



State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife

2018 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT

DFW 753.5a (Rev. 01/03/18) Previously DFG 753.5a

RECEIPT NUMBER:

E201910000053

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (if applicable)

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL	DATE
CITY OF FRESNO		02/08/2019
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING	DOCUMENT NUMBER	
FRESNO COUNTY	E201910000053	
PROJECT TITLE		

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. P18-02753

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL	PHONE NUMBER
CITY OF FRESNO		(559) 621-8068
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS	CITY	STATE
2600 FRESNO ST, 3RD FLOOR	FRESNO	CA
		ZIP CODE
		93721

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)

☒ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☐ Other Special District ☐ State Agency ☐ Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:

<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	\$3,271.00 \$	0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)	\$2,354.75 \$	0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Regulatory Program document (CRP)	\$1,077.00 \$	0.00

☐ Exempt from fee

☐ Notice of Exemption (attach)

☐ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)

☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)

<input type="checkbox"/> Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only)	\$1,112.00 \$	0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> County documentary handling fee	\$50.00 \$	0.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other NOTICE OF INTENT	\$	0.00

PAYMENT METHOD:

☐ Cash ☐ Credit ☐ Check ☐ Other

TOTAL RECEIVED \$ 0.00

SIGNATURE

X

Nina Lopez

AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE

Nina Lopez Deputy Clerk

CITY OF FRESNO

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

Filed with: **E201910000053**

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT No. P18-02753

APPLICANT:

Jon Bartel, Supervising Engineering Tech
Department of Public Utilities
City of Fresno
2600 Fresno Street, Room 3065
Fresno, CA 93721

FILED
FEB 08 2019 TIME *2:30PM*
By *[Signature]* **FRESNO COUNTY CLERK**
Nina Lopez **DEPUTY**
FRESNO COUNTY CLERK
2220 Tulare Street, Fresno, CA 93721

PROJECT LOCATION:

North Polk Avenue, between West Shaw Avenue to West Rialto Avenue.

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 510-130-35S, 510-120-24, 510-120-13, 510-120-12, 510-120-11, 510-030-17, 510-030-25, 510-120-23, 510-120-22, 510-120-21(Portion)

Environmental Assessment No. P18-02753 was filed by Jon Bartel, on behalf of the Department of Public Works of the City of Fresno. The applicant proposes to make street improvements to North Polk Avenue including roadway widening, street rehabilitation and repair, between West Shaw Avenue and West Rialto Avenue. Roadway widening along North Polk Avenue will require the acquisition of parts from 10 parcels along the roadway. This project will widen and construct curb, gutter and sidewalk on the west side of North Polk Avenue from 667 feet south the centerline of West Shaw Avenue to West Acacia Avenue; and widen and construct curb, gutter and sidewalk on the east side of North Polk Avenue between West Rialto Avenue and West Acacia Avenue. The existing school crosswalk serving Teague Elementary, crossing North Polk Avenue, will be enhanced with a high intensity activated crosswalk (HAWK) crossing. This project will resurface North Polk Avenue with a combination of complete pavement cross-section replacement or grinding off the top two tenths of a foot of the existing pavement and replacement with fresh asphalt, between West Shaw Avenue and West Rialto Avenue. This project will replace striping and signing after the above construction improvements. This project will ensure that all pedestrian facilities will meet or exceed current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards or guidelines. This project will provide street lighting along North Polk Avenue and will modify the traffic signal at the intersection of North Polk Avenue and West Shaw Avenue. This project will construct a 36" storm drain trunk line along North Polk Avenue thence eastward along West Shaw Avenue connecting to an existing 48" storm drain at North Hayes Avenue. Class 2 Bike lanes will be included with the widening of North Polk Avenue between West Gettysburg Avenue and West Shaw Avenue in accordance with the General Plan, Mobility and Transportation element. All roadway widening along North Polk Avenue and storm drainage improvements along North Polk and West Shaw Avenues are addressed in the City of Fresno General Plan and Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR).

The City of Fresno has conducted an initial study of the above-described project and it has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and is prepared in accordance with Sections 15070 to 15075 of the CEQA Guidelines. The project is partially within the scope of MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, the Development and Resource Management Department proposes to adopt a Negative Declaration for this project.

