \& Parking Solutions www.JLBtraffic.com

File Name : Chestnut at Sommerville
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 4/20/2023
Page No : 3

|  | CHESTNUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SOMMERVILLE <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:45 PM to 05:30 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 |



Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.88126134 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7393974 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | Totals |
| 12:00 AM | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 23 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 4:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 15 |
| 5:00 AM | 3 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 36 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 52 |
| 6:00 AM | 15 | 15 | 21 | 33 | 84 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 58 | 142 |
| 7:00 AM | 31 | 59 | 119 | 148 | 357 | 28 | 36 | 80 | 82 | 226 | 583 |
| 8:00 AM | 75 | 77 | 56 | 37 | 245 | 62 | 81 | 39 | 32 | 214 | 459 |
| 9:00 AM | 27 | 17 | 27 | 41 | 112 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 92 | 204 |
| 10:00 AM | 33 | 24 | 29 | 36 | 122 | 28 | 24 | 29 | 27 | 108 | 230 |
| 11:00 AM | 36 | 40 | 58 | 47 | 181 | 43 | 33 | 41 | 42 | 159 | 340 |
| 12:00 PM | 29 | 28 | 45 | 46 | 148 | 34 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 156 | 304 |
| 1:00 PM | 37 | 33 | 27 | 36 | 133 | 27 | 27 | 33 | 43 | 130 | 263 |
| 2:00 PM | 34 | 32 | 64 | 109 | 239 | 41 | 39 | 90 | 68 | 238 | 477 |
| 3:00 PM | 70 | 82 | 82 | 53 | 287 | 61 | 74 | 95 | 57 | 287 | 574 |
| 4:00 PM | 45 | 36 | 38 | 41 | 160 | 48 | 39 | 57 | 58 | 202 | 362 |
| 5:00 PM | 45 | 35 | 52 | 69 | 201 | 59 | 55 | 60 | 56 | 230 | 431 |
| 6:00 PM | 51 | 29 | 21 | 29 | 130 | 48 | 41 | 26 | 34 | 149 | 279 |
| 7:00 PM | 29 | 20 | 25 | 31 | 105 | 22 | 43 | 33 | 32 | 130 | 235 |
| 8:00 PM | 23 | 24 | 38 | 38 | 123 | 27 | 35 | 31 | 27 | 120 | 243 |
| 9:00 PM | 15 | 23 | 15 | 17 | 70 | 17 | 29 | 18 | 15 | 79 | 149 |
| 10:00 PM | 12 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 39 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 45 | 84 |
| 11:00 PM | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 22 | 34 |
| Total | 51.2\% |  |  |  | 2812 | 48.8\% |  |  |  | 2685 |  |
|  | 5497 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 37.5\% |  | Peak |  | 7:30 am | 8:30 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.79 |  |
| PM\% | 62.5\% |  | Peak |  | 2:45 pm | o 3:4 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.91 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.8812828 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7383966 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | Totals |
| 12:00 AM | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 22 |
| 1:00 AM | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 14 |
| 2:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 3:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 4:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| 5:00 AM | 3 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 26 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 42 |
| 6:00 AM | 9 | 12 | 16 | 26 | 63 | 11 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 70 | 133 |
| 7:00 AM | 35 | 55 | 67 | 73 | 230 | 28 | 42 | 69 | 70 | 209 | 439 |
| 8:00 AM | 59 | 65 | 38 | 41 | 203 | 60 | 72 | 40 | 33 | 205 | 408 |
| 9:00 AM | 21 | 15 | 25 | 27 | 88 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 21 | 82 | 170 |
| 10:00 AM | 25 | 19 | 22 | 31 | 97 | 28 | 22 | 30 | 26 | 106 | 203 |
| 11:00 AM | 30 | 27 | 26 | 43 | 126 | 33 | 34 | 47 | 48 | 162 | 288 |
| 12:00 PM | 23 | 26 | 28 | 43 | 120 | 32 | 38 | 34 | 36 | 140 | 260 |
| 1:00 PM | 29 | 21 | 26 | 29 | 105 | 17 | 33 | 29 | 38 | 117 | 222 |
| 2:00 PM | 34 | 34 | 48 | 53 | 169 | 42 | 34 | 56 | 73 | 205 | 374 |
| 3:00 PM | 54 | 36 | 46 | 36 | 172 | 49 | 66 | 87 | 59 | 261 | 433 |
| 4:00 PM | 40 | 40 | 37 | 40 | 157 | 54 | 48 | 66 | 66 | 234 | 391 |
| 5:00 PM | 41 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 173 | 72 | 54 | 64 | 53 | 243 | 416 |
| 6:00 PM | 42 | 32 | 23 | 20 | 117 | 42 | 41 | 21 | 29 | 133 | 250 |
| 7:00 PM | 25 | 19 | 28 | 21 | 93 | 24 | 34 | 26 | 40 | 124 | 217 |
| 8:00 PM | 19 | 22 | 26 | 23 | 90 | 28 | 37 | 31 | 26 | 122 | 212 |
| 9:00 PM | 16 | 23 | 18 | 14 | 71 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 77 | 148 |
| 10:00 PM | 13 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 40 | 14 | 6 | 14 | 12 | 46 | 86 |
| 11:00 PM | 11 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 41 |
| Total | 45.8\% |  |  |  | 2198 | 54.2\% |  |  |  | 2597 |  |
|  | 4795 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 36.4\% |  | Pea |  | 7:30 am | o 8:30 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.94 |  |
| PM\% | 63.6\% |  | Pea |  | 2:45 pm | o 3: |  |  | P.H.F | 0.87 |  |



## 四 lato Tatific patalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89238327 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7564376 |

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly <br> Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| 1:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 5:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 13 |
| 6:00 AM | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 37 |
| 7:00 AM | 2 | 6 | 20 | 35 | 63 | 13 | 20 | 74 | 116 | 223 | 286 |
| 8:00 AM | 15 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 60 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 72 | 132 |
| 9:00 AM | 8 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 39 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 48 | 87 |
| 10:00 AM | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 42 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 46 | 88 |
| 11:00 AM | 12 | 18 | 6 | 14 | 50 | 27 | 32 | 13 | 14 | 86 | 136 |
| 12:00 PM | 9 | 11 | 12 | 22 | 54 | 19 | 21 | 10 | 19 | 69 | 123 |
| 1:00 PM | 11 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 46 | 20 | 19 | 13 | 18 | 70 | 116 |
| 2:00 PM | 22 | 27 | 18 | 45 | 112 | 43 | 37 | 36 | 68 | 184 | 296 |
| 3:00 PM | 25 | 26 | 18 | 18 | 87 | 15 | 26 | 15 | 21 | 77 | 164 |
| 4:00 PM | 13 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 83 | 23 | 14 | 27 | 15 | 79 | 162 |
| 5:00 PM | 19 | 19 | 16 | 3 | 57 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 22 | 61 | 118 |
| 6:00 PM | 18 | 13 | 13 | 21 | 65 | 11 | 20 | 23 | 17 | 71 | 136 |
| 7:00 PM | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 45 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 48 | 93 |
| 8:00 PM | 10 | 19 | 18 | 6 | 53 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 42 | 95 |
| 9:00 PM | 4 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 24 | 37 |
| 10:00 PM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 13 |
| 11:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 15 |
| Total | 42.1\% |  |  |  | 912 | 57.9\% |  |  |  | 1252 |  |
|  | 2164 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 36.8\% | AM Peak 320 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.53 |  |
| PM\% | 63.2\% | PM Peak 296 |  |  | 2:00 pm to 3:00 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.65 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89153365 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7564322 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | Totals |
| 12:00 AM | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| 5:00 AM | 8 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 17 | 38 |
| 6:00 AM | 18 | 17 | 22 | 37 | 94 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 40 | 134 |
| 7:00 AM | 30 | 38 | 105 | 84 | 257 | 29 | 36 | 46 | 95 | 206 | 463 |
| 8:00 AM | 47 | 67 | 57 | 32 | 203 | 44 | 44 | 31 | 27 | 146 | 349 |
| 9:00 AM | 19 | 25 | 29 | 21 | 94 | 17 | 22 | 17 | 26 | 82 | 176 |
| 10:00 AM | 21 | 20 | 32 | 26 | 99 | 28 | 18 | 15 | 25 | 86 | 185 |
| 11:00 AM | 33 | 31 | 33 | 41 | 138 | 17 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 111 | 249 |
| 12:00 PM | 22 | 23 | 35 | 48 | 128 | 38 | 40 | 29 | 24 | 131 | 259 |
| 1:00 PM | 27 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 103 | 30 | 24 | 37 | 30 | 121 | 224 |
| 2:00 PM | 33 | 52 | 40 | 62 | 187 | 36 | 30 | 51 | 90 | 207 | 394 |
| 3:00 PM | 42 | 61 | 62 | 45 | 210 | 40 | 60 | 38 | 40 | 178 | 388 |
| 4:00 PM | 27 | 40 | 44 | 40 | 151 | 45 | 34 | 50 | 42 | 171 | 322 |
| 5:00 PM | 38 | 46 | 38 | 24 | 146 | 47 | 38 | 47 | 21 | 153 | 299 |
| 6:00 PM | 27 | 23 | 15 | 25 | 90 | 37 | 37 | 39 | 34 | 147 | 237 |
| 7:00 PM | 18 | 25 | 17 | 26 | 86 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 87 | 173 |
| 8:00 PM | 21 | 25 | 20 | 13 | 79 | 24 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 80 | 159 |
| 9:00 PM | 8 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 35 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 48 | 83 |
| 10:00 PM | 9 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 24 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 30 | 54 |
| 11:00 PM | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 31 |
| Total | 51.3\% |  |  |  | 2185 | 48.7\% |  |  |  | 2071 |  |
|  | 4256 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 38.4\% | AM Peak 532 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.74 |  |
| PM\% | 61.6\% | PM Peak 455 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.75 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.88172686 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7388516 |

WEATHER Clear

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly <br> Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 3:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 12 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 23 | 37 |
| 6:00 AM | 4 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 26 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 52 | 78 |
| 7:00 AM | 23 | 32 | 31 | 47 | 133 | 26 | 49 | 64 | 49 | 188 | 321 |
| 8:00 AM | 90 | 126 | 48 | 62 | 326 | 83 | 110 | 86 | 42 | 321 | 647 |
| 9:00 AM | 20 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 54 | 24 | 9 | 25 | 14 | 72 | 126 |
| 10:00 AM | 20 | 13 | 11 | 18 | 62 | 20 | 18 | 33 | 17 | 88 | 150 |
| 11:00 AM | 13 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 76 | 16 | 13 | 86 | 51 | 166 | 242 |
| 12:00 PM | 25 | 26 | 23 | 19 | 93 | 28 | 20 | 47 | 25 | 120 | 213 |
| 1:00 PM | 17 | 15 | 16 | 23 | 71 | 20 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 73 | 144 |
| 2:00 PM | 18 | 8 | 18 | 60 | 104 | 16 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 106 | 210 |
| 3:00 PM | 76 | 78 | 41 | 27 | 222 | 37 | 135 | 104 | 38 | 314 | 536 |
| 4:00 PM | 37 | 35 | 32 | 24 | 128 | 38 | 34 | 43 | 38 | 153 | 281 |
| 5:00 PM | 35 | 40 | 48 | 32 | 155 | 49 | 30 | 56 | 39 | 174 | 329 |
| 6:00 PM | 29 | 24 | 22 | 32 | 107 | 27 | 25 | 18 | 26 | 96 | 203 |
| 7:00 PM | 21 | 23 | 16 | 15 | 75 | 24 | 23 | 13 | 44 | 104 | 179 |
| 8:00 PM | 11 | 10 | 22 | 8 | 51 | 17 | 19 | 29 | 27 | 92 | 143 |
| 9:00 PM | 8 | 18 | 21 | 8 | 55 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 46 | 101 |
| 10:00 PM | 9 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 23 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 44 |
| 11:00 PM | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 19 |
| Total | 44.8\% |  |  |  | 1809 | 55.2\% |  |  |  | 2228 |  |
|  | 4037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 40.5\% | AM Peak 647 |  |  | 8:00 am to 9:00 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.69 |  |
| PM\% | 59.5\% | PM Peak 560 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.66 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.87544282 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7420877 |

