



City of Fresno

2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721
www.fresno.gov

Meeting Minutes - Final City of Fresno Capital Projects Oversight Board

Monday, October 3, 2016

5:30 PM

City Hall Meeting Room A
(2165)
2600 Fresno Street, 2nd
Floor

Regular Meeting

The City of Fresno Capital Projects Oversight Board met in regular session in Meeting Room A, 2165 in City Hall on the date and time above written.

The purpose of this meeting of the City of Fresno Capital Project Oversight Board is to meet and consider the following:

1. CALL TO ORDER

Present 6 - Board Member Walt Plachta, Board Member Joe Garcia, Board Member Brad Hyatt, Board Member Brian Domingos, Board Member Chuck Ferreira, and Non-voting Member Esmeralda Z. Soria

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

[ID16-1153](#) Approving the Minutes of August 15, 2016

APPROVED

On motion of Board Member Domingos, seconded by Vice Chair Kloose, that the above Action Item be approved. The motion passed by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Board Member Plachta, Kloose, Board Member Garcia, Board Member Hyatt, Board Member Domingos, Board Member Ferreira and Non-voting Member Soria

Absent: 1 - Mackey

3. WORKSHOPS

a. [ID16-1149](#) Presentation - CIP Oversight Board Update

Kevin Norgaard, Supervising Professional Engineer distributed a new hand out for Workshop A. Michael Carbarjal , Planning Manager stated both the recycle water projects and the Re-charge Fresno project are covered in the change status report. Mr. Norgaard has some slides on recycled water and Mr. Carbajal's has some slides on overview slides on the Re-charge Fresno project that summarizes where we're at. I think it's a little easier to look at the slides than trying to go through the details. If there's any questions on this, then we can dive into it.

Mr. Norgaard went over the power point slides for recycled water projects overview covering the Tertiary Treatment , Recycled Water SW1A, Recycled Water SW1B, and Recycled Water SW1C.

Board Member Garcia asked if we are delaying the contractor on this project because of the water. Are they going to be looking for compensable delay? Mr. Nogaard responded probably not, but we don't know yet. If they do, we're still going to be in that \$300K supplemental. It will probably be \$120 - \$125K.

Board Member Garcia said that it sounds to him like this project has more issues than any other project you bought before us. When do you think you'll come before us to talk about the delays and the issues that are coming along with this one here? Mr. Nogaard stated that a change order for \$100K was already done. He is hoping to come to the board in November. More discussion ensued.

b. [ID16-1150](#) DPU Large Construction Contract Change Status Report

Michael Carbajal, Planning Manager gave a quick overview where the major projects are. A recap of the major DPU major projects included the Southeast Surface Water Treatment Facility, Friant-Kern Canal Pipeline, Kings River Pipeline, RTM Segment A1, have all been advertised bids and awarded. RTM A2 attended to award last month, we did get a bid protest. The low bidder is Floyd Johnson Construction, another bidder filed a protest and we're going through that process right now.

We pushed the award back to 10/13/16, RTM B and C still wrapping up

design on those, currently looking for funding we are still working within the \$429M program. The RTM segment the packages that run through the urban streets. Prices are trending higher on those segments A1-A2. We are looking at funding options on B and C. Otherwise the Friant-Kern pipeline and the Kings River pipeline it came in under budget. When looking at the whole total program cost we are still trending below the \$429M.

Mr. Carbajal gave an update on each facility. Vice Chair Kloose asked is this stretch the same stretch where you all just identified the other conflict last week over by Willow and Copper. Mr. Carbajal said no, the stretch for the salamander are east of Auberry. More discussion ensued regarding the PG&E conflict which is on Willow south of Copper.

Mr. Carbajal continued to go over the remainder of the projects.

