

CITY OF FRESNO MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION		Notice of Intent was filed with: FRESNO COUNTY CLERK 2220 Tulare Street Fresno, California 93721 on September 18, 2017
The full Initial Study and the Fresno General Plan Master Environmental Impact Report are on file in the Development and Resource Management Department, Fresno City Hall, 3rd Floor 2600 Fresno Street Fresno, California 93721 (559) 621-8277	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NUMBER: <u>D-16-109</u>	
APPLICANT: Ken Vang Precision Civil Engineering, Inc. 1234 O Street Fresno, California 93721	PROJECT LOCATION: 3751 South Cedar Avenue S/A; Located on the northwest corner of East Central and South Cedar Avenues in the City and County of Fresno, California APNs: 330-021-02, 09, 10, 16, 18T, 30, 55 Site Latitude: 36°40'50.85" N Site Longitude: 119°45'30.62" W Mount Diablo Base & Meridian, Township 14S, Range 20E, Sections 25 & 26	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Ken Vang of Precision Engineering, Inc., on behalf of Richard Caglia of Caglia Environmental, has filed Development Permit Application No. D-16-109 pertaining to approximately ±110.81 acres of property located on the northwest corner of East Central and South Cedar Avenues in the City of Fresno. Development Permit Application No. D-16-109 proposes the development of an industrial business park with up to seven reinforced concrete buildings. The buildings are proposed for heavy industrial use and will range in size from 124,200 square feet to 1,000,000 square feet, with a total building square footage not to exceed ±2,145,420. The property is zoned IH/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management</i>).		
The City of Fresno has conducted an initial study and proposes to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the above-described project. The environmental analysis contained in the Initial Study and this Mitigated Negative Declaration is tiered from the Master Environmental Impact Report (SCH # 2012111015) prepared for the Fresno General Plan ("MEIR"). A copy of the MEIR may be reviewed in the City of Fresno Development and Resource Management Department as noted above. The proposed project has been determined to be a subsequent project that is not fully within the scope of the MEIR prepared for the Fresno General Plan. Pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21157.1 and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines § 15177, this project has been evaluated with respect to each item on the attached environmental checklist to determine whether this project may cause any additional significant effect on the environment which was not previously examined in the MEIR. After conducting a review of the adequacy of the MEIR pursuant		

to Public Resources Code, Section 21157.6(b)(1), the Development and Resource Management Department, as lead agency, finds that no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the MEIR was certified and that no new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time that the MEIR was certified as complete, has become available.

This completed environmental impact checklist form, its associated narrative, technical studies and proposed mitigation measures reflect applicable comments of responsible and trustee agencies and research and analyses conducted to examine the interrelationship between the proposed project and the physical environment. The information contained in the project application and its related environmental assessment application, responses to requests for comment, checklist, initial study narrative, and any attachments thereto, combine to form a record indicating that an initial study has been completed in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the CEQA.

All new development activity and many non-physical projects contribute directly or indirectly toward cumulative impacts on the physical environment. It has been determined that the incremental effect contributed by this project toward cumulative impacts is not considered substantial or significant in itself, and/or that cumulative impacts accruing from this project may be mitigated to less than significant with application of feasible mitigation measures.

Based upon the evaluation guided by the environmental checklist form, it was determined that there are foreseeable impacts from the Project that are additional to those identified in the MEIR, and/or impacts which require mitigation measures not included in the MEIR Mitigation Measure Checklist.

The completed environmental checklist form indicates whether an impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant.

For some categories of potential impacts, the checklist may indicate that a specific adverse environmental effect has been identified which is of sufficient magnitude to be of concern. Such an effect may be inherent in the nature and magnitude of the project, or may be related to the design and characteristics of the individual project. Effects so rated are not sufficient in themselves to require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report, and have been mitigated to the extent feasible. With the project specific mitigation imposed, there is no substantial evidence in the record that this project may have additional significant, direct, indirect or cumulative effects on the environment that are significant and that were not identified and analyzed in the MEIR. Both the MEIR Mitigation Measure Checklist and the Project Specific Mitigation Measure Checklist will be imposed on this project.

The initial study has concluded that the proposed project will not result in any adverse effects which fall within the "Mandatory Findings of Significance" contained in Section 15065 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

The finding is, therefore, made that the proposed project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

PREPARED BY:

Phillip Siegrist
Planner

SUBMITTED BY:




Mike Sanchez, Assistant Director

DATE: 9/18/17

DEVELOPMENT & RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

Attachments:	1. Notice of Intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration 2. Vicinity Map 3. Appendix G for Environmental Assessment No. D-16-109 4. City of Fresno General Plan MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist for Environmental Assessment No. D-16-109 dated September 18, 2017 5. Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017
--------------	---

Notice of Intent

CITY OF FRESNO NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION	Filed with: FRESNO COUNTY CLERK 2221 Kern Street, Fresno, CA 93721 E201710000264 FILED SEP 18 2017 TIME 11:00am By  FRESNO COUNTY CLERK DEPUTY
PROJECT TITLE & ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT EA No. D-16-109 for Development Permit Application No. D-16-109	
APPLICANT: Ken Vang Precision Civil Engineering, Inc. 1234 O Street Fresno, California 93721	
PROJECT LOCATION: 3751 South Cedar Avenue S/A; Located on the northwest corner of East Central and South Cedar Avenue in the City and County of Fresno, California APNs: 330-021-02, 09, 10, 16, 18T, 30, 55 Site Latitude: 36°40'50.85" N Site Longitude: 119°45'30.62" W Mount Diablo Base & Meridian, Township 14S, Range 20E, Sections 25 & 26	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Development Permit Application No. D-16-109 was filed by Ken Vang of Precision Engineering, Inc., on behalf of Richard Caglia of Caglia Environmental, and pertains to ±110.81 acres of property. The applicant proposes the development of an industrial business park with up to seven reinforced concrete buildings. The buildings are proposed for heavy industrial use and will range in size from 124,200 square feet to 1,000,000 square feet, with a total building square footage not to exceed ±2,145,420. The property is zoned IH/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management</i>).	
The City of Fresno has conducted an initial study of the above-described project and it has been determined to be a subsequent project that is not fully within the scope of the Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 prepared for the Fresno General Plan adopted by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014. Therefore, the Development and Resource Management Department proposes to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project. With the project specific mitigation imposed, there is no substantial evidence in the record that this project may have additional significant, direct, indirect or cumulative effects on the environment that are significant and that were not identified and analyzed in the MEIR. After conducting a review of the adequacy of the MEIR pursuant to Public Resources Code, Section 21157.6(b)(1), the Development and Resource Management Department, as lead agency, finds that no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the MEIR was certified and that no new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time that the	

E201710000264

MEIR was certified as complete has become available. The project is not located on a site which is included on any of the lists enumerated under Section 65962.5 of the Government Code including, but not limited to, lists of hazardous waste facilities, land designated as hazardous waste property, hazardous waste disposal sites and others, and the information in the Hazardous Waste and Substances Statement required under subdivision (f) of that Section.

Additional information on the proposed project, including the proposed environmental finding of a mitigated negative declaration, initial study and all documents and technical studies referenced in the initial study, as well as electronic copies of documents, may be obtained from the Development and Resource Management Department, Fresno City Hall, 2600 Fresno Street, Third Floor-North, Room 3043, Fresno, California 93721 3604. Please contact Phillip Siegrist at (559) 621-8061 for more information.

ANY INTERESTED PERSON may comment on the proposed environmental finding. Comments must be in writing and must state (1) the commentor's name and address; (2) the commentor's interest in, or relationship to, the project; (3) the environmental determination being commented upon; and (4) the specific reason(s) why the proposed environmental determination should or should not be made. Comments may be submitted at any time between the publication date of this notice and close of business on October 18, 2017. Please direct all comments to Phillip Siegrist, City of Fresno Development and Resource Management Department, City Hall, 2600 Fresno Street, Third Floor-North, Room 3043, Fresno, California, 93721-3604; or by email, Phillip.Siegrist@fresno.gov; or by facsimile, (559) 498-1026. Para información en español, comuníquese con McKencie Contreras al teléfono (559) 621-8066.

INITIAL STUDY PREPARED BY:
Phillip Siegrist
Planner II


SUBMITTED BY:



DATE: September 18, 2017

McKencie Contreras, Supervising Planner
CITY OF FRESNO DEVELOPMENT &
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPT

Vicinity Map



Subject Property



Not To Scale

VICINITY MAP

DEVELOPMENT AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

**EA No. D-16-109 for Development Permit
Application No. D-16-109**

PROPERTY ADDRESS

3751 South Cedar Avenue S/A

Zone District: IH/UGM
(Heavy Industrial / Urban Growth
Management) zone district.

By: P. Siegrist
September 18, 2017

Appendix G

APPENDIX G/INITIAL STUDY

Environmental Checklist Form for:

EA No. D-16-109

1. **Project title:**

Development Permit Application No. D-16-109

2. **Lead agency name and address:**

City of Fresno
Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721

3. **Contact person and phone number:**

Phillip Siegrist, Planner II
City of Fresno
Development and Resource Management Dept.
(559) 621-8061

4. **Project location:**

3571 South Cedar Avenue S/A
Located on the northwest corner of East Central and South Cedar Avenues in the
City and County of Fresno, California
Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 330-021-02, 09, 10, 16, 18T, 30, 55
Site Latitude: 36°40'50.85" N
Site Longitude: 119°45'30.62" W
Mount Diablo Base & Meridian, Township 14S, Range 20E, Sections 25 & 26

5. **Project sponsor's name and address:**

Ken Vang
Precision Civil Engineering, Inc.
1234 O Street
Fresno, California 93721

6. **General & Community plan designation:**

Heavy Industrial

7. **Zoning:**

IH/UGM (Heavy Industrial / Urban Growth Management)

8. **Description of project:**

Development Permit Application No. D-16-109 was filed by Ken Vang of Precision Engineering, Inc., on behalf of Richard Caglia of Caglia Environmental, and pertains to ±110.81 acres of property. The applicant proposes the development of an industrial business park with up to seven reinforced concrete buildings. The buildings are proposed for heavy industrial use and will range in size from 124,200 square feet to 1,000,000 square feet, with a total building square footage not to exceed ±2,145,420. The property is zoned IH/UGM (*Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management*).

9. **Surrounding land uses and setting:**

	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	Existing Land Use
North	Heavy Industrial	IH/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management</i>)	Heavy Industrial/Part Vacant
South	Heavy Industrial	IH/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management – City of Fresno</i>) AL20 (<i>Limit Agriculture, 20 Acres – County of Fresno</i>) M3 (<i>Heavy Industrial – County of Fresno</i>)	Rural/Low Density Residential & Vacant
East	Heavy Industrial	IH/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management</i>)	Heavy Industrial/Vacant
West	Heavy Industrial	IH/UGM (<i>Heavy Industrial/Urban Growth Management</i>)	Open Space/Ag

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

City of Fresno (COF) Department of Public Works; COF Department of Public Utilities; COF Building and Safety Services Division; COF Fire Department; Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD); Fresno County Department of Public Health; San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD); and County of Fresno

11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) section 21080.3.1? If so, has consultation begun?

Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See PRC section 21083.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per PRC section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that PRC section 21082.3(c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality. Consultation has not been requested.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(b) and CEQA Guidelines 15177(b)(2), the purpose of this MEIR initial study is to analyze whether the subsequent project was described in the Master Environmental Impact Report (MEIR) SCH No. 2012111015 and whether the subsequent project may cause any additional significant effect on the environment, which was not previously examined in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 adopted for the Fresno General Plan.

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

☐ Aesthetics	☐ Agriculture and Forestry Resources	☐ Air Quality
☐ Biological Resources	☐ Cultural Resources	☐ Geology /Soils
☐ Greenhouse Gas Emissions	☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials	☐ Hydrology/Water Quality
☐ Land Use/Planning	☐ Mineral Resources	☐ Noise
☐ Population /Housing	☐ Public Services	☐ Recreation

_____	_____	_____
Transportation/Traffic	Tribal Cultural	Utilities/Service
_____	Resources	Systems
Mandatory Findings	_____	_____
of Significance	_____	_____

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- _____ I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR such that no new additional mitigation measures or alternatives may be required. All applicable mitigation measures contained in the Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist shall be imposed upon the proposed project. A FINDING OF CONFORMITY will be prepared.
- X I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it is not fully within the scope of the MEIR because the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR. However, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. The project specific mitigation measures and all applicable mitigation measures contained in the MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist will be imposed upon the proposed project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- _____ I find that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR but that it MAY have a significant effect on the environment that was not examined in the MEIR, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required to analyze the potentially significant effects not examined in the MEIR pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21157.1(d) and CEQA Guidelines 15178(a).


 Phillip Siegrist, Planner

9/18/17
 Date

EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOT ASSESSED IN THE MEIR:

1. For purposes of this MEIR Initial Study, the following answers have the corresponding meanings:
 - a. "No Impact" means the subsequent project will not cause any additional

significant effect related to the threshold under consideration which was not previously examined in the MEIR.

- b. "Less Than Significant Impact" means there is an impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR, but that impact is less than significant;
 - c. "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation" means there is a potentially significant impact related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR, however, with the mitigation incorporated into the project, the impact is less than significant.
 - d. "Potentially Significant Impact" means there is an additional potentially significant effect related to the threshold under consideration that was not previously examined in the MEIR.
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
 - 3. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
 - 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
 - 5. A "Finding of Conformity" is a determination based on an initial study that the proposed project is a subsequent project identified in the MEIR and that it is fully within the scope of the MEIR because it would have no additional significant effects that were not examined in the MEIR.
 - 6. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the

effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).

7. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR or MEIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in the MEIR or another earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
8. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
9. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
10. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
11. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				X
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			X	
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			X	

Surrounding land uses are characterized by heavy industrial and vacant land to the north and east, open space/agricultural land to the west, and a mix of rural/low density residential and vacant land to the south.