WEATHER $\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | Totals |
| 12:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 1:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 3:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 16 |
| 5:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 26 | 36 |
| 6:00 AM | 4 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 35 | 12 | 15 | 20 | 18 | 65 | 100 |
| 7:00 AM | 26 | 45 | 90 | 72 | 233 | 42 | 46 | 68 | 70 | 226 | 459 |
| 8:00 AM | 59 | 66 | 36 | 40 | 201 | 77 | 69 | 64 | 40 | 250 | 451 |
| 9:00 AM | 15 | 22 | 14 | 17 | 68 | 27 | 19 | 36 | 30 | 112 | 180 |
| 10:00 AM | 24 | 15 | 24 | 22 | 85 | 18 | 23 | 31 | 14 | 86 | 171 |
| 11:00 AM | 34 | 34 | 22 | 19 | 109 | 24 | 34 | 50 | 40 | 148 | 257 |
| 12:00 PM | 43 | 31 | 38 | 50 | 162 | 31 | 32 | 27 | 26 | 116 | 278 |
| 1:00 PM | 25 | 27 | 21 | 24 | 97 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 101 | 198 |
| 2:00 PM | 32 | 46 | 70 | 61 | 209 | 17 | 42 | 38 | 87 | 184 | 393 |
| 3:00 PM | 59 | 70 | 49 | 41 | 219 | 45 | 57 | 72 | 51 | 225 | 444 |
| 4:00 PM | 42 | 40 | 39 | 41 | 162 | 42 | 31 | 37 | 39 | 149 | 311 |
| 5:00 PM | 55 | 57 | 54 | 45 | 211 | 41 | 35 | 41 | 34 | 151 | 362 |
| 6:00 PM | 51 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 167 | 26 | 36 | 22 | 26 | 110 | 277 |
| 7:00 PM | 51 | 38 | 37 | 40 | 166 | 22 | 28 | 17 | 34 | 101 | 267 |
| 8:00 PM | 32 | 32 | 18 | 26 | 108 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 63 | 171 |
| 9:00 PM | 21 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 84 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 43 | 127 |
| 10:00 PM | 17 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 54 |
| 11:00 PM | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 29 |
| Total | 51.9\% |  |  |  | 2393 | 48.1\% |  |  |  | 2216 |  |
|  | 4609 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 36.8\% | AM Peak 571 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.90 |  |
| PM\% | 63.2\% | PM Peak 500 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.84 |  |



## 四 lato Tatific aitalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.88093191 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.738827 |

WEATHER Clear

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly <br> Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 17 |
| 6:00 AM | 4 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 30 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 53 |
| 7:00 AM | 22 | 35 | 81 | 119 | 257 | 29 | 42 | 73 | 58 | 202 | 459 |
| 8:00 AM | 65 | 87 | 30 | 43 | 225 | 44 | 68 | 49 | 26 | 187 | 412 |
| 9:00 AM | 16 | 13 | 9 | 13 | 51 | 16 | 9 | 21 | 9 | 55 | 106 |
| 10:00 AM | 18 | 13 | 9 | 16 | 56 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 11 | 59 | 115 |
| 11:00 AM | 12 | 33 | 13 | 15 | 73 | 19 | 13 | 38 | 35 | 105 | 178 |
| 12:00 PM | 16 | 22 | 16 | 21 | 75 | 15 | 15 | 29 | 31 | 90 | 165 |
| 1:00 PM | 12 | 21 | 13 | 23 | 69 | 17 | 11 | 18 | 10 | 56 | 125 |
| 2:00 PM | 21 | 12 | 28 | 132 | 193 | 18 | 41 | 59 | 40 | 158 | 351 |
| 3:00 PM | 64 | 64 | 38 | 41 | 207 | 21 | 83 | 73 | 33 | 210 | 417 |
| 4:00 PM | 33 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 104 | 23 | 19 | 26 | 26 | 94 | 198 |
| 5:00 PM | 32 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 132 | 29 | 26 | 30 | 25 | 110 | 242 |
| 6:00 PM | 30 | 18 | 14 | 26 | 88 | 25 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 80 | 168 |
| 7:00 PM | 21 | 16 | 9 | 15 | 61 | 18 | 24 | 16 | 26 | 84 | 145 |
| 8:00 PM | 11 | 7 | 21 | 7 | 46 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 52 | 98 |
| 9:00 PM | 7 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 33 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 27 | 60 |
| 10:00 PM | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 32 |
| 11:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Total | 51.6\% |  |  |  | 1738 | 48.4\% |  |  |  | 1631 |  |
|  | 3369 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 40.3\% | AM Peak 595 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.84 |  |
| PM\% | 59.7\% | PM Peak 515 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.75 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89283503 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7618259 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly <br> Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 18 |
| 5:00 AM | 4 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 33 | 49 |
| 6:00 AM | 6 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 32 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 17 | 59 | 91 |
| 7:00 AM | 16 | 20 | 71 | 120 | 227 | 24 | 29 | 81 | 80 | 214 | 441 |
| 8:00 AM | 46 | 29 | 23 | 33 | 131 | 37 | 38 | 33 | 27 | 135 | 266 |
| 9:00 AM | 21 | 18 | 28 | 29 | 96 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 24 | 110 | 206 |
| 10:00 AM | 16 | 16 | 18 | 29 | 79 | 19 | 17 | 26 | 22 | 84 | 163 |
| 11:00 AM | 24 | 54 | 38 | 24 | 140 | 22 | 23 | 33 | 29 | 107 | 247 |
| 12:00 PM | 45 | 38 | 29 | 27 | 139 | 18 | 35 | 24 | 23 | 100 | 239 |
| 1:00 PM | 30 | 27 | 32 | 37 | 126 | 21 | 27 | 26 | 29 | 103 | 229 |
| 2:00 PM | 39 | 43 | 42 | 133 | 257 | 17 | 36 | 25 | 41 | 119 | 376 |
| 3:00 PM | 54 | 39 | 37 | 41 | 171 | 23 | 31 | 35 | 25 | 114 | 285 |
| 4:00 PM | 39 | 34 | 49 | 37 | 159 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 24 | 106 | 265 |
| 5:00 PM | 45 | 40 | 44 | 58 | 187 | 23 | 25 | 42 | 22 | 112 | 299 |
| 6:00 PM | 43 | 41 | 31 | 26 | 141 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 27 | 85 | 226 |
| 7:00 PM | 29 | 44 | 33 | 29 | 135 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 21 | 66 | 201 |
| 8:00 PM | 31 | 18 | 27 | 20 | 96 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 48 | 144 |
| 9:00 PM | 17 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 54 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 35 | 89 |
| 10:00 PM | 8 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 26 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 41 |
| 11:00 PM | 8 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 31 |
| Total | 57.2\% |  |  |  | 2245 | 42.8\% |  |  |  | 1677 |  |
|  | 3922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 38.2\% | AM Peak 502 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.63 |  |
| PM\% | 61.8\% | PM Peak 397 |  |  | 2:15 pm to 3:15 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.57 |  |



## 안 vato Tatific Datalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89227148 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7623918 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | Totals |
| 12:00 AM | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 19 |
| 1:00 AM | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 4:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 22 | 28 |
| 5:00 AM | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 24 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 16 | 53 | 77 |
| 6:00 AM | 9 | 13 | 13 | 30 | 65 | 29 | 31 | 41 | 54 | 155 | 220 |
| 7:00 AM | 37 | 45 | 70 | 79 | 231 | 56 | 64 | 146 | 150 | 416 | 647 |
| 8:00 AM | 82 | 59 | 43 | 47 | 231 | 88 | 89 | 88 | 59 | 324 | 555 |
| 9:00 AM | 37 | 35 | 40 | 51 | 163 | 55 | 55 | 53 | 44 | 207 | 370 |
| 10:00 AM | 30 | 33 | 28 | 47 | 138 | 34 | 35 | 54 | 41 | 164 | 302 |
| 11:00 AM | 48 | 49 | 61 | 52 | 210 | 37 | 63 | 64 | 71 | 235 | 445 |
| 12:00 PM | 67 | 68 | 55 | 52 | 242 | 37 | 53 | 52 | 42 | 184 | 426 |
| 1:00 PM | 61 | 47 | 59 | 63 | 230 | 49 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 206 | 436 |
| 2:00 PM | 69 | 78 | 82 | 82 | 311 | 39 | 54 | 31 | 103 | 227 | 538 |
| 3:00 PM | 75 | 72 | 66 | 69 | 282 | 57 | 71 | 85 | 67 | 280 | 562 |
| 4:00 PM | 84 | 67 | 83 | 82 | 316 | 53 | 48 | 68 | 53 | 222 | 538 |
| 5:00 PM | 95 | 75 | 86 | 109 | 365 | 52 | 63 | 69 | 42 | 226 | 591 |
| 6:00 PM | 85 | 72 | 69 | 52 | 278 | 37 | 39 | 35 | 41 | 152 | 430 |
| 7:00 PM | 47 | 70 | 53 | 53 | 223 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 42 | 138 | 361 |
| 8:00 PM | 50 | 39 | 40 | 36 | 165 | 26 | 29 | 26 | 17 | 98 | 263 |
| 9:00 PM | 35 | 25 | 21 | 26 | 107 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 9 | 59 | 166 |
| 10:00 PM | 17 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 49 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 34 | 83 |
| 11:00 PM | 14 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 28 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 45 |
| Total | 51.7\% |  |  |  | 3683 | 48.3\% |  |  |  | 3437 |  |
|  | 7120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 37.7\% |  | Pea |  | 7:30 am | ( 8:30 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.83 |  |
| PM\% | 62.3\% |  | Pea | 91 | 5:00 pm | o 6:00 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.95 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89238685 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7618233 |

WEATHER $\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 14 |
| 1:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| 5:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 23 | 34 |
| 6:00 AM | 3 | 10 | 6 | 19 | 38 | 21 | 18 | 24 | 38 | 101 | 139 |
| 7:00 AM | 29 | 34 | 67 | 73 | 203 | 40 | 44 | 133 | 184 | 401 | 604 |
| 8:00 AM | 42 | 39 | 25 | 17 | 123 | 57 | 60 | 60 | 35 | 212 | 335 |
| 9:00 AM | 19 | 18 | 17 | 25 | 79 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 23 | 109 | 188 |
| 10:00 AM | 20 | 18 | 15 | 25 | 78 | 21 | 19 | 33 | 26 | 99 | 177 |
| 11:00 AM | 28 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 121 | 19 | 74 | 39 | 47 | 179 | 300 |
| 12:00 PM | 27 | 42 | 27 | 32 | 128 | 24 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 109 | 237 |
| 1:00 PM | 32 | 25 | 35 | 33 | 125 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 124 | 249 |
| 2:00 PM | 37 | 49 | 57 | 63 | 206 | 29 | 32 | 23 | 176 | 260 | 466 |
| 3:00 PM | 37 | 40 | 37 | 35 | 149 | 50 | 47 | 58 | 49 | 204 | 353 |
| 4:00 PM | 48 | 41 | 41 | 47 | 177 | 30 | 31 | 44 | 31 | 136 | 313 |
| 5:00 PM | 53 | 41 | 48 | 58 | 200 | 32 | 44 | 33 | 27 | 136 | 336 |
| 6:00 PM | 45 | 37 | 41 | 31 | 154 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 84 | 238 |
| 7:00 PM | 19 | 28 | 23 | 27 | 97 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 81 | 178 |
| 8:00 PM | 20 | 23 | 16 | 20 | 79 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 10 | 60 | 139 |
| 9:00 PM | 18 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 59 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 30 | 89 |
| 10:00 PM | 11 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 26 | 56 |
| 11:00 PM | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 18 |
| Total | 46.4\% |  |  |  | 2080 | 53.6\% |  |  |  | 2402 |  |
|  | 4482 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 40.4\% | AM Peak 655 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. 0.64 |  |  |  |
| PM\% | 59.6\% | PM Peak 508 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.53 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89196706 |
| ---: | :---: |
|  | -119.7571773 |

