Regular Council Meeting April 28, 2022



FRESNO CITY COUNCIL



Email / eComment Report

The Following item(s) were commented on:

File ID 22-546, 1-Q

Actions pertaining to the Encampment Resolution Funding Program from the State of California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency's California Interagency Council on Homelessness

Total number of emails: 2

Item(s)

Supplemental Information:

Any agenda related public documents received and distributed to a majority of the City Council after the Agenda Packet is printed are included in Supplemental Packets. Supplemental Packets are produced as needed. The Supplemental Packet is available for public inspection in the City Clerk's Office, 2600 Fresno Street, during normal business hours (main location pursuant to the Brown Act, G.C. 54957.5(2). In addition, Supplemental Packets are available for public review at the City Council meeting in the City Council Chambers, 2600 Fresno Street. Supplemental Packets are also available on-line on the City Clerk's website.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):

The meeting room is accessible to the physically disabled, and the services of a translator can be made available. Requests for additional accommodations for the disabled, sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or translators should be made one week prior to the meeting. Please call City Clerk's Office at 621-7650. Please keep the doorways, aisles and wheelchair seating areas open and accessible. If you need assistance with seating because of a disability, please see Security.

From: Brandi Nuse-Villegas

To: <u>Clerk</u>

Subject: public comment 1-Q

Date: Thursday, April 28, 2022 8:13:44 AM

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Council,

I am writing to oppose and voice concern over item 1-Q. I understand that we need more shelter, but this plan does not seem to be with the needs of the unhoused in mind. In the report, it was mentioned that often people on H Street have drug and mental health issues. This is a red flag that the cabins in the same part of town are not a good option for them. Also, if this is so, there should be full mental health services, which are not in the plan and those dealing with these issues should not be in the same area as each other. Also, the cabins do not work for many of the unhoused. Some have experienced trauma and cannot be housed with a stranger in a shared cabin. We do need tiny homes. We need the remainder of any shelters to be outside district 3. Many of the people on H Street are from different districts but were pushed there from sweeps and other being told by law enforcement to go to the Poverello House areas.

We need more shelter, but it needs to be done wisely.

From: Mary Ann Quann

To: <u>Clerk</u>

Subject: public comment 1-Q

Date: Thursday, April 28, 2022 1:06:08 PM

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Council,

I'm am writing in response to item 1-Q.

Since the date the agreement started was April 1, is there any interest in public comment? It is a great deal of money and two important details caught my attention.

Under Exhibit A, Scope of services for Project Downtown Hope on page 1 it states that 100 individuals will be moved into 50 cabins and will be assigned navigators. However, on page 3 of 3 the budget allows for only 4.0 FTEs with a caseload of 20 clients each. What about the remaining 20 residents? Do they not get a navigator? On page 4 of 3 (under Exhibit A) meal services, the agreement says 3 hot meals daily and then states 100 meals per

day. But since there are 100 residents that would leave quite a gap! 100 meals per day provided but 300 meals per day promised.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Quann