

**EVALUATION OF BID  
PROPOSALS**

Page 1

FOR: DIGESTERS 1 AND 13 CLEANING AND REHABILITATION OF DIGESTER 1 AT THE  
FRESNO/CLOVIS REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

Bid File No. 3524  
Bid Opening: March 30, 2017

---

<b><u>BIDDERS</u></b>	<b><u>TOTAL NET BID AMOUNT</u></b>
1. Universal Coatings, Inc. 3373 S Chestnut Avenue Fresno CA 93725	\$943,360.00 <i>e</i>
2. SoCal Pacific Construction Corp. 26713 Madison Avenue Murrieta CA 92562	\$954,588.00 <i>e</i>
3. F. D. Thomas, Inc. 217 Bateman Drive Central Point OR 97502	\$1,102,480.00 <i>e</i>
4. Advanced Chemical Transport, Inc. 3710 Ruffin Road San Diego CA 92124	1,117,440.00 <i>e</i>
5. Plant and Pipe Solutions, Inc. 225 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street Oakland CA 94607	\$1,134,667.00 <i>e</i>

---

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Monday, April 17, 2017, 5:00 P.M.

The Engineer's Estimate for this expenditure is \$795,000. The contract price is 18.66% above the Engineer's Estimate.

During the bidding phase, coating repair work on a similar digester presented issues with the specified coatings. As a result of those issues, the coating was changed to one that would avoid similar issues. The different coating compensates for concrete wall movement caused by thermal expansion. The specific change was from a rigid epoxy to a polyurethane elastomeric coating. This change caused the price for interior coating to increase.

**BACKGROUND OF PROJECT** (To be completed by Evaluating Department/Division. Explain need for project/equipment):

The first phase of the project requires the cleaning and dewatering of Digesters #1 and #13.

**EVALUATION OF BID  
PROPOSALS**

Page 2

FOR: DIGESTERS 1 AND 13 CLEANING AND REHABILITATION OF DIGESTER 1 AT THE  
FRESNO/CLOVIS REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

Bid File No. 3524

Bid Opening: March 30, 2017

---

The digesters are of two of thirteen mesophilic anaerobic digesters used in the treatment of the solids generated at the RWRF to eliminate the pathogens and volatile organic compounds. Over a period of eight years, these digesters accumulate debris associated with the treatment (i.e. solids consisting of sludge, sand, plastics and hair). This project will remove all the accumulated debris, allow inspection of the interior surfaces for corrosion, wear, and structural stability, and it will prepare Digester #1 for rehabilitation.

The second phase of the project is the rehabilitation of Digester #1. During routine maintenance on the roof of the digester, it was discovered that methane gasses were escaping through cracks in the roof of the digester. Leaking methane to the atmosphere is against the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control Districts (SJVAPCD) rules. Methane is highly flammable, and leaking methane is a potential fire/explosion hazard. The work of this project includes the removal and replacement of the failing interior coatings. The project also includes application of a ferric corrosion eliminator and a new roof coating.

**DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:**

Award a contract in the amount of \$ 943,360.00 to Universal Coatings, Inc. as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

Remarks:

Reject all bids. Reason:

**EVALUATION OF BID**  
**PROPOSALS**

FOR: DIGESTERS 1 AND 13 CLEANING AND REHABILITATION OF DIGESTER 1 AT THE  
FRESNO/CLOVIS REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

Bid File No. 3524  
Bid Opening: March 30, 2017

---

Department Head Approval

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Title Director of Public Utilities

Date 4-5-17

---

- Approve Dept. Recommendation     Approve Finance/Purchasing Recommendation  
 Disapprove     Disapprove  
 See Attachment

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

CITY MANAGER

  
\_\_\_\_\_    4-7-17  
Purchasing Manager    Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_    4/11/17  
City Manager or Designee    Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_    4/10/17  
Finance Director    Date

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

PROGRAM:

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>	<u>TOTAL OR CURRENT</u>	<u>ANNUALIZED COST</u>
Direct Cost	<u>\$943,360.00</u>	_____
Indirect Cost	<u>*\$44,300.00</u>	_____
TOTAL COST	<u>\$987,660.00</u>	_____
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated	<u>\$0</u>	_____
Net City Cost	<u>\$987,660.00</u>	_____
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)	<u>\$1,107,000.00</u>	_____
*Indirect Costs		
Inspection	<u>\$35,000.00</u>	
Administration	<u>\$3,100.00</u>	
Contract Compliance	<u>\$3,100.00</u>	
Other	<u>\$3,100.00</u>	