

**EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS**

Page 1

FOR: SEWER REHABILITATION IN E. CHURCH AVENUE BETWEEN S. FRUIT AVENUE
AND S. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR BOULEVARD

Bid File No. 3431
Bid Opening: 5/24/16

<u>BIDDERS</u>	<u>TOTAL NET BID AMOUNT</u>
1. SAK Construction, LLC 864 Hoff Road O'Fallon MO 63366	\$1,730,760.00
2. Michels Pipeline Construction 1715 16 th Street SE Salem OR 97302	\$1,988,425.00
3. Burtech Pipeline, Inc. 102 Second Street Encinitas CA 92024	\$2,212,000.00

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Monday, June 13, 2016, 5:00 P.M.

The Engineer's Estimate for this expenditure is \$1,759,000. The contract price is 1.6% below the Engineer's Estimate.

BACKGROUND OF PROJECT:

In the 2014 Wastewater Collection System Master Plan update, this sewer was identified to be rehabilitated using the Pipeline Assessment Certification Program (PACP) evaluation protocol to rate the condition of each Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) inspection that was performed by the Collection System Maintenance work group within the City of Fresno. This sewer line in particular was showing significant signs of structural deficiencies such as cracks, exposed aggregate, and deterioration due to hydrogen sulfide. Department of Public Utilities recommends installing a cured in place pipe (CIPP) liner in the existing standard concrete pipe as the most cost effective solution. The CIPP liner will restore the structural integrity of the pipe.

EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS

Page 2

FOR: SEWER REHABILITATION IN E. CHURCH AVENUE BETWEEN S. FRUIT AVENUE
AND S. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR BOULEVARD

Bid File No. 3431
Bid Opening: 5/24/16

DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:

☒ Award a contract in the amount of \$1,730,760.00 to SAK Construction, LLC
as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

Remarks:

☐ Reject all bids. Reason:

Department Head Approval




Title Director of Public Utilities
Date 5/27/2016

☒ Approve Dept. Recommendation ☒ Approve Finance/Purchasing Recommendation
☐ Disapprove ☐ Disapprove
☐ See Attachment


FINANCE DEPARTMENT

CITY MANAGER




Purchasing Manager

6/1/16
Date



City Manager or Designee

6/1/16
Date



Finance Director

6/1/16
Date

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PROGRAM:

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>	<u>TOTAL OR CURRENT</u>	<u>ANNUALIZED COST</u>
Direct Cost	<u>\$1,730,760.00</u>	<u> </u>
Indirect Cost	<u>\$65,000.00</u>	<u> </u>
TOTAL COST	<u>\$1,795,760.00</u>	<u> </u>
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u> </u>
Net City Cost	<u>\$1,795,760.00</u>	<u> </u>
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)	<u>\$2,250,000.00</u>	<u> </u>
<u>*Indirect Costs</u>		
Inspection	<u>\$43,000.00</u>	
Administration	<u>\$8,500.00</u>	
Contract Compliance	<u>\$8,500.00</u>	
Other	<u>\$5,000.00</u>	