


# COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

CITY OF FRESNO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM

*A Pension Trust Fund of the City of Fresno (California)*



**Stanley McDivitt**  
Retirement Administrator

**Kathleen Riley Brown**  
Assistant Retirement Administrator

**Yvonne Timberlake**  
Retirement Benefits Manager

**CARING  
FOR YOUR  
FUTURE**

2828 FRESNO STREET SUITE 201  
FRESNO CA 93721-1327  
[WWW.CFRS-CA.ORG](http://WWW.CFRS-CA.ORG)

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT  
FOR FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014

---

City of Fresno Employees Retirement System

---

Fresno, California

*The **EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM** was established on June 1, 1939 and is maintained and governed by Article 5 of the Fresno Municipal Code. The Employees Retirement System (the System) provides retirement benefits for all qualified non-sworn employees of the City of Fresno.*

## MISSION

To protect and provide system benefits through the highest quality delivery of service for our members and the employer prudently fulfilling our fiduciary duties of investment and conservation of trust assets.

## BOARD AND STAFF COMMITMENT

We promise to carry out our mission through a competent, professional, impartial and open decision-making process. In providing benefits and services, all persons will be treated fairly, with courtesy and respect. Assets will be invested and administered to balance the need to control risk with superior performance. We expect excellence in all activities. We will also be accountable and act in accordance with the law.

## GOALS

To create an environment in which board members can maximize their performance as trustees. To improve business processes and our delivery of services provided to members and retirees. To improve communications with members, retirees and the employer. To attract, develop and retain competent and professional staff. To achieve and maintain a superior investment performance on a risk controlled basis as measured by the public fund universe.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

## Introductory Section

Letter of Transmittal.....	ii
City of Fresno Employees Retirement System Board Members.....	x
City of Fresno Retirement Administrative Staff.....	xi
Administration of the System.....	xii
Organizational Structure.....	xiii
Professional Services Consultants .....	xiv
Investment Portfolio Managers.....	xv
Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.....	xvi

## Financial Section

Independent Auditor’s Report.....	2-3
Management’s Discussion and Analysis .....	5-15

### **Basic Financial Statements**

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position .....	16
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position .....	17
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements .....	18-45

### **Required Supplementary Information**

Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability.....	46
Schedule of Employer Contributions.....	48
Schedule of Investment Returns .....	48-49
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information .....	50-51

### **Other Supplementary Information**

Schedule of Administrative Expenses.....	52
Schedule of Investment Management Expenses .....	53
Schedule of Payments to Consultants.....	53

## Investment Section

Investment Report from the Retirement Administrator .....	55-59
Investment Consultant’s Report.....	60-61
Investment Results (Gross and Net of Fees).....	62-63
Target Asset Allocation and Actual Asset Allocation .....	64
Largest Stock and Bond Holdings .....	65
Schedule of Commissions .....	66
Investment Summary .....	66

## Actuarial Section

Actuarial Certification Letter .....	68-70
Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Funding Method .....	71
Probabilities of Separation Prior to Retirement .....	72
Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data .....	73
Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to or Removed from Rolls .....	74
Solvency Test .....	75
Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience .....	76
Schedule of Funding Progress .....	76
Major Benefit Provisions of the Retirement System .....	77
History of Employer Net Contribution Rates .....	78

## Statistical Section

Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Last Ten Fiscal Years .....	81
Schedule and Graph of Additions by Source .....	82
Schedule and Graph of Deductions by Type .....	83

## **Membership Information**

Schedule of Average Benefit Payments .....	84
Retirees by Type of Benefit .....	85
Schedule and Graph of Pension Benefit Payments Deductions by Type .....	86
Schedule and Graph of Active Vested, Active Non-Vested and Deferred Membership History .....	87
Schedule and Graph of Retirees Pension Benefit Payments by Type of Benefit .....	88
Summary of Active Participants and Retirees .....	89-90
Member and City Contribution Rates .....	91
Economic Assumptions and Funding Method .....	92
Benefits and Withdrawals Paid .....	93
Average Monthly Benefits to Retirees .....	94
Expectation of Life (Age and Service Retirees) .....	95
Expectation of Life (Disabled Retirees) .....	95

## Compliance Section

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control .....	97-98
Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance And Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	

# INTRODUCTORY SECTION

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014**

## **CITY OF FRESNO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

**Letter of Transmittal**

**Fire and Police Retirement System Board Members**

**Retirement Administrator and Administrative Staff**

**Organizational Structure**

**Professional Services Consultants**

**Investment Portfolio Managers**

**Certificate of Achievement in Financial Reporting**

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

City of Fresno Retirement Systems  
2828 Fresno Street Suite 201  
Fresno, CA 93721



**Stanley L. McDivitt**  
Retirement Administrator

December 23, 2015

Dear Board Members:

As Retirement Administrator of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System, it is with great pleasure that I submit the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

For almost seven years, the world has been in a monetary state of emergency, with the largest developed nations' central banks holding interest rates near zero in an effort to jolt economies out of the doldrums. Increasingly, markets are acting as though this bizarre situation will last a while longer -- and for public pension systems that is a troubling sign. However, the Fed did successfully end its long-term bond buying program, with no perceptible impact on long-term interest rates. The market successfully took up the \$85 billion per month that the Fed was absorbing before "tapering" started. That's a good sign for the health of financial markets.

For much of fiscal year 2015, the dominant question among investors has been when the U.S. Federal Reserve, encouraged by economic recovery, will start pulling back stimulus by raising rates from zero. And, the other narrative which followed is: What if the slowdown in China and other emerging markets becomes a bigger deal, pulling the rest of the world down with it? Will the Fed and other central banks be able to raise rates at all?

Oil prices fell precipitously during the second half of 2014, which prompted a similarly precipitous decline in the number of American rigs actively producing petroleum. The rapid retreat of the U.S. energy sector was among the factors depressing economic growth in the first half of 2015.

The post-financial crisis world seems to be far less-secure. The disappointing and uneven pace of the global recovery has created struggles for communities around the world. Those enduring poor fortune can begin to question whether the system is working for them and come to see the forces of change as enemies. Leveraged governments appear to have neither the appetite nor the funds to respond aggressively to domestic or international threats. Restoring sustainable economic growth after the global financial crisis has been and will continue to be hard work.

Despite the persistent and overwhelming challenges of the global economy, the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System (the System) is well funded at a fully funded status on both a market value and actuarial basis at 112.2 percent and 109.2 percent, respectively. From a long-term perspective, the System is positioned to provide a solid rate of return that is equal to or better than the respective asset classes market indices even as we enter yet another year that is filled with uncertainty in the global economic and financial markets. The Retirement Board (the Board) carefully managed the investment portfolio through last year's continued turbulence in the global financial markets and we remain confident that new investment opportunities will arise and the Board, with the required amount of due diligence and vigilance, will position the System's investments for future long-term growth.

In fiscal year 2015, the System's gross of fee returns provided by its custodian Northern Trust, when compared to other institutional investors and weighted policy benchmarks, were very favorable. The System's gross of fees one-year return was 3.33 percent; 0.94 percent above its policy benchmark return of 2.39 percent; and underperforming its actuarial interest rate assumption of 7.50 percent by 4.17 percent. The five-year annualized gross of fees return of 11.37 percent was positive, above its policy benchmark return of 10.83 percent by 0.54 percent. The System's ten-year annualized gross of fees return at 6.88 percent slightly exceeding its policy benchmarks for that period by 0.07 percent while underperforming its actuarial interest rate assumption by 0.62 percent for the same period.

The Employees Retirement System remains highly funded and well positioned to serve our members and retirees. The System's 20 and 25-year, long-term returns of 8.39 percent and 8.85 percent, respectively, as of June 30, 2015, illustrate the System's ability to achieve our long-term objectives over extended periods of time. Meanwhile, the System's actuarial and market value funding status continues to be the highest of any public pension defined benefit plan in California.

## The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)

The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 is submitted herewith. Information contained in this report is designed to provide a complete and accurate review of the year's operations. Responsibility for both the accuracy of the data, and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, rests with the management of the System. For a general overview of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System's finances, please refer to the Management's Discussion and Analysis in the Financial Section of this report. The CAFR consists of six sections:

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL (*Continued*)

The **Introductory Section** contains our Mission Statement, a Letter of Transmittal, a description of the System’s management and organizational structure, a listing of the professional services providers, and the Government Financial Officers Association’s Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.

The **Financial Section** contains the opinion of the independent auditor, Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation, Management’s Discussion and Analysis and the basic financial statements of the System.

The **Investment Section** includes the Retirement Administrator’s Investment Report, a letter from the System’s Investment Consultant, NEPC, LLC recapping the fiscal year investment results and activities, along with performance and asset allocation information.

The **Actuarial Section** includes the certification letter produced by the independent actuary, The Segal Company, along with supporting schedules and information.

The **Statistical Section** contains significant detailed data pertaining to the System.

The **Compliance Section** contains the Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

I trust that you and the members of the System will find this CAFR helpful in understanding the System, and our commitment to financial integrity and member services.

## THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND ITS SERVICES

The Employees Retirement System was established on June 1, 1939, under Charter Section 910 and is governed by Article 5 of Chapter 3 of the City of Fresno Municipal Code. The System provides retirement allowances to the non-safety members employed by the City of Fresno. In accordance with the provisions of the City of Fresno Municipal Code, the System provides lifetime retirement, disability, and death benefits to its members.

The Employees Retirement Board is responsible for establishing policies governing the administration of the System, making benefit determinations, and managing the investment of the System’s assets. The Board operates under the authority vested in Article 5 of Chapter 3 of the City of Fresno Municipal Code and the *California Pension Protection Act of 1992*. Article XVI, Section 17(b) of the *Constitution of the State of California* provides that “the members of the Retirement Board of a public retirement system shall discharge their duties ... solely in the interest of, and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to, participants and their beneficiaries, minimizing employer contributions thereto, and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the System. A Retirement Board’s duty to its participants and their beneficiaries shall take precedence over any other duty.” Section 17(a) further provides that the Board has ... “the sole and exclusive responsibility to administer the System in a manner that will assure prompt delivery of benefits and related services to the participants and their beneficiaries.” The Retirement Board is also responsible for the prudent investment of member and employer contributions, and defraying reasonable expenses of administration.

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL *(Continued)*

The Retirement Board has five (5) members, two (2) management employees who are appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council, one (1) employee who is elected by the manual workers of the System, and one (1) employee who is elected by the clerical or supervisory workers of the System, both of which serve a four-year term. The fifth and final member of the Board is a qualified elector of the City, not connected with its government, elected by the previously designated four members.

The Board oversees the Retirement Administrator and staff in the performance of their duties in accordance with the Municipal Code and the Board's Rules, Regulations and Policies.

### MAJOR INITIATIVES

The Board, jointly with the Fire & Police Retirement System Board (the Boards), performed an investment manager search, due diligence review and selection of Emerging Markets Equity managers retaining two new emerging market managers, Acadian (Boston, MA) and Axiom (Greenwich, CT) each to manage a separate account of approximately \$38.0 million, effective August 1, 2014.

In February 2015, the Boards concluded further evaluation, education and analysis of diverse asset classes leading to the adoption of a new Asset Allocation Study and Asset Allocation Targets.

The Boards continued further evaluation and education related to direct lending with an introduction provided by two investment firms, White Oak and TCW, and details about the various types of loans and structures available through different lending products. Following these educational presentations which provided information on covenants and risk associated with direct lending, including leverage, the Boards authorized their investment consulting firm NEPC to issue an RFP for Direct Lending Manager search. Sixteen firms responded and following further review and evaluation of their products, six top firms were reviewed and two firms were ultimately selected for further review and due diligence. The Direct Lending Manager search will be completed during the third quarter 2015.

During fiscal year 2013, the Boards jointly approved a major two-year project to program and install an upgrade to our original pension administration system that was installed in 1997. The LRS Pension Gold Retirement Solutions' Version 3 project is an upgrade to a web based, fully integrated software administration system that includes imaging of documents, workflow processes and a new member portal allowing members secure access to their information in our system including Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP) account information. This project began in fiscal year 2013 with the kickoff of the Project Work Plan Development and Requirements Confirmation phases of the project. Document Imaging commenced in February 2013 and staff remarkably accomplished imaging of all active member and retiree files by the end of fiscal year 2014. Design, programming and User Acceptance Testing began on the new PG3 System in June 2013 with final user acceptance testing of the product on June 30, 2015. The new PG3 System went live effective July 1, 2015. A limited rollout of the Member Direct module is scheduled for December 2015 with full features expected to be rolled out by March 2016.

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL *(Continued)*

With the assistance of its actuary and staff, the Board completed the annual actuarial valuation for June 30, 2015 and 2014. Following implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 67 which redefines pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, the actuaries implemented for the City of Fresno, the new GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*, which revises and establishes new financial reporting requirements for most state and local governments that provide their employees with pension benefits. Statement 68 replaces the requirements of Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers* and Statement No. 50, *Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to governments that provide pensions through pension plans administered as trusts or similar arrangements that meet certain criteria.

Statement 68 requires governments providing defined benefit pensions to recognize their long-term obligation for pension benefits as a liability for the first time, and to more comprehensively and comparably measure the annual costs of pension benefits.

The Statement also enhances accountability and transparency through revised and new note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI).

### PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Professional Services Consultants and Investment Portfolio Managers are retained by the Board to provide professional services essential to the effective and efficient operation of the System.

An opinion from the certified public accountant and the actuary are included in this report along with a summary investment report from the Board's Investment Consultant. The Consultants and Investment Managers retained by the Board are listed in the following section of this report.

### CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System for its comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award recognizing excellence in the preparation of public employee retirement system comprehensive annual financial reports.

In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government entity must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements. A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. The City of Fresno Employees Retirement System has received a Certificate of Achievement for the last seventeen years. We believe that our current comprehensive annual financial report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL *(Continued)*

### ACTUARIAL FUNDING STATUS AND NET PENSION LIABILITY

The System's funding objective is to meet long-term benefit promises by retaining a well-funded plan status and obtaining superior investment returns while minimizing employer contributions. The Board's objective for employer contributions is to establish a rate as a level percent of member payroll. The greater the level of overall plan funding, the larger the ratio of assets accumulated compared to the actuarial accrued liability and the greater the level of investment potential. The advantage of a well-funded plan is that the benefits earned by participants are funded during their working careers and not by future generations of taxpayers.

The June 30, 2015, actuarial valuation is presented in this CAFR. As of June 30, 2015, the funded ratio of the Employees Retirement System was 109.2 percent. The ratio of the valuation value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities was 104.6 percent as of the June 30, 2014 valuation. The funding ratios as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 if measured using the market value of assets instead of the valuation value of assets are 112.2 percent and 114.3 percent, respectively. The funded ratios were determined by using the actuarial value of the assets in accordance with actuarial standards.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 67 became effective June 30, 2014 and is for Plan financial reporting. It replaces GASB Statement No. 25 which was previously the basis upon which the actuary determined the actuarial accrued liabilities. Statement No. 67 requires the utilization of slightly different assumption methodology for the calculation of the System's net pension liability. Statement 68 replaces Statement 27 and is effective June 30, 2015 for employer reporting. It is important to note that the new GASB Statement No. 67 redefines pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes only, and does not apply to contribution amounts for pension funding purposes.

The actuarial accrued liability of the System at June 30, 2015, for funding purposes, amounted to \$960,364,000; the valuation value of assets amounted to \$1,049,092,965 and market value of assets (including non-valuation reserves) amounted to \$1,169,926,556. At June 30, 2014, the actuarial valuation value of assets amounted to \$993,640,520 and the market value of assets (including non-valuation reserves) amounted to \$1,167,157,093.

Under the GASB Statement No. 67 Financial Reporting methodology, the net pension liability of the System as of June 30, 2015 indicates a surplus of \$98,852,169; while on an actuarial funding basis the valuation value of assets basis reflects a surplus of \$88,728,965 and a funding ratio of 109.2 percent.

The Board engages an independent actuarial consulting firm to conduct annual actuarial valuations of the System. The valuation purpose is to reassess the magnitude of the benefit commitments. This is compared to the assets expected to be available to support those commitments so employer and member contributions can be adjusted accordingly. Recommendations are presented to the Board for consideration. The Segal Company is the System's independent actuarial consultant.

The Actuarial Section of this report contains a more detailed discussion of funding.

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL *(Continued)*

### ACCOUNTING SYSTEM & REPORTS

The management of the System is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to ensure that System assets are protected from loss, theft, or misuse. Responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, and fair presentation of the information, and all disclosures, rests with the management of the System.

The accounting firm of Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation provides financial audit services. The financial audit ensures that the System's financial statements are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and are free of material misstatement. The internal controls are designed to provide reasonable but not absolute assurance that these objectives are met. The System recognizes that even sound internal controls have their inherent limitations. Internal controls are reviewed to ensure that the System's operating policies and procedures are being adhered to and that controls are adequate to ensure accurate and reliable financial reporting and to safeguard the System's assets. The objective is to provide a reasonable, rather than absolute assurance, that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements given the prudent need to ensure that the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits to be derived.

This report has been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments (GAAP) as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

The System's transactions are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are taken into account when earned, regardless of the date of collection. Expenses are recorded when the corresponding liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the payment is made.

### INVESTMENTS

Article XVI, Section 17(c) of the Constitution of the State of California, provides that "the members of the Retirement Board of a public pension or retirement system shall discharge their duties ... with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with these matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aim." By permitting further diversification of investments within a fund, the prudent expert rule may enable a fund to reduce overall risk and increase returns. A summary of the asset allocation can be found in the Investment Section of this report and in Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (see section Investments).

The prudent expert rule permits the Board to establish an investment policy based upon professional advice and counsel, and allows for delegation of investment authority to professional investment advisors. The Investment Objectives and Policy Statement of the Board outline the responsibility for the investment of the fund and the degree of risk that is deemed appropriate for the fund. Investment advisors are to execute the policy in accordance with the Board policy and guidelines.

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the System's investments provided a 3.33 percent and 17.58 percent gross of fees rate of return, respectively.


## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL (*Continued*)

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The compilation of this report reflects the combined effort of the System's staff under the leadership of the Board. It is intended to provide complete and reliable information as a basis for making management decisions, as a means of determining compliance with legal provisions, and as a means of determining responsible stewardship of the funds of the System.

On behalf of the Board, I would like to express my appreciation for the dedication and efforts of my staff members, Kathleen Riley Brown, Yvonne Timberlake, Alberto Magallanes, Karen Espiritu, Pattie Laygo, Andrea Ketch, Patricia Basquez, Phillip Carbajal, Stanton Perkins and the Board's consultants, for their assistance in the preparation of this report and for their ongoing commitment to serve the Board and the members of the System. I also would like to thank the Board members for their support in accomplishing the many tasks and goals over the past year.

Respectfully Submitted,



Stanley L. McDivitt  
Retirement Administrator

December 23, 2015

## RETIREMENT BOARD MEMBERS

AS OF JUNE 30, 2015



### *Chair*

#### **Marvell French**

Appointed July 2000

Term Continuous

Outside Member Appointed by the Retirement Board



### *Vice Chair*

#### **Phillip Hardcastle**

Elected July 2012

Term Expires July 2016

Represents Clerical and Supervisory Members



#### **David Cain**

Elected September 2014

Term Expires July 2018

Represents Manual Worker Members



#### **TJ Miller**

Appointed September 2013

Term Continuous

Appointed by the Mayor and Confirmed by the Fresno City Council



#### **Renena Smith**

Appointed March 2015

Term Continuous

Appointed by the Mayor and Confirmed by the Fresno City Council

## RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF



**FRONT ROW (LEFT TO RIGHT):**

Karen Espiritu, Accountant Auditor, Yvonne Timberlake, Retirement Benefits Manager, Kathleen Riley Brown, Assistant Retirement Administrator, Stanley McDivitt, Retirement Administrator; Patti Basquez, Retirement Counselor, Andrea Ketch, Senior Retirement Counselor, Pattie Laygo, Executive Assistant.

**BACK ROW (LEFT TO RIGHT):**

Alberto Magallanes, Senior Accountant Auditor, Stanton Perkins, Programmer Analyst, Phillip Carbajal, Retirement Counselor

## **ADMINISTRATION OF THE SYSTEM**

### **Administration**

The Administrative Section is responsible for the administration of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System, including the risk management of the investment activities in accordance with the policies, regulations, and general guidelines of the Retirement Board. It is also responsible for interfacing with the investment managers, the investment consultant, the actuary, legal counsel, custodial bank, and any other consultants authorized by the Board. (See pages xiv and xv for outside consultants and investment managers and page 67 for a schedule of brokerage commissions.)

### **Member Services**

This section is responsible for providing all benefit services to the members of the System. This includes benefit calculations, investigation of claims for disability retirement, preparation of data to support applications for retirement, preparation of the retiree payroll, membership counseling, and membership training.


### **Investment Compliance and Financial Reporting**

This section is responsible for monitoring compliance with the Board's Investment Objectives and Policy Statement and for all of the financial records and reports including financial statements, control and balancing of payroll and members' contributions and reconciliation of investments.

### **Executive Assistant**

This position is responsible for providing administrative and clerical support services for the Board and the retirement staff.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE



## PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONSULTANTS

### **Custodial Bank**

NORTHERN TRUST  
Chicago, Illinois

### **Investment Consultant**

NEPC, LLC  
Boston, Massachusetts

### **General Legal Advisor**

SALTZMAN AND JOHNSON  
LAW CORPORATION  
San Francisco, California

### **Actuary**

THE SEGAL COMPANY  
San Francisco, California

### **Tax Counsel**

ICE MILLER LLP  
Indianapolis, Indiana

### **Medical Advisor**

BENCHMARK, AN EXAMWORKS  
COMPANY, INC.  
Sacramento, California

### **Investment Legal Advisor**

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Boston, Massachusetts

### **Independent Auditor**

BROWN ARMSTRONG ACCOUNTANCY  
CORPORATION  
Bakersfield, California

## INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

### DOMESTIC EQUITY

#### Large Cap

BlackRock, San Francisco, CA  
JP Morgan Asset Mgmt, New York, NY

#### Small Cap

Eagle Asset Management, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL  
Kennedy Capital Mgt. Inc., St. Louis, MO

### INTERNATIONAL & EMERGING MARKETS

#### International

BlackRock, San Francisco, CA  
Baillie Gifford & Co., Edinburgh, Scotland  
Principal Global Investors, DesMoines, IA

#### Emerging Market

Acadian Asset Management, LLC, Boston, MA  
Axiom International Investors, LLC, Boston, MA

### FIXED INCOME

#### Core Fixed Income

Dodge & Cox, San Francisco, CA  
Prudential Investment Mgt, Inc., Newark, NJ  
PIMCO, Newport Beach, CA

#### High Yield

Loomis Sayles, Boston, MA  
MacKay Shields, New York, NY


### REAL ESTATE

#### Private Real Estate Investments

JP Morgan Asset Mgt, New York, NY

#### Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT)

Principal Real Estate Investors, Des Moines, IA  
Heitman, LLC, Chicago, IL.



Also awarded 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

# FINANCIAL SECTION

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014**

## **CITY OF FRESNO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

**Independent Auditor's Report**


**Management's Discussion and Analysis**

**Basic Financial Statements**

**Required Supplementary Information**

**Other Supplementary Information**

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



**BAKERSFIELD OFFICE  
(MAIN OFFICE)**  
4000 TRICENTIN AVENUE  
SUITE 300  
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93307  
TEL: 467-324-4971  
FAX: 467-324-4987  
EMAIL: info@baa.com

**FRESNO OFFICE**  
7672 N. INGRAM AVENUE  
SUITE 101  
FRESNO, CA 93711  
TEL: 329-178-3272  
FAX: 329-178-3273

**PASADENA OFFICE**  
280 S. LOS ROJOS AVENUE  
SUITE 210  
PASADENA, CA 91101  
TEL: 626-204-6540  
FAX: 626-204-6541

**STOCKTON OFFICE**  
1210 CLARKSON AVENUE  
SUITE 237  
STOCKTON, CA 95207  
TEL: 209-451-0333

REGISTERED with the Public Company  
Accounting Oversight Board and  
MEMBER of the American Institute of  
Certified Public Accountants

**BROWN ARMSTRONG**  
*Certified Public Accountants*

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

**To the Board of Retirement  
City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
Fresno, California**

**Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Fiduciary Net Position of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System (the System), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position for the years then ended and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the System's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

**Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

**Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the System's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (Continued)

**Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective Fiduciary Net Position of the System, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and its Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Other Matters***Required Supplementary Information*

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis and Required Supplementary Information, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

*Other Information*

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the System's basic financial statements. The Introductory Section; Other Supplementary Information; and Investment, Actuarial, and Statistical Sections as listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Other Supplementary Information are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Supplemental Schedules are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The Introductory, Investment, Actuarial, and Statistical Sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

**Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 23, 2015, on our consideration of the System's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the System's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Bakersfield, California  
December 23, 2015

BROWN ARMSTRONG  
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION  
*Brown Armstrong*  
*Accountancy Corporation*

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

---

We are pleased to provide this overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System (the System) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with additional information that we have furnished in the Letter of Transmittal beginning on page ii of this report.

### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The System's net position restricted for pension benefits are restricted for payment of pension benefits to participants and their beneficiaries and all of the net position is restricted to meet the System's ongoing obligations.

At the close of the fiscal year 2015, the assets of the System exceed its liabilities by \$1,169,926,556; as of fiscal year 2014, the assets of the System exceed its liabilities by \$1,167,157,093; and as of fiscal year 2013, the assets of the System exceeded its liabilities by \$1,024,665,557.

The System's net position restricted for pension benefits for fiscal year 2015; increased by \$2,769,463 or 0.24 percent for fiscal year 2015; increased \$142,491,536 or 13.91 percent for fiscal year 2014; and increased \$94,262,832 or 10.13 percent for fiscal year 2013 primarily as a result of the performance of the investment markets.

The System's funding objective is to meet long-term benefit obligations through contributions and investment income. As of June 30, 2015, the date of the last actuarial valuation, the funded ratio for the System was 109.2 percent reflecting that the System has a valuation value of assets which is in excess of the actuarial accrued liability. In general, this indicates that for every dollar of benefits due we

have approximately \$1.09 of assets available for payment as of that date.

As of the June 30, 2014, the date of the previous annual actuarial valuation, the funded ratio for the System was 104.6 percent; and as of June 30, 2013, the funded ratio for the System was 99.9 percent.

### ADDITIONS TO FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

Additions for the fiscal year 2015 decreased \$137,772,058 or 71.70 percent over the prior year from \$192,158,230 to \$54,386,172 which includes member contributions of \$8,750,214, employer contributions of \$12,326,570, a net investment gain of \$32,919,496 and net securities lending income of \$389,892.

Fiscal year 2014 additions increased \$49,716,872 or 34.90 percent over the prior year from \$142,441,358 to \$192,158,230 which includes member contributions of \$7,945,519, employer contributions of \$11,439,981, a net investment income gain of \$172,305,191 and net securities lending income of \$467,539.

Fiscal year 2013 additions increased \$131,180,917 or 1,164.97 percent over the prior year from \$11,260,441 to \$142,441,358 which includes member contributions of \$7,995,145, employer contributions of \$13,329,655, a net investment income gain of \$120,545,096 and net securities lending income of \$571,462.

### DEDUCTIONS FROM FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

Deductions from fiduciary net position for the fiscal year 2015 increased \$1,950,015 or 3.93 percent over

## MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

the prior fiscal year 2014 from \$49,666,694 to \$51,616,709.

Fiscal year 2014 deductions increased \$1,488,168 or 3.09 percent over the prior fiscal year 2013 from \$48,178,526 to \$49,666,694.


Fiscal year 2013 deductions increased \$2,944,306 or 6.51 percent over the prior fiscal year 2012 from \$45,234,220 to \$48,178,526.

The current year increase in deductions is due primarily to the increases in retirees and the respective retirement benefits paid in 2015 even though there were no Post Retirement Supplemental Benefits (PRSB) paid during calendar year 2015.

### SCHEDULE AND GRAPH OF ADDITIONS BY SOURCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (In Thousands)

	2013	2014	2015
Employer Contributions	\$13,330	\$11,440	\$12,327
Member Contributions	7,995	7,945	8,750
Investment Income	121,116	172,773	33,309
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$142,441</b>	<b>\$192,158</b>	<b>\$54,386</b>




## MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

### SCHEDULE AND GRAPH OF DEDUCTIONS BY TYPE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (In Thousands)

	2013	2014	2015
Benefit Payments	\$45,807	\$47,368	\$49,072
PRSB	76	9	-
Refunds of Contributions	1,157	1,204	1,474
Administrative Expenses	1,138	1,086	1,071
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$48,178</b>	<b>\$49,667</b>	<b>\$51,617</b>



## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

---

### OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the System's financial statements, which are comprised of these components:

**Statement of Fiduciary Net Position** – The Statement of Fiduciary Net Position presents the major categories of assets and liabilities and their related value as of the System's fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. "Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits" represents funds available to pay benefits and it is a point in time or a snapshot of account balances as of the fiscal year-end. It indicates the assets available for future payments to retirees and any current liabilities. The Statement of Fiduciary Net Position includes prior year-end balances for comparative purposes. Increases and decreases in Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits, when analyzed over time, may serve as an indicator of whether the System's financial position is improving or deteriorating. Other factors, such as market conditions, should also be considered in measuring the System's overall health.

**Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position** – This Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position provides information about the financial activities during the reporting period that increased and decreased the Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits. For comparative purposes, prior year-end balances are also provided.

The two statements above include all assets and liabilities, using the full accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting method used by most private sector companies. All of the current year's additions and deductions are taken into account regardless of when the cash is received or

paid. All investment gains and losses are shown at trade date, not settlement date. In addition, both realized and unrealized gains and losses are shown on investments.

Both statements are in compliance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Pronouncements. These pronouncements require certain disclosures and require State and Local governments to report using the full accrual basis of accounting. The System complies with all material requirements of these pronouncements.

**Notes to the Basic Financial Statements** - The Notes to the Basic Financial Statements are an integral part of the basic financial statements and provide additional information that is essential to acquire a full understanding of the information and data provided in the two statements discussed above. The notes include further discussion and details regarding the System's key policies, programs, investments and activities that occurred during the year.

**Required Supplementary Information** – The Required Supplementary Information presents historical trend information concerning the changes in net pension liability, employer contributions and investment returns, and includes notes that explain factors that significantly affect trends in the amounts reported, such as changes of benefit terms, changes in the size or composition of the population covered by the benefit terms, or the use of different assumptions over time. The information is based on actuarial valuations prepared for the plan. The actuarial valuation report includes additional actuarial information that contributes to the understanding of the changes in the net pension liability of the defined benefit pension plan over the past ten years as presented in the schedule. The actuarial information is based upon assumptions

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

---

made regarding future events at the time the valuations are performed and are derived for both financial reporting and funding purposes.

**Other Supplementary Information** – The Other Supplementary Information presented immediately following the required supplementary information includes schedules pertaining to the System's administrative expenses, investment management fees and other investment related expenses, and payments to consultants and other professional service providers.

The System's funding ratio at June 30, 2015 was 109.2 percent, which means the System's fund has approximately \$1.09 available for each \$1.00 of liability. The Statement of Fiduciary Net Position and the Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position report information about the System's financial activities during the reporting period that increased and decreased the Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits.

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

### NET POSITION

As previously noted, the System's net position restricted for benefits may serve over time as a useful indication of the System's financial position. The System's net position restricted for pension benefit payments exceeded its liabilities at the close of the fiscal year 2015 by \$1,169,926,556. All of the net position is available to meet the System's ongoing obligations to plan participants and their beneficiaries.

In fiscal year 2015, the System's restricted fiduciary net position, representing assets available to pay current and future member pension benefits, increased by 0.24 percent largely due to volatility and fluctuations lowering performance of the global investment markets and in 2014, the System's

restricted fiduciary net position increased by 13.91 percent due to strong investment performance ;and also due to strong investment performance in 2013, the System's restricted fiduciary net position increased by 10.13 percent. (See Table 1).

In order to determine whether the \$1.170 billion in net position will be sufficient to meet future obligations, the System's independent actuary performed an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015. The result of this valuation determines what future contributions by Plan members and the City of Fresno are needed to pay all expected future benefits. The valuation takes into account the Board's funding policy which includes a provision to smooth the impact of market volatility by spreading each year's gains or losses over five years.

There has been extreme volatility in the various economies of the world and throughout the global financial markets over the past twenty to twenty-five years, therefore, it is of utmost importance to examine the System's investment returns with a long-term view rather than a short-term focus which tends to distort the perception of how well the investments have actually performed. As an example, you cannot isolate the high returns during the Tech Bubble in the 1990's without including the Tech Bubble corrections in the early 2000's. Historical long-term performance rates of returns demonstrate that the System has been able to meet or exceed its actuarial assumed rate of return of 7.50 percent over long periods. As of June 30, 2015, the System's 25-year annualized return is 8.85 percent and its 20-year annualized return is 8.39 percent.

Despite volatility in the stock market, management and the System's actuary concur that the System remains in a very strong financial position to meet its obligations to the plan participants and beneficiaries. The System's current financial position is a result of a very sound and carefully managed investment and risk management program.


MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

**TABLE 1 – EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM FIDUCIARY NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR PENSION BENEFITS**

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015, 2014 And 2013

	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015 Increase/ (Decrease) Percent	FY 2015 Increase/ (Decrease) Percent
Current and Other Assets	\$ 177,086,908	\$ 145,328,200	\$ 31,758,708	21.85%
Investments at Fair Value	1,167,658,400	1,168,754,527	(1,096,127)	(0.09%)
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,344,745,308</b>	<b>\$ 1,314,082,727</b>	<b>\$ 30,662,581</b>	<b>2.33%</b>
<b>Current Liabilities</b>	<b>174,818,752</b>	<b>146,925,634</b>	<b>27,893,118</b>	<b>18.98%</b>
<b>Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits</b>	<b>\$ 1,169,926,556</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157,093</b>	<b>\$ 2,769,463</b>	<b>0.24%</b>

	FY 2014	FY 2013	FY 2014 Increase/ (Decrease) Percent	FY 2014 Increase/ (Decrease) Percent
Current and Other Assets	\$ 145,328,200	\$ 172,726,684	\$ (27,398,484)	(15.86%)
Investments at Fair Value	1,168,754,527	1,034,575,881	134,178,646	12.97%
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,314,082,727</b>	<b>\$ 1,207,302,565</b>	<b>\$ 106,780,162</b>	<b>8.84%</b>
<b>Current Liabilities</b>	<b>146,925,634</b>	<b>182,637,008</b>	<b>(35,711,374)</b>	<b>(19.55%)</b>
<b>Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157,093</b>	<b>\$ 1,024,665,557</b>	<b>\$ 142,491,536</b>	<b>13.91%</b>



## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

---

### CAPITAL ASSETS

The System's investment in capital assets increased from \$680,391 to \$886,026 (net of accumulated depreciation and amortization) between fiscal years 2014 and 2015 after increasing from \$527,534 to \$680,391 between fiscal years 2013 and 2014. This investment in capital assets includes office equipment, furniture, software, and technology infrastructure. The total increase in the System's investment in capital and intangible assets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$205,635 and \$152,857, respectively. The increases in both fiscal years are primarily due to the costs incurred for the development of software to program and install an upgrade to our original pension administration system that was originally installed in 1997, which was implemented effective July 1, 2015.

### RESERVES

Reserves are not required, nor recognized, under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The reserves are not shown separately on the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position, but they equate to and are accounts within the net position restricted for pension benefits and are vital to the System's operations. They are established from contributions and the accumulation of investment income, after satisfying investment and administrative expenses. Investments of the System are stated at fair value instead of at cost and fair value, which includes the recognition of unrealized gains and losses in the current period.

The System's major reserve accounts, described in Note 5 – Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits, include Active Member Reserves, Employer Advance/Retired Reserves, DROP Reserves, PRSB Reserve and City Surplus Reserve.

Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP) Reserve represents funds reserved for Deferred Retirement Option Program benefits accumulated by active members and retirees. DROP is an alternate method of receiving retirement benefits. It is a voluntary program as described by the conditions and requirements of Municipal Code Section 3-566. A DROP account is a nominal, bookkeeping account established within the System for each DROP participant. Monthly amounts credited to DROP accounts include an amount which represents the service retirement allowance which the member would have received if the member had retired on the date the member commenced DROP participation and interest credited at the five year average interest rate as adopted by the Retirement Board (the Board) in accordance with Municipal Code requirements.

Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit (PRSB) Reserve represents surplus earnings that have been allocated but not distributed to eligible participants in accordance with Municipal Code Section 3-567. PRSB is a supplemental benefit distributed to eligible participants in accordance with Municipal Code Section 3-567, if and only if distributable actuarial surplus is available to provide such a benefit. Actuarial surplus means the amount by which the actuarial value of the System's assets exceeds one hundred and ten percent (110%) of the System's actuarial accrued liabilities.

City Surplus Reserve represents that portion of distributable actuarial surplus that has been allocated but not used as a reduction to offset or eliminate the City of Fresno's (the City) pension contributions in accordance with the conditions and requirements of Municipal Code Section 3-567 Post Retirement Supplemental Benefits. The City Surplus Reserve Account accrues interest at the average

## MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

gross rate of return earned by the System’s entire investment portfolio for each of the three prior fiscal years, including realized and unrealized gains and losses and as reduced by all investment related expenses.

Table 2 shows that the vast majority of reserves are generated from Employer Advance and Retired reserves. DROP reserves represent funds credited for participants who elected to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Program. PRSB Reserve presents that portion of distributable actuarial surplus that has been allocated for PRSB but not yet distributed to eligible participants.

Additions and deductions from PRSB occur when surpluses and allocations occur, respectively. The City Surplus reserve represents that portion of distributable actuarial surpluses and accrued


interest, reduced by required City normal pension contributions. The City Surplus Reserve Account shall be drawn upon in subsequent years if needed to reduce or eliminate the City’s annual pension contribution requirement.

The City Surplus Reserve Account shows a slightly negative balance for fiscal years 2015 and 2014. The City’s normal contribution rate for fiscal years 2015 and 2014 included an adjustment for phase-in of assumption changes in the actuarial assumed rate of return. In addition, the City’s normal contribution rate for fiscal year 2014 also included an offset for excess funding that occurred during fiscal year 2013 which was designed to correct the deficit City Surplus Reserve balance for the prior year.

**TABLE 2 – EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM’S RESERVES**

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015, 2014 And 2013 (In Thousands)

	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2013
Employer Advance/Retired Reserves	\$ 976,873	\$ 987,708	\$ 851,764
Active Member Reserves	100,221	98,490	99,549
DROP Reserves	93,332	81,027	73,140
PRSB Reserves	-	-	9
City Surplus Reserves	(499)	(68)	204
<b>Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits</b>	<b>\$ 1,169,927</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157</b>	<b>\$ 1,024,666</b>



## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

---

### SYSTEM'S ACTIVITIES

Attributable in part to the continued global economic and financial markets volatility, the System's assets increased \$2,769,463 for the fiscal year 2015 resulting in a 0.24 percent increase in the fiduciary net position restricted for pension benefits for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The System's fiduciary net position increased \$142,491,536 for the fiscal year 2014 resulting in a 13.91 percent increase in the fiduciary net position restricted for pension benefits for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014; and primarily attributable to the growth in the global financial markets in fiscal year 2013, the System's fiduciary net position increased \$94,262,832 resulting in a 10.13 percent increase in the fiduciary net position restricted for pension benefits for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

Key elements of the additions and deductions to Fiduciary Net Position for fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013 are described in the sections below.

#### Additions to System's Fiduciary Net Position

The reserves needed to finance retirement benefits are normally accumulated through the collection of employer and employee contributions and through earnings on investment income [net of investment expense]. Total additions to the System's fiduciary net position for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 totaled \$54,386,172.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, overall additions had decreased by \$137,772,058 or 71.70 percent over fiscal year 2014, due in large part to the continued global economic and financial market volatility resulting in lower performance of the global investment markets. For fiscal year 2014 overall additions had increased by \$49,716,872 or

34.90 percent from the prior year; and for fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, primarily due to the performance of the investment markets and changes in actuarial assumptions, overall additions increased by \$131,180,917 or 1,164.97 percent from the prior year. The investment section of this report reviews the details of results of investment activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

#### Deductions from System's Fiduciary Net Position

The System was created to provide lifetime retirement annuities, survivor benefits and permanent disability benefits to qualified members and their beneficiaries. The cost of such programs includes recurring benefit payments, as designated by the plan, refunds of contributions to terminated employees, and the cost of administering the System.

Deductions from the fiduciary net position for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, totaled \$51,616,709 which was an increase of \$1,950,015 or 3.93 percent over the prior fiscal year 2014 which increased \$1,488,168 or 3.09 percent over the prior fiscal year 2013. The increase in benefits paid resulted primarily from an increase in the amount of benefit payments due to the number of retirees from the prior year.

The System's increases in total deductions have closely paralleled inflation and are reflective of the membership and services provided. The System has consistently met its Administrative Budget. There are no material variances between planned expenditures and actual expenditures.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

### CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION (CONDENSED)

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013

	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015 Increase/(Decrease) Amount	FY 2015 Increase/(Decrease) Percent
<b>Additions</b>				
Employer Contributions	\$ 12,326,570	\$ 11,439,981	\$ 886,589	7.75%
Employee Contributions	8,750,214	7,945,519	804,695	10.13%
Net Investment Income *	33,309,388	172,772,730	(139,463,342)	(80.72%)
<b>Total Additions</b>	<b>\$ 54,386,172</b>	<b>\$ 192,158,230</b>	<b>\$(137,772,058)</b>	<b>(71.70%)</b>
<b>Deductions</b>				
Retiree Benefit Payroll	\$ 49,072,063	\$ 47,367,501	\$ 1,704,562	3.60%
Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit (PRSB)	-	9,050	(9,050)	(100.00%)
Refunds of Contributions	1,473,650	1,203,979	269,671	22.40%
Administrative Expenses	1,070,996	1,086,164	(15,168)	(1.40%)
<b>Total Deductions</b>	<b>\$ 51,616,709</b>	<b>\$ 49,666,694</b>	<b>\$ 1,950,015</b>	<b>3.93%</b>
Changes in Net Position	2,769,463	142,491,536	(139,722,073)	(98.06%)
Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits				
Beginning of the Year	1,167,157,093	1,024,665,557	142,491,536	13.91%
<b>End of the Year</b>	<b>\$ 1,169,926,556</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157,093</b>	<b>\$ 2,769,463</b>	<b>0.24%</b>

\* Net of investment expense of \$5,539,630 and 5,320,294 for June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	FY 2014	FY 2013	FY 2014 Increase/(Decrease) Amount	FY 2014 Increase/(Decrease) Percent
<b>Additions</b>				
Employer Contributions	\$ 11,439,981	\$ 13,329,655	\$ (1,889,674)	(14.18%)
Employee Contributions	7,945,519	7,995,145	(49,626)	(0.62%)
Net Investment Income	172,772,730	121,116,558	51,656,172	42.65%
<b>Total Additions</b>	<b>\$ 192,158,230</b>	<b>\$ 142,441,358</b>	<b>\$ 49,716,872</b>	<b>34.90%</b>
<b>Deductions</b>				
Retiree Benefit Payroll	\$ 47,367,501	\$ 45,806,771	\$ 1,560,730	3.41%
Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit (PRSB)	9,050	76,286	(67,236)	(88.14%)
Refunds of Contributions	1,203,979	1,157,287	46,692	4.03%
Administrative Expenses	1,086,164	1,138,182	(52,018)	(4.57%)
<b>Total Deductions</b>	<b>\$ 49,666,694</b>	<b>\$ 48,178,526</b>	<b>\$ 1,488,168</b>	<b>3.09%</b>
Changes in Net Position	142,491,536	94,262,832	48,228,704	51.16%
Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits				
Beginning of the Year	1,024,665,557	930,402,725	94,262,832	10.13%
<b>End of the Year</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157,093</b>	<b>\$ 1,024,665,557</b>	<b>\$ 142,491,536</b>	<b>13.91%</b>

\* Net of investment expense of \$5,320,294 and \$4,971,025 for June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

---

### System's Fiduciary Responsibilities


The System's Board and management staff are fiduciaries of the pension trust fund. Under the California Constitution, the assets must be used exclusively for the benefit of plan participants and their beneficiaries.

### Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide the Retirement Board, our membership, taxpayers, investment managers, and creditors with a general overview of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System's finances, and to show accountability for the funds it receives. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report, or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to:

City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
2828 Fresno Street Suite 201  
Fresno, California 93721-1327

Respectfully submitted,



Stanley L. McDivitt  
Retirement Administrator

December 23, 2015

## BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Statement of Fiduciary Net Position

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash (Note 6)	\$ 811,145	\$ 613,344
Collateral Held for Securities Lent (Note 8)	123,566,012	116,502,167
Receivables		
Receivables for Investments Sold	25,496,064	6,709,195
Interest and Dividends	4,011,488	3,641,720
Other Receivables	22,316,073	17,181,270
<b>Total Receivables</b>	<b>51,823,625</b>	<b>27,532,185</b>
Prepaid Expenses	100	113
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>176,200,882</b>	<b>144,647,809</b>
Investments at Fair Value (Note 6)		
Domestic Equity	457,353,701	497,564,794
International Developed Market Equities	187,227,959	187,583,403
Government Bonds	107,056,999	109,304,396
Corporate Bonds	198,919,386	177,790,385
Real Estate	145,190,784	131,280,719
International Emerging Market Equities	37,235,138	20,771,126
Short-Term Investments	34,674,433	44,459,704
<b>Total Investments</b>	<b>1,167,658,400</b>	<b>1,168,754,527</b>
Capital Assets Net of Accumulated Depreciation (Note 11)	886,026	680,391
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>1,344,745,308</b>	<b>1,314,082,727</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Collateral Held for Securities Lent (Note 8)	123,566,012	116,502,167
Payable for Investments Purchased	27,121,717	11,883,072
Other Liabilities	1,925,459	1,255,231
Payable for Foreign Currency Purchased	22,205,564	17,285,164
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>174,818,752</b>	<b>146,925,634</b>
<b>Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits (Note 5)</b>	<b>\$ 1,169,926,556</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157,093</b>

The notes in the financial statements on pages 18 through 45 are an integral part of this statement.

**BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position**

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
<b>ADDITIONS</b>		
Contributions (Note 3)		
Employer	\$ 12,326,570	\$ 11,439,981
System Members	8,750,214	7,945,519
<b>Total Contributions</b>	<b>21,076,784</b>	<b>19,385,500</b>
Investment Income		
Net Appreciation in Value of Investments	15,125,322	152,233,093
Interest	13,346,097	14,092,987
Dividends	9,848,557	11,141,244
Other Investment Related	41,770	41,362
Total Investment Income	38,361,746	177,508,686
Less: Investment Expense	(5,442,250)	(5,203,495)
<b>Total Net Investment Income</b>	<b>32,919,496</b>	<b>172,305,191</b>
Securities Lending Income		
Securities Lending Earnings (Note 8)	487,272	584,338
Less: Securities Lending Expense	(97,380)	(116,799)
<b>Net Securities Lending Income</b>	<b>389,892</b>	<b>467,539</b>
<b>Total Additions</b>	<b>54,386,172</b>	<b>192,158,230</b>
<b>DEDUCTIONS</b>		
Benefit Payments	49,072,063	47,367,501
Post Retirement Supplemental Benefits (Note 10)	-	9,050
Refunds of Contributions	1,473,650	1,203,979
Administrative Expense	1,070,996	1,086,164
<b>Total Deductions</b>	<b>51,616,709</b>	<b>49,666,694</b>
<b>Changes in Net Position</b>	<b>2,769,463</b>	<b>142,491,536</b>
<b>NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR PENSION BENEFITS</b>		
<b>BEGINNING OF THE YEAR</b>	<b>1,167,157,093</b>	<b>1,024,665,557</b>
<b>END OF THE YEAR</b>	<b>\$ 1,169,926,556</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,157,093</b>

The notes in the financial statements on pages 18 through 45 are an integral part of this statement.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

### NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT PROVISIONS OF THE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The City of Fresno Employees Retirement System ("System") was established on June 1, 1939, under Charter Section 910 and is governed by Article 5 of Chapter 3 of the City of Fresno Municipal Code. The System is a single-employer, contributory, defined benefit plan. The System provides lifetime retirement, disability, and death benefits to the non-safety members employed by the City of Fresno, including substantially all full-time employees, other than sworn officers of the Fire and Police Departments.

The System is administered by the Employees Retirement Board (Board) which operates under the authority vested in Article 5 of Chapter 3 of the City of Fresno Municipal Code and the California Pension Protection Act of 1992 which provides that "the members of the Retirement Board of a public retirement system shall discharge their duties...solely in the interest of, and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to participants and their beneficiaries, minimizing employer contribution thereto, and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the System."

The Employees Retirement Board does not operate under the control of the City Council. The Board has the sole and exclusive responsibility to administer the System in a manner that will assure prompt delivery of benefits and related services to the members and their beneficiaries.

Fiduciary oversight of the Employees Retirement System is vested with the Board, which consists of five (5) members; two (2) management employees who are appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council, one (1) employee who is elected by the manual workers of the System, and one (1)

employee who is elected by the clerical or supervisory workers of the System, both elected members serve a four-year term. The fifth and final member of the Board is a qualified elector of the County, not connected with its government, elected by the previously designated four members and serves at the pleasure of the Board.