The proposed project is not located near a scenic vista and there are no state scenic highways or city- or county-designated roads which exist within the project area. Therefore, the project would have no impact on scenic vistas, roads or highways. The proposed project would add to the overall character of the area; therefore, the impact to the visual character of the area will be less than significant.

The development of the site will not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would affect day or night time views in the project area, given that during the entitlement process, staff will ensure that lights are located in areas that will minimize light sources to the neighboring properties. Further, Mitigation Measures (MM) AES-1, and AES-3 require lighting systems for street and parking areas to be shielded to direct light to surfaces and orient light away from adjacent properties. As a result, the project will have a less than significant impact on aesthetics. The proposed construction would not significantly impact the visual character of the site as the proposed new construction

type and appearance will provide an enhanced street frontage and landscaping to a vacant site surrounded by suburban development.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will have a less than significant impact on aesthetics. Conditions to ensure the project is aesthetically appealing will be incorporated into the project approval.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. -- Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?			X	
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				X
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				X
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?			X	

Based upon the 2014 Rural Mapping Edition: Fresno County Important Farmland Map of the California Department of Conservation, the subject property is designated as “Prime Farmland.” However, the subject site is not currently under cultivation.

“Prime Farmland” is defined as having the best combination of physical and chemical features able to sustain long-term agricultural production. This land has the soil quality, growing season, and moisture supply needed to produce sustained high yields. Land must have been used for irrigated agricultural production at some time during the four years prior to the mapping date.

The subject property remains vacant, fallow, land which has not been under cultivation and is occupied by two rural residences.

The Fresno General Plan MEIR analyzed “project specific” impacts associated with future development within the Planning Area (Sphere of Influence) as well as the cumulative impacts factored from future development in areas outside of the Planning Area. The MEIR identifies locations within the Planning Area that have been designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, and Farmland of Statewide Importance through the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program (FMMP) of the California Department of Conservation. The analysis of impacts contained within the

MEIR acknowledges that Fresno General Plan implementation anticipates all of the FMMP-designated farmland within the Planning Area being converted to uses other than agriculture. Furthermore, the MEIR acknowledges that the anticipated conversion is a significant impact on agricultural resources.

To reduce potential project-specific and cumulative impacts on agricultural uses, the General Plan incorporates objectives and policies, which include but are not limited to the following:

RC-9 Objective: Preserve agricultural land outside of the area planned for urbanization under this General Plan.

RC-9-b Policy: **Unincorporated Land in the Planning Area.** Express opposition to residential and commercial development proposals in unincorporated areas within or adjacent to the Planning Area when these proposals would do any of the following:

- Make it difficult or infeasible to implement the General Plan;
- Contribute to the premature conversion of agricultural, open space, or grazing lands; or
- Constitute a detriment to the management of resources and/or facilities important to the region (such as air quality, water quantity and quality, traffic circulation, and riparian habitat).

However, the MEIR recognizes that despite implementation of the objectives and policies of the Fresno General Plan, project and cumulative impacts on agricultural resources will remain significant; and, that no feasible measures in addition to the objectives and policies of the Fresno General Plan are available.

In 2014, through passage of Council Resolution No. 2014-225, the City of Fresno adopted Findings of Fact related to Significant and Unavoidable Effects as well as Statements of Overriding Considerations in order to certify MEIR SCH No. 111015 for purposes of adoption of the Fresno General Plan. Section 15093 of the California Environmental Quality Act requires the lead agency to balance the benefits of a proposed project against its unavoidable environmental risks in determining whether to approve the project.

The adopted Statements of Overriding Considerations for the MEIR addressed Findings of Significant Unavoidable Impacts within the categories/areas of Agricultural Resources; citing specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers as project goals, each and all of which were deemed and considered by the Fresno City Council to be benefits, which outweighed the unavoidable adverse environmental effects attributed to development occurring within the City of Fresno Sphere of Influence (SOI), consistent with the land uses, densities, and intensities set forth in the Fresno General Plan.

The subject property is located within the City of Fresno and is located within an area which has been developed with a mix of rural residential, agricultural, and industrial uses. Properties to the north are located within the City of Fresno and have been developed with disposal related uses. Properties directly to the west are located within the City of Fresno and have been developed with agricultural land that is currently under cultivation. Property directly to the east of the subject property is located within the City of Fresno and has been developed with agricultural related warehousing. Properties to the south remain in the unincorporated area of the County of Fresno and are currently developed with rural residences and inactive farm land.

Furthermore, as the subject property remains vacant land, the project will not result in the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. Therefore, the proposed project is consistent with the goals, objective and policies of the Fresno General Plan as referenced herein above; and, will not result in the premature conversion of agricultural lands or constitute a detriment to the management of agricultural resources and/or facilities important to the metropolitan area.

Given its proximity to unincorporated lands within the County of Fresno, which remain eligible for future agricultural operations, a "Right-to-Farm" covenant will be required to be executed as a condition of approval. The covenant will acknowledge and agree that the subject property is in or near agricultural districts located in the City and/or County of Fresno and that the future residents of the subject property should be prepared to accept the inconveniences and discomfort associated with normal farm activities.

The subject site and adjacent property to the north, east, and south are not under a Williamson Act contract. The adjacent property to the west is under a Williamson Act contract; however, the proposed project on the subject site will not affect the Williamson Act contract parcel.

The proposed project does not conflict with any forest land or Timberland Production or result in any loss of forest land. The proposed project does not include any changes which will affect the existing environment.

As discussed in Impact AG-1 of the MEIR, future development in accordance with the Fresno General Plan would result in the conversion of farmland to a non-agricultural use. Except for direct conversion, the implementation of project development would not result in other changes in the existing environment that would impact agricultural land outside of the Planning Area. In addition, the development in accordance with the General Plan would not impact forest land as discussed in Section 5.2.5 of the MEIR. Therefore, the project would result in no impact on farmland or forest land involving other changes in the existing environment which fall outside of the scope of the analyses contained within the MEIR.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any agriculture and forestry

resource environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in the MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
III. AIR QUALITY AND GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE - (Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations.) -- Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan (e.g., by having potential emissions of regulated criterion pollutants which exceed the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control Districts (SJVAPCD) adopted thresholds for these pollutants)?		X		
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			X	
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		X		

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.			X	
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?		X		

Setting

The subject site is located in Fresno County and within the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin (SJVAB). This region has had chronic non-attainment of federal and state clean air standards for ozone/oxidants and particulate matter due to a combination of topography and climate. The San Joaquin Valley (Valley) is hemmed in on three sides by mountain ranges, with prevailing winds carrying pollutants and pollutant precursors from urbanized areas to the north (and in turn contributing pollutants and precursors to downwind air basins). The Mediterranean climate of this region, with a high number of sunny days and little or no measurable precipitation for several months of the year, fosters photochemical reactions in the atmosphere, creating ozone and particulate matter.

Regional factors affect the accumulation and dispersion of air pollutants within the SJVAB. Air pollutant emissions overall are fairly constant throughout the year, yet the concentrations of pollutants in the air vary from day to day and even hour to hour. This variability is due to complex interactions of weather, climate, and topography. These factors affect the ability of the atmosphere to disperse pollutants. Conditions that move and mix the atmosphere help disperse pollutants, while conditions that cause the atmosphere to stagnate allow pollutants to concentrate. Local climatological effects, including topography, wind speed and direction, temperature, inversion layers, precipitation, and fog can exacerbate the air quality problem in the SJVAB.

The SJVAB is approximately 250 miles long and averages 35 miles wide, and is the second largest air basin in the state. The SJVAB is defined by the Sierra Nevada in the east (8,000 to 14,000 feet in elevation), the Coast Ranges in the west (averaging 3,000 feet in elevation), and the Tehachapi mountains in the south (6,000 to 8,000 feet in elevation). The Valley is basically flat with a slight downward gradient to the northwest. The Valley opens to the sea at the Carquinez Straits where the San Joaquin-Sacramento Delta empties into San Francisco Bay. The Valley, thus, could be considered a "bowl" open only to the north.

During the summer, wind speed and direction data indicate that summer wind usually originates at the north end of the Valley and flows in a south-southeasterly direction through the Valley, through Tehachapi Pass, into the Southeast Desert Air Basin. In addition, the Altamont Pass also serves as a funnel for pollutant transport from the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin into the region.

During the winter, wind speed and direction data indicate that wind occasionally originates from the south end of the Valley and flows in a north-northwesterly direction. Also during the winter months, the Valley generally experiences light, variable winds (less than 10 mph). Low wind speeds, combined with low inversion layers in the winter, create a climate conducive to high carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5) concentrations. The SJVAB has an “Inland Mediterranean” climate averaging over 260 sunny days per year. The Valley floor is characterized by warm, dry summers and cooler winters. For the entire Valley, high daily temperature readings in summer average 95°F. Temperatures below freezing are unusual. Average high temperatures in the winter are in the 50s, but highs in the 30s and 40s can occur on days with persistent fog and low cloudiness. The average daily low temperature is 45°F.

The vertical dispersion of air pollutants in the Valley is limited by the presence of persistent temperature inversions. Solar energy heats up the Earth’s surface, which in turn radiates heat and warms the lower atmosphere. Therefore, as altitude increases, the air temperature usually decreases due to increasing distance from the source of heat. A reversal of this atmospheric state, where the air temperature increases with height, is termed an inversion. Inversions can exist at the surface or at any height above the ground, and tend to act as a lid on the Valley, holding in the pollutants that are generated here.

Regulations

The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) is the local regional jurisdictional entity charged with attainment planning, rulemaking, rule enforcement, and monitoring under Federal and State Clean Air Acts and Clean Air Act Amendments.

The SJVAPCD has adopted rules and regulations specifically designed to reduce the impacts of growth on the applicable air quality plans. For example, Rule 9510 - Indirect Source Review was adopted to provide emission reductions needed by the SJVAPCD to demonstrate attainment of the federal PM10 standard and contributed reductions that assist in attaining federal ozone standards. Rule 9510 also contributes toward attainment of state standards for these pollutants. The District’s Regulation VIII – Fugitive PM10 prohibitions requires controls for sources of particulate matter necessary for attaining the federal PM10 standards and achieving progress toward attaining the state PM10 Standards. Rule 2201 – New and Modified Stationary Source Review requires new and modified stationary/industrial sources provide emission controls and

offsets that ensure stationary sources decline over time and do not impact the applicable air quality plans.

The growth projections used for the Fresno General Plan assume that growth in population, vehicle use and other source categories will occur at historically robust rates that are consistent with the rates used to develop the SJVAPCD's attainment plans. In other words, the amount of growth predicted for the General Plan is accommodated by the SJVAPCD's attainment plan and would allow the air basin to attain the 8-hour ozone standard by the 2023 attainment date. In addition, as shown in the operational emissions analysis in Impact AIR-3, reductions anticipated from existing regulations and adopted control measures will result in emissions continuing to decline even though development and population will increase.

The proposed project involves the installation of associated public improvements in order to facilitate the future development of a proposed industrial park yielding up to 2,145,420 square feet of building floor area on approximately 110.81 acres of land. As build out of the subject property occurs, it is likely that the total concentrations of pollutants and contaminants generated by the individual development projects will exceed the thresholds during project construction and operation. However, given the broad range of uses listed as permissible within the existing IH (*Heavy Industrial*) zone district and the prospective amount of time that may be required to achieve build out of the proposed project, estimates of specific project contributions to SJVAPCD thresholds and localized impacts associated with construction activity is considered speculative at this time. Furthermore, the analysis contained within the MEIR provides that emission projections accounting for the effects of adopted regulations shows that there would be a net decrease in emissions with build out of the General Plan even though the vehicle miles traveled and population would increase substantially because the emission rates for the most important sources of pollutants substantially decrease from 2010 levels due to SJVAPCD and state regulations. Future development on the subject property is required to comply with these rules and regulations providing additional support for the conclusion that it will not interfere or obstruct with the application of the attainment plans.

Therefore, subsequent individual development projects within the project area will be subject to the project level thresholds at the time they are proposed and are required to comply with all SJVAPCD standards, rules and regulations as identified within the MEIR and attached project specific mitigation monitoring checklist as necessary to assure emission levels do not exceed the amounts required for attainment by the years mandated by state and federal regulations.

Future development on the subject property with uses listed as permitted in the existing IH (*Heavy Industrial*) zone district pursuant to the property development standards of the Fresno Municipal Code is consistent with the scale and intensity of development planned for the subject property by the General Plan.

The proposed project will comply with the Air Quality Element of the Fresno General Plan and the Goals, Policies and Objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan adopted by the Fresno Council of Fresno County Governments; therefore the project will not conflict with or obstruct an applicable air quality plan. The project must comply with the construction and development requirements of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD), therefore, no violations of air quality standards will occur.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the air quality related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Master Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.
2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the air quality related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - - Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				X
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				X

The proposed project will not directly affect any sensitive, special status, or candidate species, nor would it modify any habitat that supports them.

There is no riparian habitat or any other sensitive natural community identified in the vicinity of the proposed project by the California Department of Fish and Game or the US Fish and Wildlife Service. No federally protected wetlands are located on the subject site. Therefore, there would be no impacts to species, riparian habitat or other sensitive communities and wetlands.

There are also no bodies of water on the subject site or in the immediate vicinity of the subject site. The proposed project would have no impact on the movement of migratory fish or wildlife species or on established wildlife corridors or wildlife nursery sites. No local policies regarding biological resources are applicable to the subject site and there would be no impacts with regard to those plans.

No habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans in the region pertain to the natural resources that exist on the subject site or in their immediate vicinity.

Finally, no actions or activities resulting from the implementation of the proposed project would have the potential to affect floral, or faunal species; or, their habitat. Therefore, there would be no impacts.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any biological resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?				X
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				X
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				X

There are no structures which exist within the project area that are listed in the National or Local Register of Historic Places, and the subject site is not within a designated historic district.