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 15 |
| 1:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 5:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 18 | 27 |
| 6:00 AM | 8 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 33 | 17 | 16 | 22 | 39 | 94 | 127 |
| 7:00 AM | 22 | 27 | 29 | 52 | 130 | 34 | 43 | 142 | 122 | 341 | 471 |
| 8:00 AM | 38 | 39 | 25 | 17 | 119 | 43 | 59 | 54 | 32 | 188 | 307 |
| 9:00 AM | 13 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 68 | 18 | 25 | 26 | 20 | 89 | 157 |
| 10:00 AM | 25 | 16 | 10 | 23 | 74 | 17 | 18 | 31 | 25 | 91 | 165 |
| 11:00 AM | 14 | 37 | 23 | 28 | 102 | 45 | 48 | 33 | 39 | 165 | 267 |
| 12:00 PM | 25 | 33 | 26 | 22 | 106 | 19 | 26 | 30 | 43 | 118 | 224 |
| 1:00 PM | 24 | 24 | 34 | 23 | 105 | 30 | 32 | 23 | 26 | 111 | 216 |
| 2:00 PM | 29 | 31 | 36 | 72 | 168 | 47 | 63 | 43 | 67 | 220 | 388 |
| 3:00 PM | 44 | 44 | 32 | 38 | 158 | 36 | 45 | 53 | 46 | 180 | 338 |
| 4:00 PM | 35 | 40 | 39 | 42 | 156 | 27 | 34 | 38 | 33 | 132 | 288 |
| 5:00 PM | 48 | 36 | 52 | 0 | 136 | 36 | 42 | 33 | 22 | 133 | 269 |
| 6:00 PM | 38 | 30 | 36 | 34 | 138 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 87 | 225 |
| 7:00 PM | 17 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 77 | 16 | 22 | 18 | 23 | 79 | 156 |
| 8:00 PM | 16 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 69 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 57 | 126 |
| 9:00 PM | 10 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 36 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 34 | 70 |
| 10:00 PM | 10 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 27 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 49 |
| 11:00 PM | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 18 |
| Total | 44.2\% |  |  |  | 1734 | 55.8\% |  |  |  | 2188 |  |
|  | 3922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 39.6\% | AM Peak 524 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. 0.75 |  |  |  |
| PM\% | 60.4\% | PM Peak 393 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.71 |  |



## 四 vato Tatific Datalle

Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.87496875 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7420043 |

WEATHER $\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | Totals |
| 12:00 AM | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 20 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 2:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| 4:00 AM | 5 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| 5:00 AM | 7 | 5 | 19 | 15 | 46 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 68 |
| 6:00 AM | 17 | 28 | 33 | 34 | 112 | 6 | 18 | 16 | 22 | 62 | 174 |
| 7:00 AM | 70 | 82 | 119 | 124 | 395 | 35 | 60 | 126 | 118 | 339 | 734 |
| 8:00 AM | 139 | 107 | 105 | 79 | 430 | 113 | 93 | 69 | 58 | 333 | 763 |
| 9:00 AM | 49 | 34 | 62 | 68 | 213 | 31 | 41 | 31 | 45 | 148 | 361 |
| 10:00 AM | 45 | 44 | 59 | 50 | 198 | 48 | 43 | 48 | 46 | 185 | 383 |
| 11:00 AM | 54 | 66 | 80 | 83 | 283 | 53 | 61 | 53 | 39 | 206 | 489 |
| 12:00 PM | 58 | 57 | 58 | 60 | 233 | 68 | 62 | 60 | 83 | 273 | 506 |
| 1:00 PM | 46 | 47 | 57 | 59 | 209 | 45 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 193 | 402 |
| 2:00 PM | 45 | 63 | 76 | 126 | 310 | 65 | 88 | 114 | 98 | 365 | 675 |
| 3:00 PM | 84 | 95 | 131 | 92 | 402 | 106 | 101 | 79 | 90 | 376 | 778 |
| 4:00 PM | 78 | 66 | 63 | 72 | 279 | 76 | 81 | 75 | 80 | 312 | 591 |
| 5:00 PM | 77 | 78 | 71 | 72 | 298 | 108 | 111 | 92 | 97 | 408 | 706 |
| 6:00 PM | 54 | 65 | 41 | 51 | 211 | 99 | 68 | 61 | 64 | 292 | 503 |
| 7:00 PM | 40 | 53 | 33 | 57 | 183 | 99 | 68 | 61 | 64 | 292 | 475 |
| 8:00 PM | 27 | 32 | 32 | 37 | 128 | 52 | 65 | 48 | 57 | 222 | 350 |
| 9:00 PM | 20 | 26 | 21 | 15 | 82 | 48 | 41 | 48 | 34 | 171 | 253 |
| 10:00 PM | 21 | 21 | 11 | 11 | 64 | 25 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 73 | 137 |
| 11:00 PM | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 20 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 28 | 48 |
| Total | 48.9\% |  |  |  | 4140 | 51.1\% |  |  |  | 4325 |  |
|  | 8465 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 35.9\% |  | Peak |  | 7:30 am | to 8:30 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.93 |  |
| PM\% | 64.1\% |  | Peak |  | 2:45 pm | to 3:4 |  |  | P.H.F | 0.92 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.87535443 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7426523 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly <br> Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 15 |
| 1:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 5:00 AM | 4 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 36 |
| 6:00 AM | 6 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 49 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 29 | 78 |
| 7:00 AM | 30 | 45 | 59 | 71 | 205 | 11 | 24 | 44 | 63 | 142 | 347 |
| 8:00 AM | 67 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 197 | 59 | 33 | 36 | 21 | 149 | 346 |
| 9:00 AM | 21 | 15 | 28 | 42 | 106 | 15 | 19 | 19 | 32 | 85 | 191 |
| 10:00 AM | 28 | 26 | 31 | 40 | 125 | 25 | 33 | 27 | 28 | 113 | 238 |
| 11:00 AM | 33 | 35 | 33 | 47 | 148 | 22 | 30 | 34 | 24 | 110 | 258 |
| 12:00 PM | 34 | 29 | 35 | 41 | 139 | 32 | 35 | 26 | 40 | 133 | 272 |
| 1:00 PM | 25 | 27 | 32 | 34 | 118 | 22 | 27 | 30 | 27 | 106 | 224 |
| 2:00 PM | 29 | 27 | 42 | 57 | 155 | 34 | 48 | 48 | 55 | 185 | 340 |
| 3:00 PM | 42 | 44 | 62 | 51 | 199 | 50 | 37 | 33 | 59 | 179 | 378 |
| 4:00 PM | 41 | 38 | 30 | 39 | 148 | 39 | 44 | 40 | 45 | 168 | 316 |
| 5:00 PM | 38 | 44 | 34 | 44 | 160 | 55 | 55 | 42 | 58 | 210 | 370 |
| 6:00 PM | 29 | 33 | 23 | 30 | 115 | 49 | 33 | 27 | 30 | 139 | 254 |
| 7:00 PM | 18 | 27 | 18 | 25 | 88 | 48 | 32 | 26 | 26 | 132 | 220 |
| 8:00 PM | 13 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 77 | 22 | 37 | 33 | 34 | 126 | 203 |
| 9:00 PM | 10 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 49 | 26 | 22 | 27 | 22 | 97 | 146 |
| 10:00 PM | 14 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 50 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 41 | 91 |
| 11:00 PM | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 19 |
| Total | 49.9\% |  |  |  | 2176 | 50.1\% |  |  |  | 2184 |  |
|  | 4360 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 35.0\% | AM Peak 440 |  |  | 7:30 am to 8:30 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. |  | 0.82 |  |
| PM\% | 65.0\% | PM Peak 380 |  |  | 2:45 pm to 3:45 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.85 |  |



## 四 Vatoratific Datalle

Metro Traffic Data Inc.
310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20 Hanford, CA 93230

800-975-6938 Phone/Fax www.metrotrafficdata.com

## 24 Hour Count Report

Prepared For:
JLB Traffic Engineering, Inc. 516 W. Shaw Ave, Suite 103
Fresno, CA 93704

| LATITUDE | 36.89643353 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -119.7299747 |

WEATHER Clear
$\qquad$

NUMBER OF LANES $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | Total |  |
| 12:00 AM | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 27 | 40 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 18 | 31 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 20 |
| 3:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 22 |
| 4:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 25 |
| 5:00 AM | 8 | 10 | 12 | 19 | 49 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 14 | 39 | 88 |
| 6:00 AM | 17 | 25 | 31 | 40 | 113 | 7 | 17 | 19 | 28 | 71 | 184 |
| 7:00 AM | 23 | 50 | 27 | 49 | 149 | 47 | 57 | 59 | 59 | 222 | 371 |
| 8:00 AM | 40 | 39 | 39 | 36 | 154 | 43 | 58 | 40 | 37 | 178 | 332 |
| 9:00 AM | 44 | 39 | 30 | 29 | 142 | 37 | 42 | 27 | 30 | 136 | 278 |
| 10:00 AM | 37 | 38 | 36 | 32 | 143 | 26 | 28 | 34 | 36 | 124 | 267 |
| 11:00 AM | 39 | 31 | 37 | 47 | 154 | 24 | 32 | 27 | 19 | 102 | 256 |
| 12:00 PM | 34 | 32 | 28 | 36 | 130 | 25 | 31 | 27 | 25 | 108 | 238 |
| 1:00 PM | 37 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 135 | 36 | 24 | 28 | 44 | 132 | 267 |
| 2:00 PM | 49 | 39 | 45 | 50 | 183 | 30 | 33 | 52 | 45 | 160 | 343 |
| 3:00 PM | 49 | 77 | 57 | 68 | 251 | 42 | 48 | 47 | 51 | 188 | 439 |
| 4:00 PM | 52 | 49 | 59 | 62 | 222 | 32 | 39 | 36 | 42 | 149 | 371 |
| 5:00 PM | 59 | 60 | 51 | 45 | 215 | 42 | 38 | 49 | 40 | 169 | 384 |
| 6:00 PM | 49 | 54 | 47 | 34 | 184 | 31 | 22 | 26 | 18 | 97 | 281 |
| 7:00 PM | 25 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 119 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 82 | 201 |
| 8:00 PM | 30 | 26 | 22 | 26 | 104 | 22 | 31 | 21 | 23 | 97 | 201 |
| 9:00 PM | 24 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 76 | 31 | 31 | 22 | 13 | 97 | 173 |
| 10:00 PM | 22 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 49 | 12 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 42 | 91 |
| 11:00 PM | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 37 | 56 |
| Total | 53.3\% |  |  |  | 2645 | 46.7\% |  |  |  | 2314 |  |
|  | 4959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM\% | 38.6\% | AM Peak 384 |  |  | 7:15 am to 8:15 am |  |  | AM P.H.F. 0.89 |  |  |  |
| PM\% | 61.4\% | PM Peak 439 |  |  | 3:00 pm to 4:00 pm |  |  | PM P.H.F. |  | 0.88 |  |



## Appendix B: Methodology

## Levels of Service Methodology

The description and procedures for calculating capacity and level of service (LOS) are found in the Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). The HCM 6th Edition represents the research on capacity and quality of service for transportation facilities.

Quality of service requires quantitative measures to characterize operational conditions within a traffic stream. Level of service is a quality measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, generally in terms of such service measures as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience.

Six levels of service are defined for each type of facility that has analysis procedures available. Letters designate each level of service (LOS), from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. Each LOS represents a range of operating conditions and the driver's perception of these conditions. Safety is not included in the measures that establish an LOS.

## Intersection Levels of Service

One of the more important elements limiting and often interrupting the flow of traffic on a highway is the intersection. Flow on an interrupted facility is usually dominated by points of fixed operation such as traffic signals, stop signs and yield signs.

## Signalized Intersections - Performance Measures

For signalized intersections, the performance measures include automobile volume-to-capacity ratio, automobile delay, queue storage length, ratio of pedestrian delay, pedestrian circulation area, pedestrian perception score, bicycle delay and bicycle perception score. LOS is also considered a performance measure. For the automobile mode, the average control delay per vehicle per approach is determined for the peak hour. A weighted average of control delay per vehicle is then determined for the intersection. An LOS designation is given to the weighted average control delay to better describe the level of operation. A description of LOS for signalized intersections is found in Table A-1.