The Board, in conjunction with the Fire and Police Retirement Board, appoints, directs and oversees a Retirement Administrator. The Retirement Administrator is responsible for the overall management and administration of the Employees and Fire and Police Retirement Systems in accordance with the direction, policy and goals set by the Boards and for providing highly responsible and complex administrative support to the Boards. The Retirement Administrator serves at the pleasure of the Boards.

Working closely with the Boards, the Retirement Administrator and his staff develop investment and benefits policies, coordinate member services and programs, and develop long-term strategies that fulfill the Systems' mission and goals.

The Board oversees the Retirement Administrator and staff in the performance of their duties in accordance with the Municipal Code and the Board's Rules, Regulations and Policies.

### Membership and Benefit Eligibility

All permanent full-time employees of the City of Fresno, except sworn Fire and Police personnel, are eligible to participate in the plan. Employees become eligible for membership on their first day of regular employment, and members become fully vested after earning 5 years of service credit.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

Total participants of the System were comprised as follows at June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	2015	2014
Active Members:		
Vested	1,517	1,604
Non-vested	376	263
Total Active Members	1,893	1,867
Retirees and Beneficiaries of Deceased		
Retirees, Currently Receiving Benefits	1,783	1,741
Inactive Vested Members	236	209
Total Retirees and Inactive Members	2,019	1,950
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3,912</b>	<b>3,817</b>

**Benefit Provisions**

The System provides retirement allowances and other benefits such as disability and death benefits to the non-safety members employed by the City of Fresno.

The retirement (pension) benefits the member will receive are based upon a combination of age at retirement, years of credited service, final average monthly salary, and the distribution option selected by the participant.

Members' contributions, including interest, are 100 percent vested at all times. Employer contributions do not become vested until completion of 5 years of credited service when the member becomes 100 percent vested, but are not payable until the member attains the age of 55.

Effective January 28, 2008, members may retire between age 50-55 with an actuarially equivalent service retirement benefit.

**Member Retirement Benefits**

Members are eligible for service retirement benefits upon completion of at least five years of service, upon termination service, if you have left your contributions and interest with the System and are at least age 55. Or, if your termination from City service is permanent and was caused by a layoff due to an economic measure, lack of work, budget cut back or elimination of your position, you can retire at age 50 at a reduced benefit. You may also be eligible for service retirement benefit if you have less than five years of service with the City of Fresno, but have established reciprocity with a prior employer and are eligible to retire from that agency.

The service retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 3-541 of the Fresno Municipal Code. The monthly allowance for a member is equal to 2 percent of final compensation time each of the first 25 years of accrued retirement service credit plus 1 percent of final compensation times any years of accrued retirement service credit in excess of 25 years, multiplied by the age factor at retirement age.

Final average compensation consists of the highest average consecutive 36 months of compensation earnable calculated using the rate of pay in effect at the time of retirement.

The member may elect an unmodified retirement allowance, or choose an optional retirement allowance. The unmodified retirement allowance provides the highest monthly benefit and a 50% continuance to an eligible surviving spouse or domestic partner. An eligible surviving spouse is one married to the member one year prior to the effective retirement date for members retiring on or before the effective date of Ordinance No. 2000-5. For members retiring after the effective date of Ordinance 2000-5, an eligible surviving spouse or domestic partner is one

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

married to or registered with the member on or before the date of retirement.

There are four optional retirement allowances the member may choose. Each of the optional retirement allowances requires a reduction in the unmodified retirement allowance in order to allow the member the ability to provide certain benefits to a surviving spouse, domestic partner, or named beneficiary having an insurable interest in the life of the member.

### Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP)

DROP is an optional voluntary program that allows a member to have his or her retirement benefits deposited in a special account within the System while the member continues to work in his or her current position. It is a voluntary method of receiving a distribution of your retirement benefits; it is not an additional retirement benefit.

DROP may not be beneficial to all members. Each member must determine how the DROP option will affect the member's retirement benefits prior to making an election to enter the DROP.

The member's retirement benefits are determined as of the date of entry into the DROP option and accumulate in the member's DROP account while the member continues to work. Members entering DROP, after January 27, 2011 in accordance with ordinances that amended sections of the Fresno Municipal Code, continue making employee contributions.

**Eligibility:** Any member who is eligible for a service retirement, is age 55 (or age 50 for an early retirement reduced benefit) with a minimum of 5 years of service.

**Participation Period:** The maximum participation period is ten years. Because the participation period cannot be extended the member must retire at its conclusion; however, the member may end participation in DROP and terminate employment with the City and begin retirement at any time prior to the end of the ten-year period.

**DROP Account:** A DROP account is set up for each participant; the monthly amount credited to DROP accounts include an amount which represents the service retirement allowance which the member would have received if the member had retired on the date the member commenced DROP participation. Interest is also credit to the DROP account monthly at a rate which is set annually by the Retirement Board. The rate is based on the prior five-year moving average of net market returns of the Systems' investments in accordance with Municipal Code requirements. The Board is authorized to reduce the annual interest crediting rate up to 3 percent, if necessary, to maintain DROP's cost neutrality.

A DROP account is a nominal, bookkeeping account established within the System for each DROP participant.

Upon termination of DROP participation and retirement from the City, a member receives the amounts credited to their DROP account, including interest. In addition, you will also begin receiving your monthly retirement allowance in the amount being credited to your DROP account. You may select a method of withdrawing the money from your DROP account from the options provided.

DROP Reserves which represent funds reserved for DROP benefits accumulated by active members and retirees were \$93,331,723 and \$81,027,446 as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

### Terminated Member Benefits

If a member terminates before earning five years of credited service, the member forfeits the right to receive his or her service retirement benefit and is entitled to withdraw refundable contributions made, together with accumulated interest. If the member enters a reciprocal retirement system within 180 days (6 months) of terminating employment with the City of Fresno and elects to leave their accumulated contributions on deposit with the System, then the member will receive a deferred retirement allowance when eligible.

### Death and Disability Benefits

Death benefits are based upon whether the death occurred before or after retirement. Disability benefits are based upon whether the member has at least ten years of credited service, over or under age 55 and whether the permanent incapacity is found to be service or non service-connected.

### Cost of Living Benefits

Cost-of-living (COLA) increases for retirees under the Employees Retirement System are provided for in the Municipal Code, and are determined by annual changes in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for each of the two immediately preceding calendar years. Retirement staff research the percentage change in CPI (United States city-average for urban wage earners and clerical workers – all items) and propose that percent to the Retirement Board as the COLA to be adopted for the following fiscal year. This procedure is completed by the end of April each year for implementation in July. The COLA is limited to a five percent (5.00%) maximum change per year and any excess over 5.00 percent is banked for the retiree for use in a year where the percent of CPI change is negative.

The Board adopted the annual COLA, pursuant to Section 3-553(b) of 1.5 percent, effective July 1, 2014 for Fiscal Year 2015, 1.7 percent, effective July 1, 2013 for the Fiscal Year 2014 and 3.2 percent effective July 1, 2012 for the Fiscal Year 2013.

## NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Reporting Entity

The Retirement System, with its own governing board, is an independent governmental entity separate and distinct from the City of Fresno. The System's annual financial statements are included in the City of Fresno Annual Financial Report as a pension trust fund.

### Basis of Accounting

The System's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), under which revenues are recognized when earned and deductions are recorded when the liability is incurred. Employer and employee contributions are recognized as revenue when due. Contributions are recorded in the period the related salaries are earned and become measurable. Investment income is recognized when it is earned. The net appreciation in fair value of investments held by the System is recorded as an increase to investment income based on the valuation of investments at fiscal year-end, which includes both realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments. Retirement benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable under the terms of the plan as defined in Section 3-523 and 3-529 of the Municipal Code. Other expenses are recognized when the corresponding liabilities are incurred.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

Securities lending transactions are accounted for in accordance with Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 28, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Securities Lending Transactions*, which establishes reporting standards for securities lending transactions. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 28, cash received as collateral on securities lending transactions and investments made with that cash are reported as assets and liabilities, and the results from these transactions are reported in the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position. In addition, the costs of securities lending transactions are reported as an expense in the Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position.

**Investments**

The System is authorized by the Fresno Municipal Code and the policies of the Retirement Board to invest in any form or type of investment deemed prudent by the Board and does so through its Investment Objectives and Policy Statement which establishes and outlines the responsibilities of the various parties that are associated with managing assets of the Retirement System, consistent with applicable sections of the Municipal Code, Federal laws and Article XVI, Section 17(c) of the Constitution of the State of California which provides that “the member of the Retirement Board of a public pension or retirement system shall discharge their duties...with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with these matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aim.”

System investments are reported at fair value. Fair value is the amount that the System can reasonably expect to receive for an investment in a current sale between a willing seller, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Fair value for investments of publicly traded securities is stated at fair value based upon closing sales prices reported on

recognized securities exchanges on the last business day of the period or for listed securities having no sales reported and for unlisted securities, based upon last reported bid prices. All purchases and sales of securities are accounted for on a trade date basis and dividends declared but not received are accrued on the ex-dividend date. Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Investments in both bonds and mortgage-backed pass-through certificates are carried at fair value.

Cost values, as shown, are derived from Master Custodial Transaction Records. The fair value of real estate investments is based on independent appraisals. Investments that do not have an established market are reported at estimated fair values.

The asset allocation policy set by the Board, in conjunction with the Fire and Police Retirement Board, is outlined in the Boards’ Investment Objectives and Policy Statement. Plan assets are managed with a long-term objective of achieving and maintaining a fully funded status for the benefits provided by the System. The table below provides the Boards’ adopted asset allocation policy as of June 30, 2015 and 2014.

**ASSET ALLOCATION POLICY  
AS OF JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014**

<b>Asset Class</b>	<b>FY2015</b>	<b>FY2014</b>
Domestic Equity		
Large Cap	22.5%	22.5%
Small Cap	7.5%	7.5%
International Equity		
Developed Markets	22.0%	22.8%
Emerging Markets	8.0%	7.2%
Real Estate	15.0%	15.0%
Domestic Fixed Income	11.0%	15.0%
High Yield Bonds	10.0%	10.0%
Absolute Return Strategy	4.0%	0.0%
Cash	0.0%	0.0%
	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

### Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the System administrator to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimates.

### Implementation of New Accounting Pronouncements

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Board adopted and implemented all applicable new Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, as required by each statement. The most recent pronouncements, effective for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, are provided below.

GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions* (revises and establishes new financial reporting requirements for most governments that provide their employees with pension benefits, replacing Statement No. 27 for Employer reporting. This Statement is effective for financial statement for periods beginning after June 15, 2014 and will be implemented by the City of Fresno for its June 30, 2015 fiscal year-end.

### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the financial statements have been reclassified to be consistent and comparable from year to year.

## NOTE 3 - CONTRIBUTIONS

### Authority to Collect

The responsibility for both City and employee contributions to the System is mandated by the City of Fresno Municipal Code Sections 3-523 and 3-529.

Contributions are made by the members and the employer at rates recommended by the System's independent actuary and adopted by the Retirement Board. Employee contribution rates vary according to age and are designed to provide funding for approximately one third of retirement benefit basic normal costs and one-half of the cost-of-living component. All active members are required to make contributions to the System. The average member contribution rate as of June 30, 2015 for 2014-2015 (based on the June 30, 2013 valuation) was 8.27 percent of compensation. The average member contribution rate as of June 30, 2014 for 2013-2014 (based on the June 30, 2012 valuation) was 7.67 percent of compensation.

The City of Fresno's (the City) employer contribution rate is designed to provide funding for the remaining regular retirement and cost-of-living benefits, as well as all regular disability and survivors' benefits. The average employer contribution rate as of June 30, 2015 for 2014-2015 (based on the June 30, 2013 valuation) was 11.65 percent of compensation. The average employer contribution rate as of June 30, 2014 for 2013-2014 (based on the June 30, 2012 valuation) was 11.01 percent of compensation.

One of the funding objectives of the System is to establish contribution rates which, over time, will remain level as a percentage of payroll unless the System benefit provisions are changed.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

### Funding Status & Method

#### Actuarial Funding Policy and Actuarial Cost Methodology for Funding Purposes

The Board adopted a Comprehensive Actuarial Funding Policy on November 7, 2012. For the Employees Retirement System that policy included a change in actuarial cost methodology from the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) method currently used for funding purposes to the Entry Age Normal (EAN) method as the EAN method is used by a substantial majority of the retirement systems in California and nationwide. More importantly, the Board made this change in actuarial cost methodology due to the recently adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 67 and 68 which substantially revises the financial reporting requirements for governmental pension plans and their sponsors.

### Goals of the Actuarial Funding Policy:

- To achieve long-term full funding of the cost of benefits provided by the System;
- To seek reasonable and equitable allocation of the cost of benefits over time; and
- To minimize any volatility of the City's contribution to the extent reasonably possible, consistent with other policy goals.

### Funding Requirements and Policy Components

The System's annual funding requirement is comprised of a payment of the Normal Cost and a

payment on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability ("UAAL") if applicable. The Normal Cost and the amount of the payment on UAAL are determined by three components of the Board's funding policy: 1) Actuarial Cost Method – the techniques used to allocate the cost/liability of retirement benefits to a given period; 2) Asset Smoothing Method – the techniques that spread the recognition of investment gains or losses over a period of time for the purposes of determining the Actuarial Value of Assets used in the actuarial valuation process; and 3) Amortization Policy – the decisions on how, in terms of duration and pattern, to fund the difference between the Actuarial Accrued Liability and the Actuarial Value of Assets in a systematic manner.

Using Asset Smoothing Method, the investment gains or losses of each valuation period, as a result of comparing the actual market return to the expected market return, are recognized in level amounts over five (5) years in calculating the Actuarial Value of Assets.

As of June 30, 2015, the System does not have an Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability ("UAAL"). The Board's Amortization Policy sets forth the amortization procedures for funding any UAAL or amortization and allocation of any available Surplus in the System.

Any new UAAL as a result of actuarial gains or losses identified in the annual valuation as of June 30 will be amortized over a period of fifteen (15) years. Any new UAAL as a result of any change in actuarial assumptions or methods will be amortized over a period of twenty-five (25) years. The amortization period for any increase in UAAL as a result of any amendments to the System will be amortized over a period of fifteen (15) years; while any increase in UAAL resulting from a temporary retirement incentive will be funded over a period not to exceed five (5) years.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

UAAL shall be amortized over “closed” (separate) amortization periods so that the amortization period for each layer decreases by one year with each actuarial valuation. UAAL is amortized as a level percentage of payroll so that the amortization amount in each year during the amortization period shall be expected to be a level percentage of covered payroll, taking into consideration the current assumption for general payroll increase.

If an overfunding status exists (i.e., the Valuation Value of Assets exceeds the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, the System is considered to have a Surplus in the System as of a point in time), such actuarial surplus and any subsequent surpluses will be amortized over an “open” amortization period of twenty-five (25) years. This amortization period of twenty-five years shall be applicable to the provisions in Fresno Municipal Code Sections relating to the amortization period used in the

calculation of the Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit (PRSB). Any prior Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) amortization layers will be considered fully amortized, and any subsequent UAAL will be amortized over fifteen (15) years as the first of a new series of amortization layers.

The System uses a five-year smoothing of market gains and losses above and below the assumed actuarial rate of return to derive the actuarial valuation value of assets. As of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the actuarial valuation value of assets was \$1,049.1 million with a funded percentage of percent on a valuation value of assets.

The progress being made towards meeting the System’s funding objective through June 30, 2015 is illustrated in the Schedule of Funding Progress shown below.

**SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS**

For The Three Years Ending June 30, 2015  
(Dollars in Millions)

Actuarial Valuation Date	(1) Actuarial Valuation Value of Assets	(2) Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	(3) Percentage Funded (1) / (2)	(4) (Prefunded) / Unfunded AAL (2) - (1)	(5) Annual Covered Payroll	(6) (Prefunded) / Unfunded AAL Percentage of Covered Payroll (4) / (5)
2015	\$1,049	\$960	109.2%	\$(89)	\$110	(80.6%)
2014	\$994	\$950	104.6%	\$(43)	\$109	(39.8%)
2013	\$934	\$935	99.9%	\$1	\$112	1.1

**Funding Policy**

The Employer currently funds, at a minimum, the amounts recommended by the actuary and approved by the Retirement Board. Such amounts are determined using the entry age normal method applied to the projected benefits in determining the Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability. The

Normal Cost is determined on an individual basis for each active member. If there is a positive (Surplus) or negative (Unfunded) difference between the Valuation of Assets and the Actuarial Accrued Liability, the amortization policy determines the amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) on a level percentage of payroll needed to fund the UAAL or the amount of available

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

surplus which would be distributable in any given year. This method produces an employer contribution rate consisting of amounts for (a) normal cost and (b) amortization of any unfunded (UAAL) or prefunded (PAAL) actuarial accrued liability.

These minimum contributions are recognized currently in the statement of changes in fiduciary net position. Employees' contributions are funded and recognized currently through payroll deductions in amounts recommended by the actuary. Costs of administering the System are charged against System assets.

Total contributions (basic and cost-of-living adjustments (COLA)) to the System for fiscal year 2015 totaled \$21,076,784. Employees contributed \$8,750,214 and the City made contributions of \$12,326,570.

Contributions aggregating \$21,076,784 (\$12,326,570 employer contributions and \$8,750,214 employee contributions) were made in fiscal year 2015, based on an actuarial valuation determined as of June 30, 2013, which became effective for the year ended June 30, 2015. During fiscal year 2015, the Employer normal contribution rate was set at 12.03 percent. However, due to an adjustment for an excess contribution from the prior year Employer and System member basic and COLA contributions represented 11.65 percent and 8.27 percent, respectively, of the fiscal year 2015 covered payroll.

Contributions aggregating \$19,385,500 (\$11,439,981 employer contributions and \$7,945,519 employee contributions) were made in fiscal year 2014, based on an actuarial valuation determined as of June 30, 2012, which became effective for the year ended June 30, 2014. Employer and System member contributions represented 11.01 percent and 7.67 percent, respectively, of the fiscal year 2014 covered payroll.

**Contributions Required and Contributions Made**

The employer’s required normal contributions to the System has two components: basic and COLA; and for the first time in over a decade contributions to fund the COLA were required for fiscal year 2013 in accordance with actuarially determined requirements computed through an actuarial valuation performed as of June 30, 2011. For fiscal year 2015, the employer’s required normal contributions (basic and COLA) to the System were as follows:

**NORMAL COST**

	FY2015	FY2014
Member Contributions	\$ 8,750,214	\$ 7,945,519
Employer Contribution Rate	12.03%	11.28%
Employer Contributions	\$ 12,730,192	\$ 11,718,836
Prior Year Contribution (Surplus)/Shortfall	(403,622)	(278,855)
Net Employer Contributions	\$ 12,326,570	\$ 11,439,981
Pensionable Payroll	\$ 105,820,382	\$ 103,890,391

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

---

**NOTE 4 - NET PENSION LIABILITY**

The components of the net pension liability of the System is as follows:

**SCHEDULES OF CHANGES IN THE SYSTEM'S NET PENSION LIABILITY (GASB 67)**

Last Two Fiscal Years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In Thousands)

	As of June 30, 2015	As of June 30, 2014
Total Pension Liability	\$ 1,071,074	\$ 1,049,623
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$ (1,169,926)	\$ (1,167,157)
<b>Net Pension Liability</b>	<b>\$ (98,852)</b>	<b>\$ (117,534)</b>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the total pension liability	109.23%	111.20%

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 and determined based upon the total pension liability (on a GASB 67 basis) from actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

### ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS

#### Key Methods and Assumptions Used in Valuation of Total Pension Liability

The total pension liability as of June 30, 2015 was determined by an actuarial valuation of June 30, 2015, using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2015 <sup>1</sup>
Actuarial Experience Study	3 Year Period Ending June 30, 2012
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability are calculated on an individual basis and are allocated by service.

---

#### Actuarial Assumptions

Inflation	3.25%
Salary increases	4.25% TO 11.75%, varying by service, including inflation
Discount Rate	7.50%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
Other assumptions	See June 30, 2015 funding valuation and Appendix A for the service retirement rates after they have been adjusted to treat DROP participation as service retirement.
Mortality Rates	Mortality rates used in the latest actuarial valuation are based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with scale AA to 2021. For healthy members, the separate tables for males and females ages are set back one year. For members that are disabled, the ages are set forward three years. Beneficiaries are assumed to have the same mortality as a member of the opposite sex who is receiving a service (non-disability) retirement.

---

<sup>1</sup> Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported. As such, the actuarial valuation dated June 30, 2015 will impact the contribution rates for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

The valuation interest rate is 7.50 percent; total salary scale increases range between 4.25% to 11.75% (include 3.25 percent for inflation plus 0.50 percent across the board salary increase); plus merit and promotion increases based on completed years of service were based on the June 30, 2012 Experience Analysis and Economic Assumptions Reports.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the fair value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, inflation and investment returns. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revisions as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

GASB Statement No. 67 addresses accounting and financial reporting requirements for pension plans and redefines pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, and does not apply to contribution amounts for pension funding purposes.

When measuring pension liability under GAS 67 the actuary uses the same actuarial cost method (Entry Age method) and the same type of discount rate (expected return on assets) as the System uses for funding. Note that, unrelated to the investment return assumption, the new rules use a version of the Entry Age method where the Total Pension Liability (TPL) for financial reporting purposes must be fully accrued by the time a member either enters DROP or is expected to elect the

DROP. This is in contrast to the version of the Entry Age method used for funding, where the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) does not have to be fully accrued until members retire from employment after participation in the DROP. Under GAS 67, active members who are expected to enroll in the DROP in the future would report a Service Cost that is higher than the Normal Cost used for funding, while members already in the DROP would report no Service Cost even though their Normal Cost continues to accrue.

### Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on the System's investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and net of inflation) are developed for each major asset class. This information is combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation and deducting expected investment expenses. The target allocation and projected arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class, after deducting inflation, but before deducting investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****ASSET CLASS/TARGET ALLOCATION/LONG-TERM EXPECTED  
REAL RATE OF RETURN TABLE**

Asset Class	As of June 30, 2015 and 2014	
	Target Asset Allocation	Weighted Average Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return* (Arithmetic)
Large Cap U.S. Equity	22.5%	6.09%
Small Cap U.S. Equity	7.5%	6.79%
Developed International Equity	22.8%	6.66%
Emerging Market Equity	7.2%	8.02%
Domestic Fixed Income	20.0%	0.83%
High Yield Fixed Income	10.0%	3.42%
Real Estate	10.0%	4.83%
Total	100.0%	

**Mortality Rates**

Mortality rates used in the latest actuarial valuation are based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with scale AA to 2021. For healthy members the separate tables for males and females ages are set back one year. For members that are disabled, the ages are set forward three years. Beneficiaries are assumed to have the same mortality as a member of the opposite sex who is receiving a service (non-disability) retirement.

**Discount Rate**

The discount rates used to measure the total pension liability were 7.50 percent as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. For this purpose, only employer contributions that are intended to fund

benefits for current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs for future plan members and their beneficiaries, as well as projected contributions from future plan members, are not included. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on the System's investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability as of both June 30, 2015 and 2014.

The following table presents the net pension liability of the Retirement System calculated using the discount rate of 7.50 percent as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, as well as what the System's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1.00 percent lower or 1.00 percent higher than the current rate:

\* Based on June 30, 2012 Economic Study of Assumptions.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

**SENSITIVITY OF NET PENSION LIABILITY TO CHANGES IN THE DISCOUNT RATE**

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014  
(Amounts in Thousands)

Net Pension Liability	1% Decrease (6.50%)	Current Discount Rate (7.50%)	1% Increase (8.50%)
June 30, 2015	\$ 24,658	\$ (98,852)	\$ (201,231)
June 30, 2014	\$ 5,812	\$ (117,534)	\$ (219,710)

**NOTE 5 - NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR PENSION BENEFITS**

Net position restricted for pension benefits is segregated into Active Member Reserves (members' accumulated contributions) and reserves established by the Board for various benefit payments.

Reserves are established by the System from member and employer contributions and the accumulation of investment income after satisfying investment and administrative expenses. Note: The reserves do not represent the present value of assets needed, as determined by actuarial valuation, to satisfy retirements and other benefits as they become due.

The System's major reserves are as follows:

ACTIVE MEMBER RESERVES represent the total accumulated member contributions. Additions include member contributions and investment earnings; deductions include refunds of member contributions and transfers to Employer Advance/Retired Reserves.

EMPLOYER ADVANCE/RETIRED RESERVES represent the total accumulated employer contributions for future retirement payments to current active and vested terminated members and the total accumulated transfers from Active Member

Reserves and investment earnings, less payments to retirees and transfers to the DROP Reserve. Additions include contributions from the employer, transfers from Active Member Reserve and investment earnings; deductions include payments to retirees and transfers to the DROP Reserve.