With mitigation imposed under the MEIR, there is no substantial evidence in the record that this project may have additional significant, direct, indirect or cumulative effects on the environment that are significant and that were not identified and analyzed in the MEIR. The Development and Resource Management Department, as lead agency, finds that no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the MEIR was certified and that no new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time that the MEIR was certified as complete has become available. The project is not site specific and the proposed project will not impact any site enumerated under Section 65962.5 of the Government Code including, but not limited to, lists of hazardous waste facilities, land designated as hazardous waste property, hazardous waste disposal sites and others, and the information in the Hazardous Waste and Substances Statement required under subdivision (f) of that Section.

Additional information on the proposed project, including the MEIR, proposed environmental finding and the initial study may be obtained from the Development and Resource Management Department, Fresno City Hall, 2600 Fresno Street, 3rd Floor Fresno, California 93721 3604. Please contact Gustavo Rangel at (559) 621-8075 or via email at Gustavo.Rangel@fresno.gov for more information.

ANY INTERESTED PERSON may comment on the proposed environmental finding. Comments must be in writing and must state (1) the commentor's name and address; (2) the commentor's interest in, or relationship to, the project; (3) the environmental determination being commented upon; and (4) the specific reason(s) why the proposed environmental determination should or should not be made. Any comments may be submitted at any time between the publication date of this notice and close of business on March, 04, 2019. Please direct comments to Gustavo Rangel, Planner, City of Fresno Development and Resource Management Department, City Hall, 2600 Fresno Street, Room 3043, Fresno, California, 93721-3604; or by email to Gustavo.Rangel@fresno.gov; or comments can be sent by facsimile to (559) 498-1026.

INITIAL STUDY PREPARED BY:

Gustavo Rangel, Planner

SUBMITTED BY:

McKencie Perez

McKencie Perez, Supervising Planner
CITY OF FRESNO DEVELOPMENT
AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT

DATE: February 8, 2019

APPENDIX G/INITIAL STUDY FOR A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Environmental Checklist Form for: EA No. P18-02753

1.	Project title: <u>Environmental Assessment No. P18-02753</u>
2.	Lead agency name and address: <u>City of Fresno</u> <u>Development and Resource Management Department</u> <u>2600 Fresno Street</u> <u>Fresno, CA 93721</u>
3.	Contact person and phone number: <u>Gustavo Rangel, Planner</u> <u>City of Fresno</u> <u>Development and Resource Management Dept.</u> <u>(559) 621-8075</u>
4.	Project location: North Polk Avenue, between West Shaw Avenue to West Rialto Avenue Fresno, CA
5.	Project sponsor's name and address: <u>Jon Bartel</u> <u>Department of Public Works</u> <u>City of Fresno</u> <u>2600 Fresno Street, Room 3065</u> <u>Fresno, CA 93721</u>
6.	General & Community plan designation: Arterial Streets
7.	Zoning: Public Street

8.

Description of project:

Environmental Assessment No. P18-02753 proposes to make street improvements to North Polk Avenue including roadway widening, street rehabilitation and repair, between West Shaw Avenue and West Rialto Avenue. Roadway widening along North Polk Avenue will require the acquisition of parts from 10 parcels along the roadway. This project will widen and construct curb, gutter and sidewalk on the west side of North Polk Avenue from 667 feet south the centerline of West Shaw Avenue to West Acacia Avenue; and widen and construct curb, gutter and sidewalk on the east side of North Polk Avenue between West Rialto Avenue and West Acacia Avenue. The existing school crosswalk serving Teague Elementary, crossing North Polk Avenue, will be enhanced with a high intensity activated crosswalk (HAWK) crossing. This project will resurface North Polk Avenue with a combination of complete pavement cross-section replacement or grinding off the top two tenths of a foot of the existing pavement and replacement with fresh asphalt, between West Shaw Avenue and West Rialto Avenue. This project will replace striping and signing after the above construction improvements. This project will ensure that all pedestrian facilities will meet or exceed current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards or guidelines. This project will provide street lighting along North Polk Avenue and will modify the traffic signal at the intersection of North Polk Avenue and West Shaw Avenue. This project will construct a 36" storm drain trunk line along North Polk Avenue thence eastward along West Shaw Avenue connecting to an existing 48" storm drain at North Hayes Avenue. Class 2 Bike lanes will be included with the widening of North Polk Avenue between West Gettysburg Avenue and West Shaw Avenue in accordance with the General Plan, Mobility and Transportation element. All roadway widening along North Polk Avenue and storm drainage improvements along North Polk and West Shaw Avenues are addressed in the City of Fresno General Plan and Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR).