4. CHANGE ORDERS

- a. [ID16-1151](#) Approve Southeast Surface Water Treatment Facility Project - Change Order No. 4

Michael Carbajal, Planning Manager introduced Change Order #4. Change Order #4 was approved last month before it was presented to the Oversight Board because the board did not meet last month. We promised that we would go over the Change Order so you all would know what was included. The total net cost to the change order is \$126,520. On page two is a summary of the change items which Mr. Carbajal went over line by line.

Chair Plachta took the item out to the public for comment. There was none.

Vice Chair Kloose made a motion to ratify approval of the item. Board member Domingos said he did not see a reason to ratify it so he will probably abstain.

On motion of Vice Chair Kloose, seconded by Board Member Hyatt, that the above Action Item be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Board Member Plachta, Kloose, Board Member Garcia, Board Member Hyatt, Board Member Ferreira and Non-voting Member Soria

Absent: 1 - Mackey

Abstain: 1 - Board Member Domingos

- b. [ID16-1152](#) Approve Southeast Surface Water Treatment Facility Project - Change Order No. 5

Michael Carbajal, Planning Manager handed out a document that was not included in the packet. SCADA INTEGRATOR to help go through change order 5, Southeast Surface Water Treatment Facility which is a \$2,493,750 proposed change order for SESWF SCADA Integration.

Background: They are building a Southwest Plant using lots of concrete, filter basins, chemicals, equipment, tanks, and pumps. Bring surface water in, trade it and make potable water coming out the other end. Without SCADA Integrator this plant doesn't do anything. To develop software that will communicate with all of the facilities equipment so the plant operator can sit at the computer screen with a series of panels so he can operate the facility.

Full on customization to communicate with all the logic controllers all inputs/outputs so when you change the flow it does everything it needs to do. It's an important part of an important process. It's a process that should start right now and run all the way through the commissioning of this plant.

The City will hire their own integrator. There was questions from the board which were answered by Mr. Carbajal and Mr. Esqueda. The department's plan is to present this plan to the City Council on 10/20/16.

Board Member Garcia mentioned that Helix Electric has a labor cost here of \$479,166, but they have no material and no equipment and he finds that it's a little odd for you to spend \$479,166 worth of labor and not have any material and equipment. Mr. Carbajal responded that all of the hardware is being installed by the Lyles Company, and that it's in the bid. Board Member Garcia pointed out that they show a 20% mark up on all labor. On page 1 of 3 from Helix Electric on line 14 is a 20% mark up from line 1. Does the City have a budget of \$500K budget for a city employee to stand over Helix?

The General Contractor is charging their 5% mark up for subcontracting work up on \$100K supplemental inclusion in that \$2,375M proposal to them. If it's supplemental, in case you have future work, are we not allowing them to charge additional markup if they do get extra work? They are charging a markup against the subcontractor markup of 5% of \$2,375M that includes that \$100K supplement allowance.

Why are we paying a 5% markup on a supplement allowance? If we don't use the \$100K do we get \$105K back or \$100K back? Mr. Carbajal will have to get back to the board on that. We don't want to delay the project.

Chair Plachta took the item out to the public. No speakers addressed the item.

Board Member Garcia made a motion to approve the change order and direct staff to talk to the contractor to discuss what happens to the 5% and staff report back to the Oversight Board. Vice Chair Kloose seconded the motion.

On motion of Board Member Garcia, seconded by Vice Chair Kloose, that the above Action Item be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Board Member Plachta, Kloose, Board Member Garcia, Board Member Hyatt, Board Member Domingos, Board Member Ferreira and Non-voting Member Soria

Absent: 1 - Mackey

5. UNSCHEDULED COMMUNICATION

Upon call, no speakers spoke.

6. MEMBER COMMENTS

No member comments.

7. ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 7:10 p.m.

The above minutes were approved at the November 14, 2016 meeting with a motion from Board Member Garcia and a second from Board Member Mackey. The motion passed on a 5-0 vote, with Board Member Domingos and Vice Chair Kloose absent.

PLEASE NOTE: Due to size and volume, supporting documents are provided to board members in electronic format. Copies of supporting documents are available for public review in the Office of the City Clerk.