## Table A-1: Signalized Intersection Levels of Service Description (Automobile Mode)

| Level of Service | Description | Average Control Delay (Seconds per Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Operations with a control delay of 10 seconds/vehicle or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is really low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it's due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping. | $\leq 10$ |
| B | Operations with control delay between 10.1 to 20.0 seconds/vehicle and a volume-tocapacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-tocapacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A. | $\begin{gathered} >10.0 \text { to } \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| C | Operations with average control delays between 20.1 to 35.0 seconds/vehicle and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0, the progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping. | $>20$ to 35 |
| D | Operations with control delay between 35.1 to 55.0 seconds/vehicle and a volume-tocapacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-tocapacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable. | > 35 to 55 |
| E | Operations with control delay between 55.1 to 80.0 seconds/vehicle and a volume-tocapacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-tocapacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent. | $>55$ to 80 |
| F | Operations with unacceptable control delay exceeding 80.0 seconds/vehicle and a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0 . This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue. | >80 |

Note: Source: Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition

## Unsignalized Intersections

The HCM 6th Edition procedures use control delay as a measure of effectiveness to determine level of service. Delay is a measure of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption and increased travel time. The delay experienced by a motorist is made up of a number of factors that relate to control, traffic and incidents. Total delay is the difference between the travel time actually experienced and the reference travel time that would result during base conditions, i.e., in the absence of traffic control, geometric delay, any incidents and any other vehicles. Control delay is the increased time of travel for a vehicle approaching and passing through an unsignalized intersection, compared with a free-flow vehicle if it were not required to slow or stop at the intersection.

## All-Way Stop Controlled Intersections

All-way stop controlled intersections are a form of traffic controls in which all approaches to an intersection are required to stop. Similar to signalized intersections, at all-way stop controlled intersections the average control delay per vehicle per approach is determined for the peak hour. A weighted average of control delay per vehicle is then determined for the intersection as a whole. In other words, the delay measured for all-way stop controlled intersections is a measure of the average delay for all vehicles passing through the intersection during the peak hour. An LOS designation is given to the weighted average control delay to better describe the level of operation.

## Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections

Two-way stop controlled (TWSC) intersections in which stop signs are used to assign the right-of-way, are the most prevalent type of intersection in the United States. At TWSC intersections the stopcontrolled approaches are referred to as the minor street approaches and can be either public streets or private driveways. The approaches that are not controlled by stop signs are referred to as the major street approaches.

The capacity of movements subject to delay are determined using the "critical gap" method of capacity analysis. Expected average control delay based on movement volume and movement capacity is calculated. An LOS for a TWSC intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay for each minor movement. LOS is not defined for the intersection as a whole for three main reasons: (a) major-street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay; (b) the disproportionate number of major-street through vehicles at the typical TWSC intersection skews the weighted average of all movements, resulting in a very low overall average delay from all vehicles; and (c) the resulting low delay can mask important LOS deficiencies for minor movements. Table A-2 provides a description of LOS at unsignalized intersections.

Table A-2: Unsignalized Intersection Levels of Service Description (Automobile Mode)

| Control Delay (Seconds per Vehicle) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{v} / \boldsymbol{c} \leq \mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{v} / \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{>} \mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10$ to 15 | B | F |
| $>15$ to 25 | C | F |
| $>25$ to 35 | D | F |
| $>35$ to 50 | F | F |
| $>50$ | F | F |

Note:
Source: HCM 6th Edition, Exhibit 20-2.

## Roundabout Controlled Intersections

Roundabouts are intersections with a generally circular shape, characterized by yield on entry and circulation around a central island. Roundabouts have been used successfully throughout the world and are being used increasingly in the United States, especially since 1990. The procedure used to calculate LOS incorporates a combination of lane-based regression models and gap acceptance models for both single-lane and multi-lane roundabouts. As a result, the capacity models focus on one entry of a roundabout at a time. Table A-3 provides a description of LOS at roundabout intersections.

Table A-3: Roundabout Intersection Level of Service Description (Automobile Mode)

| Control Delay (Seconds per Vehicle) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{v} / \boldsymbol{c} \leq \mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{v} / \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{> 1 . 0}$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10$ to 15 | B | F |
| $>15$ to 25 | C | F |
| $>25$ to 35 | D | F |
| $>35$ to 50 | E | F |
| $>50$ | F | F |

Note:
Source: HCM 6th Edition, Exhibit 22-8

## Segment Levels of Service

Segments are portions of roads without any interruption of flow. These are typically studied as urban streets, basic freeways, multilane highways or two-lane highways. Each of these categories has further classification and the level of service analysis can differ between them.

## Basic Freeway and Multilane Highway Segments

For segments of multilane highways and basic freeways outside the influence of merging, diverging and weaving maneuvers, LOS is defined by density. Density describes a motorist's proximity to other vehicles and is related to a motorist's freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream. Chapter 12 of the Highway Capacity Manual categorizes each LOS as follows:

LOS A describes free-flow operations. FFS prevails on the freeway or multilane highway, and vehicles are almost completely unimpeded in their ability to maneuver within the traffic stream. The effects of incidents or point breakdowns are easily absorbed.

LOS B represents reasonably free-flow operations, and FFS on the freeway or multilane highway is maintained. The ability to maneuver within the traffic stream is only slightly restricted, and the general level of physical and psychological comfort provided to drivers is still high. The effects of minor incidents are still easily absorbed.

LOS C provides for flow with speeds near the FFS of the freeway or multilane highway. Freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is noticeably restricted, and lane changes require more care and vigilance on the part of the driver. Minor incidents may still be absorbed, but the local deterioration in service quality will be significant. Queues may be expected to form behind any significant blockages.

LOS $\mathbf{D}$ is the level at which speeds begin to decline with increasing flows, with density increasing more quickly. Freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is seriously limited, and drivers experience reduced physical and psychological comfort levels. Even minor incidents can be expected to create queuing, because the traffic stream has little space to absorb disruptions.

LOS E describes operation at or near capacity. Operations on the freeway or multilane highway at this level are highly volatile because there are virtually no usable gaps within the traffic stream, leaving little room to maneuver within the traffic stream. Any disruption to the traffic stream, such as vehicles entering from a ramp or an access point or a vehicle changing lanes, can establish a disruption wave that propagates throughout the upstream traffic stream. Toward the upper boundary of LOS E, the traffic stream has no ability to dissipate even the most minor disruption, and any incident can be expected to produce a serious breakdown and substantial queuing. The physical and psychological comfort afforded to drivers is poor.

LOS F describes unstable flow. Such conditions exist within queues forming behind bottlenecks. Breakdowns occur for a number of reasons:

- Traffic incidents can temporarily reduce the capacity of a short segment so that the number of vehicles arriving at a point is greater than the number of vehicles that can move through it.
- Points of recurring congestion, such as merge or weaving segments and lane drops, experience very high demand in which the number of vehicles arriving is greater than the number of vehicles that can be discharged.
- In analyses using forecast volumes, the projected flow rate can exceed the estimated capacity of a given location.


## Basic Freeway

Basic Freeway segments generally have four to eight lanes and posted speed limits between 50 and 75 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The performance measures include capacity, free flow speed, demand and volume-to-capacity ratio, space mean speed, average density and LOS. The LOS is dependent on the number of lanes, base free-flow speed, lane width, right side lateral clearance, total ramp density, hourly demand volume, peak hour factor and total truck percentage. Table A-4 provides a description of LOS for Basic Freeway Segments.

## Multilane Highway

Multilane Highway segments generally have four to six lanes and posted speed limits between 40 and 55 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The performance measures include capacity, free flow speed, demand and volume-to-capacity ratio, space mean speed, average density and LOS. The LOS is dependent on the number of lanes, base free-flow speed, lane width, right side lateral clearance, left side lateral clearance, access point density, terrain type, median type, hourly demand volume, peak hour factor and total truck percentage. Table A4 provides a description of LOS for Multilane Highway Segments.

Table A-4: Basic Freeway and Multilane Highway Segment Level of Service Description

| Level of Service | Density (Passenger Cars per Mile per Lane) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 11$ |
| B | $>11$ to 18 |
| C | $>18$ to 26 |
| D | $>26$ to 35 |
| E | $>35$ to 45 |
| F | $>45$ or Demand Exceeds Capacity |

Note: $\quad$ Source: HCM 6th Edition, Exhibit 12-15.

## Two-Lane Highway Segments

Two-Lane Highways generally have one lane per direction and only allow passing maneuvers to take place in the opposing lane of traffic. If allowed, passing maneuvers are limited by the availability of gaps in the opposing traffic stream and by the availability of sufficient sight distance for a driver to discern the approach of an opposing vehicle safely. A principal measure of LOS is percent time spent following and follower density. This is the average percent of time that vehicles must travel in platoons behind slower vehicles due to the inability to pass. Chapter 15 of the Highway Capacity Manual categorizes each LOS as follows:

At LOS A, motorists experience high operating speeds on Class I highways and little difficulty in passing. Platoons of three or more vehicles are rare. On Class II highways, speed is controlled primarily by roadway conditions, but a small amount of platooning would be expected. On Class III highways, motorists can maintain operating speeds at or near the facility's FFS.

At LOS B, passing demand and passing capacity are balanced. On both Class I and Class II highways, the degree of platooning becomes noticeable. Some speed reductions are present on Class I highways. On Class III highways, maintenance of FFS operation becomes difficult, but the speed reduction is still relatively small.

At LOS C, most vehicles travel in platoons. Speeds are noticeably curtailed on all three classes of highways.

At LOS D, platooning increases significantly. Passing demand is high on both Class I and Class II facilities, but passing capacity approaches zero. A high percentage of vehicles travels in platoons, and PTSF is noticeable. On Class III highways, the fall-off from FFS is significant.

At LOS E, demand is approaching capacity. Passing on Class I and II highways is virtually impossible, and PTSF is more than $80 \%$. Speeds are seriously curtailed. On Class III highways, speed is less than twothirds of the FFS. The lower limit of LOSE represents capacity.

LOS F exists whenever demand flow in one or both directions exceeds the segment's capacity. Operating conditions are unstable and heavy congestion exists on all classes of two-lane highways.

## Two-Lane Highway

The performance measures include average travel speed, segment travel time, percent followers, volume to capacity ratio, follower density and LOS. The LOS is dependent on Highway Class (I, II, or III), lane width, shoulder width, access point density, terrain type, free flow speed, passing lane length, demand flow rate, opposing demand flow rate peak hour factor and total truck percentage. Tables A-5 and A-6 provide a description of LOS for Two-Lane Highway Segments.

Table A-5: Two-Lane Highway Segment Level of Service Description

| LOS | Class I Highways |  | Class II Highways | Class III Highways |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ATS (Mile per Hour) | PTSF (\%) | PTSF (\%) | PFFS (\%) |
| A | $>55$ | $\leq 35$ | $\leq 40$ | $>91.7$ |
| B | $>50$ to 55 | $>35$ to 50 | $>40$ to 55 | $>83.3$ to 91.7 |
| C | $>45$ to 50 | $>50$ to 65 | $>55$ to 70 | $>75.0$ to 83.3 |
| D | $>40$ to 45 | $>65$ to 80 | $>70$ to 85 | $>66.7$ to 75.0 |
| E | $\leq 40$ | $>80$ | $>85$ | $\leq 66.7$ |
| F | Demand exceeds capacity |  |  |  |

Note: ATS = Average Travel Speed
PTSF = Percent Time Spent Following
PFFS = Percent of Free Flow Speed
Source: HCM 6th Edition, Exhibit 15-3.
Table A-6: Two-Lane Highway Segment Level of Service Description

| LOS | Follower Density (Followers per Mile per Lane) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High Speed Highways <br> Posted Speed Limit $\geq \mathbf{5 0}$ miles per hour | High Speed Highways <br> Posted Speed Limit $<\mathbf{5 0}$ miles per hour |
|  | $\leq 2.0$ | $\leq 2.0$ |
| B | $>2.0$ to 4.0 | $>2.5$ to 5.0 |
| C | $>4.0$ to 8.0 | $>5.0$ to 10.0 |
| D | $>8.0$ to 12.0 | $>10.0$ to 15.0 |
| E | $>12.0$ | $>15.0$ |

Note: $\quad$ Source: NCHRP 'Improved Analysis of Two-Lane Highway Capacity and Operational Performance, Table 3-23.

## Urban Streets (Automobile Mode)

The term "urban streets" refers to urban arterials and collectors, including those in downtown areas. Arterial streets are roads that primarily serve longer through trips. However, providing access to abutting commercial and residential land uses is also an important function of arterials. Collector streets provide both land access and traffic circulation within residential, commercial and industrial areas. Their access function is more important than that of arterials and unlike arterials their operation is not always dominated by traffic signals. Downtown streets are signalized facilities that often resemble arterials.