There are no known archaeological or paleontological resources that exist within the project area; previously unknown paleontological resources or undiscovered human remains could be disturbed during project construction. There is no evidence that cultural resources of any type (including historical, archaeological, paleontological, or unique geologic features) exist on the subject property. Past record searches for the region have not revealed the likelihood of cultural resources on the subject property or in its immediate vicinity. Therefore, it is not expected that the proposed project may impact cultural resources. It should be noted however that lack of surface evidence of historical resources does not preclude the subsurface existence of archaeological resources. Furthermore, previously unknown paleontological resources or undiscovered human remains could be disturbed during project construction.

Therefore, due to the ground disturbing activities that will occur as a result of the project, pursuant to the conditions of approval for the project, if material that may be human remains, animal fossils, or archaeological material is encountered, work shall stop immediately; and, that qualified professionals in the respective field are contacted and consulted in order to insure that the activities of the proposed project will not involve physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of historic, archaeological, or paleontological resources.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any cultural resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				X
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				X
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				X
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				X
iv) Landslides?				X
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				X
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				X
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X

There are no known geologic hazards or unstable soil conditions known to exist on the site. The existing topography is flat with no apparent unique or significant land forms such as vernal pools. Development of the property requires compliance with grading and drainage standards of the City of Fresno and the FMFCD Standards. Grade differentials at property lines must be limited to one foot or less, or a cross-drainage covenant must be executed with affected adjoining property owners.

Fresno has no known active earthquake faults and is not in any Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zones. The immediate Fresno area has extremely low seismic activity levels, although shaking may be felt from earthquakes whose epicenters lie to the east, west, and south. Known major faults are over 50 miles distant and include the San Andreas Fault, Coalinga area blind thrust fault(s), and the Long Valley, Owens Valley, and White Wolf/Tehachapi fault systems. The most serious threat to Fresno from a major earthquake in the Eastern Sierra would be flooding that could be caused by damage to dams on the upper reaches of the San Joaquin River.

Fresno is classified by the State as being in a moderate seismic risk zone, Category "C" or "D," depending on the soils underlying the specific location being categorized and that location's proximity to the nearest known fault lines. All new structures are required to conform to current seismic protection standards in the California Building Code. Seismic upgrade/retrofit requirements are imposed on older structures by the City's Development and Resource Management Department as may be applicable to building modification and rehabilitation projects.

No adverse environmental effects related to topography, soils or geology are expected as a result of this project.

In conclusion, the project would not result in any geology or soil environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS -- Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			X	
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			X	

The proposed project will not occur at a scale or scope with potential to contribute substantially or cumulatively to the generation of greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly.

The General Plan and MEIR rely upon a Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan that provides a comprehensive assessment of the benefits of city policies and proposed code changes, existing plans, programs, and initiatives that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The plan demonstrates that even though there is increased growth, the City would still be reducing greenhouse gas emissions through 2020 and per capita emission rates drop substantially. The benefits of adopted regulations become flat in later years and growth starts to exceed the reductions from all regulations and measures. Although it is highly likely that regulations will be updated to provide additional reductions, none are reflected in the analysis since only the effect of adopted regulations is included.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any greenhouse gas emission environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL -- Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		X		
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?		X		
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				X
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				X
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				X

As described in the Glossary & Acronyms in the Fresno General Plan, hazardous materials will be defined as those that, because of their quantity, concentration, physical or chemical characteristics, pose significant potential hazards to human health, safety, or the environment. Specific federal, state and local definitions and listings of hazardous materials will be used by the City of Fresno.

There are no known existing hazardous material conditions on the site and the project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The project itself will not generate or use hazardous materials in a manner outside health department requirements, is not

near any wildland fire hazard zones, and poses no interference with the City's or County's Hazard Mitigation Plans or emergency response plans.

Given the wide range of uses listed as permitted within the existing IH (*Heavy Industrial*) zone district, future facilities that propose to store, use or handle hazardous materials above reportable amounts are required to prepare and file a Hazardous Materials Business Plan for the safe storage and use of chemicals. In the event of an emergency, firefighters, health officials, planners, public safety officers, health care providers and others rely on the Business Plan. Implementation of the Business Plan should prevent or reduce damage to the health and safety of people and the environment when a hazardous material is released.

A Business Plan is required to be submitted by businesses that handle a hazardous material, or a mixture containing a hazardous material, in quantities equal or greater than: (1) 500 pounds of a solid; (2) 55 gallons of a liquid; (3) 200 cubic feet of a compressed gas at a standard temperature and pressure; (4) The federal Threshold Planning Quantity (TPQ) for Extremely Hazardous Substances; and/or, (5) Radioactive materials in quantities for which and Emergency Plan is required as per Parts 30, 40, or 70, Chapter 1 of Title 10 of Code of Federal Regulations.

The Business Plan must include: (1) The type of quantity of hazardous materials; (2) site map; (3) risks of using these materials; (4) spill prevention; (5) Emergency response; (6) Employee training; and, (7) Emergency contacts.

The subject site is not under cultivation. No pesticides or hazardous materials are known to exist on the site and the proposed project will have no environmental impacts related to potential hazards or hazardous materials as identified above.

The subject site is not located within an airport land use plan and is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

Based upon implementation of the mitigation measures included herein below the proposed project will have a less than significant impact from hazards and/or hazardous materials.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as appropriate, the Hazards and Hazardous Materials related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Master Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.
2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the Hazards and Hazardous Materials related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				X
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			X	
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			X	
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?		X		
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				X
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				X
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			X	
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?			X	
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				X

Setting

Fresno is one of the largest cities in the United States still relying primarily on groundwater for its public water supply. Surface water treatment and distribution has been implemented in the northeastern part of the City, but the city is still subject to an EPA Sole Source Aquifer designation. While the aquifer underlying Fresno typically exceeds a depth of 300 feet and is capacious enough to provide adequate quantities of safe drinking water to the metropolitan area well into the twenty-first century, groundwater degradation, increasingly stringent water quality regulations, and an historic trend of high consumptive use of water on a per capita basis (some 250 gallons

per day per capita), have resulted in a general decline in aquifer levels, increased cost to provide potable water, and localized water supply limitations.

Fresno has attempted to address these issues through metering and revisions to the City's Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). The Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan, which has been adopted and the accompanying Final EIR (SCH #95022029) certified, is also under revision. The purpose of these management plans is to provide safe, adequate, and dependable water supplies in order to meet the future needs of the metropolitan area in an economical manner; protect groundwater quality from further degradation and overdraft; and, provide a plan of reasonably implementable measures and facilities. City water wells, pump stations, recharge facilities, water treatment and distribution systems have been expanded incrementally to mitigate increased water demands and respond to groundwater quality challenges.

The adverse groundwater conditions of limited supply and compromised quality have been well- documented by planning, environmental impact report and technical studies over the past 20 years including the MEIR No. SCH No. 2012111015 for the Fresno General Plan, the MEIR 10130 for the 2025 Fresno General Plan, Final EIR No.10100, Final EIR No.10117, and Final EIR No. SCH 95022029 (Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan), et al. These conditions include water quality degradation due to DBCP, arsenic, iron, and manganese concentrations; low water well yields; limited aquifer storage capacity and recharge capacity; and, intensive urban or semi-urban development occurring upgradient from the Fresno Metropolitan Area.

In response to the need for a comprehensive long-range water supply and distribution strategy, the General Plan recognizes the Kings Basin's Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Fresno-Area Regional Groundwater Management Plan, and City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan and cites the findings of the City of Fresno UWMP. The purpose of these management plans is to provide safe, adequate, and dependable water supplies to meet the future needs of the Kings Basin regions and the Fresno-Clovis metropolitan area in an economical manner; protect groundwater quality from further degradation and overdraft; and, provide a plan of reasonably implementable measures and facilities.

In accordance with the provisions of the Fresno General Plan and MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 mitigation measures, project specific water supply and distribution requirements must assure that an adequate source of water is available to serve the project.

The City has indicated that groundwater wells, pump stations, recharge facilities, water treatment and distribution systems shall be expanded incrementally to mitigate increased water demands. One of the primary objectives of Fresno's future water supply plans detailed in Fresno's current UWMP is to balance groundwater operations through a host of strategies. Through careful planning, Fresno has designed a comprehensive plan to accomplish this objective by increasing surface water supplies

and surface water treatment facilities, intentional recharge, and conservation, thereby reducing groundwater pumping. The City continually monitors impacts of land use changes and development project proposals on water supply facilities by assigning fixed demand allocations to each parcel by land use as currently zoned or proposed to be rezoned. The UWMP was made available for public review together with the MND for the proposed project.

Until 2004, groundwater was the sole source of water for the City. In June 2004, a \$32 million Surface Water Treatment Facility ("SWTF") began providing Fresno with water treated to drinking water standards. A second surface water treatment facility is planned for 2015 in southeast Fresno to meet demands anticipated by the growth implicit in the 2025 Fresno General Plan. Surface water is used to replace lost groundwater through Fresno's artificial recharge program at the City-owned Leaky Acres and smaller facilities in Southeast Fresno. Fresno holds entitlements to surface water from Millerton Lake and Pine Flat Reservoir. In 2006, Fresno renewed its contract with the United States Bureau of Reclamation, through the year 2045, which entitles the City to 60,000 acre-feet per year of Class 1 water. This water supply has further increased the reliability of Fresno's water supply.

Also, in 2006, Fresno updated its Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan designed to ensure the Fresno metro area has a reliable water supply through 2050. The plan implements a conjunctive use program, combining groundwater, treated surface water, artificial recharge and an enhanced water conservation program.

In the near future, groundwater will continue to be an important part of the City's supply but will not be relied upon as heavily as has historically been the case. The City is planning to rely on expanding their delivery and treatment of surface water supplies and groundwater recharge activities.

In addition, the General Plan policies require the City to maintain a comprehensive conservation program to help reduce per capita water usage, and includes conservation programs such as landscaping standards for drought tolerance, irrigation control devices, leak detection and retrofits, water audits, public education and implementing US Bureau of Reclamation Best Management Practices for water conservation to maintain surface water entitlements.

Implementation of the Fresno General Plan policies, the Kings Basin Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, City of Fresno UWMP, Fresno-Area Regional Groundwater Management Plan, and City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resource Management Plan and the applicable mitigation measures of approved environmental review documents will address the issues of providing an adequate, reliable, and sustainable water supply for the project's urban domestic and public safety consumptive purposes.

Fresno continues to periodically update its water management plans to ensure the cost-effective use of water resources and continued availability of groundwater and surface water supplies.

The City of Fresno Department of Public Utilities, Water Division has reviewed the proposed project and has determined that water service will be available to the proposed project subject to the water mains being extended within East Central and South Orange Avenues as well as within the proposed industrial park. The proposed project will also be responsible for dedication of a site for a City water well facility and payment of applicable connection charges and fees and compliance with the Department of Public Works standards, specifications, and policies. In addition, the applicant will be required to comply with all requirements of the City of Fresno Department of Public Utilities, Water Division that will reduce the project's water impacts to less than significant.

The Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD) has stated that the FMFCD system can accommodate the proposed request subject to construction of Master Plan Facilities, dedication of easements for required storm drain facilities, and excavation within the planned Basin "AX" and "AY" in order to provide storage based on proposed development. In addition, the proposed project shall comply with the FMFCD Flood Plain Policy and the City of Fresno Floodplain Management Ordinance. When development permits are issued, the subject site will be required to pay drainage fees pursuant to the Drainage Fee Ordinance.

The mitigation measures of the MEIR are incorporated herein by reference and are required to be implemented by the attached mitigation monitoring checklist. In summary, these mitigation measures equate to City of Fresno policies and initiatives aimed toward ensuring that the City has a reliable, long-range source of water through the implementation of measures to promote water conservation through standards, incentives and capital investments.

Private development participates in the City's ability to meet water supply goals and initiatives through payment of fees established by the city for construction of recharge facilities, the construction of recharge facilities directly by the project, or participation in augmentation/enhancement/enlargement of the recharge capability of FMFCD storm water ponding basins. While the proposed project may be served by conventional groundwater pumping and distribution systems, full development of the Fresno General Plan boundaries may necessitate utilization of treated surface water due to inadequate groundwater aquifer recharge capabilities.

The Department of Public Utilities works with FMFCD to utilize suitable FMFCD ponding (drainage) basins for the groundwater recharge program, and works with the Fresno Irrigation District to ensure that the City's allotment of surface water is put to the best possible use for recharge.

The subject property does not appear to have any on-site waste (septic) disposal system. As a condition of approval, any pre-existing septic systems shall be properly abandoned.

Occupancy of this site will generate wastewater containing human waste, which is required to be conveyed and treated by the Fresno-Clovis Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility. There will not be any onsite wastewater treatment system. The proposed project will be required to install sewer mains and branches, and to pay connection and sewer facility fees to provide for reimbursement of preceding investments in sewer trunks to connect this site to a publicly owned treatment works.

In conclusion, with implementation of the project specific mitigation measures identified below, the project will not result in a less than significant impact.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the hydrology and water quality related mitigation measures as identified in the attached MEIR No. SCH 2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.
2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the hydrology and water quality related measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				X

b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			X	
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				X

The proposed project would not physically divide an established community. The proposed project is consistent with the Heavy Industrial planned land use designation of the Fresno General Plan and the Roosevelt Community Plan. The proposed project will facilitate development of the site with an industrial building park consisting of seven reinforced concrete buildings with a total of ±2,145,420 square feet of building space on approximately ±110.81 acres, which is the intent of the Fresno General Plan for this property. Objectives and policies within the Fresno General Plan support economic development, efficient and equitable use of resources, and commercial and office infill development. Some of those objectives and policies are outlined below:

- Objective ED-1: Support economic development by maintaining a strong working relationship with the business community and improving the business climate for current and future businesses.
 - Policy ED-1-e: **Ready-to-Go Sites.** Establish a list of “ready-to-go” or “shovel-ready” sites in consultation with property owners, and provide the list to interested developers and businesses seeking sites in the city.
- Objective LU-1: Establish a comprehensive citywide land use planning strategy to meet economic development objectives, achieve efficient and equitable use of resources and infrastructure, and create an attractive living environment.
 - Policy LU-1-a: **Promote Development within the Existing City Limits as of December 31, 2012.** Promote new development, infill, and rehabilitation of existing building stock in the Downtown Planning Area, along BRT corridors, in established neighborhoods generally south of Herndon Avenue, and on other infill sites and vacant land within the City.