DEFERRED RETIREMENT OPTION PROGRAM RESERVE ("DROP RESERVE") represents funds reserved for Deferred Retirement Option Benefits accumulated by members and retirees.

POST RETIREMENT SUPPLEMENTAL RESERVE ("PRSB RESERVE") represents surplus earnings that have been allocated but not distributed to eligible retirees in accordance with Municipal Code Section 3-567 "Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit."

CITY SURPLUS RESERVE represents surplus earnings that have been allocated but not used as a reduction to offset or eliminate the City's pension contributions in accordance with the conditions and requirements of Municipal Code Section 3-567 "Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit." The City Surplus Reserve Account was negative for fiscal years 2012 and 2011 due to the differences between the actual and estimated surplus allocation for the City for offsetting the City's contributions for those years. The City's normal contribution rate for fiscal year 2014 includes funding of the fiscal year 2012 deficit City Surplus Reserve balance.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

Interest is allocated at an actuarially determined interest rate as approved by the Board and is credited monthly to the Active Member Reserves and the Employer Advance/Retired Reserves. Active members in the Deferred Retirement Option Program accrue interest on their accumulated DROP

accounts monthly at an interest rate annually adopted by the Board.

The amount of reserves for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

**RESERVES TABLE FOR 2015 AND 2014**

(In Thousands)

	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>
Employer Advance/Retired Reserves	\$ 976,873	\$ 987,708
Active Member Reserves	100,221	98,490
DROP Reserves	93,332	81,027
PRSB Reserves	-	-
City Surplus Reserves	(499)	(68)
<b>Net Position Restricted for Pension Benefits</b>	<b>\$1,169,927</b>	<b>\$1,167,157</b>

**NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS**

The System’s investment guidelines reflect the duties imposed by an investment standard known as the “prudent expert rule.” The prudent expert rule establishes a standard for all fiduciaries which includes anyone who has discretionary authority with respect to the System’s investments.

Northern Trust serves as custodian of the System’s investments. The System’s asset classes include U.S. Equity, International Equity, Emerging Market Equity, U.S. Fixed Income, and Real Estate. Any class may be held in direct form, pooled form, or both. The System has fifteen external investment managers, managing eighteen individual portfolios.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

Investments at June 30, 2015 and 2014 consist of the following:

**INVESTMENTS AT FAIR VALUE 2015 AND 2014**

(In Thousands)

	2015	2014
Investments at Fair Value		
Domestic Equity	\$ 457,354	\$ 497,565
International Developed Market Equities	187,228	187,583
International Emerging Market Equities	37,235	20,771
Government Bonds	107,057	109,304
Corporate Bonds	198,919	177,790
Real Estate	145,191	131,281
Short-Term Investments	34,674	44,460
<b>Total Investments at Fair Value</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,658</b>	<b>\$ 1,168,754</b>

The Board has established a policy for investing, specifying the following target allocations with a minimum and maximum range for each of these asset classes:

**ASSET CLASS MINIMUM TARGET AND MAXIMUM ALLOCATIONS**

Asset Class	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Domestic Equities			
Large Cap	16.0%	22.5%	30.0%
Small Cap	4.0%	7.5%	12.0%
International Equities			
Developed Markets	16.0%	22.0%	30.0%
Emerging Markets	0.0%	8.0%	10.0%
Real Estate	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%
Domestic Fixed Income	5.0%	11.0%	20.0%
High Yield Bonds	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%
Absolute Return Strategy	0.0%	4.0%	8.0%
Cash	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
		<b>100%</b>	

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

Allowable securities must meet the reporting requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission and must meet a "prudent expert" standard for investing. In no case may the System have five or more percent of System net position invested in any one organization.

The Board's investment policies and guidelines permit investment in numerous specified asset classes to take advantage of the non-correlated economic behavior of diverse asset classes. The result is a well-diversified portfolio.

### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, the System will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The Retirement System investment securities are not exposed to custodial credit risk since all securities are registered in the System's name and held by the System's custodial bank.

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of depository financial institution, the System will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. Any cash associated with the System's investment portfolios not invested at the end of a day are temporarily swept

overnight to Northern Trust Collective Short-Term Investment Fund.

That portion of the System's cash held by the City in a Trust account as part of the City's cash investment pool totaled \$101,785 and \$67,907 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Accordingly, the System's investments in the pool are held in the name of the City and are not specifically identifiable.

Disclosure of the legal and contractual provisions of the City's investment policy and carrying amounts by type of investments may be found in the notes to the City's separate Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

### Credit and Interest Rate Risk

Credit risk associated with the System's debt securities is identified by their ratings in the tables that follow. Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The System has no general policy on credit and interest rate risk. The System limits its investments in below investment grade bonds and monitors the interest rate risk inherent in its portfolio by measuring the duration of its portfolio.

The average duration of the System's debt portfolios in years is also listed in the table that follows.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

Type of Investment	2015			2014		
	Fair Value	Credit Quality	Duration	Fair Value	Credit Quality	Duration
Asset Backed Securities	\$ 8,228,098	AA+	1.74	\$ 3,849,371	AA-	1.76
Commercial Mortgage-Backed	3,498,658	BBB+	2.12	3,737,241	A	2.46
Corporate Bonds	160,980,045	BB+	3.95	157,998,883	BB	3.91
Corporate Convertible Bonds	3,320,717	CC	3.39	4,221,232	B-	2.85
Funds - Corporate Bond	15,197,220	NR	1.35	1,430,608	NR	-
Non-Government backed C.M.O.s	2,418,429	BB-	1.95	3,435,516	B+	1.62
Bank Loans	1,403,014	BB-	0.45	312,931	B	0.00
Convertible Equity	1,888,923	CC	1.56	306,072	BBB-	3.75
Common Stock	418,340	C-	0.98	453,271	BB	0.79
Preferred Stock	1,565,942	BB-	0.47	2,045,260	B+	1.37
Government Agencies	2,643,256	AAA	3.18	3,024,240	AAA	3.65
Government Bonds	47,792,783	AAA	5.66	4,565,174	AAA	3.98
Gov't Issued Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities	581,637	AAA	5.03	-	-	-
Government Mortgage Backed Securities	48,538,995	AAA	2.90	56,146,167	AAA	3.07
Fixed Income Derivatives - Futures	(3,290,720)	AAA	6.67	(1,340,186)	NR	3.27
Municipal/Provincial Bonds	6,774,744	A+	8.94	6,909,001	A	8.22
Index Linked Government Bonds	4,016,304	AAA	8.91	-	-	-
<b>Total Credit Risk Fixed Income</b>	<b>\$ 305,976,385</b>			<b>\$ 287,094,781</b>		

Per section 3.5.f.i. of the System's Investment Policy Statement, no more than 15 percent of an investment manager's fixed income portfolio may be invested in below investment grade rated securities (BB or B rated bonds). Therefore, at least 85 percent of the manager's fixed income portfolio must be invested in investment grade securities. Intermediate bond portfolios shall maintain an average credit quality of A+ or better.

High yield fixed income portfolios, in accordance with section 3.5.f.ii. of the System's Investment Policy Statement, shall maintain an average credit quality rating equal to or higher than that of the Barclays US Corporate High Yield Index. Based on the Barclays US Corporate High Yield Index, a high yield manager's portfolio shall have a constraint of the benchmark weight plus 5 percent in bonds rated Caa1/CCC+ or lower with non-rated bonds being limited to 5 percent of the portfolio with both limits

subject to maintaining the average portfolio credit quality requirement of the Barclays US Corporate High Yield index. No more than 25 percent of a high yield manager's portfolio may be invested in foreign securities; within this limit, a manager may allocate up to 20 percent in emerging market government securities including both non-US dollar denominated securities and US dollar denominated Yankee securities and up to 15 percent of the portfolio may be invested in non-US dollar denominated securities.

High yield bond portfolios may hold up to the benchmark weight plus 5 percent of assets in Rule 144A bond issues with or without registration rights. No more than 10 percent of the high yield manager's portfolio may be invested in convertibles or preferreds; and no more than 20% may be invested in securitized bank debt. No single security and/or issuer can represent more than 5 percent of the market value of a portfolio at the time of purchase,

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

and no single industry can represent more than 25 percent of the market value of the account at the time of purchase.

Firms that manage fixed income portfolios will continually monitor the risk associated with their fixed income investments. They will be expected to report as a component of their quarterly report, a risk/reward analysis of the management decisions relative to their benchmarks. Statistics that relate performance variance to effective duration decisions will be included in each quarterly report.

### Concentration Risk

The investment portfolio as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 contained no concentration of investments in any one entity (other than those issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government) that represented 5 percent or more of the total investment portfolio or fiduciary net position.

### Foreign Currency Risk

Foreign Currency Risk is the risk that changes in foreign exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or deposit. The System has no general investment policy with respect to foreign currency risk. The System's investment policy guidelines allow international developed and emerging equity managers to hedge their currency risks in foreign countries through the purchase of derivatives. Used as a defensive measure and in an effort to control the risks associated with international portfolios, international equity investment managers are permitted to invest in forward currency contracts, swaps currency futures, and exchanged-traded index futures that represent broad equity exposure to countries represented in their respective benchmark index.

The following positions represent the System's exposure to foreign currency risk as of June 30, 2015 and 2014.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK EXPOSURE

As of June 30, 2015

Base Currency	Country	Equities / Fixed Income	Foreign Currency Contracts	Futures, Options & Swaps	Cash & Cash Equivalents	Total	
AED	UAE Dirham	UAE	\$ 199,918	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 199,918
AUD	Australian Dollar	Australia	8,821,048	-	-	(424,545)	8,396,403
BRL	Brazilian Real	Brazil	3,744,309	469,158	(129,863)	(726,800)	3,356,804
CAD	Canadian Dollar	Canada	7,660,530	-	(566,642)	491,547	7,585,435
CHF	Swiss Franc	Switzerland	11,333,145	-	-	-	11,333,145
CLP	Chilean Peso	Chile	248,898	-	-	-	248,898
CZK	Czech Koruna	Czech Republic	28,314	-	-	71,385	99,699
DKK	Danish Krone	Denmark	7,962,073	-	-	-	7,962,073
EGP	Egyptian Pound	Egypt	199,550	-	-	13,708	213,258
EUR	Euro	Europe	40,449,897	1,391,158	135,399	(7,041,219)	34,935,235
GBP	British Pound Sterling	United Kingdom	38,637,331	1,006,366	(3,208)	(1,970,788)	37,669,701
HKD	Hong Kong Dollar	Hong Kong	14,423,052	-	-	12,236	14,435,288
IDR	Indonesian Rupiah	Indonesia	979,518	-	-	13,842	993,360
ILS	New Israeli Shekel	Israel	184,248	-	-	-	184,248
INR	Indian Rupee	India	3,697,885	97,647	-	(49,936)	3,745,596
JPY	Japanese Yen	Japan	32,343,547	1,685,679	-	(3,051,265)	30,977,961
KRW	South Korean Won	South Korea	8,144,069	77,358	(16,946)	(771,960)	7,432,521
MXN	Mexican Peso	Mexico	2,537,526	1,662,916	-	(811,742)	3,388,700
MYR	Malaysian Ringgit	Malaysia	663,255	-	-	5,728	668,983
NOK	Norwegian Krone	Norway	1,803,850	-	-	225	1,804,075
NZD	New Zealand Dollar	New Zealand	-	-	-	113	113
PHP	Philippine Peso	Philippines	1,410,631	-	-	-	1,410,631
PLN	Polish Zloty	Poland	606,319	-	-	580	606,899
QAR	Qatari Rial	Qatar	419,662	-	-	-	419,662
SEK	Swedish Krona	Sweden	8,150,546	-	-	-	8,150,546
SGD	Singapore Dollar	Singapore	2,762,915	-	-	(220,688)	2,542,227
THB	Thai Baht	Thailand	1,314,250	-	-	5,253	1,319,503
TRY	Turkish Lira	Turkey	1,628,372	-	-	-	1,628,372
TWD	New Taiwan Dollar	Taiwan	5,926,405	-	-	90,635	6,017,040
USD	United States Dollar	United States	-	15,847,554	(4,560,117)	-	11,287,437
ZAR	South African Rand	South Africa	6,320,532	-	-	1,192	6,321,724
<b>Total Equities (In USD)</b>			<b>212,601,595</b>	<b>22,237,836</b>	<b>(5,141,377)</b>	<b>(14,362,599)</b>	<b>215,335,455</b>
<b>Total Non-USD Equities (In USD)</b>			<b>\$ 212,601,595</b>	<b>\$ 6,390,282</b>	<b>\$ (581,260)</b>	<b>\$ (14,362,599)</b>	<b>\$ 204,048,018</b>

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

## FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK EXPOSURE

As of June 30, 2014

Base Currency		Country	Equities / Fixed Income	Foreign Currency Contracts	Futures, Options & Swaps	Cash & Cash Equivalents	Total
AUD	Australian Dollar	Australia	\$ 9,716,338	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,716,338
BRL	Brazilian Real	Brazil	1,481,490	-	-	3	1,481,493
CAD	Canadian Dollar	Canada	6,727,836	-	-	1,990	6,729,826
CHF	Swiss Franc	Switzerland	10,473,538	-	-	-	10,473,538
CLP	Chilean Peso	Chile	350,190	-	-	-	350,190
CZK	Czech Koruna	Czech Republic	95,411	-	-	-	95,411
DKK	Danish Krone	Denmark	7,172,601	314,097	-	(314,097)	7,172,601
EUR	Euro	Europe	51,818,176	395,677	(2,231,572)	(13,968,545)	36,013,736
GBP	British Pound Sterling	United Kingdom	43,806,887	424,479	4,091,595	(1,788,269)	46,534,692
HKD	Hong Kong Dollar	Hong Kong	10,529,664	-	-	222,418	10,752,082
IDR	Indonesian Rupiah	Indonesia	202,028	-	-	-	202,028
INR	Indian Rupee	India	2,580,001	-	-	-	2,580,001
JPY	Japanese Yen	Japan	28,046,448	-	-	34,440	28,080,888
KRW	South Korean Won	South Korea	9,370,762	-	-	(11,368)	9,359,394
MXN	Mexican Peso	Mexico	2,842,516	1,426,029	2,817	(133)	4,271,229
MYR	Malaysian Ringgit	Malaysia	449,049	-	-	-	449,049
NOK	Norwegian Krone	Norway	2,781,413	-	-	-	2,781,413
PHP	Philippine Peso	Philippines	749,247	-	-	-	749,247
PLN	Polish Zloty	Poland	48,320	-	-	-	48,320
SEK	Swedish Krona	Sweden	8,821,860	-	-	-	8,821,860
SGD	Singapore Dollar	Singapore	2,161,954	-	-	-	2,161,954
THB	Thai Baht	Thailand	356,129	-	-	-	356,129
TRY	Turkish Lira	Turkey	958,155	-	-	-	958,155
TWD	New Taiwan Dollar	Taiwan	4,893,039	-	-	-	4,893,039
USD	United States Dollar	United States	-	14,672,675	47,342,400	-	62,015,075
ZAR	South African Rand	South Africa	4,268,644	-	-	413	4,269,057
<b>Total Equities (In USD)</b>			<b>210,701,696</b>	<b>17,232,957</b>	<b>49,205,240</b>	<b>(15,823,148)</b>	<b>261,316,745</b>
<b>Total Non-USD Equities (In USD)</b>			<b>\$ 210,701,696</b>	<b>\$ 2,560,282</b>	<b>\$ 1,862,840</b>	<b>\$(15,823,148)</b>	<b>\$199,301,670</b>

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

Per section 3.5.e. of the System's Investment Objectives and Policy Statement, assets in international equity portfolios shall consist of liquid, publicly traded equity and equity like securities traded on major stock exchanges as well as cash and cash equivalents as necessary. Securities will be primarily composed of foreign ordinary shares and depository receipts (American Depository Receipts (ADR's) and Global Depository Receipts (GDR's) including ADR's and GDR's that are 144A securities). Securities that are 144A securities, including ADR and GDR 144A securities are authorized investments which in aggregate cannot exceed 10 percent of the portfolio. Primarily large capitalization securities may be held, although investments in small and mid-capitalization securities in developed and emerging markets are also allowed. Firms will continually monitor their country, currency, sector and security selection risks associated with their international portfolios. All of the risks will be included in the manager's quarterly reports and performance attribution based on these factors will also be included.

The System's complete Investment Objectives and Policy Statement can be found on the System's website at [www.CFRS-CA.org](http://www.CFRS-CA.org) or by contacting the Retirement Office at 2828 Fresno Street, Suite 201, Fresno, CA 93721.

### Rate of Return

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the annual money-weighted rate of return on the assets of the System, net of investment expense, was 2.94 percent and 17.16 percent, respectively. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for timing of cash flows and the changing amounts actually invested.

### NOTE 7 - DERIVATIVES

The Retirement Board has authorized certain investment managers to invest in or otherwise enter into transactions involving derivative financial instruments when, in the judgment of management, such transactions are consistent with the investment objectives established for a specific investment manager's assignment. The acceptable investment purposes for the use of derivatives are as follows:

- a. Mitigation of risk (or risk reduction).
- b. A useful substitute for an existing, traditional investment. In certain circumstances it may be cheaper, quicker or easier to invest in a derivative instrument or security rather than transacting in cash or in the traditional security market.
- c. To provide investment value to the portfolio, while being consistent with the System's overall and specific investment policies.
- d. To obtain investment exposure which is appropriate for the manager's investment strategy and the System's investment guidelines, but which could not be made through traditional investment securities.

The Retirement Board monitors and reviews each investment manager's securities and derivative position as well as the manager's performance relative to established benchmark rates of return and risk measures. In management's opinion, derivative activities must be evaluated within the context of the overall portfolio performance and cannot be evaluated in isolation.

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

Allowable derivative financial instruments held by the System include stable and well-structured mortgage collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs); centrally cleared instruments including, but not limited to, futures, swaps and options; and forwards including currency forwards. Derivative investments with allocation limits include mortgage derivatives (interest only and principal only CMOs); non centrally cleared derivatives; caps and floors; and inverse floating rate notes and bonds. Allocation limits will be determined and specified in portfolio guidelines with individual investment managers based on the objectives and risk tolerances of a given strategy.

Cash securities that contain derivative features include callable bonds, structural notes and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). These instruments are generally traded in over-the-counter bond markets.

Financial instruments whose value is dependent upon a contractual price or rate relative to one or more reference prices or rates, applied to a notional amount, including interest rate futures, options, swaps and caps, and foreign currency futures and forward contracts. Some of these instruments are exchange-traded and others are traded over-the-counter (OTC).

### Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of change in fair value of an instrument in response to changes in a market price or index. While all investments are subject to market risk, derivatives often have a higher degree of market risk than other types of investment instruments. Values of cash securities containing derivative features are often more susceptible to market risk than other types of fixed income securities because the amounts and/or timing of their scheduled cash flows may fluctuate under changing market conditions, according to their contractual terms. For other types of derivatives, amounts of contractual cash flows may be either positive or negative depending upon prevailing market conditions relative to the reference prices or rates, and thus the values of such instruments may be positive or negative, despite the fact that little or no cash is initially exchanged to enter into such contracts.

### Credit Risk

Credit risk of cash securities containing derivative features, as explained, is based upon the credit worthiness of the issuers of such securities. The Retirement Board establishes minimum credit requirements for such securities. The other derivative instruments described above are subject to credit risk to the extent their fair value is a positive market value, and the counterparty to such contract fails to perform under the terms of the instrument.

Exchange-traded derivatives are generally considered to be of lower credit risk than OTC derivatives due to the exchange margin requirements. Equity Index Swaps are derivatives and represent an agreement between two parties to swap two sets of equity values. Equity Futures are contracts used to replicate an underlying stock market index. These equity futures can be used for

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

hedging against an existing equity position, or speculating on future movements of the index.

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Employees Retirement System held a total fair value of \$21,547,988 and \$70,317,020, respectively, in derivative holdings. These holdings consisted of Right/Warrants, Foreign Currency Forwards and Futures designed to synthetically created equity returns held as components of the System's international equity investments, and S&P 500 E Mini Index Futures, S&P MidCap 400 E MINI Index Futures and a variety of ACWIxUS index related futures as components of the System's investments

in its international equity portfolios, BlackRock S&P 500 Equity Index, Russell 1000, and ACWIxUS Funds. Holdings also consist of futures – interest rate contracts, options and swaptions held as components of the System's absolute return fixed income strategy. These derivatives are used for the purpose of synthetically creating equity returns, synthetically creating floating rates and to buy and sell credit protection on the assets.

There is no net counterparty exposure for which there is a positive replacement cost to the fund. The details of these derivative holdings are as follows:

Derivative Type:	FY 2015		FY 2014	FY 2015 - FY 2014 Change in Fair Value
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value	
Foreign Currency Forward	\$ (22,077,960)	\$ 22,237,836	\$ 17,232,958	\$ 5,004,878
Future Contracts - Domestic Equity Index	-	3,946,611	2,391,842	1,554,769
Future Contracts - International Equity Index	-	504,918	1,486,980	(982,062)
Futures - Interest Rate Contracts	(5,298,765)	(5,272,323)	48,749,581	(54,021,904)
Options/Swaption	-	(63,436)	(91,412)	27,976
Swaps	-	194,382	547,071	(352,689)
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 21,547,988</b>	<b>\$ 70,317,020</b>	

Derivative Type:	FY 2014		FY 2013	FY 2014 - FY 2013 Change in Fair Value
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value	
Rights/Warrants	-	\$ -	\$ 17,467	\$ (17,467)
Foreign Currency Forward	\$ (17,279,395)	17,232,958	7,345,696	9,887,262
Future Contracts - Domestic Equity Index	-	2,391,842	1,264,728	1,127,114
Future Contracts - International Equity Index	-	1,486,980	688,804	798,176
Futures - Interest Rate Contracts	(48,736,390)	48,749,581	-	48,749,581
Options/Swaption	-	(91,412)	-	(91,412)
Swaps	-	547,071	-	547,071
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 70,317,020</b>	<b>\$ 9,316,695</b>	

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

### NOTE 8 - SECURITIES LENDING

The City of Fresno Municipal Code and the Retirement Board's policies permit the Retirement Board of the City of Fresno Fire and Police Retirement System and the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System (the System) to use investments of both Systems to enter into securities lending transactions, i.e., loans of securities to broker-dealers and other entities for collateral with a simultaneous agreement to return the collateral for the same securities in the future. The Systems have contracted with Northern Trust, their custodian, to manage the securities lending program for the Systems and all securities held in a separately managed account are available for lending. As the securities lending agent, Northern Trust calculates collateral margins and accepts collateral in the form of cash or marketable securities and irrevocable bank letters of credit for all securities lending transactions. Transactions are collateralized at 102 percent of market value (contract value) for domestic securities and 105 percent of market value (contract value) for international securities. Collateral is marked to market daily. When a loan is secured by cash, a rebate is negotiated and the cash collateral is invested according to the guidelines in the collateral pool.

As designated by the Boards, cash collateral is invested in Northern Trust's Core U.S.A. Collateral Section (short-term investment pool), which, as of June 30, 2015, had a weighted average duration of 108 days, average maturity of 26 days and an average monthly yield of 0.30 percent. The relationship between the maturities of the investment pool and the Systems' loans is affected by the maturities of the security loans made by other entities that use the Northern Trust Core U.S.A. Collateral Section and a definitive statement of that relationship cannot be formulated by the Systems. As of June 30, 2015, the Northern Trust CORE U.S.A. Cash Collateral Fund had zero exposure in below investment grade long-term securities and there were no known credit risks related to the securities lending transactions.

Northern Trust will ensure that, in any agreement with a borrower, it retains its absolute right to terminate the agreement without cause, upon short notice and without any penalty. The Systems cannot pledge or sell collateral securities received unless the borrower defaults. In the event of a borrower default, Northern Trust indemnifies the Systems against losses and will replace or reimburse the Systems for any borrowed securities not replaced. In general, the average term of all Systems' loans is overnight or "on demand". All securities loans can be terminated on demand by either the lender or the borrower, although the average term of the Systems' loans was approximately 58 days as of June 30, 2015.

**NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

The System's securities lending income is as follows:

**SECURITIES LENDING INCOME**

For the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
Gross Income	\$487,272	\$584,338
Expenses:		
Bank Fees	97,380	116,799
Total Expenses	97,380	116,799
<b>Net Income from Securities Lending</b>	<b>\$389,892</b>	<b>\$467,539</b>

**FAIR VALUE OF LOANED SECURITIES**

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014

Collateralized by	FY 2015			FY 2014		
	Cash	Securities	Total	Cash	Securities	Total
U.S. Government & Agency	\$ 23,669,985	\$ 189,127	\$ 23,859,112	\$ 20,260,954	\$ 1,070,977	\$ 21,331,931
Domestic Equities	62,244,471	4,027,898	66,272,369	60,426,542	179,880	60,606,422
Domestic Fixed	26,154,912	2,476,853	28,631,765	23,758,454	112,386	23,870,840
International Equities	1,263,899	516,840	1,780,739	5,489,964	2,189,567	7,679,531
International Fixed	428,473	-	428,473	-	-	-
<b>Total Value</b>	<b>\$ 113,761,740</b>	<b>\$ 7,210,718</b>	<b>\$120,972,458</b>	<b>\$ 109,935,914</b>	<b>\$ 3,552,810</b>	<b>\$ 113,488,724</b>

**FAIR VALUE OF COLLATERAL RECEIVED FOR LOANED SECURITIES**

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014

Collateralized by	FY 2015			FY 2014		
	Cash	Securities	Total	Cash	Securities	Total
U.S. Government & Agency	\$ 24,141,284	\$ 192,912	\$ 24,334,196	\$ 20,665,064	\$ 1,092,226	\$ 21,757,290
Domestic Equities	63,549,396	4,113,928	67,663,324	61,797,535	183,633	61,981,168
Domestic Fixed	26,702,163	2,529,430	29,231,593	24,259,455	114,691	24,374,146
International Equities	1,333,980	-	1,333,980	5,805,951	2,583,612	8,389,563
International Fixed	452,350	550,569	1,002,919	-	-	-
<b>Total Value</b>	<b>\$ 116,179,173</b>	<b>\$ 7,386,839</b>	<b>\$ 123,566,012</b>	<b>\$ 112,528,005</b>	<b>\$ 3,974,162</b>	<b>\$ 116,502,167</b>

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

### NOTE 9 - ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Section 3-532 of the Fresno Municipal Code provides that all administrative costs of the System shall be a charge against the assets of the System. Per the Municipal Code, the administrative expenses are a component of the City's contribution calculation.

### NOTE 10 - POST RETIREMENT SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFIT (PRSB)

The System is not obligated to provide for or fund any other post-employment benefits as retirees do not receive paid healthcare benefits from the System. The Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit ("PRSB") Program was created as a contingent program to provide supplemental distributions to eligible retirees which they could use to pay for various post retirement expenses. The Retirement Board will annually review the actuarial valuation report and declare an actuarial surplus, if available, in accordance with the procedures in Municipal Code Section 3-567.

If an actuarial surplus is declared by the Board, the surplus is allocated into two components. One component composed of two-thirds of the declared surplus shall be used to reduce or eliminate the City's pension contributions. Any unused portion shall be reserved in the City Surplus Reserve and drawn upon in subsequent years if needed. The remaining one-third component shall be distributed among eligible post retirement supplemental benefit recipients in accordance with procedures in Municipal Code Section 3-567(f)(4). Any unused portion shall be reserved in the PRSB Reserve and drawn upon in subsequent years if needed.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, there is a surplus (or prefunded actuarial accrued liability) as the System has a valuation value of assets which is in excess of the actuarial accrued liability. However, the System's valuation value of assets was 109.2 percent which is less than the required 110 percent for

declaration of a surplus, thus there is no actuarial surplus available to reduce the City's and member's COLA contributions and to fund new PRSB benefits. There was no declaration of a surplus for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014; and the PRSB Reserve Account was exhausted at the end of calendar year 2013.

As of June 30, 2015, the City Surplus Reserve balance was \$(499,000). The City's normal rate in fiscal year 2017 will include an adjustment of 0.51% to absorb the current shortfall in the City Surplus Reserve.

### NOTE 11 - CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets are carried at historical cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Capital assets are any items of equipment or furnishings purchased with a value of or an initial cost of \$500 or greater and \$5,000 for land, buildings and infrastructure and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Accumulated depreciation shall be summarized and reflected on the System's annual financial statements. Capital assets shall be depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line depreciation method. Intangible assets with limited useful lives (e.g., by legal or contractual provisions) should be depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Depreciation of computer software begins when the program is placed into service.

The System's major two-year project to program and install an upgrade to our original pension administration system that was installed in 1997 (the LRS Pension Gold Retirement Solutions' Version 3 project) includes software costs of \$854,591 which are capitalized as of June 30, 2015, and will be amortized over a ten-year useful life period when it is placed into service.

Other capital assets consisting of office furniture and equipment for the System's Retirement Offices located at 2828 Fresno Street, Fresno, California, in

## NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

---

the amount of \$31,435 are capitalized and depreciated over a remaining estimated useful life of 2-15 years.

As of June 30, 2014, capital assets consisting of office furniture and equipment for the System's Retirement Offices in the amount of \$36,912 were capitalized and depreciated over a remaining estimated useful life of 2-15 years.

### NOTE 12 - LEASES

Under the lease agreement with CFRS Realty Holding Corporation, the holding corporation formed jointly by the Retirement Boards to take ownership of the building, effective September 19, 2005, the City of Fresno Employees and City of Fresno Fire and Police Retirement Boards and their staff occupy approximately 7,900 square feet of the second floor of the renovated building at 2828 Fresno Street. The term of the lease is ten years with an option for two additional five year extensions.

Under the terms of the lease agreement the Systems share equally a base rent of \$10,706 per month which amounts to \$1.35 per square foot per month, triple net.

### NOTE 13 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Retirement System is involved in various business transactions with the City of Fresno, the primary plan sponsor. These include reimbursement to the City for the salaries and benefits of the System's Retirement Staff members paid through the City, reimbursement to the City Personnel Department for personnel consulting services, and reimbursement to the City Information Services Department for computer and telephone support.

The Retirement Systems lease office space from the CFRS Realty Holding Corporation, a title holding company controlled jointly by the City of Fresno Employees and City of Fresno Fire and Police Retirement Systems. See note 12 for a description of this arrangement.

### NOTE 14 – DATE OF MANAGEMENT REVIEW

The date to which events occurring after June 30, 2015, have been evaluated for possible adjustments to the financial statements or disclosures is December 23, 2015, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

For the Years June 30, 2015, 2014 AND 2013

**SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY**

(Dollars in Thousands)

For the Years Ended June 30

Change in Net Pension Liability	<b>GASB 67 Basis Financial Reporting</b>		
	2015	2014	2013
<b>Total Pension Liability</b>			
Service Cost	\$18,476	\$19,342	\$18,903
Interest	78,212	77,009	76,279
Change of Benefit Terms	-	-	-
Differences between expected and actual experience	(24,691)	(29,889)	(11,346)
Changes of Assumptions	-	-	36,845
Actual Benefit Payments (including refunds, excluding PRSB)	(50,546)	(48,580)	(47,040)
<b>Net Change in Total Pension Liability</b>	<b>\$21,451</b>	<b>\$17,882</b>	<b>\$73,641</b>
Total Pension Liability - Beginning	\$1,049,623	\$1,031,741	\$958,100
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)*	\$1,071,074	\$1,049,623	\$1,031,741
<b>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>			
Employee Contributions	\$ 8,750	\$7,946	\$7,995
Employer Contributions	12,327	11,440	13,330
Net Investment Income	33,309	172,773	121,116
Actual Benefit Payments (including Refunds, PRSB)	(50,546)	(48,581)	(47,040)
Administrative & Professional Expense	(1,071)	(1,086)	(1,138)
<b>Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>	<b>\$2,769</b>	<b>\$142,492</b>	<b>\$94,263</b>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning	\$1,167,158	\$1,024,666	\$930,403
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$1,169,927	\$1,167,158	\$1,024,666
System Net Pension Liability (Surplus) - Ending (a) - (b)	(\$98,853)	(\$117,535)	\$7,075
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability	109.23%	111.20%	99.31%
Covered-Employee Payroll	105,820	103,597	105,509
Net Pension Liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll	(93.42%)	(113.45%)	6.71%

\* Data above, as of June 30, 2013 through June 30, 2015, are provided in accordance with provisions of GASB 67 for determining Total Pension Liability which for financial reporting purposes uses a version of the Entry Age method whereby the Actuarial Accrued Liability is fully accrued when a member either enters DROP or is expected to elect DROP. Data as of June 30, 2006 through June 30, 2012 are not available in a comparable format.

Note to Schedule:

Changes of Assumptions: The calculations above for June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, reflect various assumption changes, including the modification of the Board's assumed rate of return to 7.50 percent for use in preparing the June 30, 2013 annual actuarial valuation and other assumption changes based on the triennial experience study for the period from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012 which included changes in assumptions for retirement from active employment, pre-retirement mortality, healthy life post-retirement mortality, disabled life post-retirement mortality, vested termination, disability DROP election, percentage of members married, spouse age difference and salary increases.

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

## SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY (CONTINUED)

(Dollars in Thousands)

For the Years Ended June 30

Change in Net Pension Liability	GASB 25 Basis Actuarial Funding						
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
<b>Total Pension Liability</b>							
Service Cost	\$24,000	\$21,730	\$24,382	\$24,386	\$21,926	\$21,724	\$18,843
Interest	67,000	59,000	57,000	55,000	51,000	50,000	46,000
Salary Increase (Greater) Less than Expected	(13,000)	(6,083)	(10,093)	-	8,000	7,000	-
COLA Increase Greater (Less) than Expected	(1,000)	(8,787)	(3,931)	-	-	-	-
Other Experience	(4,000)	7,980	1,000	(19,000)	10,000	6,000	2,000
Economic Assumption Changes	-	-	10,000	-	-	(31,000)	-
Change in Valuation Programs and Methods	52,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	10,000
Benefit Payments (including refunds, excluding PRSB)	(44,147)	(41,993)	(37,350)	(34,969)	(32,398)	(36,332)	(28,480)
<b>Net Change in Total Pension Liability</b>	<b>\$80,853</b>	<b>\$34,847</b>	<b>\$41,008</b>	<b>\$25,417</b>	<b>\$58,528</b>	<b>\$17,392</b>	<b>\$48,363</b>
Total Pension Liability - Beginning	\$791,105	\$756,258	\$715,250	\$689,833	\$631,305	\$613,913	\$565,550
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)**	\$871,958	\$791,105	\$756,258	\$715,250	\$689,833	\$631,305	\$613,913
<b>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>							
Employee Contributions	\$5,507	\$5,275	\$5,740	\$5,845	\$5,666	\$5,094	\$4,643
Employer Contributions	11,374	8,215	3,267	1,345	355	1,566	-
Net Investment Income (Loss)	(5,620)	188,925	104,511	(199,694)	(68,482)	156,546	100,087
Benefit Payments (including Refunds, PRSB)	(44,147)	(43,580)	(41,598)	(40,054)	(36,469)	(39,300)	(30,628)
Administrative & Professional Expense	(1,087)	(1,029)	(929)	(894)	(898)	(916)	(798)
<b>Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>	<b>(\$33,973)</b>	<b>\$157,806</b>	<b>\$70,991</b>	<b>(\$233,452)</b>	<b>(\$99,828)</b>	<b>\$122,990</b>	<b>\$73,304</b>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning	\$964,376	\$806,570	\$735,579	\$969,031	\$1,068,859	\$945,869	\$872,565
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$930,403	\$964,376	\$806,570	\$735,579	\$969,031	\$1,068,859	\$945,869
System Net Pension Liability (Surplus) - Ending (a) - (b)	(\$58,445)	(\$173,271)	(\$50,312)	(\$20,329)	(\$279,198)	(\$437,554)	(\$331,956)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability	106.70%	121.90%	106.65%	102.84%	140.47%	169.31%	154.07%
Covered-Employee Payroll	112,307	117,577	131,224	139,274	133,110	122,232	111,379
Net Pension Liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll	(52.04%)	(147.37%)	(38.34%)	(14.60%)	(209.75%)	(357.97%)	(298.04%)

\*\*Data above, as of June 30, 2006 through June 30, 2012, are provided in accordance with provisions of GASB 25 and the System's funding policy for determining Total Pension Liability which for funding purposes uses a version of the Entry Age method whereby the Actuarial Accrued Liability is fully accrued when a member retires from employment after participation in DROP.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (CONTINUED)****SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS  
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS**

(Dollars in Thousands)

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC)	Contributions in Relation to the ADC	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Covered Employee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll
2015	12,327	12,327	-	105,820	11.65%
2014	11,440	11,440	-	103,597	11.04%
2013	13,330	13,330	-	105,509	12.63%
2012	11,374	11,374	-	110,492	10.29%
2011	8,215	8,215	-	119,260	6.89%
2010	3,267	3,267	-	129,258	2.53%
2009	1,345	1,345	-	132,512	1.02%
2008	355	355	-	129,440	0.27%
2007	1,566	1,566	-	114,234	1.37%
2006	-	-	-	106,483	0.00%

**SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS  
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS**

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return Gross of Investment expenses	Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return Net of Investment Expense
2015	3.32%	2.93%
2014	17.61%	17.16%
2013	13.65%	13.20%
2012	(0.20%)	(0.57%)
2011	24.42%	23.88%
2010	15.13%	14.55%
2009	(20.14%)	(20.50%)
2008	(6.00%)	(6.44%)
2007	17.39%	16.81%
2006	12.18%	11.70%

The Schedule of Investment Returns above shows the annual money-weighted rate of return on the assets of the System, both gross and net of investment expense for ten fiscal years (2006 – 2015). The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance adjusted for timing of cash flows and the changing amounts actually invested. These returns differ slightly from the time-weighted rate of returns calculated and reported by the System’s custodian, Northern Trust (shown in the Transmittal Letter on page ii and within the Investment Section beginning on page 55) and as independently reported by the System’s investment consulting firm, NEPC, (shown in the Investments Section on pages 60-63). The System’s custodian and investment consulting firm must use time-weighted returns as opposed to money-weighted returns in order to meet Global Investment Performance Standards for the purposes of effectively evaluating and reporting the performance of the Systems’ investment managers.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** (CONTINUED)

**Schedule of Investment Returns** (CONTINUED)

The time-weighted return method is a measure of the compound rate of return of a portfolio over a stated period of time. It requires a set of sub-period returns to be calculated whenever there is an external cash flow, such as a deposit or withdrawal from the portfolio. In essence, it calculates the geometric total and mean return as opposed to the arithmetic total and mean return. This method does not include or have any distortions created when money is deposited or withdrawn from a portfolio. This is in contrast to Money-weighted returns.

## NOTES TO THE REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

For the Years June 30, 2015 and 2014

### Actuarial Assumption

The Segal Company, the System's actuary, performed the most recent annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015, which computes the contribution requirements (employee and employer contributions rates for fiscal year 2017), and determines the funding status of the Plan.

Valuation Date:	Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported.
Actuarial Cost Method:	Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization Method:	Level percent of payroll for total Unfunded or Prefunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL or PAAL)
Remaining Amortization Period:	Effective with the June 30, 2013 valuation, any new UAAL established on each subsequent valuation as a result of actuarial gains or losses or plan amendments are amortized over separate 15-year declining periods (with the exception of temporary retirement incentives which are amortized over its own declining period of up to 5 years). Any new UAAL established as a result of changes in actuarial assumptions or methods at each valuation is amortized over separate 25-year declining periods. Any actuarial surplus (when the funded ratio is over 110%) will be amortized over a non-declining 25-year period.
Asset valuation method	Market value of assets less unrecognized returns from each of the last five years. Unrecognized returns are equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on a market value basis and are recognized over a five-year period. The Actuarial Value of Assets is reduced by the value of the non-valuation reserves. Deferred gains and losses as of June 30, 2012 have been combined and will be recognized in equal amounts over a period of four years from that date.

**NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

**Actuarial assumptions:**

Investment rate of return	7.50%
Inflation rate	3.25%
Real across-the-board salary increase	0.50%
Projected salary increases	Ranges from 4.25 percent to 11.75 percent based on years of service. Includes inflation at 3.25% plus real across-the-board salary increase of 0.50% plus merit and promotion increases.
Cost of living adjustments	3.25 percent of retirement income
Other assumptions	See June 30, 2015 funding valuation report and Appendix A for the service retirement rates after they have been adjusted to treat DROP participation as service retirement.
Post-Retirement Mortality Rates	RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate tables for males and females) projected with scale AA to 2021, set back one year for healthy members; set forward three years for disabled members; and set back one year for beneficiaries.

**OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

For the Years June 30, 2015 and 2014

**SCHEDULE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES**

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015 And 2014

	2015	2014
Personnel Services		
Staff Salaries	\$ 361,833	\$ 354,392
Fringe Benefits	143,410	144,063
Total Personnel Services	\$ 505,243	\$ 498,455
Professional Services		
Actuarial	\$ 75,368	\$68,433
Legal Counsel	59,626	58,419
Information Systems Services	81,332	87,483
Specialized Services	70,610	89,876
Total Professional Services	\$ 286,936	\$ 304,211
Communication		
Telephone	7,847	5,811
Postage	820	630
Total Communication	\$ 8,667	\$ 6,441
Rentals		
Office Rent	\$ 64,241	\$ 64,241
Common Area Maintenance (CAM) Charges	36,806	28,314
Total Rentals	\$ 101,047	\$ 92,555
Other		
Education and Conference	\$ 37,811	\$ 47,877
Membership & Dues	3,238	3,038
Subscriptions & Publications	252	28
Office Supplies	3,904	5,102
Computer Equipment	-	12,211
Equipment Lease	4,688	5,852
Insurance	36,358	41,777
Miscellaneous	1,886	2,111
Reimbursement to City for Inter-Dept Services	75,489	61,029
Depreciation	5,477	5,477
Total Other	\$ 169,103	\$ 184,502
Total Administrative Expenses	\$1,070,996	\$1,086,164

**OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (CONTINUED)****SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT EXPENSES**

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015 And 2014

	2015	2014
Investment Manager Fees		
Equity		
Domestic	\$1,364,292	\$1,043,581
International	1,240,942	1,362,845
Fixed Income		
Domestic	944,111	806,621
Real Estate	756,165	1,015,499
<b>Total Investment Manager Fees</b>	<b>4,305,510</b>	<b>4,228,546</b>
Other Investment Expenses		
Foreign Income Taxes & Related Services, Charges	823,465	736,760
Custodial Services	184,323	109,972
Investment Consultant	106,267	102,773
Investment Legal Counsel	15,500	18,769
Analytical Database Service	7,185	6,675
<b>Total Other Investment Expenses</b>	<b>1,136,740</b>	<b>974,949</b>
<b>Total Fees &amp; Other Investment Expenses</b>	<b>5,442,250</b>	<b>5,203,495</b>
Securities Lending Expenses		
Agent Fees	97,380	116,799
<b>Total Securities Lending Expenses</b>	<b>97,380</b>	<b>116,799</b>
<b>Total Investment Expenses</b>	<b>\$5,539,630</b>	<b>\$5,320,294</b>

**SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS TO CONSULTANTS**

For The Years Ended June 30, 2015 And 2014

	2015	2014
Actuarial Services	\$ 75,368	\$ 68,433
Audit Services	17,632	19,036
City Information Services	81,332	87,483
Legal Services	59,626	58,419
Medical Consultant	7,325	14,710
Miscellaneous	45,653	45,755
Securities Litigation Monitoring Services	-	10,375
<b>Total Payments to Consultants</b>	<b>\$286,936</b>	<b>\$304,211</b>

# INVESTMENT SECTION

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014**

## **CITY OF FRESNO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

**Investment Report from the Retirement Administrator**

**Investment Consultant's Report**

**Investment Results**

**Target Asset Allocation and Actual Asset Allocation**

**Largest Stock and Bond Holdings**

**Schedule of Commissions**

**Investment Summary**

## INVESTMENT REPORT from the RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATOR

For the Years June 30, 2015 and 2014

### Analysis of Issues Affecting Our Portfolio in FY 2015

In spite of the overwhelming challenges of the global economy, the continued prudent leadership of the Employees Retirement Systems Board is undoubtedly the most important factor in the long-term success of our System. Our Board understands that the Retirement System's portfolio requires a sound and stable strategy for meeting investment goals over the long-term and that in times of unprecedented financial market volatility a well-diversified portfolio with strong controls to manage risk and ensure compliance are likely to produce superior performance relative to its indices over the long term.

The System is fully funded on both a market fair value basis and an actuarial valuation value of assets basis. From a long term perspective, the System is positioned to provide a solid rate of return that is equal to or better than the respective asset classes market indices even as we enter yet another year of uncertainty in the global financial markets.

In the movie *Groundhog Day*, Bill Murray wakes up every day only to find out that he is reliving yesterday again. Morning after morning, his hopes that a new day has started are disappointed. The global markets around the world, especially the Eurozone, seem to be caught in a similar time loop. Since the financial crisis started more than seven years ago, each year brings new hope for a strong recovery, but sustainable growth does not quite materialize.

Hopes were particularly high that 2014 would be the year in which the Eurozone finally turned the tide and returned to solid and accelerating growth. The signs looked promising. After a long recession, tepid growth set in, and the early indicators signaled increasing vitality. However, things developed differently. The fragile recovery failed to gain

momentum in the first half of 2014 and came largely to a standstill in the second.

Despite this volatility around the world which has greatly impacted other economies, the U.S. economy has grown slowly but steadily since 2009. For the United States, 2015 was supposed to be the year the economy was finally healthy enough to get off the life support of near-zero interest rates. Yet the Federal Reserve has pushed off its first hike in the federal funds rate to the very end of the year—Dec. 2015—at the earliest. Many are predicting that December 2015 is looking very likely but there is always a slim chance that the Federal Reserve may delay liftoff.

As the fiscal year 2015 began, there were a handful of trends that were driving the global economy. First, the sharp drop in the price of oil changed the economic landscape. Driven by weak demand and a big increase in output in the United States and elsewhere, this has boosted consumer purchasing power in oil-consuming countries, suppressed inflation in developed economies, pushed up the value of the US dollar, and weakened several oil-producing economies.

Second, the shift in US monetary policy ending quantitative easing and the expected increase in short-term US interest rates at some point in 2015 were influencing currency values around the world, especially in emerging markets. The necessity of maintaining high interest rates in order to prevent severe currency depreciation has led to much slower growth in many emerging markets.

Third, weaker growth and low inflation in the Eurozone, Japan, and China are offsetting the positive global impact of a rebound in the US economy. In Europe, Japan, and China, a more aggressive monetary policy is the principal tool used by governments in attempting to revive growth. Yet in all three locations, a consensus has developed that greater structural reforms will be needed if sustained growth is to be attained.

**INVESTMENT REPORT FROM THE RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATOR (CONTINUED)**

**Investment Performance**

Highlighted Investment Performance of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System (the System) Investment Portfolio for FY 2015:

	Return
Total Fund	3.33%
Domestic Equity	7.76%
International Equity	-2.02%
Fixed Income	1.43%
Real Estate	11.11%

Fiscal Year End Fund Value: \$1,169,926,556

The principal goals of the System’s Retirement Board (the Board) in managing the Retirement System’s Investment Portfolios are the following:


- 1) To fund the System’s benefit payments
- 2) To assume a prudent risk posture to minimize the cost of meeting the obligations of the System;
- 3) To achieve rates of return above inflation;
- 4) To comply with legal statutes and regulations; and
- 5) To maintain a fully funded pension status.

These are the fundamental goals as stated in the Board’s Investment Objectives and Policy Statement. The Employees Retirement Board has strong controls in place to manage the overall investment objectives of the Employees Retirement System assets and hold the fiduciary responsibility for the System.

The System’s Total Fund Returns versus NEPC LLC’s InvestorForce (IF) Public Fund Universe (Gross of Fee) Returns for the one-year period ended June 30, 2015, ranked the System in the 2nd quartile (47th percentile) of our Investment Consultant’s universe of all public funds (\$1Billion + in assets). For the three years ended June 30, 2015, the System’s Total Fund Returns of 11.34 percent ranked the System in the 2nd quartile (36th percentile), exceeding its policy weighted benchmark by 0.79 percent and also exceeding the Median IF Public Pension Funds (\$1Billion+ in assets) return of 10.8 percent by 0.54 percent. Over the past ten years, the System’s investment returns have remained sound and outperformed its policy benchmark in seven of the ten years and the median fund returns in five of the eight years as shown in the following chart.

Due to the extreme volatility in the various economies of the world and the global financial markets over the past twenty to twenty-five years it is of utmost importance to examine the System’s investment returns with a long-term view rather than a short-term focus which tends to distort the perception of how well the investments have actually performed. As an example, you cannot isolate the high returns during the Tech Bubble in the 1990’s without including the Tech Bubble corrections in the early 2000’s. The intermediate term (five, ten, and fifteen-year) performance rates demonstrate the extreme volatility of the markets; while the historical long-term performance rates of returns demonstrate that despite the short and intermediate term volatility the System has been able to meet or exceed its actuarial assumed rate of return of 7.50 percent over long periods. As of June 30, 2015, the System’s 25-year annualized return is 8.85 percent and its 20-year annualized return is 8.39 percent.