They not only move through traffic but also provide access to local businesses for passenger cars, transit buses and trucks. Pedestrian conflicts and lane obstructions created by stopping or standing taxicabs, buses, trucks and parking vehicles that cause turbulence in the traffic flow are typical of downtown streets.

## Flow Characteristics

The speed of vehicles on urban streets is influenced by three main factors, street environment, interaction among vehicles and traffic control.

The street environment includes the geometric characteristics of the facility, the character of roadside activity and adjacent land uses. Thus, the environment reflects the number and width of lanes, type of median, driveway/access point density, spacing between signalized intersections, existence of parking, level of pedestrian and bicyclist activity and speed limit.

The interaction among vehicles is determined by traffic density, the proportion of trucks and buses and turning movements. This interaction affects the operation of vehicles at intersections and, to a lesser extent, between signals.

Traffic controls (including signals and signs) force a portion of all vehicles to slow or stop. The delays and speed changes caused by traffic control devices reduce vehicle speeds; however, such controls are needed to establish right-of-way.

## Urban Street Segments LOS

The average travel speed for through vehicles along an urban street is the determinant of the operating level of service (LOS). The travel speed along a segment, section or entire length of an urban street is dependent on the running speed between signalized intersections and the amount of control delay incurred at signalized intersections. Table A-7 provides a description of LOS for Urban Street Segments.

LOS A describes primarily free-flow operation. Vehicles are completely unimpeded in their ability to maneuver within the traffic stream. Control delay at signalized intersections is minimal. Travel speeds exceed 80 percent of the base free flow speed (FFS).

LOS B describes reasonably unimpeded operation. The ability to maneuver within the traffic stream is only slightly restricted and control delay at the boundary intersections is not significant. The travel speed is between 67 and 80 percent of the base FFS.

LOS C describes stable operations. The ability to maneuver and change lanes in midblock location may be more restricted than at LOS B. Longer queues at the boundary intersections may contribute to lower travel speeds. The travel speed is between 50 and 67 percent of the base FFS.

LOS D indicates a less stable condition in which small increases in flow may cause substantial increases in delay and decreases in travel speed. This operation may be due to adverse signal progression, high volumes or inappropriate signal timing at the boundary intersections. The travel speed is between 40 and 50 percent of the base FFS.

LOS E is characterized as an unstable operation and has significant delay. Such operations may be due to some combination of adverse progression, high volume and inappropriate signal timing at the boundary intersections. The travel speed is between 30 and 40 percent of the base FFS.

LOS F is characterized by street flow at extremely low speed. Congestion is likely occurring at the boundary intersections, as indicated by high delay and extensive queuing. The travel speed is 30 percent or less of the base FFS.

Table A-7: Urban Street Levels of Service (Automobile Mode)

| LOS | Travel Speed Threshold by Base Free-Flow Speed (miles/hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Volume-toCapacity Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 55 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 |  |
| A | >44 | >40 | >36 | >32 | >28 | >24 | >20 | $\leq 1.0$ |
| B | $>37$ | $>34$ | $>30$ | $>27$ | $>23$ | $>20$ | $>17$ |  |
| C | >28 | $>25$ | $>23$ | $>20$ | $>18$ | >15 | >13 |  |
| D | $>22$ | $>20$ | >18 | >16 | >14 | $>12$ | >10 |  |
| E | >17 | >15 | >14 | >12 | >11 | >9 | >8 |  |
| F | $\leq 17$ | $\leq 15$ | $\leq 14$ | $\leq 12$ | $\leq 11$ | $\leq 9$ | $\leq 8$ |  |
| F | Any |  |  |  |  |  |  | > 1.0 |

## Appendix C: Existing (Year 2023) Traffic Conditions

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 20.5 |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |



| Lane | NELn1 | NELn2 | NELn3 | NWLn1 | NWLn2 | SWLn1 | SWLn2 | SWLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 69 | 69 | 162 | 288 | 145 | 52 | 94 | 94 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 69 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 94 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 100 | 100 | 235 | 417 | 210 | 75 | 136 | 136 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.337 | 0.86 | 0.363 | 0.174 | 0.295 | 0.226 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.7 | 7.7 | 5.174 | 7.418 | 6.216 | 8.3 | 7.784 | 5.977 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 466 | 466 | 693 | 493 | 582 | 432 | 462 | 599 |
| Service Time | 5.45 | 5.45 | 2.921 | 5.118 | 3.916 | 6.053 | 5.537 | 3.729 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.339 | 0.846 | 0.361 | 0.174 | 0.294 | 0.227 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.5 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 40.8 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 10.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | E | B | B | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 9 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.9 |

Baseline

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh 12.2 |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | F | \％ | 性 | 个个 | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 36 | 131 | 208 | 50 | 86 | 119 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 36 | 131 | 208 | 50 | 86 | 119 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 55 | 198 | 315 | 76 | 130 | 180 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 11 |  | 15.8 |  | 8.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  | C |  | A |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | NBLn3 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stto |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 208 | 25 | 25 | 36 | 131 | 43 | 43 | 119 |
| LT Vol | 208 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 315 | 38 | 38 | 55 | 198 | 65 | 65 | 180 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.57 | 0.063 | 0.044 | 0.105 | 0.317 | 0.115 | 0.115 | 0.195 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 6.506 | 6 | 4.229 | 6.953 | 5.754 | 6.368 | 6.368 | 3.884 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 555 | 597 | 845 | 515 | 623 | 562 | 562 | 9.6 |
| Service Time | 4.243 | 3.737 | 1.965 | 4.699 | 3.5 | 4.115 | 4.115 | 1.63 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.568 | 0.064 | 0.045 | 0.107 | 0.318 | 0.116 | 0.116 | 0.196 |
| HCM Control Delay | 17.6 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 7.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | A | A | B | B | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 |

Baseline

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 19.6 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \% | 性 |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | 7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 27 | 50 | 157 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 139 | 64 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 100 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 27 | 50 | 157 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 139 | 64 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 100 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 57 | 180 | 53 | 53 | 84 | 160 | 74 | 56 | 206 | 152 | 115 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| HCM Control Delay | 14.7 |  |  |  | 15.1 |  |  |  | 30.9 |  |  | 15.4 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 49 | 311 | 77 | 105 | 98 | 119 | 93 | 110 | 100 | 138 | 50 |
| LT Vol | 49 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 179 | 0 | 105 | 52 | 0 | 93 | 46 | 0 | 138 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 56 | 357 | 89 | 120 | 113 | 137 | 107 | 127 | 115 | 159 | 57 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.136 | 0.784 | 0.227 | 0.292 | 0.264 | 0.346 | 0.254 | 0.288 | 0.291 | 0.379 | 0.126 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.689 | 7.892 | 9.241 | 8.729 | 8.393 | 9.102 | 8.59 | 8.174 | 9.113 | 8.605 | 7.894 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 415 | 461 | 389 | 411 | 427 | 395 | 418 | 439 | 394 | 419 | 454 |
| Service Time | 6.389 | 5.592 | 6.999 | 6.486 | 6.15 | 6.859 | 6.347 | 5.931 | 6.869 | 6.361 | 5.649 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.135 | 0.774 | 0.229 | 0.292 | 0.265 | 0.347 | 0.256 | 0.289 | 0.292 | 0.379 | 0.126 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.8 | 33.7 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 16.6 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 11.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | D | B | $C$ | $B$ | C | B | B | C | C | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.5 | 7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.4 |

## Intersection

Intersection Delay, s/veh
Intersection LOS

| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ Onfigurations | 4 | 「' |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 138 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 138 | 50 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 |
| Mumt Flow | 159 | 57 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 |
| Approach |  |  |
| Opposing Approach |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 21.2 |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | WBU | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * | 44 | A | 中\% |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 11 | 223 | 3 | 163 | 281 | 292 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 11 | 223 | 3 | 163 | 281 | 292 | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 13 | 272 | 4 | 199 | 343 | 356 | 17 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  | SB |  |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right |  |  |  | SB |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right |  | 0 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 11.7 |  | 21 |  |  | 28.8 |  |
| HCM LOS |  | B |  | C |  |  | D |  |


| Lane | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 11 | 112 | 112 | 3 | 109 | 335 | 292 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 112 | 112 | 3 | 109 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 14 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 13 | 136 | 136 | 4 | 133 | 409 | 356 | 17 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.03 | 0.286 | 0.218 | 0.007 | 0.257 | 0.723 | 0.75 | 0.03 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.078 | 7.563 | 5.761 | 6.968 | 6.968 | 6.367 | 7.587 | 6.384 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 443 | 475 | 621 | 514 | 516 | 568 | 481 | 564 |
| Service Time | 5.828 | 5.313 | 3.509 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.108 | 5.287 | 4.084 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.029 | 0.286 | 0.219 | 0.008 | 0.258 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.03 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.1 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 12.1 | 24 | 29.7 | 9.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | $B$ | A | B | C | D | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6.3 | 0.1 |

Baseline

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.8 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 162 | 20 | 198 | 224 | 14 | 105 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 162 | 20 | 198 | 224 | 14 | 105 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 174 | 22 | 213 | 241 | 15 | 113 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Approach | NW | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SW | NE |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | NE |  | NW |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | SW | NW |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.3 | 7.9 | 8.4 |
| HCM LOS | B | A | A |


| Lane | NELn1 | NELn2 | NELn3 | NWLn1 | NWLn2 | SWLn1 | SWLn2 | SWLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 99 | 99 | 224 | 162 | 20 | 14 | 53 | 53 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 99 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 53 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 106 | 106 | 241 | 174 | 22 | 15 | 56 | 56 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.162 | 0.162 | 0.202 | 0.305 | 0.03 | 0.027 | 0.093 | 0.065 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.495 | 5.495 | 3.023 | 6.304 | 5.008 | 6.417 | 5.912 | 4.144 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 650 | 650 | 1173 | 573 | 705 | 553 | 600 | 850 |
| Service Time | 3.251 | 3.251 | 0.778 | 4.004 | 2.808 | 4.214 | 3.708 | 1.939 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.205 | 0.304 | 0.031 | 0.027 | 0.093 | 0.066 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.3 | 9.3 | 6.6 | 11.7 | 8 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 7.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.6 |
| Intersection LOS | A |



| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | NBLn3 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thur, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 115 | 19 | 19 | 35 | 155 | 24 | 24 | 36 |
| LT Vol | 115 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 126 | 21 | 21 | 38 | 170 | 26 | 26 | 40 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.203 | 0.031 | 0.02 | 0.061 | 0.214 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.033 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.773 | 5.27 | 3.507 | 5.716 | 4.519 | 5.473 | 5.473 | 3.005 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 622 | 679 | 1016 | 627 | 794 | 653 | 653 | 1183 |
| Service Time | 3.51 | 3.007 | 1.244 | 3.447 | 2.25 | 3.215 | 3.215 | 0.745 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.203 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.061 | 0.214 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.034 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 5.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Baseline

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 10.6 |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * | 中 ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | * | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  | 7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 21 | 46 | 124 | 19 | 12 | 24 | 205 | 49 | 19 | 98 | 29 | 45 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 21 | 46 | 124 | 19 | 12 | 24 | 205 | 49 | 19 | 98 | 29 | 45 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mumt Flow | 22 | 48 | 131 | 20 | 13 | 25 | 216 | 52 | 20 | 103 | 31 | 47 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.4 |  |  |  | 10.7 |  |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 10.3 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 19 | 127 | 67 | 83 | 60 | 36 | 137 | 117 | 45 | 99 | 59 |
| LT Vol | 19 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 98 | 0 | 83 | 41 | 0 | 137 | 68 | 0 | 99 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 20 | 134 | 71 | 87 | 64 | 38 | 144 | 124 | 47 | 104 | 62 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.137 | 0.157 | 0.111 | 0.072 | 0.253 | 0.207 | 0.093 | 0.19 | 0.101 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.131 | 6.471 | 7.006 | 6.502 | 6.28 | 6.838 | 6.334 | 6.04 | 7.057 | 6.556 | 5.854 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 501 | 553 | 511 | 550 | 569 | 523 | 565 | 593 | 507 | 546 | 610 |
| Service Time | 4.889 | 4.229 | 4.765 | 4.261 | 4.038 | 4.594 | 4.09 | 3.936 | 4.814 | 4.313 | 3.611 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.04 | 0.242 | 0.139 | 0.158 | 0.112 | 0.073 | 0.255 | 0.209 | 0.093 | 0.19 | 0.102 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.2 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 9.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | $B$ | A | B | B | B | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |

## Intersection

Intersection Delay, s/veh
Intersection LOS

| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ onfigurations | 4 | 「7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 99 | 59 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 99 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 104 | 62 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 |
| Approach |  |  |
| Opposing Approach |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 12.4$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | WBU | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \# | 44 | - | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 7 | 175 | 3 | 209 | 231 | 186 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 7 | 175 | 3 | 209 | 231 | 186 | 16 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mumt Flow | 1 | 8 | 192 | 3 | 230 | 254 | 204 | 18 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | SB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right |  |  |  | SB |  |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.6 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |


| Lane | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 8 | 88 | 88 | 3 | 139 | 301 | 186 | 16 |
| LT Vol | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 88 | 88 | 3 | 139 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 16 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 9 | 96 | 96 | 3 | 153 | 330 | 204 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.017 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.005 | 0.253 | 0.497 | 0.394 | 0.028 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.978 | 6.471 | 6.471 | 5.956 | 5.956 | 5.412 | 6.937 | 5.739 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 513 | 554 | 554 | 601 | 603 | 664 | 519 | 623 |
| Service Time | 4.723 | 4.216 | 4.216 | 3.693 | 3.693 | 3.149 | 4.678 | 3.479 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.018 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.005 | 0.254 | 0.497 | 0.393 | 0.029 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.8 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 8.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | B | B | A | B | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 1 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.1 |

Intersection: 2: Millbrook Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | NW | NW | NE | NE | NE | SW | SW | SW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 136 | 60 | 68 | 55 | 79 | 76 | 55 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 51 | 26 | 35 | 25 | 46 | 28 | 36 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 87 | 47 | 59 | 47 | 70 | 53 | 53 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 242 | 242 | 691 | 691 |  |  | 1128 | 1128 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) 160100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Cedar Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 55 | 96 | 31 | 31 | 53 | 31 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 31 | 51 | 17 | 17 | 32 | 6 | 34 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 | 53 | 81 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 26 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1276 |  | 321 | 321 | 1184 | 1184 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Chestnut Avenue \& Behymer Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | TR | L | TR | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 47 | 44 | 59 | 72 | 74 | 75 | 54 | 137 | 75 | 76 | 76 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 28 | 29 | 40 | 42 | 36 | 28 | 71 | 41 | 43 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 44 | 43 | 52 | 62 | 64 | 60 | 52 | 106 | 63 | 72 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1445 | 1445 |  | 2494 | 2494 |  | 1741 |  | 288 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 100 |  | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Sommerville Drive \& Chestnut Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | T | U | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 55 | 54 | 29 | 65 | 77 | 93 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 35 | 35 | 1 | 38 | 51 | 58 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 49 | 51 | 9 | 56 | 71 | 83 | 26 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 1555 | 1555 |  | 2125 | 2125 |  | 836 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 140 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1

Intersection: 2: Millbrook Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | NW | NW | NE | NE | NE | SW | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 93 | 18 | 65 | 54 | 75 | 31 | 51 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 38 | 4 | 35 | 26 | 41 | 14 | 33 | 18 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 67 | 16 | 50 | 49 | 65 | 38 | 48 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 242 | 242 | 691 | 691 |  |  | 1128 | 1128 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Cedar Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 45 | 66 | 76 | 31 | 31 | 52 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 30 | 31 | 24 | 7 | 25 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 | 54 | 55 | 44 | 28 | 47 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1276 |  | 321 | 321 | 1184 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist ( ft$)$ | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Chestnut Avenue \& Behymer Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | TR | L | TR | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 65 | 44 | 63 | 52 | 69 | 68 | 52 | 76 | 53 | 78 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 25 | 20 | 24 | 41 | 34 | 17 | 42 | 23 | 38 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 47 | 38 | 42 | 47 | 62 | 55 | 43 | 71 | 48 | 60 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1445 | 1445 |  | 2494 | 2494 |  | 1741 |  | 288 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 100 |  | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Sommerville Drive \& Chestnut Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 74 | 53 | 76 | 115 | 102 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 36 | 22 | 38 | 52 | 43 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 53 | 48 | 60 | 85 | 75 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1555 | 1555 | 2125 | 2125 |  | 836 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

## Appendix D: Existing plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions




Baseline

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 27.1 |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |


| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 315 | 146 | 147 | 162 | 67 | 197 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 315 | 146 | 147 | 162 | 67 | 197 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 457 | 212 | 213 | 235 | 97 | 286 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Approach | NW | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SW | NE |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | NE |  | NW |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | SW | NW |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| HCM Control Delay | 45.2 | 12.2 | 13 |
| HCM LOS | E | B | B |


| Lane | NELn1 | NELn2 | NELn3 | NWLn1 | NWLn2 | SWLn1 | SWLn2 | SWLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 74 | 74 | 162 | 315 | 146 | 67 | 99 | 99 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 99 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 107 | 107 | 235 | 457 | 212 | 97 | 143 | 143 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.237 | 0.237 | 0.357 | 0.963 | 0.375 | 0.231 | 0.319 | 0.247 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.011 | 8.011 | 5.475 | 7.591 | 6.388 | 8.566 | 8.048 | 6.237 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 448 | 448 | 655 | 479 | 563 | 419 | 447 | 575 |
| Service Time | 5.759 | 5.759 | 3.222 | 5.338 | 4.135 | 6.319 | 5.801 | 3.988 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.239 | 0.239 | 0.359 | 0.954 | 0.377 | 0.232 | 0.32 | 0.249 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.2 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 60.1 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 14.5 | 11 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | F | B | B | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 12 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1 |

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh12.3
Intersection LOS B

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 38 | 131 | 208 | 50 | 97 | 134 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 38 | 131 | 208 | 50 | 97 | 134 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 58 | 198 | 315 | 76 | 147 | 203 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Oposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.2 | 16.1 | 8.8 |
| HCM LOS | B | C | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 NBLn2 NBLn3 EBLn1 EBLn2 SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 208 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 131 | 49 | 49 | 134 |
| LT Vol | 208 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 49 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 315 | 38 | 38 | 58 | 198 | 73 | 73 | 203 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.578 | 0.064 | 0.045 | 0.113 | 0.322 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.221 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.601 | 6.095 | 4.323 | 7.049 | 5.849 | 6.403 | 6.403 | 3.918 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 554 | 587 | 825 | 508 | 613 | 559 | 559 | 910 |
| Service Time | 4.344 | 3.837 | 2.064 | 4.801 | 3.601 | 4.153 | 4.153 | 1.667 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.578 | 0.065 | 0.046 | 0.114 | 0.323 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.223 |
| HCM Control Delay | 18 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 7.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | A | A | B | B | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 |



| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ | 性 |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | F' |
| Trafic Vol, veh/h | 27 | 50 | 159 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 142 | 64 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 100 | 164 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 27 | 50 | 159 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 142 | 64 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 100 | 164 | 50 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | , | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 57 | 183 | 53 | 53 | 84 | 163 | 74 | 56 | 206 | 152 | 115 | 189 | 57 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach L | ff SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach R | ghNB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Righ | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 15 |  |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |  | 32.4 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |


Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh26.4
Intersection LOS D


| Lane | EBLn1 EBLn2 EBLn3WBLn1 | EBBLn2WBLn3 SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $00 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 11 | 131 | 131 | 3 | 124 | 343 | 318 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 131 | 131 | 3 | 124 | 62 | 0 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 14 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 13 | 160 | 160 | 4 | 151 | 418 | 388 | 17 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.031 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.007 | 0.308 | 0.782 | 0.844 | 0.031 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.406 | 7.889 | 6.079 | 7.323 | 7.323 | 6.733 | 7.838 | 6.634 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 426 | 455 | 590 | 489 | 491 | 535 | 461 | 539 |
| Service Time | 6.161 | 5.643 | 3.832 | 5.069 | 5.069 | 4.479 | 5.586 | 4.381 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.031 | 0.352 | 0.271 | 0.008 | 0.308 | 0.781 | 0.842 | 0.032 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.4 | 14.9 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 29.6 | 40.5 | 9.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | B | B | D | E | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.3 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 0.1 |




Baseline


| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 162 | 26 | 217 | 233 | 15 | 122 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 162 | 26 | 217 | 233 | 15 | 122 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mumt Flow | 174 | 28 | 233 | 251 | 16 | 131 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Approach | NW | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SW | NE |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | NE |  | NW |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | SW | NW |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.5 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
| HCM LOS | B | A | A |


| Lane | NELn1 | NELn2 | NELn3 | NWLn1 | NWLn2 | SWLn1 | SWLn2 | SWLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 109 | 109 | 233 | 162 | 26 | 15 | 61 | 61 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 109 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 61 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 117 | 117 | 251 | 174 | 28 | 16 | 66 | 66 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.215 | 0.311 | 0.041 | 0.03 | 0.111 | 0.079 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.558 | 5.558 | 3.086 | 6.428 | 5.232 | 6.608 | 6.102 | 4.332 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 640 | 640 | 1142 | 563 | 687 | 545 | 591 | 832 |
| Service Time | 3.333 | 3.333 | 0.859 | 4.137 | 2.94 | 4.308 | 3.802 | 2.032 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.22 | 0.309 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.112 | 0.079 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.6 | 9.6 | 6.7 | 12 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 7.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh 8.6
Intersection LOS A

|  | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | 41 | 155 | 115 | 47 | 48 | 39 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 41 | 155 | 115 | 47 | 48 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 45 | 170 | 126 | 52 | 53 | 43 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Oposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.6 | 9.2 | 7.3 |
| HCM LOS | A | A | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 NBLn2 NBLn3 EBLn1 EBLn2 SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 115 | 24 | 24 | 41 | 155 | 24 | 24 | 39 |
| LT Vol | 115 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 126 | 26 | 26 | 45 | 170 | 26 | 26 | 43 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.204 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.072 | 0.215 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.036 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.798 | 5.295 | 3.533 | 5.743 | 4.546 | 5.512 | 5.512 | 3.043 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 668 | 675 | 1008 | 624 | 789 | 649 | 649 | 1167 |
| Service Time | 3.538 | 3.034 | 1.271 | 3.474 | 2.277 | 3.255 | 3.255 | 0.785 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.204 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.072 | 0.215 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.037 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 5.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## Intersection

Intersection Delay，s／veh10．8
Intersection LOS

## B

| Movement EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \＃ | 中 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | $\pm$ | 虾 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h 21 | 46 | 126 | 19 | 12 | 24 | 207 | 49 | 19 | 108 | 29 | 45 | 99 | 59 |
| Future Vol，veh／h 21 | 46 | 126 | 19 | 12 | 24 | 207 | 49 | 19 | 108 | 29 | 45 | 99 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow 22 | 48 | 133 | 20 | 13 | 25 | 218 | 52 | 20 | 114 | 31 | 47 | 104 | 62 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay 10.5 |  |  |  | 10.9 |  |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 NBLn2 EBLn1 EBLn2 EBLn3WBLn1WBLn2WBLn3 SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，$\%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，$\%$ | $0 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，$\%$ | $0 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 19 | 137 | 67 | 84 | 61 | 36 | 138 | 118 | 45 | 99 | 59 |
| LT Vol | 19 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 108 | 0 | 84 | 42 | 0 | 138 | 69 | 0 | 99 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 20 | 144 | 71 | 88 | 64 | 38 | 145 | 124 | 47 | 104 | 62 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.04 | 0.261 | 0.138 | 0.161 | 0.113 | 0.073 | 0.258 | 0.21 | 0.094 | 0.191 | 0.102 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 7.154 | 6.506 | 7.058 | 6.553 | 6.333 | 6.891 | 6.387 | 6.094 | 7.109 | 6.607 | 5.905 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 499 | 551 | 506 | 546 | 564 | 519 | 561 | 588 | 503 | 541 | 604 |
| Service Time | 4.916 | 4.268 | 4.822 | 4.318 | 4.097 | 4.649 | 4.145 | 3.851 | 4.872 | 4.37 | 3.668 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.04 | 0.261 | 0.14 | 0.161 | 0.113 | 0.073 | 0.258 | 0.211 | 0.093 | 0.192 | 0.103 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.2 | 11.6 | 11 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 9.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | B | A | B | B | B | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 0.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh13.5
Intersection LOS B