- Objective LU-2: Plan for infill development that includes a range of housing types, building forms, and land uses to meet the needs of both current and future residents.
 - Policy LU-2-a: **Infill Development and Redevelopment.** Promote development of vacant, underdeveloped, and re-developable land within the City Limits where urban services are available by considering the establishment and implementation of supportive regulations and programs.
- Objective LU-7: Plan and support industrial development to promote job growth.
 - Policy LU-7-b: **Business and Industrial Parks.** Promote business and industrial park sites that are of sufficient size, unified in design, and diversified in activity to attract a full range of business types needed for economic growth.

The proposed project is consistent with the objectives and policies of the Fresno General Plan, as it would improve economic vitality in the City of Fresno and allow development of existing properties within the City of Fresno. The project promotes industrial development and reinvestment within the City and preserves and protects resources within the City by expanding opportunities for development on within city limits. Infill development conserves resources and takes advantage of existing infrastructure. Furthermore, the proposed industrial park will strengthen Fresno's economic base, promote job growth, and enhance the existing industrial area by fostering "ready-to-go" sites of sufficient size that can attract a range of businesses needed for economic growth.

The project will not conflict with any conservation plans since it is not located within any conservation plan areas.

No habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans in the region pertain to the natural resources that exist on the subject site or in its immediate vicinity. Therefore, there would be no impacts.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any land use and planning environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				X
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				X

The subject site is not located in an area designated for mineral resource preservation or recovery, therefore, will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state. The subject site is not delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan as a locally-important mineral resource recovery site; therefore it will not result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any mineral resource environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XII. NOISE -- Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?		X		

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			X	
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		X		
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			X	
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X

Generally, the three primary sources of substantial noise that affect the City of Fresno and its residents are transportation-related and consist of major streets and regional highways; airport operations at the Fresno Yosemite International, the Fresno-Chandler Downtown, and the Sierra Sky Park Airports; and railroad operations along the BNSF Railway and the Union Pacific Railroad lines. The project site is not located within the vicinity of any rail lines or any airport or private air strip.

In developed areas of the community, noise conflicts often occur when a noise sensitive land use is located adjacent or in proximity to a noise generator. Noise in these

situations frequently stems from on-site operations, use of outdoor equipment, uses where large numbers of persons assemble, and vehicular traffic. Some land uses, such as residential dwellings, hospitals, office buildings and schools, are considered noise sensitive receptors and involve land uses associated with indoor and/or outdoor activities that may be subject to stress and/or significant interference from noise.

Stationary noise sources can also have an effect on the population, and unlike mobile, transportation-related noise sources, these sources generally have a more permanent and consistent impact on people. These stationary noise sources involve a wide spectrum of uses and activities, including various industrial uses, commercial operations, agricultural production, school playgrounds, high school football games, HVAC units, generators, lawn maintenance equipment and swimming pool pumps.

Even with incorporation of the best available noise control technology, noise emanating from industrial uses can be substantial and exceed local noise standards. These noise sources can be continuous and may contain tonal components that may be annoying to nearby receptors.

Although industrial uses in the City of Fresno are typically located in industrial districts near freeways and commercial uses, and away from residences and other sensitive noise receptors, noise sources associated with commercial uses such as automotive repair facilities, recycling centers, and loading docks may occur in the vicinity of residential uses.

The City of Fresno Noise Element of the Fresno General Plan establishes a land use compatibility criterion of 60dB DNL for exterior noise levels in outdoor areas of noise-sensitive land uses. The intent of the exterior noise level requirement is to provide an acceptable noise environment for outdoor activities and recreation. Furthermore, the Noise Element also requires that interior noise levels attributable to exterior noise sources not exceed 45 dB DNL. The intent of the interior noise level standard is to provide an acceptable noise environment for indoor communication and sleep.

For stationary noise sources, the noise element establishes noise compatibility criteria in terms of the exterior hourly equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) and maximum sound level (L_{max}). The standards are more restrictive during the nighttime hours, defined as 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The standards may be adjusted upward (less restrictive) if the existing ambient noise level without the source of interest already exceeds these standards. The Noise Element standards for stationary noise sources are: (1) 50 dBA L_{eq} for the daytime and 45 dBA L_{eq} for the nighttime hourly equivalent sound levels; and, (2) 70 dBA L_{max} for the daytime and 65 dBA L_{max} for the nighttime maximum sound levels.

Noise created by new proposed stationary noise sources or existing stationary noise sources which undergo modification that may increase noise levels shall be mitigated so as not to exceed the noise level standards of Table 9 (Table 5.11-8 of the MEIR) at

noise sensitive land uses. If the existing ambient noise levels equal or exceed these levels, mitigation is required to limit noise to the ambient noise level plus 5 dB.

The project site is currently vacant. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the proposed project will result in an increase in temporary and/or periodic ambient noise levels on the subject property above existing levels. However, in accordance with Section 10-102 of the MEIR, the ambient noise level deemed to be attributable to industrial property at any time is 70 dB (except where existing ambient noise levels exceed 70 dB).

There are no residential planned land uses within the sphere of influence of the Fresno General Plan which are located within one mile of the proposed project boundary. Therefore, consideration of future noise generators which may be developed on the subject property will be limited to those existing residential uses which are currently located within the unincorporated area of the County of Fresno (on opposite sides of planned major streets, which will affect ambient noise levels) and future office or noise-sensitive land uses developed within the boundary of the proposed project.

The project proposal includes the development of approximately 110.81 acres of heavy industrial zoned property and the construction and installation of public improvements and infrastructure to facilitate future development. Given the wide range of allowable uses in the IH (*Heavy Industrial*) zone district, it is impossible to speculate potential noise impacts from future stationary noise sources which may be developed on the subject property. Policy NS-1-i of the Fresno General Plan provides that the City shall require an acoustical analysis in those cases where a project potentially threatens to expose existing or proposed noise-sensitive land uses to excessive noise levels. The presumption of potentially excessive noise levels shall be based on the location of new noise-sensitive uses to known noise sources or staff's professional judgment that a potential for adverse noise impacts exists.

Acoustical analyses shall be required for future development entitlements where it cannot be readily demonstrated that proposed operations incorporating the use of machinery or equipment, (e.g., fans, pumps, air conditioning units, engines, turbines, compressors, generators, motors or similar devices, equipment, or apparatuses) which may result in noise levels that exceed the noise level exposure criteria established by Tables 9-2 and 9-3. In such circumstances, the acoustical analyses shall be used to determine impacts can be reduced to specific targets for outdoor activity areas and interior spaces. Any future development projects with proposed operations exceeding acceptable noise levels will be required to mitigate these impacts in conformance with Tables 9-2 and 9-3 as a condition of permit approval through appropriate means. Noise mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to: (1) Screen and control noise sources, such as parking and loading facilities, outdoor activities, and mechanical equipment; (2) Increase setbacks for noise sources from adjacent noise-sensitive uses; (3) Retain fences, walls, and landscaping that serve as noise buffers; (4) Use soundproofing materials and double-glazed windows; (5) Use open space, building

orientation and design, landscaping and running water to mask sounds; and/or, (6) Control hours of operation, including deliveries and trash pickup, to minimize noise impacts. Alternative acoustical designs that achieve the prescribed noise level reduction may be approved by the City, provided a qualified Acoustical Consultant submits information demonstrating that the alternative designs will achieve and maintain the specific targets for outdoor activity areas and interior spaces.

Furthermore, acoustical analyses will be required prior to the approval of any future special permit (site plan or conditional use permit) applying for any new noise sensitive uses which may be proposed to be located within proximity to existing noise sources so that noise mitigation may be included in the project design.

Construction activities associated with the development of the proposed project could expose persons or structures to excessive ground borne vibration or noise levels.

Although activities associated with development on the subject property could potentially result in a temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity, construction activity would be exempt from City of Fresno noise regulations, as long as such activity is conducted pursuant to an applicable construction permit and occurs between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., excluding Sunday. Therefore, short-term construction impacts associated with the exposure of persons to, or the generation of, noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan and/or noise ordinance and/or applicable standards of other agencies would be less than significant.

Although the project will create additional activity in the area, the project will be required to comply with all noise policies and mitigation measures identified within the Fresno General Plan and MEIR as well as the noise ordinance of the Fresno Municipal Code.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as appropriate, the noise related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Master Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.
2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the noise related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING -- Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			X	
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X

The subject site is designated for Heavy Industrial planned land uses. Although the project will be intensifying the use of the currently undeveloped site, it has been planned for the proposed use and will occur at an intensity and scale that is permitted. Thus, the development of the subject property and the subsequent utilization of the subject property for industrial purposes and uses, as is allowed under the existing IH (*Heavy Industrial*) zone district and designation with the applicable land use restrictions will not facilitate an additional intensification of uses beyond that which would be allowed by the above-noted planned land use designation.

While there are existing residential properties located opposite the project site on the south side of East Central Avenue in the unincorporated portion of the County, all properties within the immediate vicinity of the subject property are planned exclusively for Heavy Industrial planned uses at an intensity and scale designated by the Fresno General Plan and Roosevelt Community Plan. Therefore the proposed project will not either directly or indirectly induce substantial population growth in the area. Furthermore, the subject property is currently vacant of any structures. Therefore, the proposed project does not have the potential to displace existing housing or residents as a result of new development thereon.

In conclusion, the proposed project would not result in any population and housing environmental impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES --				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered				
governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?			X	
Police protection?			X	
Drainage and flood control?		X		
Parks?			X	
Schools?			X	
Other public services?			X	

The Department of Public Utilities has reviewed the proposed project and has determined that adequate sewer, water, and solid waste facilities are available subject to compliance with the conditions submitted by the Department of Public Utilities for this project.

City police and fire protection services are also available to serve the proposed project. The project was reviewed by the Fire Department for requirements related to water supply, fire hydrants, and fire apparatus access to probable future buildings on the subject property. Review for compliance with fire and life safety requirements for future proposed building interiors and intended uses will be reviewed by the Fire Department

and Building and Safety Services Division when a submittal for building plan review is made as required by the California Building Code by the architect or engineer of record for the building. Fire hydrants will be required to be installed; and, all fire hydrants and access roads will be required to be installed, tested and approved and shall be maintained serviceable prior to and during all phases of development. Fire Station No. 7 is located approximately two miles to the northwest and the current response time meets the required level of service. Therefore, impacts to fire protection would be less than significant.

The FMFCD, in a memo dated May 1, 2017, stated that the FMFCD system can accommodate the proposed request subject to construction of Master Plan Facilities, dedication of easements for required storm drain facilities, and excavation within the planned Basin "AX" and "AY" in order to provide storage based on proposed development. In addition, the proposed project shall comply with the FMFCD Flood Plain Policy and the City of Fresno Floodplain Management Ordinance. When development permits are issued, the subject site will be required to pay drainage fees pursuant to the Drainage Fee Ordinance.

The departments and agencies have all submitted conditions that will be required as Conditions of Approval for the subject site. These conditions of approval will ensure that the proposed project will have a less than significant impact to urban services. All conditions of approval must be complied with prior to occupancy.

The demand for parks generated by the project is within planned services levels of the City of Fresno Parks and Community Services Department and the applicant will pay any required impact fees at the time building permits are obtained.

Any future urban residential development occurring as a result of the proposed project may have an effect on the District's student housing capacity. The District, through local funding, is in a position to mitigate its shortage of classrooms to accommodate planned population growth for the foreseeable future. However, the District recognizes that the legislature, as a matter of law, has deemed under Government Code Section 65996, that all school facilities impacts are mitigated as a consequence of SB 50 Level 1, 2 and 3 developer fee legislative provisions. The developer will pay appropriate impact fees at time of building permits.

Therefore, although an increase in run-off is expected as a result of the project, with project specific mitigation and MEIR mitigation, there shall be less than significant impacts to public service systems will result from the project.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as applicable, the public services related mitigation measures as identified in the attached MEIR No. SCH

2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the public services quality related measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV. RECREATION --				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			X	
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?			X	

The proposed project will not result in the physical deterioration of existing parks or recreational facilities; and, will not require expansion of existing recreational facilities or affect recreational services beyond what was analyzed in the MEIR SCH No. 2012111015 for the Fresno General Plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:				

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths and mass transit?		X		
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?		X		
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?				X
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				X
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				X

The subject site is generally located on the northwest corner of the intersection of East Central and South Cedar Avenues. The adjacent segments of East Central and South Cedar Avenues are planned as major arterial streets and the adjacent segment of South Orange Avenue is planned as a major collector street by the Fresno General Plan.

A traffic impact study (TIS) has been prepared for the proposed project described as a site improvement for a proposed industrial park consisting of approximately 2,145,420 square feet of industrial floor area with nine points of ingress and egress: three from South Cedar Avenue, three from South Orange Avenue, and three from East Central Avenue.

The TIS prepared evaluated the impacts of the project by analyzing the following 10 intersections in the vicinity of the project during the AM and PM peak hours:

- 1: South Orange Avenue/East Central Avenue
- 2: South Cedar Avenue/East Central Avenue
- 3: South Cherry Avenue/East Central Avenue
- 4: South Chestnut Avenue/East Central Avenue
- 5: South Orange Avenue/East North Avenue
- 6: East North Avenue/Parkway Drive (SR 99 Southbound Off-Ramp)
- 7: East North Avenue/SR 99 Northbound On-Ramp
- 8: South Cedar Avenue/SR 99 Northbound Off-Ramp
- 9: South Cedar Avenue/SR 99 Southbound On-Ramp
- 10: South East Avenue/East Central Avenue

Applying the factors outlined in the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition, the proposed project is projected to generate 839 vehicle trips during the morning peak hour travel period (7 to 9 a.m.), 873 vehicle trips during the evening peak hour travel period (4 to 6 p.m.) and 6,260 average daily trips (ADT).