9.

Surrounding land uses and setting:

	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	Existing Land Use
North	Commercial General	CG (Commercial General)	Gas station, Retail Business
East	Residential Medium Density	CG, RM-2, RS-5/UGM (Residential Medium Density/Urban Growth Management)	Medium Density Residential

	South	Residential Medium Density	R-5 (Single Family Residential District)	Vacant/Medium Density Residential
	West	Commercial General/Residential Medium Density/Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood/Public Facility, Elementary School	CG ; RS-5 ; RM-2/cz ; PI (Commercial General; Residential Medium Density; Residential Multi-Family/conditions of zoning; and Public Institution)	Residential Homes, Apartment Complex, Elementary School, Car Wash
10.	Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement): None			
11.	<p>Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21080.3.1? If so, has consultation begun?</p> <p>The Lead Agency had requested consultation, pursuant to PRC Section 21080.3.1 on November 7, 2018. The Lead Agency did not receive any requests for consultation within the 30 day period allotted under PRC Section 21080.3(d).</p> <p>The State requires lead agencies to consider the potential effects of proposed projects and consult with California Native American tribes during the local planning process for the purpose of protecting Traditional Tribal Cultural Resources through the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. Pursuant to PRC Section 21080.3.1, the lead agency shall begin consultation with the California Native American tribe that is traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographical area of the proposed project. Such significant cultural resources are either sites, features, places, cultural landscapes, sacred places, and objects with cultural value to a tribe which is either on or eligible for inclusion in the California Historic Register or local historic register, or, the lead agency, at its discretion, and support by substantial evidence, choose to treat the resources as a Tribal Cultural Resources (PRC Section 21074(a)(1-2)). According to the most recent census data, California is home to 109 currently recognized Indian tribes. Tribes in California currently have nearly 100 separate reservations or Rancherias. Fresno County has a number of Rancherias such as Table Mountain Rancheria, Millerton Rancheria, Big Sandy Rancheria, Cold Springs Rancheria, and Squaw Valley Rancheria. These Rancherias are not located within the city limits. Table Mountain Rancheria and the Dumna Wo Wah tribes</p>			

	<p>requested to be notified of projects for when an exemption from CEQA is not possible. No tribes notified requested consultation for this project.</p> <p>Note: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See PRC Section 21083.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per PRC Section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that PRC Section 21082.3(c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.</p>
--	--

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.


	Aesthetics		Agriculture and Forestry Resources		Air Quality
	Biological Resources		Cultural Resources		Geology /Soils
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions		Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Hydrology/Water Quality
	Land Use/Planning		Mineral Resources		Noise
	Population /Housing		Public Services		Recreation
	Transportation/Traffic		Tribal Cultural Resources		Utilities/Service Systems
	Mandatory Findings of Significance				

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

<u>X</u>	I find that the proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
—	I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it is not fully within the scope of the MEIR because the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR. However, there will not be a significant effect in this

	case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. The project specific mitigation measures and all applicable mitigation measures contained in the MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist will be imposed upon the proposed project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
—	I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it MAY have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required to analyze the potentially significant effects not examined in the MEIR pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(d) and CEQA Guidelines 15178(a).


Gustavo Rangel, Planner

2/8/19
Date

EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOT ASSESSED IN THE MEIR:

1. For purposes of this Initial Study, the following answers have the corresponding meanings:
 - a. "No Impact" means the subsequent project will not cause any additional significant effect related to the threshold under consideration which was not previously examined in the MEIR.
 - b. "Less Than Significant Impact" means there is an impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR, but that impact is less than significant;
 - c. "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation" means there is a potentially significant impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR, however, with the mitigation incorporated into the project, the impact is less than significant.
 - d. "Potentially Significant Impact" means there is an additional potentially significant effect related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR.
2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to

projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

3. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
5. A "Finding of Conformity" is a determination based on an initial study that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR.
6. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
7. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR or MEIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in the MEIR or another earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

8. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
9. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
10. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
11. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				X
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			X	

General Plan Policy MT-3-a identifies corridors as “scenic corridors.” The proposed project is not along nor touches or intersects any of the scenic corridors, outlined in Policy MT-3-a.