**INVESTMENT REPORT FROM THE RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATOR (CONTINUED)**



**Summary of Portfolio Results**

The fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, marked yet another extraordinarily volatile year which ended with a decline from its peak fiscal year performance for the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System. The System experienced a total investment gain of 3.33 percent for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, underperforming the System’s actuarial interest rate assumption of 7.50 percent by 4.17 percent and outperforming the System’s policy benchmark (a weighted average of the fund’s asset classes and their respective benchmarks) return of 2.39 percent by 0.94 percent. The System’s ten-year annualized returns averaged 6.88 percent slightly outperforming its policy benchmarks return of 6.81 percent for the period by 0.07 percent. Over the longer term, our investment results remain sound with annualized returns of 8.39 percent and 8.85 percent, respectively, over the past twenty and twenty-five years. After paying all benefits and expenses of the System, the year-end value of the System reached \$1.170 billion.

**General Information**

The System’s investment assets are managed by external investment management firms. Professional investment consultants, along with staff, closely monitor the activity of these managers and assist the Board with the implementation of investment policies and long-term strategies. The System’s goal is to fund benefit payments, while assuming a risk posture that is consistent with the Board’s risk tolerance, protecting against loss of purchasing power by achieving rates of return above inflation, and to maintain a fully funded pension status.

**Summary of General Investment Guidelines, Policies and Procedures**

The Retirement Board, having sole and exclusive authority and sole and exclusive fiduciary responsibility for the investment and administration of the System and its assets, has adopted an Investment Objectives and Policy Statement which reflects the Board’s policies for management of the System’s investments. The Board reserves the right

## INVESTMENT REPORT FROM THE RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATOR (CONTINUED)

---

to amend, supplement or rescind this statement at any time. This Investment Objectives and Policy Statement establishes the investment program goals and policies, asset allocation policies, performance objectives, investment management policies and risk controls. It also defines the principal duties of the Board, staff, investment managers, master custodian and consultants.

The System's primary investment objective is to take prudent risk, as necessary, to minimize the cost of meeting the obligations of the System. The purpose of the Investment Objectives and Policy Statement is to express in operational terms: Return expectations, prudence with respect to risk and compliance with statutes and regulations.

An integral part of the overall investment policy is the strategic asset allocation policy. This allocation mix is designed to provide an optimal mix of asset categories with return expectations that reflect expected risk. This emphasizes a maximum diversification of the portfolio that protects the System from declines that a particular asset class may experience in a given period. Both traditional assets (cash, bonds, domestic stocks, fixed income and mortgages) and nontraditional assets (real estate, international stock and emerging equity market stock) are included in the mix.

Total portfolio return, over the long-term, is directed toward achieving and maintaining a fully funded status for the System. Prudent risk taking is warranted within the context of overall portfolio diversification to meet this objective. All activities will be conducted so as to serve the best interests of the System's members and beneficiaries.

### Summary of Proxy Voting Guidelines And Procedures

In recognition of its duty to manage retirement plan assets in the best interest of the plan participants,

the Board has established proxy voting guidelines and procedures which are intended to assist in the faithful discharge of the Board's duty to vote proxies on behalf of plan participants. These guidelines consist of preferences with respect to specific, recurring proxy-voting issues followed by a general statement of voting policies. The System will at all times strive to cast proxy votes so as to advance the overall good of the System.

The Board incorporates International equity proxy voting guidelines for share-blocking markets into its Proxy Voting policy. Share-blocking markets are markets of countries outside the U.S. and Canada, which restrict trade activity by shareholders who vote proxies. For portfolio managers managing assets in these international developed and emerging market countries, the requirement to vote proxies may prevent the full exercise of their fiduciary duty to manage the portfolio in the best interest of the System. Therefore, under these circumstances, the portfolio manager is granted the authority to choose whether or not to vote proxies in share-blocking markets based upon the manager's determination of what is in the best interest of the System.

### Specific Investment Results By Asset Classification

As of June 30, 2015, the Retirement System's portfolio was slightly under-weight in total equities, with 59.78 percent in total equities versus the target of 60.0 percent. Domestic equities were slightly under-weight with 29.71 percent versus the target of 30.0 percent, and international equity with 22.45 percent developed and 7.63 percent emerging markets was slightly over-weight total international equity with 30.07 percent versus the target of 30.0 percent. Fixed income with 27.91 percent was 2.91 percent over-weight its target of 25.0 percent and real estate at 12.29 percent was 2.71 percent under-weight its target of 15.0 percent.


**INVESTMENT REPORT FROM THE RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATOR (CONTINUED)**

The investments were further diversified into the following asset classes and target percentages:

Asset Classification	Actual	Target
Domestic Equities:		
Large-Cap	22.0%	22.5%
Small-Cap	7.7%	7.5%
International Equities:		
Developed Markets	22.5%	22.0%
Emerging Markets	7.6%	8.0%
Fixed Income:		
Domestic Fixed Income	13.9%	11.0%
High Yield Fixed Income	10.3%	10.0%
Absolute Return Strategy	3.7%	4.0%
Real Estate:		
Private Real Estate	7.4%	12.0%
Public (REITs)	4.9%	3.0%
Cash:	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

This asset class diversification along with portfolio investment style diversification is all part of the Retirement Board's Investment Risk Management Program. The investment returns presented herein are based on a time-weighted rate of return methodology based upon market values.

Respectfully submitted,



Stanley L. McDivitt  
Retirement Administrator

December 23, 2015

## INVESTMENT CONSULTANT'S REPORT



**Don Stracke**  
Senior Consultant

October 22, 2015

Dear Board Members:

The overall objective of the City of Fresno Employees and Fire & Police Retirement Systems (CFRS) is to ensure continued access to retirement, disability and survivor benefits for current and future CFRS participants. To ensure a solid foundation for the future of the Systems, CFRS carefully plans and implements an investment program designed to produce superior long-term investment returns, while prudently managing the risk in the portfolio. Investment policy and asset allocation are reviewed and revised by the Retirement Boards, as needed, to reflect the Systems' actuarial assumptions, accrued liabilities, and economic and investment outlook. The following is a report on the performance of the Systems for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015 with background on the underlying market environment.

### Fiscal Year 2015 Market Review

The multi-year valuation expansion in growth assets continued throughout fiscal year 2015. Markets were somewhat resilient to domestic and global political tensions although there was notable divergence in performance. Geopolitical conflicts in Eastern Europe and the Middle East, oil's precipitous price drop, unsustainable debt loads in Greece and the threat of a slowing Chinese economy created challenging markets which helped create that divergence. Central banks continued their influence in markets, with the Federal Reserve navigating an end to unprecedented monetary stimulus in the U.S., the European Central Bank beginning expansionary monetary policy of a €1 trillion bond-purchase program, the People's Bank of China cutting interest rates by 0.25% and the Swiss National Bank removing its Euro peg. Domestic equities, as measured by the S&P 500 Index, posted its sixth consecutive yearly gain, returning 7.4%. Fixed income investments experienced divergent performance across debt instrument types as risk averse investors bid up higher credit quality issues, resulting in high yield bonds (-0.4%) underperforming investment grade bonds (+1.9%). International developed markets equities underperformed domestic equities by nearly 13% as the relative strength of the U.S. Dollar and sluggish economic growth weighed on non-U.S. markets. Emerging markets equities also trailed domestic stocks by 13%, and underperformed developed non-U.S. equities markets by approximately 1%.

With the majority of the global capital markets still at relatively high valuations and divergent economic strength, the potential for downside risk, performance differences between asset classes, and an increase in volatility are likely.

### The Systems' Performance

The City of Fresno Employees and Fire & Police Retirement Systems' Total return, net of fees, was 2.9% for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. By comparison, the median fund in the Investor Force peer group universe of large Public Funds returned 2.5%; making the Systems' return rank in the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile of the same universe. The Systems' five-year return for the period ending June 30, 2015 was 10.9% per annum net of fees (11.3% gross of fees), exceeding the actuarial assumed rate of 7.5% and ranking above median in the peer group. Contributing to the relative outperformance for the fiscal year was the Systems' overweight to US equities and selection within the International Developed equities: in the Europe, Australasia and Far East regions relative to peers.


900 Veterans Blvd. | Ste. 340 | Redwood City, CA 94063-1741 | TEL: 650.364.7000 | [www.nepc.com](http://www.nepc.com)  
BOSTON | ATLANTA | CHARLOTTE | CHICAGO | DETROIT | LAS VEGAS | SAN FRANCISCO

**INVESTMENT CONSULTANT’S REPORT (CONTINUED)**



Data as of 6/30/2015	1 Yr(%)	3 Yrs(%)	5 Yrs(%)	10 Yrs(%)
Systems' Total Return (Gross of Fees)	3.3	11.3	11.3	6.9
Systems' Total Return (Net of Fees)	2.9	10.9	10.9	6.4
Weighted Benchmark	2.4	10.6	10.8	6.8

**IF Public Funds Greater than \$1 Billion Universe<sup>1</sup> Risk-Return Comparison (Gross of Fees)  
5 Years Ending June 30, 2015**



NEPC, LLC serves as the Systems’ independent investment consultant and provides the Systems with asset allocation guidance, quarterly economic and investment market updates and performance reviews, and investment manager monitoring and selection advice. The Systems’ custodian, The Northern Trust Company, independently prepared the underlying performance data used in this report. Rates of return are represented using a time-weighted rate of return methodology based upon market values.

Sincerely,

Don Stracke  
Senior Consultant

<sup>1</sup>As of June 30, 2015, the InvestorForce Public Funds Greater than \$1 Billion Universe was comprised of 30 funds with approximately \$220b in assets. Universe rankings are based on gross of fee performance.

## INVESTMENT RESULTS

	Market Value (\$)	% of Portfolio	Gross of Fees Ending June 30, 2015			
			1 Yr (%)	3 Yrs (%)	5 Yrs (%)	10 Yrs (%)
<b>Total Fund</b>	<b>2,544,094,374</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>3.30</b>	<b>11.30</b>	<b>11.34</b>	<b>6.87</b>
<i>Weighted Index</i>			2.37	10.55	10.83	6.81
<i>Allocation Index</i>			2.16	10.39	10.59	7.09
<i>InvestorForce Public DB &gt; \$1B Gross Median</i>			3.22	10.80	10.91	6.79
<b>Total Equity Composite</b>	<b>1,520,532,965</b>	<b>59.77</b>	<b>2.74</b>	<b>14.60</b>	<b>13.76</b>	<b>6.80</b>
<i>MSCI ACWI</i>			0.71	13.01	11.93	6.41
<i>eA All Global Equity Gross Median</i>			2.41	14.52	13.59	7.87
<b>Domestic Equity Composite</b>	<b>755,656,314</b>	<b>29.70</b>	<b>7.78</b>	<b>18.15</b>	<b>17.89</b>	<b>8.44</b>
<i>Domestic Equity Index</i>			7.29	17.51	17.34	8.09
<i>eA All US Equity Gross Median</i>			7.32	18.35	17.79	9.14
<b>Large Cap Equity Composite</b>	<b>558,701,344</b>	<b>21.96</b>	<b>7.82</b>	<b>18.12</b>	<b>18.34</b>	<b>8.05</b>
<i>S&amp;P 500</i>			7.42	17.31	17.34	7.89
<i>eA US Large Cap Equity Gross Median</i>			7.59	17.94	17.44	8.67
<b>Small Cap Equity Composite</b>	<b>196,954,970</b>	<b>7.74</b>	<b>7.68</b>	<b>18.28</b>	<b>16.77</b>	<b>9.60</b>
<i>Russell 2000</i>			6.49	17.81	17.08	8.40
<i>eA US Small Cap Equity Gross Median</i>			6.62	19.25	18.40	9.73
<b>International Equity Composite</b>	<b>764,876,651</b>	<b>30.06</b>	<b>-2.00</b>	<b>11.26</b>	<b>9.83</b>	<b>5.59</b>
<i>International Equity Index</i>			-4.35	10.24	8.55	5.89
<i>eA Non-US Diversified All Cap Eq Gross Median</i>			-1.31	13.04	11.07	6.83
<b>International Developed Equity Composite</b>	<b>682,446,533</b>	<b>26.82</b>	<b>-1.79</b>	<b>12.36</b>	<b>10.96</b>	<b>5.02</b>
<i>Developed Equity Index</i>			-4.33	11.18	9.20	5.40
<i>eA All EAFE Equity Gross Median</i>			-1.47	13.25	11.30	6.83
<b>ACWI ex US Equity Composite</b>	<b>494,320,297</b>	<b>19.43</b>	<b>-2.49</b>	<b>10.81</b>	<b>9.58</b>	<b>--</b>
<i>MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross</i>			-4.85	9.92	8.23	6.01
<i>eA ACWI ex-US All Cap Equity Gross Median</i>			-1.42	12.29	10.75	7.35
<b>EAFE Equity Composite</b>	<b>188,126,237</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>14.23</b>	<b>12.20</b>	<b>5.20</b>
<i>MSCI EAFE Gross</i>			-3.82	12.45	10.03	5.60
<i>eA All EAFE Equity Gross Median</i>			-1.47	13.25	11.30	6.83
<b>International Emerging Markets Equity Composite</b>	<b>82,430,118</b>	<b>3.24</b>	<b>-3.78</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>3.16</b>	<b>7.56</b>
<i>MSCI Emerging Markets Gross</i>			-4.77	4.08	4.03	8.46
<i>eA Emg Mkts Equity Gross Median</i>			-3.71	5.84	5.20	9.53
<b>Total Fixed Income Composite</b>	<b>709,855,908</b>	<b>27.90</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>4.32</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>5.48</b>
<i>Fixed Income Index</i>			1.13	3.23	4.59	5.27
<i>eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Median</i>			1.59	2.58	4.01	4.79
<b>Core Fixed Composite</b>	<b>475,888,183</b>	<b>18.71</b>	<b>1.96</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>4.08</b>	<b>4.57</b>
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>			1.86	1.83	3.35	4.44
<i>eA US Core Fixed Inc Gross Median</i>			2.03	2.41	3.94	4.95
<b>High Yield Composite</b>	<b>233,967,725</b>	<b>9.20</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>7.71</b>	<b>9.31</b>	<b>8.50</b>
<i>Barclays High Yield</i>			-0.40	6.81	8.61	7.89
<i>eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Median</i>			0.35	6.99	8.82	7.95
<b>Real Estate Composite</b>	<b>312,649,697</b>	<b>12.29</b>	<b>11.11</b>	<b>12.61</b>	<b>15.05</b>	<b>7.96</b>
<i>Real Estate Index</i>			11.12	11.74	14.90	7.98
<i>eA US REIT Gross Median</i>			5.53	9.75	15.38	8.30
<b>Private Real Estate Composite</b>	<b>187,345,435</b>	<b>7.36</b>	<b>13.37</b>	<b>13.94</b>	<b>14.52</b>	<b>7.71</b>
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>			14.43	13.11	14.41	6.85
<b>Public Real Estate Composite</b>	<b>125,304,261</b>	<b>4.93</b>	<b>7.90</b>	<b>10.73</b>	<b>15.89</b>	<b>8.09</b>
<i>Wilshire REIT</i>			5.19	8.99	14.72	6.92
<i>eA US REIT Gross Median</i>			5.53	9.75	15.38	8.30
<b>Cash &amp; Equivalents Composite</b>	<b>1,055,804</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>1.56</b>
<i>91 Day T-Bills</i>			0.01	0.04	0.05	1.29

Calculations are prepared by NEPC, LLC using a time-weighted rate of return based on market values.


## Investment Results (Continued)

	Market Value (\$)	% of Portfolio	Net of Fees Ending June 30, 2015			
			1 Yr (%)	3 Yrs (%)	5 Yrs (%)	10 Yrs (%)
<b>Total Fund</b>	<b>2,544,094,374</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>2.91</b>	<b>10.87</b>	<b>10.91</b>	<b>6.41</b>
<i>Weighted Index</i>			2.37	10.55	10.83	6.81
<i>Allocation Index</i>			2.16	10.39	10.59	7.09
<i>InvestorForce Public DB &gt; \$1B Gross Median</i>			3.22	10.80	10.91	6.79
<b>Total Equity Composite</b>	<b>1,520,532,965</b>	<b>59.77</b>	<b>2.41</b>	<b>14.19</b>	<b>13.31</b>	<b>6.31</b>
<i>MSCI ACWI</i>			0.71	13.01	11.93	6.41
<i>eA All Global Equity Gross Median</i>			2.41	14.52	13.59	7.87
<b>Domestic Equity Composite</b>	<b>755,656,314</b>	<b>29.70</b>	<b>7.48</b>	<b>17.79</b>	<b>17.51</b>	<b>8.01</b>
<i>Domestic Equity Index</i>			7.29	17.51	17.34	8.09
<i>eA All US Equity Gross Median</i>			7.32	18.35	17.79	9.14
<b>Large Cap Equity Composite</b>	<b>558,701,344</b>	<b>21.96</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>17.98</b>	<b>18.19</b>	<b>7.78</b>
<i>S&amp;P 500</i>			7.42	17.31	17.34	7.89
<i>eA US Large Cap Equity Gross Median</i>			7.59	17.94	17.44	8.67
<b>Small Cap Equity Composite</b>	<b>196,954,970</b>	<b>7.74</b>	<b>6.86</b>	<b>17.36</b>	<b>15.85</b>	<b>8.74</b>
<i>Russell 2000</i>			6.49	17.81	17.08	8.40
<i>eA US Small Cap Equity Gross Median</i>			6.62	19.25	18.40	9.73
<b>International Equity Composite</b>	<b>764,876,651</b>	<b>30.06</b>	<b>-2.35</b>	<b>10.81</b>	<b>9.31</b>	<b>5.05</b>
<i>International Equity Index</i>			-4.35	10.24	8.55	5.89
<i>eA Non-US Diversified All Cap Eq Gross Median</i>			-1.31	13.04	11.07	6.83
<b>International Developed Equity Composite</b>	<b>682,446,533</b>	<b>26.82</b>	<b>-2.09</b>	<b>11.96</b>	<b>10.52</b>	<b>4.56</b>
<i>Developed Equity Index</i>			-4.33	11.18	9.20	5.40
<i>eA All EAFE Equity Gross Median</i>			-1.47	13.25	11.30	6.83
<b>ACWI ex US Equity Composite</b>	<b>494,320,297</b>	<b>19.43</b>	<b>-2.73</b>	<b>10.46</b>	<b>9.13</b>	<b>--</b>
<i>MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross</i>			-4.85	9.92	8.23	6.01
<i>eA ACWI ex-US All Cap Equity Gross Median</i>			-1.42	12.29	10.75	7.35
<b>EAFE Equity Composite</b>	<b>188,126,237</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>-0.33</b>	<b>13.68</b>	<b>11.65</b>	<b>4.68</b>
<i>MSCI EAFE Gross</i>			-3.82	12.45	10.03	5.60
<i>eA All EAFE Equity Gross Median</i>			-1.47	13.25	11.30	6.83
<b>International Emerging Markets Equity Composite</b>	<b>82,430,118</b>	<b>3.24</b>	<b>-4.57</b>	<b>3.61</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>6.57</b>
<i>MSCI Emerging Markets Gross</i>			-4.77	4.08	4.03	8.46
<i>eA Emg Mkts Equity Gross Median</i>			-3.71	5.84	5.20	9.53
<b>Total Fixed Income Composite</b>	<b>709,855,908</b>	<b>27.90</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>4.05</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>5.23</b>
<i>Fixed Income Index</i>			1.13	3.23	4.59	5.27
<i>eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Median</i>			1.59	2.58	4.01	4.79
<b>Core Fixed Composite</b>	<b>475,888,183</b>	<b>18.71</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>2.72</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>4.41</b>
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>			1.86	1.83	3.35	4.44
<i>eA US Core Fixed Inc Gross Median</i>			2.03	2.41	3.94	4.95
<b>High Yield Composite</b>	<b>233,967,725</b>	<b>9.20</b>	<b>-0.11</b>	<b>7.18</b>	<b>8.76</b>	<b>7.95</b>
<i>Barclays High Yield</i>			-0.40	6.81	8.61	7.89
<i>eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Median</i>			0.35	6.99	8.82	7.95
<b>Real Estate Composite</b>	<b>312,649,697</b>	<b>12.29</b>	<b>10.17</b>	<b>11.70</b>	<b>14.12</b>	<b>7.07</b>
<i>Real Estate Index</i>			11.12	11.74	14.90	7.98
<i>eA US REIT Gross Median</i>			5.53	9.75	15.38	8.30
<b>Private Real Estate Composite</b>	<b>187,345,435</b>	<b>7.36</b>	<b>12.24</b>	<b>12.80</b>	<b>13.37</b>	<b>6.63</b>
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>			14.43	13.11	14.41	6.85
<b>Public Real Estate Composite</b>	<b>125,304,261</b>	<b>4.93</b>	<b>7.20</b>	<b>10.14</b>	<b>15.27</b>	<b>7.46</b>
<i>Wilshire REIT</i>			5.19	8.99	14.72	6.92
<i>eA US REIT Gross Median</i>			5.53	9.75	15.38	8.30
<b>Cash &amp; Equivalents Composite</b>	<b>1,055,804</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>1.56</b>
<i>91 Day T-Bills</i>			0.01	0.04	0.05	1.29

Calculations are prepared by NEPC, LLC using a time-weighted rate of return based on market values.


## Target Asset Allocation

As of June 30, 2015



## Actual Asset Allocation

As of June 30, 2015



Asset Class	Current Target	Allocation Range	Actual
Domestic Large Cap Equities	22.5%	16.0% - 30.0%	22.0%
Domestic Small Cap Equities	7.5%	4.0% - 12.0%	7.7%
International Developed Market Equities	22.0%	16.0% - 30.0%	22.5%
International Emerging Market Equities	8.0%	0.0% - 10.0%	7.6%
Real Estate	15.0%	5.0% - 20.0%	12.3%
Domestic Fixed Income	11.0%	5.0% - 20.0%	13.9%
High Yield Bonds	10.0%	5.0% - 15.0%	10.3%
Absolute Return Strategy	4.0%	0% - 8%	3.7%
Cash & Equivalents	0.0%	0% - 2%	0.0%

## Largest Stock Holdings (By Market Value)

As of June 30, 2015

	Shares	Stock Holding	Market Value
1)	63,763	NESTLE SA CHF0.10(REGD)	\$4,605,446
2)	3,681	SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC KRW5000	4,184,306
3)	24,667	NASPERS 'N' ZAR0.02	3,851,059
4)	723,961	TAIWAN SEMICON MAN TWD10	3,296,652
5)	56,184	NOVO-NORDISK AS DKK0.2 SER'B'	3,059,547
6)	124,065	PRUDENTIAL GBP0.05	2,990,162
7)	194,782	SVENSKA HANDELSBANKEN SER'A'NPV (P/S)	2,840,447
8)	21,548	APPLE INC COM STK	2,702,652
9)	37,866	ADR RYANAIR HLDGS PLC SPONSORED ADR	2,701,741
10)	159,525	ARM HLDGS ORD GBP0.0005	2,601,670
<b>Total Largest Stock Holdings</b>			<b>\$32,833,682</b>

## Largest Bond Holdings (By Market Value)

As of June 30, 2015

	Share/Par Value	Bond Holding	Coupon Rate	Maturity Date	Market Value
1)	3,707,086	UNITED STATES TREAS NTS DTD 05/31/2014	1.500%	31 May 2019	\$3,727,649
2)	3,422,279	UNITED STATES TREAS NTS DTD 1.625% DUE	1.625%	31 Mar 2019	3,463,185
3)	1,561,845	UNITED STATES TREAS INFL INDEXED BONDS	2.981%	15 Jan 2025	2,306,076
4)	1,899,480	FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP SR 4283 CL EW	5.233%	15 Dec 2043	2,092,754
5)	1,901,998	FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP POOL #G3-0670	4.500%	1 Dec 2033	2,069,903
6)	1,267,851	CALIFORNIA ST 7.5% DUE 04-01-2034 BEO	7.500%	1 Apr 2034	1,756,012
7)	1,550,361	BAC CAP TR XI 6.625% DUE 05-23-2036 BEO	6.625%	23 May 2036	1,743,741
8)	1,745,592	UNITED STATES OF AMER INFL INDXD TREAS N	0.250%	15 Jan 2025	1,710,228
9)	1,424,036	VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS 6.55% BDS DUE	6.550%	15 Sep 2043	1,665,771
10)	1,539,257	FEDERAL HOME LN MTG CORP POOL #849327	3.030%	1 May 2044	1,596,184
<b>Total Largest Bond Holdings</b>					<b>\$22,131,503</b>

A complete list of portfolio holdings is available on our website at <http://www.cfrs-ca.org/>.