The TIS found that all study intersections are currently operating within a satisfactory level of service under existing conditions. However, with the introduction of the proposed project the TIS determined the intersection of the State Route (SR) 99

southbound off-ramp/Parkway at North Avenue is projected to operate below an acceptable level. Furthermore, with the addition of approved/pending projects within the vicinity of the proposed project, the intersection at the SR 99 southbound off-ramp/Parkway at North Avenue will continue to operate at an unacceptable level.

The Public Works Department, Traffic and Engineering Services Division reviewed the proposed project and potential traffic related impacts for the proposed industrial park identified within the project TIS. With full build-out of the 2035 General Plan improvements, all intersections are projected to operate within an acceptable level. However, the intersection at the SR 99 southbound off-ramp/Parkway at North Avenue has been identified as a project impact. While ultimate plans at this location include the reconstruction of the interchange, the proposed project shall be required to coordinate with Caltrans to determine the appropriate interim improvements to be located at this location as stipulated within the memoranda from the Traffic Engineering Manager dated September 12, 2017. Additionally, the proposed project will be required to comply with the standard requirements, policies and procedures of the Public Works Department, which generally include: (1) Adjacent public street improvements, and right-of-way dedications (including, but not limited to, construction and/or modification of curbs, sidewalks, ramps and driveway approaches along adjacent public street frontages and on interior local streets); (2) Installation of underground street lighting systems; and, (3) Payment of applicable impact fees (including, but not limited to, the Traffic Signal Mitigation Impact (TSMI) Fee, Fresno Major Street Impact (FMSI) Fee, and the Regional Transportation Mitigation Fee (RTMF) Fee.

Therefore, the Public Works Department/Traffic Engineering Division has determined that, based upon the proposed traffic yield from and the expected traffic generation of the proposed project for the subject property, the proposed project will not adversely impact the existing and projected circulation system based upon implementation of the mitigation measures included within the MEIR and based upon compliance with the project specific mitigation measures referenced herein below.

The area street plans are the product of careful planning that projects traffic capacity needs based on the densities and intensities of planned land uses anticipated at build-out of the planned area. These streets will provide adequate access to, and recognize the traffic generating characteristics of, individual properties and, at the same time, afford the community an adequate and efficient circulation system; no substantial impacts to traffic or transportation is expected to result from the project.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as appropriate, the Transportation/Traffic related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Master Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the Transportation/Traffic related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in PRC section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is?				X
i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in PRC section 5020.1(k), or,				X
ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of PRC section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of PRC section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				X

The proposed project would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource defined in PRC section 21074. The proposed project site is not listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in PRC section 5020.1(k) or a significant resource to a California Native American tribe. The proposed site is vacant and is surrounded by other similar uses.

In conclusion, with MEIR mitigation measures incorporated, the project will not result in any tribal cultural resource impacts beyond those analyzed in MEIR SCH No. 2012111015.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				X
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		X		
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			X	

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				X
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				X
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				X

The Department of Public Utilities has determined that adequate sanitary sewer and water services will be available to serve the proposed project subject to the payment of any applicable connection charges and/or fees; compliance with the Department of Public Utilities standards, specifications, and policies; and, compliance with the conditions listed in their memorandums dated April 18, 2017 and May 2, 2017.

Sanitary sewer and water service delivery is also subject to payment of applicable connection charges and/or fees; compliance with the Department of Public Utilities standards, specifications, and policies; the rules and regulations of the California Public Utilities Commission and California Health Services; and, implementation of the City-wide program for the completion of incremental expansions to facilities for planned water supply, treatment, and storage.

The project site will be serviced by a commercial solid waste franchise and will have water and sewer facilities available subject to the conditions stipulated for the proposed project.

Details related to potential impacts from the proposed project related to water supply, wastewater treatment capacity and availability, and availability of storm water drainage facilities are discussed more specifically under considerations made to Hydrology and Water Quality and Public Services sections of this initial study contained herein above.

Based upon staff's analysis these project related impacts it may be determined that the proposed project will have a less than significant impact on utilities and service systems within the City of Fresno.

Mitigation Measures

1. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate, as appropriate, the Utilities and Service Systems mitigation measures as identified in the attached Master Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2012111015 Fresno General Plan Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.
2. The proposed project shall implement and incorporate the Utilities and Service Systems related mitigation measures as identified in the attached Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist dated September 18, 2017.

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE --				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				X

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				X
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				X

The proposed project is considered to be proposed at a size and scope which is neither a direct or indirect detriment to the quality of the environment through reductions in habitat, populations, or examples of local history (through either individual or cumulative impacts).

The proposed project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment or reduce the habitat of wildlife species and will not threaten plant communities or endanger any floral or faunal species. Furthermore the project has no potential to eliminate important examples of major periods in history.

Therefore, as noted in preceding sections of this Initial Study, there is no evidence in the record to indicate that incremental environmental impacts facilitated by this project would be cumulatively significant. There is also no evidence in the record that the proposed project would have any adverse impacts directly, or indirectly, on human beings.

In summary, given the mitigation measures required of the proposed project and the analysis detailed in the preceding Initial Study, the proposed project:

- Does not have environmental impacts which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly nor indirectly.
- Does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish/wildlife or native plant species (or cause their population

to drop below self-sustaining levels), does not threaten to eliminate a native plant or animal community, and does not threaten or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal.

- Does not eliminate important examples of elements of California history or prehistory.
- Does not have impacts which would be cumulatively considerable even though individually limited.

Therefore, there are no mandatory findings of significance and preparation of an Environmental Impact Report is not warranted for this project.

MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist

MEIR Mitigation Measure Monitoring Checklist for EA No. D-16-109

September 18, 2017

INCORPORATING MEASURES FROM THE MASTER ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (MEIR) CERTIFIED FOR THE CITY OF FRESNO GENERAL PLAN UPDATE (SCH No. 2012111015)

This mitigation measure monitoring and reporting checklist was prepared pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15097 and Section 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code (PRC). It was certified as part of the Fresno City Council's approval of the MEIR for the Fresno General Plan update (Fresno City Council Resolution 2014-225, adopted December 18, 2014).

Letter designations to the right of each MEIR mitigation measure listed in this Exhibit note how the mitigation measure relates to the environmental assessment of the above-listed project, according to the key found at right and at the bottoms of the following pages:

- A** - Incorporated into Project
- B** - Mitigated
- C** - Mitigation in Progress
- D** - Responsible Agency Contacted
- E** - Part of City-wide Program
- F** - Not Applicable

The timing of implementing each mitigation measure is identified in in the checklist, as well as identifies the entity responsible for verifying that the mitigation measures applied to a project are performed. Project applicants are responsible for providing evidence that mitigation measures are implemented. As lead agency, the City of Fresno is responsible for verifying that mitigation is performed/completed.

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F

Section 5.1 - Aesthetics:

MM AES-1. Lighting systems for street and parking areas shall include shields to direct light to the roadway surfaces and parking areas. Vertical shields on the light fixtures shall also be used to direct light away from adjacent light sensitive land uses such as residences. Verification comments: Review of specific lighting systems and locations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any	Prior to issuance of building permits	Public Works Department (PW) and Development & Resource Management Dept. (DARM)	X					

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
portion of the subject property.								

Aesthetics (continued):

MM AES-2: Lighting systems for public facilities such as active play areas shall provide adequate illumination for the activity; however, low intensity light fixtures and shields shall be used to minimize spillover light onto adjacent properties. Verification comments: Review of specific lighting systems and locations for any future proposed public facilities to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	Prior to issuance of building permits	DARM.	X					
MM AES-3: Lighting systems for non-residential uses, not including public facilities, shall provide shields on the light fixtures and orient the lighting system away from adjacent properties. Low intensity light fixtures shall also be used if excessive spillover light onto adjacent properties will occur. Verification comments: Review of specific lighting systems and locations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	Prior to issuance of building permits	DARM	X					
MM AES-4: Lighting systems for freestanding signs shall not	Prior to issuance	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
exceed 100 foot Lamberts (FT-L) when adjacent to streets which have an average light intensity of less than 2.0 horizontal footcandles and shall not exceed 500 FT-L when adjacent to streets which have an average light intensity of 2.0 horizontal footcandles or greater Verification comments: Review of specific lighting systems and locations for future proposed advertising structures and/or signs to occur at the time of submittal of sign review application materials prior to issuance of permits for any outdoor advertising on any portion of the subject property.	of building permits							

Aesthetics (continued):

MM AES-5: Materials used on building facades shall be non-reflective. Verification comments: Review of specific building elevations and locations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					
--	---------------------------------------	------	---	--	--	--	--	--

Section 5.3 - Air Quality:

MM AIR-1: Projects that include five or more heavy-duty truck deliveries per day with sensitive receptors located within 300	Analysis to be completed prior	DARM	X					
--	--------------------------------	------	---	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
feet of the truck loading area shall provide a screening analysis to determine if the project has the potential to exceed criteria pollutant concentration based standards and thresholds for NO₂ and PM_{2.5}. If projects exceed screening criteria, refined dispersion modeling and health risk assessment shall be accomplished and if needed, mitigation measures to reduce impacts shall be included in the project to reduce the impacts to the extent feasible. Mitigation measures include but are not limited to: • Locate loading docks and truck access routes as far from sensitive receptors as reasonably possible considering site design limitations to comply with other City design standards. • Post signs requiring drivers to limit idling to 5 minutes or less. Verification comments: Review of specific business operations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	to-development project approval; posting of signs to be completed prior to use of truck unloading/loading areas							

Air Quality *(continued)*:

MM AIR-2: Projects that result in an increased cancer risk of 10 in a million or exceed criteria pollutant ambient air quality standards shall implement site-specific measures that reduce toxic air contaminant (TAC) exposure to reduce excess cancer risk to less than 10 in a million. Possible control measures	Control measures to be incorporated into project design prior to	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
include but are not limited to: • Locate loading docks and truck access routes as far from sensitive receptors as reasonably possible considering site design limitations to comply with other City design standards. • Post signs requiring drivers to limit idling to 5 minutes or less • Construct block walls to reduce the flow of emissions toward sensitive receptors • Install a vegetative barrier downwind from the TAC source that can absorb a portion of the diesel PM emissions • For projects proposing to locate a new building containing sensitive receptors near existing sources of TAC emissions, install HEPA filters in HVAC systems to reduce TAC emission levels exceeding risk thresholds. • Install heating and cooling services at truck stops to eliminate the need for idling during overnight stops to run onboard systems. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	development project approval							

Air Quality *(continued)*:

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
MM AIR-2 (continued from previous page): - For large distribution centers where the owner controls the vehicle fleet, provide facilities to support alternative fueled trucks powered by fuels such as natural gas or bio-diesel - Utilize electric powered material handling equipment where feasible for the weight and volume of material to be moved. Verification comments: Review of specific business operations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
MM AIR-3: Require developers proposing projects on ARB's list of projects in its Air Quality and Land Use Handbook (Handbook) warranting special consideration to prepare a cumulative health risk assessment when sensitive receptors are located within the distance screening criteria of the facility as listed in the ARB Handbook or newer regulatory criteria that may be adopted by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD).. Verification comments: Review of specific business operations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Air Quality *(continued)*:

MM AIR-4: Require developers of projects containing sensitive receptors to provide a cumulative health risk assessment at project locations exceeding ARB Land Use Handbook distance screening criteria or newer regulatory criteria that may be adopted by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). Verification comments: Review of specific business operations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property	Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					
MM AIR-5: Require developers of projects with the potential to generate significant odor impacts as determined through review of SJVAPCD odor complaint history for similar facilities and consultation with the SJVAPCD to prepare an odor impact assessment and to implement odor control measures recommended by the SJVAPCD or the City to the extent needed to reduce the impact to less than significant. Verification comments: Review of specific business operations to occur with special permit application/entitlement review prior to development on any portion of the subject property.	Prior to development project approval	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Biological Resources: MM BIO-1: Construction of a proposed project should avoid, where possible, vegetation communities that provide suitable habitat for a special-status species known to occur within the Planning Area. If construction within potentially suitable habitat must occur, the presence/absence of any special-status plant or wildlife species must be determined prior to construction, to determine if the habitat supports any special-status species. If a special-status species are determined to occupy any portion of a project site, avoidance and minimization measures shall be incorporated into the construction phase of a project to avoid direct or incidental take of a listed species to the greatest extent feasible. Verification comments:								
MM BIO-2: Direct or incidental take of any state or federally listed species should be avoided to the greatest extent feasible. If construction of a proposed project will result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species, consultation with the resources agencies and/or additional permitting may be required. Agency consultation through the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) 2081 and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Section 7 or Section 10 permitting processes must take place prior to any action that <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development project approval and during the construction phase of the project	DARM				X		X
MM BIO-2: Direct or incidental take of any state or federally listed species should be avoided to the greatest extent feasible. If construction of a proposed project will result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species, consultation with the resources agencies and/or additional permitting may be required. Agency consultation through the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) 2081 and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Section 7 or Section 10 permitting processes must take place prior to any action that <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development project approval	DARM				X		X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

MM BIO-2 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> may result in the direct or incidental take of a listed species. Specific mitigation measures for direct or incidental impacts to a listed species will be determined on a case-by-case basis through agency consultation. Verification comments:	<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
MM BIO-3: Development within the Planning Area should avoid, where possible, special-status natural communities and vegetation communities that provide suitable habitat for special-status species. If a proposed project will result in the loss of a special-status natural community or suitable habitat for special-status species, compensatory habitat-based mitigation is required under CEQA and the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Mitigation will consist of preserving on-site habitat, restoring similar habitat or purchasing off-site credits from an approved mitigation bank. Compensatory mitigation will be determined through consultation with the City and/or resource agencies. An appropriate mitigation strategy and ratio will be agreed upon by the developer and lead agency to reduce project impacts to special-status natural communities to a less than significant <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development project approval	DARM						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