The proposed project will not degrade the existing visual character. It will revitalized and enhance the visual character of the area by placing street lights at the North Polk Avenue and West Shaw Avenue intersection, and installing a High Intensity Activated Cross Walk (HAWK) on North Polk Avenue between West Rialto Avenue and West Acacia Avenue. This will increase the amount of light emitted and complete and connect curb and sidewalk to existing curb and sidewalk.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any aesthetics impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the				
California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. -- Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				X
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				X
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				X

The proposed project is not located on Prime, Unique, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, nor does it conflict with existing agricultural or forest zoning, a Williamson Act contract nor would it result in the loss or conversion of forestland to non-forest use.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any agriculture and forestry resource environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in the MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to agriculture and forestry resources.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	------------------

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>III. AIR QUALITY AND GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE - (Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations.) --</p> <p>Would the project:</p>				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan (e.g., by having potential emissions of regulated criterion pollutants which exceed the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control Districts (SJVAPCD) adopted thresholds for these pollutants)?			X	
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			X	
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			X	
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				X

The proposed project will have a less than significant impact on air quality plans. The street widening will construct curb, gutter and sidewalk and have two lanes on each direction on North Polk Avenue between West Shaw to West Gettysburg Avenues, which will improve the flow of traffic. Based on the traffic data provided by the City of Fresno Public Works Department, Polk Avenue is classified as an arterial street with an existing ADT of 6,263 vehicles northbound on Polk Avenue and 4,742 vehicles southbound on Polk Avenue. The Fresno General Plan anticipated the level of traffic on both streets. Therefore the proposed project will have a less than significant impact on air quality, pollutant, and odors affecting a substantial number of people.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any air quality resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to air quality.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - - Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				X
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			X	
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				X

The project area is surrounded by urban uses, and therefore is not a suitable area for candidate, sensitive, or special-status species, a suitable riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community.

The project area contains no obvious federally protected wetlands.

There are no endangered species present in the project area and the project is located in an established urban area. There are no listed, threatened or endangered species of designated critical habitats within the boundaries of the subject property.

Eighteen trees are proposed to be removed within the right of way. The project shall to comply with the Tree Preservation Policy found in Fresno Municipal Code (FMC) Section 13-305. Prior to removal, the applicant shall obtain a tree removal permit pursuant to Section 15-2308.D.1. of the FMC.

No habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans in the region pertain to the natural resources that exist on the subject site or in their immediate vicinity.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any biological resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to biological resources.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?				X
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?			X	
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			X	

There are no structures which exist within the project area that are listed in the National or Local Register of Historic Places, and the project is not within a designated historic district. There are no known archaeological or paleontological resources that exist within the project area; previously unknown paleontological resources or undiscovered human remains could be disturbed during project construction. There is no evidence that cultural resources of any type (including historical, archaeological, paleontological, or unique geologic features) exist in the project area. Past record searches for the region have not revealed the likelihood of cultural resources in the project area. Therefore, it is not expected that the proposed project may impact cultural resources. It should be noted however, that lack of surface evidence of historical resources does not preclude the subsurface existence of archaeological resources. Furthermore, previously unknown paleontological resources or undiscovered human remains could be disturbed during project construction.

Therefore, due to the ground disturbing activities that will occur as a result of the project, the measures within MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 for the Fresno General Plan, Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist to address archaeological resources, paleontological resources, and human remains will be employed to guarantee that should archaeological and/or animal fossil material be encountered during project excavations, then work shall stop immediately; and, that qualified professionals in the respective field are contacted and consulted in order to ensure that the activities of the proposed project will not involve physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of historic, archaeological, or paleontological resources.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any cultural resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				X
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				X
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				X
iv) Landslides?				X
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				X
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				X
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X

The project is not proposing physical development that will impact geology or soil resources. In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any geology and soil resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be less than significant impacts related to geology and soils.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS -- Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			X	
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				X

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions and global climate change represent cumulative impacts. GHG emissions cumulatively contribute to the significant adverse environmental impacts of global climate change. No single project could generate enough GHG emissions to noticeably change the global average temperature; instead, the combination of GHG emissions from past, present, and future projects have contributed and will contribute to global climate change and its associated environmental impacts.