## Brokerage Commission Recapture

The System participates in a commission recapture program offered by Northern Trust Securities Inc. (NTSI). Investment Managers are instructed to seek best execution and to seek to minimize omission and market impact costs when trading securities. Consistent with the pursuit of best execution, equity manager's participating in the Brokerage Commission Recapture Program are to give consideration to executing a portion of the trades for their account through brokerage firms identified by NTSI as eligible Broker Dealer firms. The System receives a rebate in the amount of 70 percent of the total commissions directed through the NTSI Network. For fiscal year 2015, the net income from Brokerage Commission Recapture was \$21,502. During this period, the overall participating rate by the System's equity managers was 8.83 percent. The percentage of equity trading being executed through the program is generally at a low cost and has resulted over the years in a meaningful cost recapture.

## Schedule of Commissions

For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Brokerage Firm	Total Commissions	Number of Shares	Commission Cost/Share
MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL LIMITED	\$ 24,171	8,218,830	0.0029
WEEDEN AND & CO	13,085	347,791	0.0376
CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION	11,271	38,462,292	0.0003
INSTINET EUROPE LIMITED	10,642	2,417,778	0.0044
INVESTMENT TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.	8,252	735,542	0.0112
JEFFERIES & COMPANY	7,930	8,209,648	0.0010
ROBERT W. BAIRD & COMPANY INC MILWAUKEE USA	7,270	200,326	0.0363
UBS WARBURG LLC	7,215	2,066,386	0.0035
CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC/SMITH BARNEY	6,849	356,006	0.0192
GOLDMAN, SACHS AND CO.	6,646	17,683,069	0.0004
	<u>\$103,331</u>	<u>78,697,668</u>	<u>\$0.0013</u>
All Other Brokerage Firms	244,174	2,467,478,231	0.0001
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$347,505</b>	<b>2,546,175,899</b>	<b>\$ 0.0001</b>

## Investment Summary

For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Investment Value	Percent of Fund	Investment Management Fees
Equity			
Domestic	\$ 457,353,701	39.2%	\$ 1,364,292
International Developed Market	187,227,959	16.0%	963,754
International Emerging Market	37,235,138	3.2%	277,188
Fixed Income			
Domestic	305,976,385	26.2%	944,111
Real Estate	145,190,784	12.4%	756,165
Short Term Investments	34,674,433	3.0%	-
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,167,658,400</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$4,305,510</b>

# ACTUARIAL SECTION

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT**  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014

## **CITY OF FRESNO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

**Actuarial Certification Letter**

**Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Funding Method**

**Probabilities of Separation Prior to Retirement**

**Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data**

**Schedule of Retires and Beneficiaries Added to or Removed from Rolls**

**Solvency Test**

**Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience**

**Schedule of Funding Progress**

**Major Benefit Provisions of the Retirement System**

**History of Employer Net Contribution Rates**

---

**ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION LETTER**


100 Montgomery Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94104-4308  
 T 415.263.6200 www.segalco.com

VIA E-MAIL

December 22, 2015

Board of Retirement  
 City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
 2828 Fresno Street, Suite 201  
 Fresno, CA 93721-1327

**Re: City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
 June 30, 2015 Actuarial Valuation**

Dear Members of the Board:

Segal Consulting (Segal) prepared the June 30, 2015 annual actuarial valuation of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System. We certify that the valuation was performed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices and the System's funding policy that was last reviewed with the Board in 2012. In particular, it is our understanding that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes meet the parameters set by Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs).

As part of the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation, Segal conducted an examination of all participant data for reasonableness. Summaries of the employee data used in performing the actuarial valuations over the past several years are provided in our valuation report. We did not audit the System's financial statements. For actuarial valuation purposes, Plan assets are valued at Actuarial Value. Under this method, the assets used to determine employer contribution rates take into account market value by recognizing the differences between the total actual investment return at market value and the expected investment return over a five-year period. Deferred gains and losses as of June 30, 2012 have been combined and will be recognized in four equal annual amounts over a period of four years from that date.

One of the general goals of an actuarial valuation is to establish contribution rates which, over time, will remain level as a percentage of payroll unless Plan benefit provisions are changed. Actuarial funding is based on the Entry Age Cost Method. Under this method, the employer contribution rate provides for current cost (normal cost) plus a level percentage of payroll to amortize any prefunded actuarial accrued liability or unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). The UAAL is amortized over different periods depending on the source.

Benefits, Compensation and HR Consulting, Member of The Segal Group, Offices throughout the United States and Canada

**ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION LETTER (CONTINUED)**

Board of Retirement  
 City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
 December 22, 2015  
 Page 2

Effective with the June 30, 2013 valuation, any new UAAL established as a result of actuarial gains or losses or plan amendments are amortized over separate 15-year declining periods (with the exception of temporary retirement incentives which are amortized over a separate declining period of up to 5 years). Any new UAAL established as a result of changes in actuarial assumptions or methods at each valuation is amortized over separate 25-year declining periods. Any actuarial surplus (when the funded ratio is over 110%) will be amortized over a non-declining 25-year period. The progress being made towards meeting the funding objective through June 30, 2015 is illustrated in the Schedule of Funding Progress.

Notes number 1, 3 and 4 to the Basic Financial Statements and the Required Supplemental Information (RSI) included in the Financial Section were prepared by the System based on the results of the Governmental Accounting Standards Statement No. 67 (GAS 67) actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015 prepared by Segal. For the Financial Section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), Segal provided the Schedule of Funding Progress, Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Schedule of Employer Contributions as shown in the RSI. A listing of the other supporting schedules Segal prepared for inclusion in the Actuarial and Statistical Sections of the System's CAFR is provided below. These schedules were prepared based on the results of the actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015 for funding purposes.

1. Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods;
2. Solvency Test; and
3. Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience.

The valuation assumptions included in the Actuarial Section were adopted by the Retirement Board based on the June 30, 2012 Experience Analysis and the June 30, 2013 Economic Assumptions Report. It is our opinion that the assumptions used in the June 30, 2015 valuation produce results, which, in the aggregate, reflect the future experience of the Plan. Actuarial valuations are performed on an annual basis. An experience analysis is performed every three years. The next experience analysis is due to be performed as of June 30, 2015 and those assumptions will be used in the June 30, 2016 valuation. The Retirement System utilizes the actuarial surplus to provide contribution rate offsets and a PRSB benefit. The impact of the application of the actuarial surplus on the future financial condition of the Plan has not been explicitly measured in the valuation.

In the June 30, 2015 valuation, the ratio of the valuation assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased from 104.6% to 109.2%. The employer's rate has increased from 12.04%<sup>1</sup> of payroll to 12.77% of payroll, while the employee's rate has increased from 8.93% of payroll to 8.95% of payroll.

As a result of using the actuarial value of assets in the actuarial valuation, there were \$28.0 million in unrecognized deferred investment gains as of June 30, 2015, which represented 2.4% of the market value of assets. This is a deterioration from last year's amount of \$92.6 million in

<sup>1</sup> This rate is before including the impact of an additional 0.35% of payroll contribution rate increase anticipated in the next valuation as a result of phasing in the contribution rate impact from changes in actuarial assumptions over a three-year period.

**ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION LETTER (CONTINUED)**

Board of Retirement  
City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
December 22, 2015  
Page 3

unrecognized deferred investment gains. If \$28.0 million in deferred investment gains were recognized immediately in the actuarial value of assets, the funded percentage would increase from 109.2% to 112.2% and the employer's rate would decrease from 12.77% of payroll to 11.79% of payroll.

The undersigned are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Sincerely,



Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, FA  
Senior Vice President and Actuary



Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, FA  
Vice President and Actuary

MYM/bbf  
Enclosures

5405715v1/D9313 001

## Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Funding Method

These actuarial assumptions and methods based on June 30, 2015 data were adopted by the Employees Retirement Board on December 9, 2015 and establishes the funding requirements for fiscal year 2016-17.

### **Assumptions**

Valuation Interest Rate 7.50%

Inflation: 3.25%

### **Post-Retirement Mortality**

#### (a) Service Retirement

Member RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate tables for males and females) projected with scale AA to 2021 set back one year.

Beneficiary: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with scale AA to 2021 set back one year weighted 35% male and 65% female.

#### (b) Disability Retirement

Member: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate tables for males and females) projected with scale AA, set forward three years.

### **Pre-Retirement Mortality**

Based upon the Analysis of Actuarial Experience during the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012.

### **Withdrawal Rates**

Based upon the Analysis of Actuarial Experience during the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012.

### **Disability Rates**

Based upon the Analysis of Actuarial Experience during the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012.

### **Service Retirement Rates**

Based upon the Analysis of Actuarial Experience during the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2012.

### **Assets:**

Five-year smoothed recognition of realized and unrealized capital gains and losses greater or less than actuarial assumed rate.

### **Funding Method:**

The System's liability is being funded on the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost method. Entry age is the age of the member on their hire date. Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability are compensation, as if the current benefit formulas have always been in effect (i.e. "replacement life").

The System's funding policy for determining Total Pension Liability (for funding purposes) uses a version of the Entry Age method whereby the Actuarial Accrued Liability is fully accrued when a member retires from employment after participating in DROP. While for financial reporting purposes only, in accordance with GASB 67 provisions, for determining Total Pension Liability, the Actuarial Accrued Liability is fully

accrued when a member either enters DROP or is expected to elect DROP. (See page 27 of the Financial Section and pages 46 and 50 of the Required Supplementary Information on the different actuarial assumptions used for financial reporting versus funding progress).

### **DROP Rates**

1st year eligible	30% participation
2nd year eligible	15% participation
3rd, 4th & 5th year eligible	10% participation
Thereafter	0% participation

Members are assumed to remain in DROP for 6 years.

### **Marriage Rates**

It is assumed that 80% of all male members and 55% of all female members will be married at retirement. Wives are 3 years younger than their husbands.

### **Cost-of-Living (COLA) Assumption**

3.25% per year; Retiree COLA increases due to Consumer Price Index (CPI) are limited to maximum at 3.25% per year.

### **Salary Scale**

Salary Scale is made up of merit and longevity, and inflation components. The inflation component is equal to 3.25%; plus 0.50% real across-the-board salary increase. The merit and longevity component varies by service and is illustrated below:

Years Since Hire	Merit & Longevity Assumption
< 1 year	8.00%
1 year	6.25%
2 years	4.75%
3 years	3.75%
4 years	3.15%
5 years	2.15%
6 years	1.20%
7 years	1.00%
8 years	0.90%
9 or more years	0.50%

## Probabilities Of Separation Prior To Retirement

Rate (%)		
Mortality		
Age	Male	Female
25	0.03	0.01
30	0.04	0.02
35	0.06	0.04
40	0.09	0.05
45	0.11	0.07
50	0.14	0.11
55	0.21	0.20
60	0.42	0.40
65	0.84	0.78

All pre-retirement deaths are assumed to be non-service connected.

Rate (%)	
Disability	
Age	All Members
20	0.00
25	0.00
30	0.01
35	0.06
40	0.16
45	0.32
50	0.49
55	0.67
60	1.20
65	1.50

All disabilities are assumed to be non-service connected.

Rate (%)	
Total Termination	
Age	All Members
20	7.50
25	7.50
30	6.90
35	6.05
40	5.30
45	4.70
50	0.00

### Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data

Valuation Date	Active/DROP	Number	Annual Payroll	Annual Average Pay	% Increase in Average Pay
June 30, 2015	Active Members	1,524	\$ 88,090,729	\$57,689	(0.4%)
	DROP Participants	364	22,015,866	60,153	(1.1%)
	Totals	1,888	\$110,106,595	\$58,165	
June 30, 2014	Active Members	1,512	\$87,842,034	\$57,905	(3.6%)
	DROP Participants	347	21,100,432	60,808	(3.6%)
	Totals	1,859	\$108,942,466	\$58,352	
June 30, 2013	Active Members	1,528	\$ 92,244,313	\$60,094	3.0%
	DROP Participants	311	19,610,437	63,056	0.7%
	Totals	1,839	\$111,854,750	\$60,593	
June 30, 2012	Active Members	1,620	\$ 94,766,049	\$58,318	(0.2%)
	DROP Participants	280	17,540,903	62,646	(0.4%)
	Totals	1,900	\$ 112,306,952	\$58,954	
June 30, 2011	Active Members	1,739	\$101,597,247	\$58,423	2.4%
	DROP Participants	254	15,980,093	62,914	3.3%
	Totals	1,993	\$117,577,340	\$58,995	
June 30, 2010	Active Members	2,040	\$ 116,427,736	\$57,072	2.7%
	DROP Participants	243	14,796,085	60,889	3.3%
	Totals	2,283	\$ 131,223,821	\$57,479	
June 30, 2009	Active Members	2,217	\$ 123,176,724	\$55,560	5.9%
	DROP Participants	273	16,097,424	58,965	1.6%
	Totals	2,490	\$139,274,148	\$55,933	
June 30, 2008	Active Members	2,245	\$117,793,489	\$52,469	5.3%
	DROP Participants	264	15,316,424	58,017	2.9%
	Totals	2,509	\$133,109,913	\$53,053	
June 30, 2007	Active Members	2,195	\$109,370,886	\$49,827	4.6%
	DROP Participants	228	12,861,061	56,408	8.9%
	Totals	2,423	\$122,231,947	\$50,447	
June 30, 2006	Active Members	2,097	\$99,875,529	\$47,628	7.4%
	DROP Participants	222	11,502,836	51,815	4.6%
	Totals	2,319	\$111,378,365	\$48,029	

### Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries Added To Or Removed From Rolls

Year Ended	Added to Rolls		Removed from Rolls		Rolls at End of Year		% Increase Average (Decrease) Annual Allowance in Retiree Allowance	
	Number	Annual Allowance	Number	Annual Allowance	Number	Annual Allowance		
June 30, 2015	104	\$1,402,353	(62)	\$(718,791)	1,790	\$49,072,063	\$27,522	1.14
June 30, 2014	110	\$1,518,754	(69)	\$(686,326)	1,749	\$47,376,551	\$27,212	0.82
June 30, 2013	104	\$1,607,660	(66)	\$(825,889)	1,700	\$45,883,057	\$26,990	4.72
June 30, 2012	99	\$1,026,077	(59)	\$(640,326)	1,662	\$42,833,718	\$25,772	0.76
June 30, 2011	160	\$1,810,188	(41)	\$(541,232)	1,622	\$41,487,860	\$25,578	(3.76)
June 30, 2010	151	\$2,030,635	(48)	\$(558,850)	1,503	\$39,946,446	\$26,578	(4.67)
June 30, 2009	85	\$1,406,728	(48)	\$(682,366)	1,400	\$39,031,190	\$27,879	7.47
June 30, 2008	113	\$3,136,606	(49)	\$(706,739)	1,363	\$35,357,509	\$25,941	(11.20)
June 30, 2007	94	\$1,153,762	(45)	\$(614,078)	1,299	\$37,948,651	\$29,214	24.17
June 30, 2006	99	\$1,150,756	(44)	\$(523,431)	1,250	\$29,409,733	\$23,528	6.32

## Solvency Test (In Thousands)

Valuation Date	Aggregate Accrued Liabilities for				Portion of Accrued Liabilities Covered by Reported Asset		
	Active Member Contributions	Retirees and Beneficiaries (Includes Deferred Vested)	Active Members (Employer Financed Portion)	Actuarial Valuation Value of Assets	Active Member Contributions	Retirees and Beneficiaries (Includes Deferred Vested)	Active Members (Employer Financed Portion)
6/30/2015	\$85,644	\$704,465	\$170,258	\$960,364	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2014	85,712	694,761	169,801	993,641	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2013	86,768	663,832	184,347	933,722	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2012	86,590	609,218	176,150	891,366	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2011	87,568	572,959	130,578	920,217	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2010	94,746	525,289	136,223	926,370	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2009	95,047	480,189	140,014	958,032	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2008	90,891	475,565	123,377	980,961	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2007	91,230	421,463	118,612	926,525	100%	100%	100%
6/30/2006	88,538	414,218	111,157	847,516	100%	100%	100%

### Actuarial Analysis of Financial Experience

(Dollars in Millions)

	Plan Years									
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Prior Valuation Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$950	\$935	\$872	\$791	\$756	\$715	\$690	\$631	\$614	\$566
Expected Increase from Prior Valuation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salary Increase (Greater) Less than Expected	(11)	(17)	(3)	(13)	(6)	(10)	-	8	7	-
Asset Return (Less) Greater than Expected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLA Increase Greater (Less) than Expected	(18)	(10)	(9)	(1)(9)	(4)	-	-	-	-	-
Other Experience	(2)	(1)	(2)	(4)	7	-	(20)	10	6	2
Economic Assumption Changes	-	-	33	-	-	10	-	-(31)	-	-
Noneconomic Assumption Changes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Normal Cost	22	23	22	24	22	24	24	22	21	19
Interest	70	69	69	67	60	58	56	51	50	46
Payments	(51)	(49)	(47)	(44)	(42)	(37)	(35)(32)	(36)	(29)	
Change in Valuation Programs and Methods	-	-	-	52	3	-	-	-	-	10
<b>Ending Actuarial Accrued Liability</b>	<b>\$960</b>	<b>\$950</b>	<b>\$935</b>	<b>\$872</b>	<b>\$791</b>	<b>\$756</b>	<b>\$715</b>	<b>\$690</b>	<b>\$631</b>	<b>\$614</b>

### Schedule of Funding Progress

(Dollars in Millions)

Actuarial Valuation As of June 30	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Actuarial Valuation Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Percentage Funded (1) / (2)	(Prefunded) / Unfunded AAL (2) - (1)	Annual Covered Payroll	(Prefunded) / Unfunded AAL Percentage of Covered Payroll (4) / (5)
2015	\$ 1,049	\$ 960	109.2%	\$ (89)	\$ 110	(80.6%)
2014	\$ 994	\$ 950	104.6%	\$ (43)	\$ 109	(39.8%)
2013	\$ 934	\$ 935	99.9%	\$ 1	\$ 112	1.1%
2012	\$ 891	\$ 872	102.2%	\$ (19)	\$ 112	(17.3%)
2011	\$ 920	\$ 791	116.3%	\$ (129)	\$ 118	(109.8%)
2010	\$ 926	\$ 756	122.5%	\$ (170)	\$ 131	(129.6%)
2009	\$ 958	\$ 715	133.9%	\$ (243)	\$ 139	(174.3%)
2008	\$ 981	\$ 690	142.2%	\$ (291)	\$ 133	(218.7%)
2007	\$ 927	\$ 631	146.8%	\$ (295)	\$ 122	(241.5%)
2006	\$ 848	\$ 614	138.1%	\$ (234)	\$ 111	(209.7%)

## Major Benefit Provisions of The Retirement System

### ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES

All non-Fire and Police employees who enter service after July 1, 1952, and are certified from a civil service list for permanent employment.

### FINAL AVERAGE SALARY (FAS)

Highest three consecutive-year average using the rate of pay in effect at the time of Retirement.

### NORMAL RETIREMENT

Requirement: age 55 and 5 years of service.

Benefit: Sum of (1) and (2) times (3)

- (1) 2% of FAS times years of service, not-to-exceed 25 years
- (2) 1% of FAS times years of service in excess of 25 years
- (3) RETIREMENT AGE FACTOR TABLE

Age	Factor	Age	Factor
55	1.000	61	1.140
56	1.020	62	1.180
57	1.040	63	1.220
58	1.060	64	1.260
59	1.080	65	1.300
60	1.100	Add .01 for every quarter after age 65.	

### EARLY RETIREMENT PROVISION

An employee who is age 50 with 5 years of continuous service may elect an early retirement and shall have his or her retirement allowance reduced by the early retirement actuarial adjustment factor.

### DEFERRED RETIREMENT OPTION (DROP)

An employee who is age 55 with 5 years of service may DROP. The eligibility age may be reduced to age 50 with 5 years of service if the employee elects an early retirement. Retirement amount is calculated and monthly deposits are made to the employee's DROP account while employee continues to work up to maximum of 10 years.

### DISABILITY RETIREMENT

Requirement: 10 years of service.

Benefit: 1.8 percent times FAS times years of service, if exceeds 1/3 of FAS; or 33-1/3%, or service retirement, if higher.

### MEMBER CONTRIBUTION RATES

Basic rates are based on a formula reflecting the age at entry into the System. The rates are such as to provide an average annuity, at age 55, of 1/150 of FAS for each of the first 25 years of service, plus 1/300 of FAS for

each year of service after 25. Member cost-of-living rates are designed to pay for one-half of the future cost-of-living increases.

### DEATH BEFORE RETIREMENT

- A. Before eligible to retire for disability (less than 5 years):
  - (1) One month's salary for each year of service, not-to-exceed six months.
  - (2) Return of contributions with interest.
- B. While eligible for service retirement:
 

Fifty percent (50%) of service retirement benefit to eligible beneficiary.
- C. With 5 or more years:
 

Fifty percent (50%) of service retirement benefit formula at age 55, based on years of service at death.

### DEATH AFTER RETIREMENT

Fifty percent (50%) of the member's allowance continued to eligible spouse for life.

### WITHDRAWAL OF BENEFITS

If less than five years of service, return of contributions. If more than five years of service, right to have vested deferred retirement benefit at normal retirement date.


### POST RETIREMENT SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFIT (PRSB)

On August 27, 1998, the City Council adopted the Post Retirement Supplemental Benefit (PRSB) Program which is intended to provide assistance to retirees to pay for various post-retirement expenses. Annually, after an actuarial study has been performed, the Retirement Board will review the availability of surplus earnings in the System and determine whether a benefit can be paid to eligible PRSB recipients. If a surplus is declared by the Board, PRSB benefit payments will be calculated for eligible recipients and payments for the following calendar year will begin in January.

### COST-OF-LIVING BENEFITS

Based on the percentage change in Consumer Price Index (U.S. city-average for urban wage earners and clerical works – all items), limited to a five percent (5%) maximum change per year each July 1.

## History of Employer Net Contribution Rates



Fiscal Year Ending June 30	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Employer Normal	10.42%	10.51%	10.93%	10.56%	10.62%	11.09%	10.70%	11.37%	11.28%	12.03%
Prefunded Liability/Prepaid Contributions	10.42%	10.51%	10.93%	10.56%	10.62%	4.42%	0.49%	(1.26%)	0.27%	0.38%
Employer Net Contribution	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.67%	10.21%	12.63%	11.01%	11.65%

# STATISTICAL SECTION

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT**  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014

## **CITY OF FRESNO FIRE AND POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

**Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Last Ten Fiscal Years**

**Schedule and Graph of Additions by Source**

**Schedule and Graph of Deductions by Type**

**Membership Information**

## STATISTICAL SECTION REVIEW

This section provides additional historical perspective, context, and detail in order to provide a more comprehensive understanding of this year's financial statements, note disclosures, and supplementary information which covers the benefits provided by the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System.

It also provides multi-year trend of financial and operation information to facilitate comprehensive understanding of how the organization's financial position and performance has changed over time.

More specifically, the financial and operating information provides contextual data for the System's net position, benefits, refunds, contribution rates and different types of retirement benefits.

The financial and operating trend information is located on the following pages.

