

EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS

FOR: REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR PERMANENT ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT REPAIRS

Bid File No. 9484
Bid Opening:
11/27/2018

BIDDERS	TOTAL NET BID AMOUNT
1. Pierce Construction 5281 W Olive Ave Fresno, CA 93722	\$564,260.00 mP *NON-RESPONSIVE
2. West Valley Construction Company, Inc. PO Box 5639 San Jose, CA 95150	\$691,038.00 mP
3. Dawson – Mauldin, LLC 1071 E. Nebraska Ave Selma, CA 93662	\$728,770.00 mP

Each bidder has agreed to extend their bid proposals from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Unit at the latest by Monday, March 7th, 2019, by 5:00 P.M.

The current contract pricing is 50 % half the previous contract pricing. If the overage is greater than 10% or only one bid was received, give explanation: N/A

BACKGROUND OF PROJECT (To be completed by Evaluating Department/Division. Explain need for project/equipment):

The Department of Public Utilities, Water Division, routinely cuts and removes existing street paving to gain access to the water system for repairs, maintenance, and capital improvements. These pavement cuts require a permanent pavement repair. Due to the ongoing nature of this work, the Water Division established a requirements contract in 2013 to obtain firm pricing, secured through competitive bidding, for three years and was re-established on May 1, 2016 for one and one-half years, that allowed the Water Division to order the repairs on an as needed basis. The requirements contract has expired on December 14, 2017, and the Water Division has competitively rebid the contract to establish new pricing for up to three years.

EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS

FOR: REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR PERMANENT ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT REPAIRS

Bid File No. 9484
Bid Opening:
11/27/2018

DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:

Award a contract in the amount of \$ _____
to _____
as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

Remarks:

Reject all bids. Reason: Following a posting of staff's determination, an error was discovered. After review, it was discovered that the quantities listed in the Request for Proposals reflected amounts anticipated for half of the contract period. Thus, the contract price and quantities have been underestimated.

Department Head Approval

 Dejan Pavle

Title Public Utilities Manager

Date 03/19/2019

Approve Dept. Recommendation Approve Finance/Purchasing Recommendation

Disapprove Disapprove

See Attachment

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

CITY MANAGER

 03/19/2019
Purchasing Manager Date

 3/19/19
City Manager or Designee Date

 3/19/19
Finance Director Date

EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS

FOR: REQUIREMENTS CONTRACT FOR PERMANENT ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT REPAIRS

Bid File No. 9484
Bid Opening:
11/27/2018

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PROGRAM:

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>	<u>TOTAL OR CURRENT</u>	<u>ANNUALIZED COST</u>
Direct Cost	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Indirect Cost	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
TOTAL COST	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Net City Cost	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>