CEQA requires public agencies to identify the potentially significant effects on the environment of projects they intend to carry out or approve, and to mitigate significant effects whenever it is feasible to do so.

The proposed project will not occur at a scale or scope with potential to contribute substantially or cumulatively to the generation of GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly. The General Plan and MEIR rely upon a Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan that provides a comprehensive assessment of the benefits of city policies and proposed code changes, existing plans, programs, and initiatives that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The plan demonstrates that even though there is increased growth, the City would still be reducing greenhouse gas emissions through 2020 and per capita emission rates drop substantially. The benefits of adopted regulations become flat in later years and growth starts to exceed the reductions from all regulations and measures.

In conclusion, the proposed project will not result in any greenhouse gas emission environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				X
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				X
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				X

There are no known existing hazardous material conditions on the project area and the project area is not included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The project itself will not generate or use hazardous materials in a manner outside health department requirements, is not near any wild land fire hazard zones, and poses no interference with the City's or County's Hazard Mitigation Plans or emergency response plans.

No pesticides or hazardous materials are known to exist on the project area and the proposed project will have no environmental impacts related to potential hazards or hazardous materials as identified above.

In conclusion, the project will not result in any hazards and hazardous material impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				X
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				X
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			X	
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				X
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				X
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				X
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				X
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				X

The project will alter the existing drainage of the site by adding an 18 inch storm drain pipe from West Fairmont Avenue to West Shaw Avenue and connecting to North Hayes Avenue. The subject site contains a portion of a canal or pipeline that is used to manage recharge, storm water, and/or flood flows. The pipeline is not located near a stream or river and will not result in erosion or siltation.

The project will not increase the rate or amount of surface runoff which would result in flooding on or off site.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any hydrology or water quality impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				X
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			X	
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				X

The project area consists of an arterial street with limited sidewalks from West Shaw Avenue to West Acacia Avenue along North Polk Avenue. The proposed project will create a Class two Bike Path as well as construct curb, gutter and sidewalk to connect to existing sidewalk.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any land use and planning environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to land use and planning.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				X
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				X

The project area is not located in an area designated for mineral resource preservation or recovery; therefore, will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state.

The subject site is not delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan as a locally-important mineral resource recovery site; therefore it will not result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any mineral resource environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to mineral resources.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XII. NOISE -- Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			X	
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			X	
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			X	
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X

Generally, the three primary sources of substantial noise that affect the City of Fresno and its residents are all transportation-related and consist of local streets and regional highways; airport operations at the Fresno Yosemite International, the Fresno-Chandler Downtown, and the Sierra Sky Park Airports; and railroad operations along the BNSF Railway and the Union Pacific Railroad lines. The project site is not located within the vicinity of any rail lines and is outside of the noise contours for the Fresno Chandler Executive Airport, Fresno Yosemite Airport, or any other airport or private air strip.

The project site is surrounded by urban uses and proposes to widen an existing road in compliance with the planned street designation. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the proposed project will result in an increase in temporary and/or periodic ambient noise levels on the subject property above existing levels. However, these noise levels will not exceed those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

Short Term Noise Impacts

The construction of a project involves both short-term, construction related noise, and long term noise potentially generated by increases in area traffic, nearby stationary sources, or other transportation sources. The Fresno Municipal Code (FMC) allows for construction noise in excess of standards if it complies with the section below (Chapter 10, Article 1, Section 10-109 – Exemptions). It states that the provisions of Article 1 – Noise Regulations of the FMC shall not apply to:

Construction, repair or remodeling work accomplished pursuant to a building, electrical, plumbing, mechanical, or other construction permit issued by the city or other governmental agency, or to site preparation and grading, provided such work takes place between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. on any day except Sunday.

Thus, construction activity would be exempt from City of Fresno noise regulations, as long as such activity is conducted pursuant to an applicable construction permit and occurs between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., excluding Sunday. Therefore, short-term construction impacts associated with the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies would be less than significant.