MM BIO-3 <i>(continued from previous page)</i>: level. Agreed-upon mitigation ratios will depend on the quality of the habitat and presence/absence of a special-status species. The specific mitigation for project level impacts will be determined on a case-by-case basis. Verification comments:	<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
MM BIO-4: Proposed projects within the Planning Area should avoid, if possible, construction within the general nesting season of February through August for avian species protected under Fish and Game Code 3500 and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), if it is determined that suitable nesting habitat occurs on a project site. If construction cannot avoid the nesting season, a pre-construction clearance survey must be conducted to determine if any nesting birds or nesting activity is observed on or within 500-feet of a project site. If an active nest is observed during the survey, a biological monitor must be on site to ensure that no proposed project activities would impact the active nest. A suitable buffer will be established around the active nest until the nestlings have fledged and the nest is no longer active. Project activities <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development project approval and during construction activities	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

BIO-4 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> : may continue in the vicinity of the nest only at the discretion of the biological monitor. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
MM BIO-5: If a proposed project will result in the removal or impact to any riparian habitat and/or a special-status natural community with potential to occur in the Planning Area, compensatory habitat-based mitigation shall be required to reduce project impacts. Compensatory mitigation must involve the preservation or restoration or the purchase of off-site mitigation credits for impacts to riparian habitat and/or a special-status natural community. Mitigation must be conducted in-kind or within an approved mitigation bank in the region. The specific mitigation ratio for habitat-based mitigation will be determined through consultation with the appropriate agency (<i>i.e.</i> , CDFW and/or USFWS) on a case-by-case basis. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM						X

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

MM BIO-6: Project impacts that occur to riparian habitat may	Prior to	DARM						X
---	----------	------	--	--	--	--	--	---

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
also result in significant impacts to streambeds or waterways protected under Section 1600 of Fish and Wildlife Code and Section 404 of the CWA. CDFW and/or consultation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), determination of mitigation strategy, and regulatory permitting to reduce impacts, shall be implemented as required for projects that remove riparian habitat and/or alter a streambed or waterway. Verification comments:	development project approval							
MM BIO-7: Project-related impacts to riparian habitat or a special-status natural community may result in direct or incidental impacts to special-status species associated with riparian or wetland habitats. Project impacts to special-status species associated with riparian habitat shall be mitigated through agency consultation, development of a mitigation strategy, and/or issuing incidental take permits for the specific special-status species, as determined by the CDFW and/or USFWS. Verification comments:	Prior to development project approval	DARM						X

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

MM BIO-8: If a proposed project will result in the significant	Prior to	DARM						X
--	----------	------	--	--	--	--	--	---

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
alteration or fill of a federally protected wetland, a formal wetland delineation conducted according to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) accepted methodology is required for each project to determine the extent of wetlands on a project site. The delineation shall be used to determine if federal permitting and mitigation strategy are required to reduce project impacts. Acquisition of permits from USACE for the fill of wetlands and USACE approval of a wetland mitigation plan would ensure a "no net loss" of wetland habitat within the Planning Area. Appropriate wetland mitigation/creation shall be implemented in a ratio according to the size of the impacted wetland. . Verification comments:	development project approval							
MM BIO-9: In addition to regulatory agency permitting, Best Management Practices (BMPs) identified from a list provided by the USACE shall be incorporated into the design and construction phase of the project to ensure that no pollutants or siltation drain into a federally protected wetland. Project design features such as fencing, appropriate drainage and <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development project approval; but for long-term operational BMPs, prior to issuance of occupancy	DARM						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Biological Resources *(continued)*:

MM BIO-9 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> : incorporating detention basins shall assist in ensuring project-related impacts to wetland habitat are minimized to the greatest extent feasible. Verification comments:	<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
---	----------------------------	----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Section 5.5 - Cultural Resources:

MM CUL-1: If previously unknown resources are encountered before or during grading activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified historical resources specialist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified historical resources specialist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds in accordance with Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines and the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance. If the resources are determined to be unique historical resources as defined under Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, measures shall be identified by the monitor and <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	X					
--	---	------	---	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

MM CUL-1 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these Any historical artifacts recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study. Verification comments:	<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
MM CUL-2: Subsequent to a preliminary City review of the project grading plans, if there is evidence that a project will include excavation or construction activities within previously undisturbed soils, a field survey and literature search for prehistoric archaeological resources shall be conducted. The following procedures shall be followed. If prehistoric resources are not found during either the field survey or literature search, excavation and/or construction activities can commence. In the event that buried prehistoric <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

MM CUL-2 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> archaeological resources are discovered during excavation and/or construction activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified archaeologist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified archaeologist shall make recommendations to the City on the measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. If the resources are determined to be unique prehistoric archaeological resources as defined under Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines, mitigation measures shall be identified by the monitor and recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these resources. Any prehistoric archaeological artifacts recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of <i>(continued on next page)</i>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

MM CUL-2 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i> providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study. If prehistoric resources are found during the field survey or literature review, the resources shall be inventoried using appropriate State record forms and submit the forms to the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center. The resources shall be evaluated for significance. If the resources are found to be significant, measures shall be identified by the qualified archaeologist. Similar to above, appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. In addition, appropriate mitigation for excavation and construction activities in the vicinity of the resources found during the field survey or literature review shall include an archaeological monitor. The monitoring period shall be determined by the qualified archaeologist. If additional prehistoric archaeological resources are found during excavation and/or construction activities, the procedure <i>(continued on next page)</i>	[see Page 14]	[see Page 14]					
--	---------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	--

Cultural Resources *(continued)*:

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
MM CUL-2 (further continued from previous three pages) identified above for the discovery of unknown resources shall be followed. . Verification comments:	[see Page 14]	[see Page 14]						
MM CUL-3: Subsequent to a preliminary City review of the project grading plans, if there is evidence that a project will include excavation or construction activities within previously undisturbed soils, a field survey and literature search for unique paleontological/geological resources shall be conducted. The following procedures shall be followed: If unique paleontological/geological resources are not found during either the field survey or literature search, excavation and/or construction activities can commence. In the event that unique paleontological/geological resources are discovered during excavation and/or construction activities, construction shall stop in the immediate vicinity of the find and a qualified paleontologist shall be consulted to determine whether the resource requires further study. The qualified paleontologist shall make recommendations to the City on the <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

MM CUL-3 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> measures that shall be implemented to protect the discovered resources, including but not limited to, excavation of the finds and evaluation of the finds. If the resources are determined to be significant, mitigation measures shall be identified by the monitor and recommended to the Lead Agency. Appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery excavations of the finds. No further grading shall occur in the area of the discovery until the Lead Agency approves the measures to protect these resources. Any paleontological/geological resources recovered as a result of mitigation shall be provided to a City-approved institution or person who is capable of providing long-term preservation to allow future scientific study. If unique paleontological/geological resources are found during the field survey or literature review, the resources shall be inventoried and evaluated for significance. If the resources are found to be significant, mitigation measures shall be identified by the qualified paleontologist. Similar to above, appropriate mitigation measures for significant resources could include avoidance or capping, incorporation of the site in green space, parks, or open space, or data recovery <i>(continued on next page)</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
--	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

MM CUL-3 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i> excavations of the finds. In addition, appropriate mitigation for excavation and construction activities in the vicinity of the resources found during the field survey or literature review shall include a paleontological monitor. The monitoring period shall be determined by the qualified paleontologist. If additional paleontological/geological resources are found during excavation and/or construction activities, the procedure identified above for the discovery of unknown resources shall be followed. Verification comments:	[see Page 16]	[see Page 16]						
MM CUL-4: In the event that human remains are unearthed during excavation and grading activities of any future development project, all activity shall cease immediately. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) Section 7050.5, no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98(a). If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner shall within 24 hours notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC shall then contact the most <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to commencement of, and during, construction activities	DARM	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cultural Resources *(continued):*

MM CUL-4 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> likely descendent of the deceased Native American, who shall then serve as the consultant on how to proceed with the remains. Pursuant to PRC Section 5097.98(b), upon the discovery of Native American remains, the landowner shall ensure that the immediate vicinity, according to generally accepted cultural or archaeological standards or practices, where the Native American human remains are located is not damaged or disturbed by further development activity until the landowner has discussed and conferred with the most likely descendants regarding their recommendations, if applicable, taking into account the possibility of multiple human remains. The landowner shall discuss and confer with the descendants all reasonable options regarding the descendants' preferences for treatment. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Section 5.8 - Hazards and Hazardous Materials

MM HAZ-1: Re-designate the existing vacant land proposed for low density residential use, located northwest of the intersection of East Garland Avenue and North Dearing Avenue and within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 1-RPZ, to Open Space. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						X
MM HAZ-2: Limit the proposed low density residential (1 to 3 dwelling units per acre) located northwest of the airport, and located within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 3-Inner Turning Area, to 2 dwelling units per acre or less. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						X
MM HAZ-3: Re-designate the current area located within Fresno Yosemite International Airport Zone 5-Sideline northeast of the airport to Public Facilities-Airport or Open Space. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Hazards and Hazardous Materials *(continued)*:

MM HAZ-4: Re-designate the current vacant lots located at the northeast corner of Kearney Boulevard and South Thorne Avenue to Public Facilities-Airport or Open Space. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						X
MM HAZ-5: Prohibit residential uses within Safety Zone 1 northwest of the Hawes Avenue and South Thorne Avenue intersection. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals	DARM						X
MM HAZ-6: Establish an alternative Emergency Operations Center in the event the current Emergency Operations Center is under redevelopment or blocked. Verification comments:	Prior to redevelopment of the current Emergency Operations Center	Fresno Fire Department and Mayor/ City Manager's Office					X	X

A - Incorporated into Project
 B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
 D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
 F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Section 5.9 - Hydrology and Water Quality:

MM HYD-1: The City shall develop and implement water conservation measures to reduce the per capita water use to 215 gallons per capita per day. Verification comments:	Prior to water demand exceeding water supply	Department of Public Utilities (DPU)			X	X	X	
MM HYD-2: The City shall continue to be an active participant in the Kings Water Authority and the implementation of the Kings Basin IRWMP. Verification comments:	Ongoing	DPU			X	X	X	
MM HYD-5.1: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan collection systems to less than significant. Implement the existing Storm Drainage Master Plan (SDMP) for collection systems in drainage areas where the amount of imperviousness is unaffected by the change in land uses. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing stormwater drainage facilities	Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD), DARM, and PW			X	X		

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

HYD-5.1 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> - Update the SDMP in those drainage areas where the amount of imperviousness increased due to the change in land uses to determine the changes in the collection systems that would need to occur to provide adequate capacity for the stormwater runoff from the increased imperviousness. - Implement the updated SDMP to provide stormwater collection systems that have sufficient capacity to convey the peak runoff rates from the areas of increased imperviousness. Require developments that increase site imperviousness to install, operate, and maintain FMFCD approved on-site detention systems to reduce the peak runoff rates resulting from the increased imperviousness to the peak runoff rates that will not exceed the capacity of the existing stormwater collection systems. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

MM HYD-5.2: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan retention basins to less than significant: Consult the SDMP to analyze the impacts to existing and planned retention basins to determine remedial measures required to reduce the impact on retention basin capacity to less than significant. Remedial measures would include: • Increase the size of the retention basin through the purchase of more land or deepening the basin or a combination for planned retention basins. • Increase the size of the emergency relief pump capacity required to pump excess runoff volume out of the basin and into adjacent canal that convey the stormwater to a disposal facility for existing retention basins. • Require developments that increase runoff volume to install, operate, and maintain, Low Impact Development (LID) measures to reduce runoff volume to the runoff volume that will not exceed the capacity of the existing retention basins. Verification comments:	Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing retention basin facilities	FMFCD, DARM, and PW	X					
---	--	---------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

MM HYD-5.3: The City and partnering agencies shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan urban detention (stormwater quality) basins to less than significant. Consult the SDMP to determine the impacts to the urban detention basin weir overflow rates and determine remedial measures required to reduce the impact on the detention basin capacity to less than significant. Remedial measures would include: • Modify overflow weir to maintain the suspended solids removal rates adopted by the FMFCD Board of Directors. • Increase the size of the urban detention basin to increase residence time by purchasing more land. The existing detention basins are already at the adopted design depth. • Require developments that increase runoff volume to install, operate, and maintain, Low Impact Development (LID) measures to reduce peak runoff rates and runoff volume to the runoff rates and volumes that will not exceed the weir overflow rates of the existing urban detention basins. Verification comments:	Prior to exceedances of capacity of existing urban detention basin (stormwater quality) facilities	FMFCD, DARM, and PW			X	X		
---	--	---------------------	--	--	---	---	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

MM HYD-5.4: The City shall implement the following measures to reduce the impacts on the capacity of existing or planned storm drainage Master Plan pump disposal systems to less than significant. • Consult the SDMP to determine the extent and degree to which the capacity of the existing pump system will be exceeded. • Require new developments to install, operate, and maintain FMFCD design standard on-site detention facilities to reduce peak stormwater runoff rates to existing planned peak runoff rates. • Provide additional pump system capacity to maximum allowed by existing permitting to increase the capacity to match or exceed the peak runoff rates determined by the SDMP. Verification comments:	Prior to exceedance of capacity of existing pump disposal systems	FMFCD, DARM, and PW	X		X	X		
--	---	---------------------	---	--	---	---	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Hydrology and Water Quality *(continued)*:

MM HYD-5.5: The City shall work with FMFCD to develop and adopt an update to the SDMP for the Southeast Development Area that would be adequately designed to collect, convey and dispose of runoff at the rates and volumes which would be generated by the planned land uses in that area. Verification comments:	Prior to development approvals in the Southeast Development Area	FMFCD, DARM, and PW				X	X	

Section 5.13 - Public Services:

MM PS-1: As future fire facilities are planned, the fire department shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from fire facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce these impacts includes: • <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks on the fire department sites. • <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation and a “keep clear zone” during emergency responses. • <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures on the fire department sites. Verification comments:	During the planning process for future fire department facilities	DARM				X		X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Public Services (continued):								
MM PS-2: As future police facilities are planned, the Police Department shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from police facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from police department facilities includes: • <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks on the police department sites. • <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures on the Police Department sites. Verification comments:	During the planning process for future Police Department facilities	DARM						X
MM PS-3: As future public and private school facilities are planned, school districts shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur with regard to public schools, and DARM shall evaluate other school facilities. Typical impacts from school facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from school facilities includes: <i>(continued on next page)</i>	During the planning process for future school facilities	DARM, local school districts, and the Division of the State Architect				X		X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Public Services (continued):								
MM PS-3 (continued from previous page) • <i>Noise</i>: Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. • <i>Traffic</i>: Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting</i>: Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures for stadium lights. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
MM PS-4: As future parks and recreational facilities are planned, the City shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from parks and recreational facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce potential impacts from these facilities includes: • <i>Noise</i>: Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. • <i>Traffic</i>: Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting</i>: Provision of hoods and deflectors on lighting fixtures for outdoor play area/field lights. Verification comments:	During the planning process for future park and recreation facilities	DARM						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Public Services *(continued)*:

MM PS-5: As future court, library, detention, and hospital facilities are planned, the appropriate agencies and DARM, when the City has jurisdiction, shall evaluate if specific environmental effects would occur. Typical impacts from court, library, detention, and hospital facilities include noise, traffic, and lighting. Typical mitigation to reduce these potential impacts includes: • <i>Noise:</i> Barriers and setbacks placed on school sites. • <i>Traffic:</i> Traffic devices for circulation. • <i>Lighting:</i> Provision of hoods and deflectors on outdoor lighting fixtures Verification comments:	During the planning process for future detention, court, library, and hospital facilities	DARM, to the extent that agencies approving/constructing these facilities are subject to City of Fresno regulation						X
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Section 5.15 - Utilities and Service Systems

MM USS-1: The City shall develop and implement a wastewater master plan update. Verification comments:	Prior to wastewater conveyance and treatment demand exceeding capacity	DPU				X	X	
--	--	-----	--	--	--	---	---	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-2: Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity, the City shall evaluate the wastewater system and shall not approve additional development that contributes wastewater to the wastewater treatment facility that could exceed capacity until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct the following improvements: • Construct an approximately 70 MGD expansion of the Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. • Construct an approximately 0.49 MGD expansion of the North Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. Verification comments:	Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity	DPU			X	X	X	
MM USS-3: Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity, the City shall evaluate the wastewater system and shall not approve additional development that contributes wastewater to the wastewater treatment facility that could exceed capacity until additional capacity is provided. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to exceeding existing wastewater treatment capacity	DPU				X	X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-3 <i>(continued from previous page)</i>: After approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct the following improvements: • Construct an approximately 24 MGD wastewater treatment facility within the Southeast Development Area and obtain revised waste discharge requirements as the generation of wastewater is increased. • Construct an approximately 9.6 MGD expansion of the Regional Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Facility and obtain revised waste discharge permits as the generation of wastewater is increased. Verification comments:	<i>[see previous page]</i>	<i>[see previous page]</i>						
MM USS-4: Prior to construction, a Traffic Control/Traffic Management Plan to address traffic impacts during construction of water and sewer facilities shall be prepared and implemented, subject to approval by the City (and Fresno County, when work is being done in unincorporated area roadways). The plan shall identify hours of construction and for deliveries, haul routes, access and parking restrictions, pavement markings and signage; and it shall include the <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to construction of water and sewer facilities	PW for work in the City; PW and Fresno County Public Works when unincorporated area roadways are involved	X					

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-4 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> : notification plan, and coordination with emergency service providers and schools. Verification comments:	<u>[see previous page]</u>	<u>[see previous page]</u>						
MM USS-5 : Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing wastewater collection system facilities, the City shall evaluate the wastewater collection system and shall not approve additional development that would generate additional wastewater and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the following capacity improvements shall be provided. Orange Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Dakota and Jensen Avenues. Approximately 37,240 feet of new sewer main shall be installed and approximately 5,760 feet of existing sewer main shall be rehabilitated. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 27 inches to 42 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are RS03A, RL02, C01-REP, C02-REP, C03-REP, C04-REP, C05-REP, C06-REL and C07-REP. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing wastewater collection system facilities	DPU				X	X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-5 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> • Marks Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Clinton Avenue and Kearney Boulevard. Approximately 12,150 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 33 inches to 60 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CM1-REP and CM2-REP. • North Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Polk and Fruit Avenues and also between Orange and Maple Avenues. Approximately 25,700 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 48 inches to 66 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CN1-REL1 and CN3-REL1. • Ashlan Avenue Trunk Sewer: This facility shall be improved between Hughes and West Avenues and also between Fruit and Blackstone Avenues. Approximately 9,260 feet of new sewer main shall be installed. The size of the new sewer main shall range from 24 inches <i>(continued on next page)</i>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
Utilities and Service Systems <i>(continued)</i> :								
MM USS-5 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i> : to 36 inches in diameter. The associated project designations in the 2006 Wastewater Master Plan are CA1-REL and CA2-REP. Verification comments:	<i>[see Page 34]</i>	<i>[see Page 34]</i>						
MM USS-6: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing 28 pipeline segments shown in Figures 1 and 2 in MEIR Appendix J-1, the City shall evaluate the wastewater collection system and shall not approve additional development that would generate additional wastewater and exceed the capacity of one of the 28 pipeline segments until additional capacity is provided. Verification comments:	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing 28 pipeline segments shown in Figures 1 and 2 in Appendix J-1 of the MEIR	DPU				X	X	
MM USS-7: Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity, the City shall evaluate the water supply system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the following capacity improvements shall be provided. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity	DPU				X	X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

USS-7 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> • Construct an approximately 80 million gallon per day (MGD) surface water treatment facility near the intersection of Armstrong and Olive Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan Update (2014 Metro Plan Update) Phase 2 Report, dated January 2012. • Construct an approximately 30 MGD expansion of the existing northeast surface water treatment facility for a total capacity of 60 MGD, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct an approximately 20 MGD surface water treatment facility in the southwest portion of the City, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
---	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-8: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities, the City shall evaluate the water conveyance system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. The following capacity improvements shall be provided by approximately 2025. • Construct 65 new groundwater wells, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct a 2.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T2) near the intersection of Clovis and California Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. • Construct a 3.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T3) near the intersection of Temperance and Dakota Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities	DPU	X		X	X	X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-8 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> - Construct a 3.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T4) in the Downtown Planning Area, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. - Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T5) near the intersection of Ashlan and Chestnut Avenues, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. - Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (Reservoir T6) near the intersection of Ashlan Avenue and Highway 99, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. - Construct 50.3 miles of regional water transmission mains ranging in size from 24-inch to 48-inch diameter, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. - Construct 95.9 miles of 16-inch diameter transmission grid mains, in accordance with Chapter 9 and Figure 9-1 of the 2014 Metro Plan Update. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems *(continued)*:

MM USS-9: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities, the City shall evaluate the water conveyance system and shall not approve additional development that would demand additional water and exceed the capacity of a facility until additional capacity is provided. The following capacity improvements shall be provided after approximately the year 2025 and additional water conveyance facilities shall be provided prior to exceedance of capacity within the water conveyance facilities to accommodate full buildout of the General Plan Update. - Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (SEDA Reservoir 1) within the northern part of the Southeast Development Area. - Construct a 4.0 million gallon potable water reservoir (SEDA Reservoir 2) within the southern part of the Southeast Development Area. Additional water conveyance facilities shall be provided prior to exceedance of capacity within the water conveyance facilities to accommodate full buildout of the General Plan Update. Verification comments:	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing water conveyance facilities	DPU			X	X	X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Hydrology and Water Quality*

USS-10: In order to maintain Fresno Irrigation District canal operability, FMFCD shall maintain operational intermittent flows during the dry season, within defined channel capacity and downstream capture capabilities, for recharge. Verification comments:	During the dry season	Fresno Irrigation District (FID)				X	X	

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources:*

USS-11: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside of urbanized areas: (a) FMFCD shall conduct preliminary investigations on undeveloped lands outside of highly urbanized areas. These investigations shall examine wetland hydrology, vegetation and soil types. These preliminary investigations shall be the basis for making a determination on whether or not more in-depth wetland studies shall be necessary. If the proposed project site does not exhibit wetland hydrology, support a prevalence of wetland vegetation and wetland soil types then no further action is required. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to development approvals outside of highly urbanized areas	California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and USACE						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-11 <i>(continued from previous page):</i> (b) Where proposed activities could have an impact on areas verified by the USACE as jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the U.S. (urban and rural streams, seasonal wetlands, and vernal pools), FMFCD shall obtain the necessary Clean Water Act, Section 404 permits for activities where fill material shall be placed in a wetland, obstruct the flow or circulation of waters of the United States, impair or reduce the reach of such waters. (As part of FMFCD's Memorandum of Understanding, with CDFW, Section 404 and 401 permits would be obtained from the USACE and RWQCB for any activity involving filling of jurisdictional waters.) At a minimum, to meet "no net loss policy," the permits shall require replacement of wetland habitat at a 1:1 ratio. (c) Where proposed activities could have an impact on areas verified by the USACE as jurisdictional wetlands or waters of the U.S. (urban and rural streams, seasonal wetlands, and vernal pools), FMFCD shall submit and implement a wetland mitigation plan based on the wetland acreage verified by the USACE. The wetland mitigation plan shall be prepared by a qualified biologist or wetland scientist experienced in wetland creation, and shall include the following or equally effective elements: <i>(continued on next page)</i>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-11 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i> i. Specific location, size, and existing hydrology and soils within the wetland creation area. ii. Wetland mitigation techniques, seed source, planting specifications, and required buffer setbacks. In addition, the mitigation plan shall ensure adequate water supply is provided to the created wetlands in order to maintain the proper hydrologic regimes required by the different types of wetlands created. Provisions to ensure the wetland water supply is maintained in perpetuity shall be included in the plan. iii. A monitoring program for restored, enhanced, created, and preserved wetlands on the project site. A monitoring program is required to meet three objectives; 1) establish a wetland creation success criteria to be met; 2) to specify monitoring methodology; 3) to identify as far as is possible, specific remedial actions that will be required in order to achieve the success criteria; and 4) to document the degree of success achieved in establishing wetland vegetation. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	[see Page 41]	[see Page 41]						
---	---------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-11 <i>(further continued from previous three pages)</i> (d) A monitoring plan shall be developed and implemented by a qualified biologist to monitor results of any on-site wetland restoration and creation for five years. The monitoring plan shall include specific success criteria, frequency and timing of monitoring, and assessment of whether or not maintenance activities are being carried out and how these shall be adjusted if necessary. If monitoring reveals that success criteria are not being met, remedial habitat creation or restoration should be designed and implemented by a qualified biologist and subject to five years of monitoring as described above. Or (e) In lieu of developing a mitigation plan that outlines the avoidance, purchase, or creation of wetlands, FMFCD could purchase mitigation credits through a Corps approved Mitigation Bank. Verification comments:	[see Page 41]	[see Page 41]					
---	---------------	---------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-12: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools: (a) During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools, FMFCD shall conduct a preliminary rare plant assessment. The assessment will determine the likelihood on whether or not the project site could support rare plants. If it is determined that the project site would not support rare plants, then no further action is required. However, if the project site has the potential to support rare plants; then a rare plant survey shall be conducted. Rare plant surveys shall be conducted by qualified biologists in accordance with the most current CDFW/USFWS guidelines or protocols and shall be conducted at the time of year when the plants in question are identifiable. (b) Based on the results of the survey, prior to design approval, FMFCD shall coordinate with CDFW and/or implement a Section 7 consultation with USFWS, shall <i>(continued on next page)</i>	During FMFCD facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools	California Department of Fish & Wildlife (CDFW) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-12 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> determine whether the project facility would result in a significant impact to any special status plant species. Evaluation of project impacts shall consider the following: • The status of the species in question (e.g., officially listed by the State or Federal Endangered Species Acts). • The relative density and distribution of the on-site occurrence versus typical occurrences of the species in question. • The habitat quality of the on-site occurrence relative to historic, current or potential distribution of the population. (c) Prior to design approval, and in consultation with the CDFW and/or the USFWS, FMFCD shall prepare and implement a mitigation plan, in accordance with any applicable State and/or federal statutes or laws, that reduces impacts to a less than significant level. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
---	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-13: When FMFCD proposes to provide drainage service outside in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools: (a) During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools, FMFCD shall conduct a preliminary survey to determine the presence of listed vernal pool crustaceans. <i>(continued on next page)</i>	During facility design and prior to initiation of ground disturbing activities in areas that support seasonal wetlands or vernal pools	CDFW and USFWS						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-13 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> (b) If potential habitat (vernal pools, seasonally inundated areas) or fairy shrimp exist within areas proposed to be disturbed, FMFCD shall complete the first and second phase of fairy shrimp presence or absence surveys. If an absence finding is determined and accepted by the USFWS, then no further mitigation shall be required for fairy shrimp. (c) If fairy shrimp are found to be present within vernal pools or other areas of inundation to be impacted by the implementation of storm drainage facilities, FMFCD shall mitigate impacts on fairy shrimp habitat in accordance with the USFWS requirements of the Programmatic Biological Opinion. This shall include on-site or off-site creation and/or preservation of fairy shrimp habitat at ratios ranging from 3:1 to 5:1 depending on the habitat impacted and the choice of on-site or off-site mitigation. Or mitigation shall be the purchase of mitigation credit through an accredited mitigation bank. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
---	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-14: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in an area where elderberry bushes may occur: (a) During facility design and prior to initiation of construction activities, FMFCD shall conduct a project-specific survey for all potential Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (VELB) habitats (elderberry shrubs), including a stem count and an assessment of historic or current VELB habitat. (b) FMFCD shall avoid and protect all potential identified VELB habitat where feasible. (c) Where avoidance is infeasible, develop and implement a VELB mitigation plan in accordance with the most current USFWS mitigation guidelines for unavoidable take of VELB habitat pursuant to either Section 7 or Section 10(a) of the Federal Endangered Species Act. The mitigation plan shall include, but might not be limited to, relocation of elderberry shrubs, planting of elderberry shrubs, and monitoring of relocated and planted elderberry shrubs. Verification comments:	During facility design and prior to initiation of construction activities	CDFW and USFWS						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-15: Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a FMFCD drainage facility project that supports bird nesting habitat, FMFCD shall conduct a survey of trees. If nests are found during the survey, a qualified biologist shall assess the nesting activity on the project site. If active nests are located, no construction activities shall be allowed within 250 feet of the nest until the young have fledged. If construction activities are planned during the no n-breeding period (August through February), a nest survey is not necessary. Verification comments:	Prior to ground disturbing activities during nesting season (March through July) for a project that supports bird nesting habitat	CDFW and USFWS						X
MM USS-16: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in an area that supports burrowing owl nesting habitat: (a) FMFCD shall conduct a pre-construction breeding-season survey (approximately February 1 through August 31) of proposed project sites in suitable habitat (e.g., canal berms, open grasslands with suitable burrows) during the same calendar year that construction is planned to begin. If phased construction procedures are planned for the proposed project, the results of the <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to, and during, the breeding season (approximately February 1 through August 31) of the same calendar year that construction is planned to begin	CDFW and USFWS						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-16 <i>(continued from previous page)</i> above survey shall be valid only for the season when it is conducted (b) During the construction stage, FMFCD shall avoid all burrowing owl nest sites potentially disturbed by project construction during the breeding season while the nest is occupied with adults and/or young. The occupied nest site shall be monitored by a qualified biologist to determine when the nest is no longer used. Avoidance shall include the establishment of a 160-foot diameter non-disturbance buffer zone around the nest site. Disturbance of any nest sites shall only occur outside of the breeding season and when the nests are unoccupied based on monitoring by a qualified biologist. The buffer zone shall be delineated by highly visible temporary construction fencing. Based on approval by CDFW, pre-construction and pre-breeding season exclusion measures may be implemented to preclude burrowing owl occupation of the project site prior to project-related disturbance. Burrowing owls can be passively excluded from potential nest sites in the construction area, either by closing the burrows or placing one-way doors in the <i>(continued on next page)</i>	[see previous page]	[see previous page]					
---	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems - *Biological Resources* (continued):