Intersection: 1: Willow Avenue \& Alicante Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 92 | 27 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 56 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2970 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Millbrook Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | NW | NW | NE | NE | NE | SW | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 137 | 66 | 54 | 55 | 63 | 53 | 75 | 55 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 60 | 28 | 34 | 20 | 37 | 30 | 38 | 24 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 106 | 51 | 48 | 51 | 56 | 43 | 60 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 242 | 242 | 691 | 691 |  |  | 1128 | 1128 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Cedar Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 59 | 106 | 32 | 77 | 53 | 31 | 79 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 29 | 47 | 22 | 18 | 32 | 13 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 48 | 83 | 43 | 51 | 49 | 38 | 60 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1276 |  | 321 | 321 | 1184 | 1184 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Chestnut Avenue \& Behymer Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | TR | L | TR | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 49 | 44 | 62 | 92 | 79 | 88 | 53 | 121 | 140 | 96 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 26 | 32 | 44 | 47 | 36 | 28 | 78 | 43 | 50 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 49 | 40 | 58 | 72 | 74 | 60 | 52 | 117 | 85 | 83 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1445 | 1445 |  | 2494 | 2494 |  | 1741 |  | 288 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 230 |  |  | 100 |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  | 230 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Sommerville Drive \& Chestnut Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | T | U | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 31 | 56 | 91 | 28 | 66 | 103 | 100 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 39 | 32 | 3 | 39 | 62 | 68 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 38 | 60 | 58 | 16 | 59 | 94 | 102 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1555 | 1555 |  | 2125 | 2125 |  | 836 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 140 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Intersection: 1: Willow Avenue \& Alicante Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 48 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2970 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 250 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Millbrook Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | NW | NW | NE | NE | NE | SW | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 111 | 38 | 78 | 67 | 91 | 54 | 114 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 12 | 38 | 27 | 44 | 9 | 40 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 77 | 30 | 61 | 55 | 69 | 33 | 72 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 242 | 242 | 691 | 691 |  |  | 1128 | 1128 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: Cedar Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 25 | 80 | 55 | 54 | 56 | 32 | 31 | 51 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 27 | 35 | 22 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 26 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 | 50 | 55 | 46 | 42 | 44 | 23 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1276 |  | 321 | 321 | 1184 | 1184 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Chestnut Avenue \& Behymer Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | TR | L | TR | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 57 | 46 | 42 | 54 | 89 | 55 | 31 | 76 | 52 | 79 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 | 25 | 21 | 17 | 49 | 33 | 13 | 43 | 29 | 40 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 40 | 34 | 44 | 78 | 55 | 38 | 67 | 44 | 70 | 51 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1445 | 1445 |  | 2494 | 2494 |  | 1741 |  | 288 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 230 |  |  | 100 |  | 120 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Sommerville Drive \& Chestnut Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | T | U | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 68 | 56 | 27 | 55 | 79 | 88 | 30 |
| Average Queue ( f ) | 10 | 36 | 30 | 3 | 37 | 51 | 44 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 33 | 53 | 53 | 15 | 52 | 73 | 69 | 38 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1555 | 1555 |  | 2125 | 2125 |  | 836 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 150 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 140 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

## Appendix E: Near Term plus Project (Year 2025) Traffic Conditions

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | a | $\mathbf{r}$ | 1 | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 40 | 11 | 184 | 238 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 40 | 11 | 184 | 238 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | 0 | 250 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 44 | 12 | 204 | 264 | 0 |



Baseline

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 32.5 |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |


| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 333 | 146 | 149 | 163 | 67 | 198 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 333 | 146 | 149 | 163 | 67 | 198 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 483 | 212 | 216 | 236 | 97 | 287 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Approach | NW | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SW | NE |
| Oposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | NE |  | NW |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | SW | NW |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| HCM Control Delay | 56.3 | 12.4 | 13.2 |
| HCM LOS | F | B | B |


| Lane | NELn1 | NELn2 | NELn3 | NWLn1 | NWLn2 | SWLn1 | SWLn2 | SWLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 75 | 75 | 163 | 333 | 146 | 67 | 99 | 99 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 99 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 108 | 108 | 236 | 483 | 212 | 97 | 143 | 143 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.239 | 0.239 | 0.357 | 1.024 | 0.378 | 0.23 | 0.319 | 0.247 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.162 | 8.162 | 5.622 | 7.642 | 6.439 | 8.729 | 8.211 | 6.395 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 443 | 443 | 645 | 478 | 563 | 414 | 440 | 565 |
| Service Time | 5.862 | 5.862 | 3.322 | 5.342 | 4.139 | 6.429 | 5.911 | 4.095 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.244 | 0.244 | 0.366 | 1.01 | 0.377 | 0.234 | 0.325 | 0.253 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.4 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 75.3 | 13 | 14 | 14.7 | 11.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | F | B | B | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 14.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1 |

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh12.3
Intersection LOS B

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 38 | 132 | 208 | 52 | 97 | 151 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 38 | 132 | 208 | 52 | 97 | 151 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 58 | 200 | 315 | 79 | 147 | 229 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | EB |  |
| Conficting Lanes Left | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.3 | 16.3 | 8.9 |
| HCM LOS | B | C | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 NBLn2 NBLn3 EBLn1 EBLn2 SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 208 | 26 | 26 | 38 | 132 | 49 | 49 | 151 |
| LT Vol | 208 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 49 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 151 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 315 | 39 | 39 | 58 | 200 | 73 | 73 | 229 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.582 | 0.067 | 0.048 | 0.114 | 0.328 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.25 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.651 | 6.144 | 4.371 | 7.104 | 5.904 | 6.426 | 6.426 | 3.94 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 552 | 582 | 816 | 504 | 608 | 557 | 557 | 906 |
| Service Time | 4.396 | 3.89 | 2.116 | 4.858 | 3.657 | 4.179 | 4.179 | 1.692 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.581 | 0.067 | 0.048 | 0.115 | 0.329 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.253 |
| HCM Control Delay | 18.3 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | A | A | B | B | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 3.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1 |



| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ | 性 |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | F' |
| Trafic Vol, veh/h | 27 | 50 | 159 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 142 | 65 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 104 | 180 | 50 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 27 | 50 | 159 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 142 | 65 | 49 | 179 | 132 | 104 | 180 | 50 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 57 | 183 | 53 | 53 | 84 | 163 | 75 | 56 | 206 | 152 | 120 | 207 | 57 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach L | ff SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach R | ghNB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Righ | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 15.3 |  |  |  | 15.8 |  |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |


$\frac{\text { Intersection }}{\text { Intersection Delay, s/veh30.2 }}$
Intersection LOS D


| Lane | EBLn1 EBLn2 EBLn3WBLn1WBLn2WBLn3 SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $00 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 11 | 135 | 135 | 3 | 128 | 345 | 335 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 135 | 135 | 3 | 128 | 64 | 0 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 14 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 13 | 165 | 165 | 4 | 156 | 421 | 409 | 17 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.032 | 0.368 | 0.285 | 0.008 | 0.324 | 0.805 | 0.899 | 0.032 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 8.564 | 8.046 | 6.232 | 7.479 | 7.479 | 6.891 | 7.925 | 6.719 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 417 | 446 | 575 | 478 | 481 | 526 | 458 | 532 |
| Service Time | 6.328 | 5.809 | 3.994 | 5.234 | 5.234 | 4.646 | 5.681 | 4.474 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.031 | 0.37 | 0.287 | 0.008 | 0.324 | 0.8 | 0.893 | 0.032 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.6 | 15.5 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 32.4 | 49.2 | 9.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | C | B | B | B | D | E | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 0.1 |




Baseline


| Movement | NWL | NWR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 163 | 26 | 221 | 238 | 15 | 125 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 163 | 26 | 221 | 238 | 15 | 125 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mumt Flow | 175 | 28 | 238 | 256 | 16 | 134 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Approach | NW | NE | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SW | NE |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | NE |  | NW |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | SW | NW |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.6 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
| HCM LOS | B | A | A |


| Lane | NELn1 | NELn2 | NELn3 | NWLn1 | NWLn2 | SWLn1 | SWLn2 | SWLn3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 111 | 111 | 238 | 163 | 26 | 15 | 63 | 63 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 111 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 63 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 119 | 119 | 256 | 175 | 28 | 16 | 67 | 67 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.184 | 0.184 | 0.22 | 0.314 | 0.041 | 0.03 | 0.114 | 0.081 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.57 | 5.57 | 3.097 | 6.456 | 5.259 | 6.618 | 6.112 | 4.342 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 639 | 639 | 1139 | 559 | 684 | 543 | 589 | 828 |
| Service Time | 3.347 | 3.347 | 0.873 | 4.164 | 2.968 | 4.331 | 3.825 | 2.054 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.225 | 0.313 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.114 | 0.081 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.6 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 12.1 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 7.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | B | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |


| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 8.7 |
| Intersection LOS A |


|  | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | 45 | 156 | 116 | 47 | 50 | 39 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 45 | 156 | 116 | 47 | 50 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 45 | 10.9 | 0.91 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 171 | 127 | 52 | 55 | 43 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach |  | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB | EB |  |  |
| Conficting Lanes Left | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.7 | 9.3 | 7.4 |
| HCM LOS | A | A | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 NBLn2 NBLn3 EBLn1 EBLn2 SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 116 | 24 | 24 | 45 | 156 | 25 | 25 | 39 |
| LT Vol | 116 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 127 | 26 | 26 | 49 | 171 | 27 | 27 | 43 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.206 | 0.038 | 0.026 | 0.079 | 0.217 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.036 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.822 | 5.319 | 3.556 | 5.754 | 4.557 | 5.532 | 5.532 | 3.063 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 616 | 672 | 1002 | 623 | 787 | 64 | 646 | 1160 |
| Service Time | 3.561 | 3.058 | 1.294 | 3.487 | 2.29 | 3.277 | 3.277 | 0.806 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.206 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.079 | 0.217 | 0.042 | 0.042 | 0.037 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.1 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 9 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 5.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## Intersection

Intersection Delay，s／veh10．9
Intersection LOS
B

| Movement EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \＃ | 中 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | $\pm$ | 虾 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h 21 | 46 | 126 | 20 | 12 | 24 | 207 | 50 | 20 | 114 | 29 | 45 | 103 | 59 |
| Future Vol，veh／h 21 | 46 | 126 | 20 | 12 | 24 | 207 | 50 | 20 | 114 | 29 | 45 | 103 | 59 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow 22 | 48 | 133 | 21 | 13 | 25 | 218 | 53 | 21 | 120 | 31 | 47 | 108 | 62 |
| Number of Lanes 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left 3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach RighNB |  |  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay 10.6 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 EBLn1 EBLn2 | EBLn3WBLn1WBLn2WBLn3 SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，$\%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，$\%$ | $0 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，$\%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 20 | 143 | 67 | 84 | 62 | 36 | 138 | 119 | 45 | 103 | 59 |
| LT Vol | 20 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 114 | 0 | 84 | 42 | 0 | 138 | 69 | 0 | 103 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 21 | 151 | 71 | 88 | 65 | 38 | 145 | 125 | 47 | 108 | 62 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.042 | 0.273 | 0.139 | 0.162 | 0.116 | 0.073 | 0.26 | 0.214 | 0.094 | 0.2 | 0.103 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 7.18 | 6.539 | 7.106 | 6.602 | 6.374 | 6.939 | 6.435 | 6.138 | 7.146 | 6.644 | 5.942 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 497 | 548 | 503 | 541 | 560 | 515 | 556 | 582 | 500 | 538 | 601 |
| Service Time | 4.945 | 4.303 | 4.874 | 4.369 | 4.141 | 4.699 | 4.194 | 3.898 | 4.911 | 4.409 | 3.706 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.042 | 0.276 | 0.141 | 0.163 | 0.116 | 0.074 | 0.261 | 0.215 | 0.094 | 0.201 | 0.103 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.3 | 11.8 | 11 | 10.7 | 10 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 9.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | B | A | B | B | B | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |

Intersection
Intersection Delay, s/veh14.1
Intersection LOS B


| Lane | EBLn1 EBLn2 EBLn3WBLn1WBLn2WBLn3 SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $00 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Sttop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 8 | 119 | 119 | 3 | 182 | 338 | 191 | 16 |
| LT Vol | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 119 | 119 | 3 | 182 | 91 | 0 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 16 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 9 | 131 | 131 | 3 | 200 | 371 | 210 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.018 | 0.245 | 0.245 | 0.006 | 0.345 | 0.588 | 0.43 | 0.03 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 7.258 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 6.216 | 6.216 | 5.698 | 7.381 | 6.18 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 492 | 530 | 530 | 574 | 558 | 631 | 487 | 577 |
| Service Time | 5.025 | 4.517 | 4.517 | 3.971 | 3.971 | 3.452 | 5.144 | 3.943 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.018 | 0.247 | 0.247 | 0.005 | 0.346 | 0.588 | 0.431 | 0.031 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.2 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 9 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B | A | B | C | C | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 0.1 |

Intersection: 1: Willow Avenue \& Alicante Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 25 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 23 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2970 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 250 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Millbrook Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | NW | NW | NE | NE | NE | SW | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 182 | 63 | 79 | 68 | 72 | 54 | 119 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 68 | 26 | 37 | 18 | 39 | 30 | 46 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 129 | 46 | 58 | 49 | 59 | 49 | 80 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 242 | 242 | 691 | 691 |  |  | 1128 | 1128 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: Cedar Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 25 | 46 | 124 | 50 | 50 | 79 | 31 | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 14 | 24 | 53 | 27 | 13 | 36 | 9 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 34 | 35 | 93 | 45 | 40 | 60 | 32 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1276 |  | 321 | 321 | 1184 | 1184 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Chestnut Avenue \& Behymer Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | TR | L | TR | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 85 | 56 | 63 | 100 | 78 | 76 | 54 | 142 | 115 | 116 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 25 | 36 | 43 | 44 | 34 | 22 | 71 | 40 | 55 | 33 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 58 | 45 | 60 | 77 | 71 | 66 | 50 | 125 | 73 | 86 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1445 | 1445 |  | 2494 | 2494 |  | 1741 |  | 288 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 230 |  |  | 100 |  | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  | 230 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 5: Sommerville Drive \& Chestnut Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | T | U | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 55 | 72 | 32 | 54 | 103 | 137 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 37 | 36 | 4 | 37 | 55 | 67 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 53 | 60 | 19 | 54 | 87 | 103 | 27 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1555 | 1555 |  | 2125 | 2125 |  | 836 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 150 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 140 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 3

Intersection: 1: Willow Avenue \& Alicante Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2970 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Millbrook Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | NW | NW | NE | NE | NE | SW | SW | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | R | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 64 | 68 | 68 | 78 | 30 | 68 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 13 | 35 | 26 | 47 | 9 | 32 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 64 | 34 | 51 | 51 | 74 | 31 | 43 | 40 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 242 | 242 | 691 | 691 |  |  | 1128 | 1128 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 160 | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Cedar Avenue \& Olympic Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 43 | 69 | 76 | 31 | 50 | 78 | 31 | 52 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 29 | 39 | 22 | 17 | 30 | 3 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 37 | 52 | 61 | 44 | 43 | 55 | 18 | 43 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1276 |  | 321 | 321 | 1184 | 1184 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Chestnut Avenue \& Behymer Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | TR | UL | T | TR | L | TR | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 | 46 | 44 | 51 | 103 | 52 | 31 | 75 | 50 | 76 | 89 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 47 | 28 | 15 | 45 | 22 | 41 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 42 | 41 | 34 | 47 | 75 | 44 | 39 | 71 | 46 | 68 | 59 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1445 | 1445 |  | 2494 | 2494 |  | 1741 |  | 288 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 100 |  | 120 |  | 120 |
| Storage Bik Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Sommerville Drive \& Chestnut Avenue

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | UL | T | T | U | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 73 | 98 | 31 | 76 | 93 | 97 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 41 | 34 | 3 | 44 | 58 | 47 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 62 | 67 | 16 | 69 | 83 | 80 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1555 | 1555 |  | 2125 | 2125 |  | 836 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) | 150 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 140 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 0

## Appendix F: Traffic Signal Warrants

(FHWA'S MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California)
Signal Warrant Analysis

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet


The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.
Combination of Conditions A \& B
SATISFIED YES $\square$ NO

| REQUIREMENT | WARRANT | FULFILLED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TWO WARRANTS SATISFIED | 1. MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME | Yes $\Gamma$ No $\sqrt{\text { V }}$ |
| 80\% | 2. INTERRUPTION OF CONTINUOUS TRAFFIC |  |

info@JLBtraffic.com
(FHWA'S MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California)
Signal Warrant Analysis

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet


The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.
Combination of Conditions A \& B
SATISFIED YES Г NO $\sqrt{V}$

| REQUIREMENT | WARRANT | FULFILLED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TWO WARRANTS SATISFIED 80\% | 1. MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME | Yes $\Gamma$ No $\sqrt{\text { V }}$ |
|  | 2. INTERRUPTION OF CONTINUOUS TRAFFIC |  |

info@JLBtraffic.com (559) 570-8991
(FHWA'S MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California)
Signal Warrant Analysis

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet


The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.
Combination of Conditions A \& B
SATISFIED YES Г NO $\sqrt{\checkmark}$

| REQUIREMENT | WARRANT | FULFILLED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TWO WARRANTS SATISFIED | 1. MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME | Yes $\Gamma$ No $\sqrt{\text { V }}$ |
| 80\% | 2. INTERRUPTION OF CONTINUOUS TRAFFIC |  |

info@JLBtraffic.com (559) 570-8991
(FHWA'S MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California)
Signal Warrant Analysis

Figure 4C-101 (CA). Traffic Signal Warrants Worksheet


The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal.

Combination of Conditions A \& B
SATISFIED YES Г NO $\sqrt{\vee}$

| REQUIREMENT | WARRANT | FULFILLED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TWO WARRANTS SATISFIED | 1. MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME | Yes $\Gamma$ No $\sqrt{\text { V }}$ |
| 80\% | 2. INTERRUPTION OF CONTINUOUS TRAFFIC |  |

info@JLBtraffic.com (559) 570-8991

## Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (Rural)

Existing Traffic Conditions
2. Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

|  | 1 Lane \& 1 Lane | 2 or More Lanes \& 1 Lane | 2 or More <br> Lanes \& 2 <br> or More <br> Lanes | 7:00 AM <br> Volume | 2:00 PM <br> Volume | 3:00 PM <br> Volume | 5:00 PM <br> Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street (Total of Both Approaches) | $\ulcorner$ | г | V | 445 | 430 | 396 | 477 |
| Minor Street (Higher Volume Approach) |  |  |  | 401 | 260 | 204 | 136 |
|  | Satisfied: |  | $\ulcorner$ Yes |  | V No |  |  |
|  | Calculated B Checked B | JY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 07 / 13 / 23 \\ & \text { Date: } 07 / 13 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AB |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD 2014 Edition) Chapter 4C: Traffic Control Signal Needs Studies

Part 4: Highway Traffic Signals
November 7, 2014

## Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (Rural)

## Existing Traffic Conditions

3. Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

|  | 1 Lane \& 1 Lane | 2 or More Lanes \& 1 Lane | 2 or More <br> Lanes \& 2 <br> or More <br> Lanes | 7:00 AM <br> Volume | 8:00 AM <br> Volume | 2:00 PM <br> Volume | 3:00 PM <br> Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street (Total of Both Approaches) | $\ulcorner$ | V | $\ulcorner$ | 480 | 275 | 371 | 287 |
| Minor Street (Higher Volume Approach) |  |  |  | 130 | 119 | 168 | 158 |
|  | Satisfied: |  | $\ulcorner$ Yes |  | V No |  |  |
|  | Calculated B Checked B | JY | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Date: } & 07 / 13 / 23 \\ \text { Date: } & 07 / 14 / 23 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AB |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD 2014 Edition) Chapter 4C: Traffic Control Signal Needs Studies

Part 4: Highway Traffic Signals
November 7, 2014

## Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (Rural)

Existing Traffic Conditions
4. Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

|  | 1 Lane \& 1 Lane | 2 or More Lanes \& 1 Lane | 2 or More <br> Lanes \& 2 <br> or More <br> Lanes | 7:00 AM <br> Volume | 8:00 AM <br> Volume | 3:00 PM Volume | 5:00 PM Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street (Total of Both Approaches) | 「 | $\checkmark$ | г | 456 | 546 | 521 | 403 |
| Minor Street (Higher Volume Approach) |  |  |  | 257 | 214 | 287 | 174 |
|  | Satisfied: |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ No |  |  |
|  | Calculated B Checked By: | jY | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Date: } & 07 / 13 / 23 \\ \text { Date: } & 07 / 13 / 23 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AB |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD 2014 Edition) Chapter 4C: Traffic Control Signal Needs Studies

Part 4: Highway Traffic Signals
November 7, 2014

## Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (Rural)

Existing Traffic Conditions
5. Chestnut Avenue / Sommerville Drive
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

|  | 1 Lane \& 1 Lane | 2 or More Lanes \& 1 Lane | 2 or More <br> Lanes \& 2 <br> or More <br> Lanes | 7:00 AM <br> Volume | 8:00 AM <br> Volume | 3:00 PM <br> Volume | 5:00 PM <br> Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street (Total of Both Approaches) | ᄃ | V | $\ulcorner$ | 544 | 530 | 575 | 568 |
| Minor Street (Higher Volume Approach) |  |  |  | 226 | 250 | 225 | 151 |
|  | Satisfied: |  | - Yes |  | $\ulcorner$ No |  |  |
|  | Calculated B Checked B | JY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 07 / 14 / 23 \\ & \text { Date: } 07 / 14 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AB |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD 2014 Edition) Chapter 4C: Traffic Control Signal Needs Studies

Part 4: Highway Traffic Signals
November 7, 2014

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing Traffic Conditions

2. Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing Traffic Conditions

## 3. Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue <br> AM (PM) Peak Hour

(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Not Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing Traffic Conditions

4. Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Major Street Total of Both Approaches =
648 (500) VPH
*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing Traffic Conditions

5. Chestnut Avenue / Sommerville Drive

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Sommerville Drive Total of Both Approaches =

```
6 8 1 ~ ( 6 2 6 ) ~ V P H
```

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing plus Project Traffic Conditions

1. Willow Avenue / Alicante Drive

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Willow Avenue Total of Both Approaches =

$$
401 \text { (441) VPH }
$$

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing plus Project Traffic Conditions

2. Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Millbrook Avenue Total of Both Approaches =

$$
573 \text { (587) VPH }
$$

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing plus Project Traffic Conditions

3. Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
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## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing plus Project Traffic Conditions

4. Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Major Street Total of Both Approaches =
674 (504) VPH
*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Existing plus Project Traffic Conditions

5. Chestnut Avenue / Sommerville Avenue AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Sommerville Drive Total of Both Approaches =
743 (728) VPH
*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
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## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Near Term plus Project Traffic Conditions

1. Willow Avenue / Alicante Drive

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Willow Avenue Total of Both Approaches =

$$
433 \text { (447) VPH }
$$

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Near Term plus Project Traffic Conditions

2. Millbrook Avenue / Olympic Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Millbrook Avenue Total of Both Approaches =

$$
577 \text { (599) VPH }
$$

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
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## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Near Term plus Project Traffic Conditions

## 3. Cedar Avenue / Olympic Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Near Term plus Project Traffic Conditions

4. Chestnut Avenue / Behymer Avenue

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Major Street Total of Both Approaches =

$$
694 \text { (506) VPH }
$$

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is not Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com

## Warrant 3: Peak Hour (Rural)

## Near Term plus Project Traffic Conditions

5. Chestnut Avenue / Sommerville Drive

AM (PM) Peak Hour
(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


Sommerville Drive Total of Both Approaches =

## 757 (769) VPH

*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with one lane.

## AM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met <br> PM Peak Hour - Signal Warrant is Met

www.JLBtraffic.com
info@JLBtraffic.com


[^0]:    Note: $\quad *=$ Does not exist or is not projected to exist

[^1]:    Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2