Groundborne Vibrations and Groundborne Noise Impacts

The construction of the project could involve short-term, construction related to groundborne vibrations and groundborne noise. The FMC does not set standards for groundborne vibration, however construction activities are exempt. The MEIR for the Fresno General Plan references Caltrans standards to determine impacts.

Long Term Noise Impacts

The immediate vicinity consists of primarily residential homes to the south, north, east and west, which are exempt from noise level requirements. Although the project will create additional activity in the area, the project will be required to comply with all noise policies from the Fresno General Plan and noise ordinance from the FM

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any noise environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING -- Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				X
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			X	

The project will not induce substantial population growth in an area that was not already analyzed under MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 or planned for under the Fresno General Plan. The project is proposing to acquire land from several properties. The property at 4919 North Polk Avenue (APN: 510-120-13) will be impacted the most and may require the property owner to relocate. However options shall be provided to maintain the existing residence.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any population and housing environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be a less than significant impact related to population and housing.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES --				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?			X	
Police protection?			X	
Drainage and flood control?			X	
Parks?				X
Schools?			X	
Other public services?				X

The project is expected to begin on October 2020, no phasing is expected. The proposed project will have some interruption of traffic on North Polk Avenue between West Shaw Avenue and West Gettysburg Avenue. Despite this, the existing Fire Station 16 is located in an area where emergency services can service the area.

All existing utilities in the surrounding area that would be impacted will be relocated.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any public service impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV. RECREATION --				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				X
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				X

The project is not proposing physical development that will increase the use of recreational facilities. In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any recreation environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015. Therefore, there will be no impacts related to recreation.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths and mass transit?				X
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				X
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?			X	
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				X
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				X

Based on traffic data provided by the City of Fresno, North Polk Avenue is classified as an arterial street with an existing ADT of 6,263 vehicles north on of Polk Avenue from West Gettysburg Avenue, and 4,742 vehicles south of Polk from West Shaw Avenue. The existing arterial street will be widened between West Shaw Avenue and West Rialto Avenue. The MEIR and General Plan under appendix H-7.2 have planned for North Polk Avenue (Arterial Street) to have four lanes. The Fresno General Pan classifies Arterial streets as four to six lane divided roadways with the primary purpose of moving traffic within and between neighborhoods and to and from freeways and expressways.

Furthermore, the design of the proposed project will be evaluated and will comply with City of Fresno standards, specification and policies. The project is not located near an airport; therefore it will not change air traffic levels. Any proposed streets will be reviewed by the Department of Public Works and will be conditioned to not create hazards. The project will not conflict with adopted policies or plans regarding public transit, bicycle or pedestrian facilities because said features will be incorporated into the conditions of approval for the project.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any traffic or transportation environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in PRC section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is?				X
i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in PRC section 5020.1(k), or,				X
ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of PRC section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of PRC section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				x

The proposed project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource defined in PRC Section 21074. The proposed project site is not listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in PRC Section 5020.1(k) or a significant resource to a California Native American tribe.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any tribal cultural resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				X
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			X	
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			X	
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				X
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				X

The MEIR has provided mitigation measures that the proposed project must implement and comply with to mitigate drainage in the area. Development of the property requires compliance with grading and drainage standards of the City of Fresno and FMFCD.

The proposed project is not expected to exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board. The impact to storm drainage facilities will be less than significant given the developer will be required to provide drainage services and convey runoff to Master Plan Facilities.

In conclusion, the project will not result in any utilities and service system impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE --				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				X
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				X
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				X

The proposed project is considered to be proposed at a size and scope which is neither a direct or indirect detriment to the quality of the environment through reductions in habitat, populations, or examples of local history (through either individual or cumulative impacts).

The proposed project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or reduce the habitat of wildlife species and will not threaten plant

communities or endanger any floral or faunal species. Furthermore the project has no potential to eliminate important examples of major periods in history.

Therefore, as noted in preceding sections of this Initial Study, there is no evidence in the record to indicate that incremental environmental impacts facilitated by this project would be cumulatively significant. There is also no evidence in the record that the proposed project would have any adverse impacts directly, or indirectly, on human beings. Therefore, there are no mandatory findings of significance.