MM USS-16 <i>(further continued from previous two pages)</i> burrows according to current CDFW protocol. Burrows shall be examined not more than 30 days before construction to ensure that no owls have recolonized the area of construction. For each burrow destroyed, a new burrow shall be created (by installing artificial burrows at a ratio of 2:1 on protected lands nearby). Verification comments:	[see Page 51]	[see Page 51]						
MM USS-17: When FMFCD proposes to construct drainage facilities in the San Joaquin River corridor: (a) FMFCD shall not conduct instream activities in the San Joaquin River between October 15 and April 15. If this is not feasible, FMFCD shall consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service and CDFW on the appropriate measures to be implemented in order to protect listed salmonids in the San Joaquin River. (b) Riparian vegetation shading the main-channel that is removed or damaged shall be replaced at a ratio and quantity sufficient to maintain the existing shading of the channel. The location of replacement trees on or within <i>(continued on next page)</i>	During instream activities conducted between October 15 and April 15	National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), CDFW, and Central Valley Flood Protection Board (CVFPB)						X

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems / Biological Resources (continued):

MM USS-17 (continued from previous page) FMFCD berms, detention ponds or river channels shall be approved by FMFCD and the Central Valley Flood Protection Board. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Utilities and Service Systems – Recreation / Trails:

MM USS-18: When FMFCD updates its District Service Plan: Prior to final design approval of all elements of the District Services Plan, FMFCD shall consult with Fresno County, City of Fresno, and City of Clovis to determine if any element would temporarily disrupt or permanently displace adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities as a result of the proposed District Services Plan. If the proposed project would not temporarily disrupt or permanently displace adopted existing or planned trails, no further mitigation is necessary. If the proposed project would have an effect on the trails and associated facilities, FMFCD shall implement the following: <i>(continued on next page)</i>	Prior to final design approval of all elements of the FMFCD District Service Plan	DARM, PW, City of Clovis, and County of Fresno				X		X
---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	---

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems – Recreation / Trails (continued):

MM USS-18 (continued from previous page) (a) If short-term disruption of adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities occur, FMFCD shall consult and coordinate with Fresno County, City of Fresno, and City of Clovis to temporarily re-route the trails and associated facilities. (b) If permanent displacement of the adopted existing or planned trails and associated recreational facilities occur, the appropriate design modifications to prevent permanent displacement shall be implemented in the final project design or FMFCD shall replace these facilities. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
---	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Utilities and Service Systems – Air Quality:

MM USS-19: When District drainage facilities are constructed, FMFCD shall: (a) Minimize idling time of construction equipment vehicles to no more than ten minutes, or require that engines be shut off when not in use. (continued on next page)	During storm water drainage facility construction activities	Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District and SJVAPCD	X			X		
--	--	--	---	--	--	---	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems – Air Quality (continued):

MM USS-19 (continued from previous page) (b) Construction shall be curtailed as much as possible when the Air Quality Index (AQI) is above 150. AQI forecasts can be found on the SJVAPCD web site. (c) Off-road trucks should be equipped with on-road engines if possible. (d) Construction equipment should have engines that meet the current off-road engine emission standard (as certified by the California Air Resources Board), or be re-powered with an engine that meets this standard. Verification comments:	[see previous page]	[see previous page]						
---	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Storm Water Drainage Facilities:

MM USS-20: Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing storm water drainage facilities, the City shall coordinate with FMFCD to evaluate the storm water drainage system and shall not approve additional development that would convey additional storm water to a facility that would experience an exceedance of capacity until the necessary additional capacity is provided. Verification comments:	Prior to exceeding capacity within the existing storm water drainage facilities	FMFCD, PW, and DARM	X					
--	---	---------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

MITIGATION MEASURE	WHEN IMPLEMENTED	COMPLIANCE VERIFIED BY	A	B	C	D	E	F
--------------------	------------------	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Water Supply Capacity:

USS-21: Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity, the City shall evaluate the water supply system and shall not approve additional development that demands additional water until additional capacity is provided. By approximately the year 2025, the City shall construct an approximately 25,000 AF/year tertiary recycled water expansion to the Fresno-Clovis Regional Wastewater Reclamation Facility in accordance with the 2013 Recycled Water Master Plan and the 2014 City of Fresno Metropolitan Water Resources Management Plan update. Implementation of Mitigation Measure USS-5 is also required prior to approximately the year 2025. Verification comments:	Prior to exceeding existing water supply capacity	DPU and DARM	X			X	X	

Utilities and Service Systems – Adequacy of Landfill Capacity:

USS-22: Prior to exceeding landfill capacity, the City shall evaluate additional landfill locations, and shall not approve additional development that could contribute solid waste to a landfill that is at capacity until additional capacity is provided. Verification comments:	Prior to exceeding landfill capacity	DPU and DARM				X	X	

A - Incorporated into Project
B - Mitigated

C - Mitigation in Process
D - Responsible Agency Contacted

E - Part of City-Wide Program
F - Not Applicable

Project Specific Mitigation Monitoring Checklist

CITY OF FRESNO
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
PROJECT SPECIFIC MITIGATION MONITORING CHECKLIST
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. D-16-109

Project/EA No. **D-16-109**

Date: September 18, 2017

	Mitigation Measure	Implemented By	When Implemented	Verified By
<i>III-Air Quality and Global Climate Change</i>	III.1 Individual projects to be developed within the limits of the proposed project will be subject to San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Rules and Regulations, including Rule 9510 (Indirect Source Review), Regulation VIII (Fugitive Dust Prohibitions), Rule 2201 (New and Modified Stationary Source Review; applying to any stationary/industrial equipment that emits regulated pollutants in amounts specified by the rule), Rule 4002 (National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants), Rule 4102 (Nuisance; applying to any operation that emits or may emit air contaminants or other materials) and Rule 4641 (Cutback, Slow Cure and Emulsified Asphalt, Paving and Maintenance Operations). III.2 Development projects that exceed San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District thresholds after accounting for Rule 9510 reductions to mitigate significant criteria pollutant impacts shall enter into Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement (VERA) contracts with the SJVAPCD to purchase emission reductions obtained through projects funded under SJVAPCD grant and incentive programs. III.3 The developer shall utilize a construction fleet during project grading activities that emits at least 20 percent (20%) fewer emissions than the statewide average for the offroad equipment used on the project. The developer shall identify the equipment that will be used prior to construction and maintain a record of the equipment used during site grading including equipment make, model, year, and daily hours of operation. Prior to commencing grading activities, the equipment list shall be submitted to the SJVAPCD for verification that the equipment meets the clean fleet criteria. After completing grading, the record of the equipment used shall be submitted to the SJVAPCD to ensure compliance.	Applicant	Prior to issuance of grading permit for any phase of development.	San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) / City of Fresno, Development and Resource Management Department

CITY OF FRESNO
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
PROJECT SPECIFIC MITIGATION MONITORING CHECKLIST
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. D-16-109

Project/EA No. **D-16-109**

Date: September 18, 2017

	Mitigation Measure	Implemented By	When Implemented	Verified By
	III.4 Businesses and new projects that are large employers (over 100 employees) will be subject to Rule 9410 (Employer Based Trip Reduction) III.5 Projects proposing to locate facilities listed in Table 5.3-5 of the MEIR will require an odor assessment to determine if the project would impact sensitive receptors. If the project would result in existing or planned land uses with sensitive receptors being located within the distances listed in Table 5.3-6 of the MEIR, then a more detailed analysis including review of District odor complaint records is warranted. The detailed analysis would involve contacting the District's Compliance Division for information regarding odor complaints for similar facilities and review of the facilities operation statement to identify processes that have the potential to generate odors. Facilities with the potential to generate significant odors shall be required to prepare an odor management plan for approval by the City and by CalRecycle for facilities involved in handling solid waste.			
<i>VIII. Hazards And Hazardous Material</i>	VIII.1 A Business Plan is required to be submitted by businesses that handle a hazardous material, or a mixture containing a hazardous material, in quantities equal or greater than: (1) 500 pounds of a solid; (2) 55 gallons of a liquid; (3) 200 cubic feet of a compressed gas at a standard temperature and pressure; (4) The federal Threshold Planning Quantity (TPQ) for Extremely Hazardous Substances; and/or, (5) Radioactive materials in quantities for which and Emergency Plan is required as per Parts 30, 40, or 70, Chapter 1 of Title 10 of Code of Federal Regulations. The Business Plan must include: (1) The type of quantity of hazardous materials; (2) site map; (3) risks of using these materials; (4) spill prevention; (5) Emergency response; (6) Employee training; and, (7) Emergency contacts.	Applicant	Prior to occupancy.	City of Fresno, Development and Resource Management Department/County of Fresno Department of Public Health

CITY OF FRESNO
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
PROJECT SPECIFIC MITIGATION MONITORING CHECKLIST
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. D-16-109

Project/EA No. **D-16-109**

Date: September 18, 2017

	Mitigation Measure	Implemented By	When Implemented	Verified By
<i>IX. Hydrology and Water Quality</i> <i>XIV. Public Services</i> <i>XVIII. Utilities And Service Systems</i>	IX.1 The cost of the Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District (FMFCD) Master Plan facilities are to be paid for through the collection of drainage fees to the FMFCD in accordance with the Notice of Requirements from the Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District dated May 1, 2017. IX.2 The District has indicated that the FMFCD system can accommodate the proposed request subject to construction of Master Plan Facilities, dedication of easements for required storm drain facilities, and excavation within the planned Basin "AX" and "AY" in order to provide storage based on proposed development. In addition, the proposed project shall comply with the FMFCD Flood Plain Policy and the City of Fresno Floodplain Management Ordinance.	Applicant	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits.	Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District & City of Fresno Development and Resource Management Department
<i>XII. Noise</i>	XII.1 Acoustical analyses shall be required for future development entitlements where it cannot be readily demonstrated that proposed operations incorporating the use of machinery or equipment, (e.g., fans, pumps, air conditioning units, engines, turbines, compressors, generators, motors or similar devices, equipment, or apparatuses) which may result in noise levels that exceed the noise level exposure criteria established by Tables 9-2 and 9-3. In such circumstances, the acoustical analyses shall be used to determine impacts can be reduced to specific targets for outdoor activity areas and interior spaces. XII.2 Any future development projects with proposed operations exceeding acceptable noise levels will be required to mitigate these impacts in conformance with Tables 9-2 and 9-3 as a condition of permit approval through appropriate means. Noise mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to: (1) Screen and control noise sources, such as parking and loading facilities, outdoor activities, and mechanical equipment; (2) Increase setbacks for noise sources	Applicant	Prior to occupancy /approval of special permits.	City of Fresno, Development and Resource Management Department

CITY OF FRESNO
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
PROJECT SPECIFIC MITIGATION MONITORING CHECKLIST
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. D-16-109

Project/EA No. **D-16-109**

Date: September 18, 2017

	Mitigation Measure	Implemented By	When Implemented	Verified By
	from adjacent noise-sensitive uses; (3) Retain fences, walls, and landscaping that serve as noise buffers; (4) Use soundproofing materials and double-glazed windows; (5) Use open space, building orientation and design, landscaping and running water to mask sounds; and/or, (6) Control hours of operation, including deliveries and trash pickup, to minimize noise impacts. Alternative acoustical designs that achieve the prescribed noise level reduction may be approved by the City, provided a qualified Acoustical Consultant submits information demonstrating that the alternative designs will achieve and maintain the specific targets for outdoor activity areas and interior spaces. XII.3 Acoustical analyses will be required prior to the approval of any future special permit (site plan or conditional use permit) applying for any new noise sensitive uses which may be proposed to be located within proximity to existing noise sources so that noise mitigation may be included in the project design.			
XVI. Transportation/Traffic	XVI.1 The proposed project shall be required to coordinate with Caltrans to determine the appropriate interim improvements to be located at the intersection at the SR 99 southbound off-ramp/Parkway at North Avenue until reconstruction of the interchange occurs.	Applicant	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits.	Caltrans