## Schedule of Changes In Fiduciary Net Position


Last Ten Fiscal Years FY 2006-FY 2015

(Dollars In Millions)

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
<b>Additions</b>					
Employer Contributions	\$12.3	\$11.4	\$13.3	\$11.4	\$ 8.2
Member Contributions	8.8	7.9	8.0	5.5	5.3
Investment Income (Loss)	33.3	172.9	121.1	(5.6)	188.9
<b>Total Additions</b>	<b>\$54.4</b>	<b>\$192.2</b>	<b>\$ 142.4</b>	<b>\$11.3</b>	<b>\$202.4</b>
<b>Deductions</b>					
Benefit Payments	\$49.1	\$ 47.4	\$ 45.8	\$42.7	\$ 39.9
Post Retirement Supplemental Benefits	-	-	0.1	0.1	1.6
Refunds	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3	2.1
Administrative	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
<b>Total Deductions</b>	<b>51.7</b>	<b>49.7</b>	<b>48.2</b>	<b>45.2</b>	<b>44.6</b>
<b>Change in Fiduciary Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 2.7</b>	<b>\$142.5</b>	<b>\$94.2</b>	<b>\$ (33.9)</b>	<b>\$157.8</b>
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
<b>Additions</b>					
Employer Contributions	\$3.3	\$1.3	\$ 0.4	\$1.6	\$ -
Member Contributions	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.1	4.6
Investment Income (Loss)	104.5	(199.7)	(68.5)	156.5	100.1
<b>Total Additions</b>	<b>\$113.5</b>	<b>(192.6)</b>	<b>\$ (62.4)</b>	<b>\$163.2</b>	<b>\$104.7</b>
<b>Deductions</b>					
Benefit Payments	\$35.7	\$33.9	\$31.3	\$35.0	\$27.3
Post Retirement Supplemental Benefits	4.2	5.1	4.1	3.0	2.1
Refunds	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.2
Administrative	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8
<b>Total Deductions</b>	<b>42.5</b>	<b>40.9</b>	<b>37.4</b>	<b>40.3</b>	<b>31.4</b>
<b>Changes in Fiduciary Net Position</b>	<b>\$71.0</b>	<b>\$(233.5)</b>	<b>\$ (99.8)</b>	<b>\$122.9</b>	<b>\$73.3</b>

### Schedule and Graph of Additions By Source (In Thousands)


Last Ten Fiscal Years FY 2006-FY 2015



	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS	\$ -	\$ 1,566	\$ 355	\$ 1,345	\$ 3,267	\$ 8,215	\$ 11,374	\$ 13,330	\$ 11,440	\$ 12,327
EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS	4,643	5,094	5,666	5,845	5,740	5,275	5,507	7,995	7,945	8,750
INVESTMENT INCOME (LOSS)	100,087	156,546	(68,482)	(199,694)	104,511	188,925	(5,620)	121,116	172,773	33,309
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$104,730</b>	<b>\$163,206</b>	<b>\$(62,461)</b>	<b>\$(192,504)</b>	<b>\$ 113,518</b>	<b>\$202,415</b>	<b>\$11,261</b>	<b>\$142,441</b>	<b>\$192,158</b>	<b>\$54,386</b>

### Schedule and Graph of Deductions By Type (In Thousands)

Last Ten Fiscal Years FY 2006-FY 2015



	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
SERVICE RETIREMENTS	\$ 23,251	\$ 29,928	\$ 27,631	\$ 30,336	\$ 30,751	\$ 33,193	\$34,944	\$ 37,748	\$38,677	\$ 40,261
SERVICE DISABILITY	1,020	1,751	1,771	1,989	2,014	1,997	1,940	2,042	2,286	2,358
NON-SERVICE DISABILITY	1,781	1,949	1,768	1,792	2,141	1,527	1,338	1,400	1,709	1,579
SURVIVORS	3,358	4,321	4,188	4,914	5,041	4,771	4,612	4,693	4,704	4,874
REFUNDS	1,218	1,351	1,111	1,022	1,651	2,092	1,313	1,157	1,204	1,474
PROFESSIONAL & ADMINISTRATIVE	798	916	898	894	929	1,029	1,087	1,138	1,086	1,071
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 31,426</b>	<b>\$ 40,216</b>	<b>\$ 37,367</b>	<b>\$ 40,947</b>	<b>\$ 42,527</b>	<b>\$44,609</b>	<b>\$45,234</b>	<b>\$48,178</b>	<b>\$ 49,666</b>	<b>\$ 51,617</b>

### Schedule of Average Benefit Payments

Retirement Effective Dates	Years of Credited Service						Fiscal Year Average/New Retirants
	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30+	
<b>Period 7/1/14 to 6/30/15</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 912	\$ 1,409	\$ 2,173	\$ 2,509	\$ 5,125	\$ 5,039	\$ 2,861
Number of New Retired Members	13	11	25	6	16	6	77
<b>Period 7/1/13 to 6/30/14</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,610	\$ 2,144	\$ 3,586	\$ 3,258	\$ 6,795	\$ 3,076
Number of New Retired Members	12	12	17	15	10	8	74
<b>Period 7/1/12 to 6/30/13</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 864	\$ 1,476	\$ 2,315	\$ 3,598	\$ 4,335	\$ 4,925	\$ 2,919
Number of New Retired Members	16	15	14	12	10	10	77
<b>Period 7/1/11 to 6/30/12</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 568	\$ 1,551	\$ 2,341	\$ 2,659	\$ 4,268	\$ 5,167	\$ 2,759
Number of New Retired Members	22	13	17	13	7	5	77
<b>Period 7/1/10 to 6/30/11</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 848	\$ 1,231	\$ 1,966	\$ 2,966	\$ 3,293	\$ 4,409	\$ 2,452
Number of New Retired Members	33	31	17	15	18	19	133
<b>Period 7/1/09 to 6/30/10</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 839	\$ 1,309	\$ 2,731	\$ 3,461	\$ 3,626	\$ 4,023	\$ 2,665
Number of New Retired Members	13	20	20	24	27	14	118
<b>Period 7/1/08 to 6/30/09</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 939	\$ 1,464	\$ 2,828	\$ 3,028	\$ 3,925	\$ 4,449	\$ 2,772
Number of New Retired Members	17	15	12	8	6	4	62
<b>Period 7/1/07 to 6/30/08</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 711	\$ 1,498	\$ 2,346	\$ 3,240	\$ 3,008	\$ 3,916	\$ 2,453
Number of New Retired Members	12	13	19	10	12	7	73
<b>Period 7/1/06 to 6/30/07</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 851	\$ 1,585	\$ 2,233	\$ 2,368	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,284	\$ 2,005
Number of New Retired Members	8	17	11	7	21	5	69
<b>Period 7/1/05 to 6/30/06</b>							
Average Monthly Pension Benefits	\$ 690	\$ 1,459	\$ 1,818	\$ 2,959	\$ 3,663	\$ 2,825	\$ 2,235
Number of New Retired Members	15	15	10	12	10	12	74

Data Source: PensionGold Administration System

The Schedule of Average Benefit Payments above should include information about the average final salary; unfortunately we are unable to present a reasonably accurate calculation of the average final salary because of members who participated in the Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP).

DROP, which is not a separate plan, is an alternate method of receiving retirement benefits. Amounts credited to the Member's DROP account include an amount which represents the service retirement allowance which the member would have received if the member had retired on the date the member commenced DROP participation and interest credited to the DROP account at the adopted rate.

Members that elect to participate in DROP have their retirement benefit calculated based upon their years of service and final average salary as determined at the time they enter DROP (potentially a full 10 years earlier than their actual retirement date) rather than at the time the member actually retires. When the member retires, they receive their monthly retirement benefit and included is a DROP payment. This creates the appearance of disproportional benefits when viewed with the average final average salary. Thus an analysis between the average monthly benefit, which includes a portion called the DROP payment, and the average final average salary, would not be a fair and accurate representation of the data and has been omitted for that reason.

### Retirees By Type of Benefit

As of June 30, 2015

Amount of Monthly Benefit	Number of Retirees	Type of Retirement*		
		1	2	3
\$1 - \$1,000	349	191	2	156
\$1,001 - \$2,000	608	362	86	160
\$2,001 - \$3,000	407	332	31	44
\$3,001 - \$4,000	201	189	10	2
\$4,001 - \$5,000	109	100	4	5
\$5,001 - \$6,000	51	42	6	3
\$6,001 - \$7,000	26	26	-	-
> \$7,000	32	30	-	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>1,272</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>372</b>

**\*Type of Retirement**


- 1 - Service Retiree
- 2 - Disability Retiree
- 3 - Beneficiary/Continuant/Survivor

Amount of Monthly Benefit	Number of Retirees	Option Selected**			
		Unmodified	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
\$1 - \$1,000	349	205	69	57	18
\$1,001 - \$2,000	608	315	167	101	25
\$2,001 - \$3,000	407	205	107	72	23
\$3,001 - \$4,000	201	97	47	38	19
\$4,001 - \$5,000	109	54	25	21	9
\$5,001 - \$6,000	51	27	13	9	2
\$6,001 - \$7,000	26	16	2	6	2
> \$7,000	32	10	7	12	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>101</b>

**\*\*Option Selected**


- Unmodified - Beneficiary receives 50% of the member's allowance
- Option 1 - Beneficiary receives lump sum of member's unused contributions
- Option 2 - Beneficiary receives 100% of member's reduced monthly benefit
- Option 3 - Beneficiary receives 75% of member's reduced monthly benefit

**Schedule and Graph of  
Pension Benefit Payments – Deductions By Type**  
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)




	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Service Retiree Benefits	\$26.6	\$34.2	\$31.9	\$35.2	\$35.7	\$38.0	\$39.5	\$42.4	\$43.4	\$45.1
Disability Retiree Benefits	2.8	3.7	3.5	3.8	4.2	3.5	3.3	3.4	4.0	3.9
Separation	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.2	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.3
Death Benefits	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
Misc	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total Benefit Deductions</b>	<b>\$30.6</b>	<b>\$39.3</b>	<b>\$36.5</b>	<b>\$40.0</b>	<b>\$41.5</b>	<b>\$43.6</b>	<b>\$44.1</b>	<b>\$47.0</b>	<b>\$48.6</b>	<b>\$50.5</b>

### Schedule and Graph of Active Vested, Active Non-Vested and Deferred Membership History



	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
ACTIVE VESTED	1,629	1,701	1,626	1,650	1,629	1,639	1,638	1,641	1,604	1,517
ACTIVE NON-VESTED	689	722	889	840	654	354	267	205	263	376
DEFERRED	174	193	192	184	180	212	217	205	209	236
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,492</b>	<b>2,616</b>	<b>2,707</b>	<b>2,674</b>	<b>2,463</b>	<b>2,205</b>	<b>2,122</b>	<b>2,051</b>	<b>2,076</b>	<b>2,129</b>

### Schedule and Graph of Retirees Pension Benefit Payments By Type of Benefit



	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
SERVICE RETIREMENTS	848	876	908	933	1,025	1,123	1,166	1,202	1,237	1,342
SERVICE DISABILITY	47	53	57	60	58	66	67	71	73	71
NON-SERVICE DISABILITY	121	117	115	111	78	74	71	73	68	68
SURVIVORS	234	253	283	296	342	359	358	354	363	302
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,250</b>	<b>1,299</b>	<b>1,363</b>	<b>1,400</b>	<b>1,503</b>	<b>1,622</b>	<b>1,662</b>	<b>1,700</b>	<b>1,741</b>	<b>1,783</b>

### Summary of Active Participants

YEAR	NUMBER OF MEMBERS	PENSIONABLE PAYROLL	ANNUAL AVERAGE SALARY	NET CHANGE IN AVERAGE SALARY
2015	1,893	\$ 105,820,382	\$ 55,901	0.74%
2014	1,867	\$ 103,890,391	\$ 55,646	(2.64%)
2013	1,846	\$ 105,508,591	\$ 57,155	(1.46%)
2012	1,905	\$ 110,492,026	\$ 58,001	(3.07%)
2011	1,993	\$ 119,260,220	\$ 59,840	5.69%
2010	2,283	\$ 129,258,191	\$ 56,618	6.39%
2009	2,490	\$ 132,511,895	\$ 53,218	3.40%
2008	2,515	\$ 129,440,108	\$ 51,467	9.17%
2007	2,423	\$ 114,233,621	\$ 47,146	2.63%
2006	2,318	\$ 106,482,630	\$ 45,937	3.00%
2005	2,287	\$ 102,001,794	\$ 44,601	1.56%
2004	2,260	\$ 99,251,574	\$ 43,917	3.54%
2003	2,254	\$ 95,602,991	\$ 42,415	3.86%
2002	2,186	\$ 89,275,955	\$ 40,840	3.44%
2001	2,171	\$ 85,715,989	\$ 39,482	2.59%
2000	2,112	\$ 81,285,066	\$ 38,487	6.79%
1999	2,068	\$ 74,529,074	\$ 36,039	2.37%
1998	1,988	\$ 69,986,473	\$ 35,204	(0.52%)
1997	1,953	\$ 69,115,258	\$ 35,389	4.78%
1996	1,927	\$ 65,084,621	\$ 33,775	0.51%
1995	1,893	\$ 63,613,482	\$ 33,605	6.18%
1994	1,966	\$ 62,221,292	\$ 31,649	(3.62%)
1993	2,016	\$ 66,199,898	\$ 32,837	(1.16%)
1992	1,879	\$ 62,422,933	\$ 33,221	19.74%
1991	1,879	\$ 52,133,387	\$ 27,745	1.98%
1990	1,868	\$ 50,822,514	\$ 27,207	7.07%
1989	1,752	\$ 44,520,591	\$ 25,411	8.94%
1988	1,600	\$ 37,321,719	\$ 23,326	0.00%

The fiscal year 2008 increase in pensionable compensation is a reflection of the rare occurrence, approximately every 11 years, in which there are 27 rather than 26 payroll periods reportable.

### Summary of Retirees

YEAR	NUMBER OF RETIREES	ANNUAL BENEFITS TO PARTICIPANTS	ANNUAL AVERAGE ALLOWANCE (INDIVIDUAL)	NET CHANGE IN BENEFITS TO PARTICIPANTS
2015	1,783	\$ 49,072,063	\$ 27,522	1.14%
2014	1,741	\$ 47,376,551	\$ 27,212	0.82%
2013	1,700	\$ 45,883,057	\$ 26,990	4.72%
2012	1,662	\$ 42,833,718	\$ 25,772	0.76%
2011	1,622	\$ 41,487,860	\$ 25,578	(3.76%)
2010	1,503	\$ 39,946,446	\$ 26,578	(4.67%)
2009	1,400	\$ 39,031,190	\$ 27,879	7.47%
2008	1,363	\$ 35,357,509	\$ 25,941	(11.20%)
2007	1,299	\$ 37,948,651	\$ 29,214	24.17%
2006	1,250	\$ 29,409,733	\$ 23,528	6.32%
2005	1,195	\$ 26,444,153	\$ 22,129	(3.81%)
2004	1,154	\$ 26,548,396	\$ 23,006	(8.93%)
2003	1,107	\$ 27,963,534	\$ 25,261	(3.37%)
2002	1,064	\$ 27,814,021	\$ 26,141	11.50%
2001	1,002	\$ 23,492,505	\$ 23,446	7.45%
2000	991	\$ 21,622,858	\$ 21,819	12.92%
1999	936	\$ 18,085,727	\$ 19,322	9.64%
1998	916	\$ 16,142,881	\$ 17,623	6.00%
1997	915	\$ 15,213,149	\$ 16,626	5.06%
1996	907	\$ 14,353,364	\$ 15,825	1.74%
1995	852	\$ 13,252,179	\$ 15,554	4.54%
1994	836	\$ 12,437,981	\$ 14,878	3.82%
1993	811	\$ 11,621,551	\$ 14,330	4.59%
1992	784	\$ 10,741,680	\$ 13,701	2.46%
1991	749	\$ 10,015,617	\$ 13,372	7.70%
1990	751	\$ 9,324,265	\$ 12,416	2.19%
1989	723	\$ 8,784,048	\$ 12,149	(6.93%)
1988	637	\$ 8,315,552	\$ 13,054	14.54%

Fiscal year 2007 increase in benefits to participants was primarily due to the litigation settlement with retirees which required that certain items of pay previously not included in pensionable compensation be included.

### Member and City Contribution Rates

		Member Rates			City Contribution Rates				
		Basic at Entry Age					Total City	Less Prefunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (PAAL)	Net City Contribution
Fiscal Year	Valuation Date	20	25	30	Basic	Cola	Rate		Rate
June 30, 2015	June 30, 2013	5.69%	6.68%	7.98%	9.02%	3.01%	12.03%	(0.38%)	11.65%
June 30, 2014	June 30, 2012	5.33%	6.28%	7.53%	8.63%	2.65%	11.28%	(0.27%)	11.01%
June 30, 2013	June 30, 2011	5.34%	6.29%	7.56%	8.75%	2.62%	11.37%	1.26%	12.63%
June 30, 2012	June 30, 2010	3.47%	4.09%	4.91%	8.20%	2.50%	10.70%	(0.49%)	10.21%
June 30, 2011	June 30, 2009	2.99%	3.59%	4.38%	8.48%	2.61%	11.09%	(4.42%)	6.67%
June 30, 2010	June 30, 2008	2.99%	3.59%	4.38%	8.09%	2.53%	10.62%	(10.62%)	0.00%
June 30, 2009	June 30, 2007	2.99%	3.59%	4.38%	8.02%	2.54%	10.56%	(10.56%)	0.00%
June 30, 2008	June 30, 2006	3.02%	3.62%	4.42%	7.96%	2.97%	10.93%	(10.93%)	0.00%
June 30, 2007	June 30, 2005	2.90%	3.48%	4.25%	7.39%	3.12%	10.51%	(10.51%)	0.00%
June 30, 2006	June 30, 2004	2.90%	3.48%	4.25%	7.31%	3.11%	10.42%	(10.42%)	0.00%
June 30, 2005	June 30, 2003	3.31%	3.90%	4.69%	7.73%	3.33%	11.06%	(11.06%)	0.00%
June 30, 2004	June 30, 2002	3.31%	3.90%	4.69%	8.33%	3.41%	11.74%	(11.74%)	0.00%
June 30, 2003	June 30, 2001	3.31%	3.91%	4.70%	8.53%	3.44%	11.97%	(11.97%)	0.00%
June 30, 2002	June 30, 2000	3.31%	3.91%	4.70%	8.49%	3.44%	11.93%	(11.93%)	0.00%
June 30, 2001	June 30, 1999	3.31%	3.91%	4.70%	7.98%	3.53%	11.51%	(11.51%)	0.00%
June 30, 2000	June 30, 1998	3.47%	4.06%	4.85%	8.09%	3.57%	11.66%	(11.66%)	0.00%
June 30, 1999	June 30, 1997	3.34%	3.91%	4.67%	6.83%	3.50%	10.33%	(9.01%)	1.32%
June 30, 1998	June 30, 1996	3.34%	3.91%	4.67%	6.41%	3.46%	9.87%	(8.09%)	1.78%
June 30, 1997	June 30, 1994	3.86%	3.95%	4.60%	6.29%	3.33%	9.62%	0%	9.62%
June 30, 1995	June 30, 1992	4.32%	4.86%	5.58%	8.52%	10.88%	19.40%	0%	19.40%
June 30, 1994	June 30, 1992	4.32%	4.86%	5.58%	8.34%	10.12%	18.46%	0%	18.46%
June 30, 1993	June 30, 1990	4.21%	4.74%	5.43%	11.72%	5.80%	17.52%	0%	17.52%
June 30, 1991	June 30, 1988	4.21%	4.74%	5.43%	11.92%	6.38%	18.30%	0%	18.30%
June 30, 1989	June 30, 1985	4.62%	5.13%	5.81%	10.47%	8.63%	19.10%	0%	19.10%
June 30, 1987	June 30, 1985	4.62%	5.13%	5.81%	10.47%	6.84%	17.31%	0%	17.31%
June 30, 1985	June 30, 1982	4.62%	5.13%	5.81%	10.10%	8.14%	16.70%	0%	16.70%
June 30, 1984	June 30, 1979	4.23%	4.58%	5.21%	9.67%	6.36%	16.03%	0%	16.03%
June 30, 1982	June 30, 1979	4.23%	4.58%	5.21%	12.56%	6.84%	15.11%	0%	15.11%
June 30, 1980	June 30, 1976	3.85%	4.35%	5.04%	12.41%	2.55%	14.96%	0%	14.96%

Due to surplus earnings in the System, a prefunded actuarial accrued liability emerged as of the actuarial report dated June 30, 1996. The City's normal contributions have been actuarially offset by the prefunded actuarial accrued liability.

Data Source: Annual Actuarial Valuation Reports


### Economic Assumptions and Funding Method

Valuation Date	Interest	Salary Scale	Cost-of-Living (COLA)	Inflation Component	Funding Method
June 30, 2015	7.50%	.50 - 8.0%	3.25%	3.25%	Entry Age Normal
June 30, 2014	7.50%	.60 - 8.5%	3.25%	3.25%	Entry Age Normal
June 30, 2013	7.50%	.60 - 8.5%	3.25%	3.25%	Entry Age Normal
June 30, 2012	8.00%	.60 - 8.5%	3.50%	3.50%	Entry Age Normal
June 30, 2011	8.00%	.60 - 8.5%	3.50%	3.50%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2010	8.00%	.60 - 8.5%	3.50%	3.50%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2009	8.25%	4.8%	3.75%	3.75%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2008	8.25%	4.8%	3.75%	3.75%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2007	8.25%	4.8%	3.75%	3.75%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2006	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.25%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2005	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.25%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2004	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.25%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2003	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2002	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2001	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 2000	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1999	8.25%	4.8%	4.25%	4.5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1998	8.25%	.30 - 8%	4.25%	4.75%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1997	8.25%	.25 - 8%	5%	4.75%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1996	8.25%	.25 - 8%	5%	4.5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1994	8%	.25 - 8%	5%	3 - 5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1992	8%	6%	5%	5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1990	8%	6%	5%	5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1988	8%	6%	5%	5%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1985	7.50%	5-1/2%	4% - Active 5% - Retired	4%	Projected Unit Credit
June 30, 1982	7%	5-1/2%	4% - Active 5% - Retired	4%	Projected Unit Credit
Marples	8-1/2%	Merit +6%	5%	6%	Aggregate
June 30, 1979	6-1/2%	Merit 3-1/2%	3-1/2%	3-1/2%	Basic - Entry Age Normal COL - Attained Age Normal
June 30, 1976	6%	Merit +1%	5% with partial funding	1%	Basic - Entry Age Normal COL - Attained Age Normal
June 30, 1972	4-3/4	Merit Only	Not Recognized	None	Entry Age Normal

Source: The Segal Company June 30, 2015, Actuarial Valuation Report.

### Benefits and Withdrawals Paid


(IN THOUSANDS)



	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
BENEFITS	\$ 27,261	\$34,982	\$ 31,286	\$ 33,947	\$ 35,699	\$ 39,901	\$ 42,696	\$ 45,807	\$ 47,368	\$ 49,072
PRSB	2,149	2,967	4,072	5,084	4,248	1,587	138	76	9	-
WITHDRAWALS	1,219	1,350	1,112	1,022	1,651	2,092	1,313	1,157	1,204	1,474

### Average Monthly Benefits to Retirees


(IN THOUSANDS)



	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Average Monthly Benefit	\$ 1,817	\$ 2,244	\$ 1,913	\$ 2,018	\$ 1,927	\$ 2,050	\$ 2,141	\$ 2,245	\$ 2,267	\$ 2,294
Average Monthly PRSB	143	190	249	302	229	81	7	4	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,960</b>	<b>\$ 2,434</b>	<b>\$ 2,162</b>	<b>\$ 2,320</b>	<b>\$ 2,156</b>	<b>\$ 2,131</b>	<b>\$ 2,148</b>	<b>\$ 2,249</b>	<b>\$ 2,267</b>	<b>\$ 2,294</b>


Data Source: PensionGold Administration System

**EXPECTATION OF LIFE  
(Disabled Retirees)**



EXPECTATION OF LIFE Disabled Retirees RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (x+3)	
Age	Rate (%)
20	0.00
25	0.00
30	0.01
35	0.06
40	0.16
45	0.32
50	0.49
55	0.67
60	1.20
65	1.50

**EXPECTATION OF LIFE  
(Age and Service Retirees)**



EXPECTATION OF LIFE Age and Service Retirees RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (x-1)		
Age	Male	Female
25	0.03	0.01
30	0.04	0.02
35	0.06	0.04
40	0.10	0.05
45	0.11	0.07
50	0.14	0.11
55	0.21	0.20
60	0.34	0.40
65	0.84	0.78


# COMPLIANCE SECTION

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014**

**CITY OF FRESNO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

**Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over  
Financial Reporting and On Compliance and Other Matters  
Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in  
Accordance with Governmental Standards**

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S INTERNAL CONTROL LETTER



**BROWN ARMSTRONG**  
*Certified Public Accountants*

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Board of Retirement  
 City of Fresno Employees Retirement System  
 Fresno, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Statement of Fiduciary Net Position of the City of Fresno Employees Retirement System (the System), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the System's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 23, 2015.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the System's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control.

*A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the System's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.*

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**BAKERSFIELD OFFICE  
 (MAIN OFFICE)**

4000 TRULOCK AVENUE  
 SUITE 300  
 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309  
 TEL: 805.374.4971  
 FAX: 805.374.4997  
 EMAIL: info@baaa.com

**FRESNO OFFICE**

7670 N INGRAM AVENUE  
 SUITE 101  
 FRESNO, CA 93711  
 TEL: 509.476.3992  
 FAX: 509.476.3993

**PASADENA OFFICE**

280 S LOG ROLL AVENUE  
 SUITE 310  
 PASADENA, CA 91101  
 TEL: 626.794.6542  
 FAX: 626.201.6594

**STOCKTON OFFICE**

220 CLAYMONT AVENUE  
 SUITE 237  
 STOCKTON, CA 95207  
 TEL: 209.451.8000

REGISTERED with the Public Company  
 Accounting Oversight Board and  
 MEMBER of the American Institute of  
 Certified Public Accountants

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S INTERNAL CONTROL LETTER (CONTINUED)


### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the System's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the System's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

BROWN ARMSTRONG  
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation".

Bakersfield, California  
December 23, 2015