EVALUATION OF BID PROPOSALS

FOR: SEWER REHABILITATION/REPLACEMENT IN CONGO AND H/BROADWAY DOWNTOWN ALLEYS

Bid File No. 3429

Bid Opening: 6/28/16

BIDDER'S

TOTAL NET BID AMOUNT

1. BILL NELSON GENERAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION, INC. 7600 N. INGRAM #125 FRESNO. CA. 93711 \$378,770.00

2. FLOYD JOHNSTON 2301 HERNDON CLOVIS, CA. 93611 \$713,992.25

Note: Reject all bids, change in proposal items, and apparent low requested to clerical error.

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Wednesday, July 27, 2016, 5:00 P.M.

The Engineer's Estimate for this expenditure is \$376,000. The lowest bid price is 0.73% above the Engineer's Estimate. However, that bid has been withdrawn.

<u>BACKGROUND OF PROJECT</u> (To be completed by Evaluating Department/Division. Explain need for project/equipment):

The Collection System Maintenance work group performed an inspection of the Downtown sewer systems. The goal of these inspections was to evaluate the structural and capacity handling capability of all the downtown sewer pipes. The investigation was done using Closed Circuit Television (CCTV). Through this investigation, portions of these sewer pipes were found to have structural deficiencies such as cracks and voids, some are past the design useful life and other pipes are undersized per the current City Standard design requirements.

The ages of the pipes in the project area range from 1890 to 1962. The typical design life of a sewer pipe is 50 to 75 years. The advanced age, and structural deficiencies discovered in these areas require that portions of the sewer pipes be rehabilitated and others removed and replaced.

EVALUATION OF BID PROPOSALS

FOR: SEWER REHABILITATION/REPLACEMENT IN CONGO AND H/BROADWAY DOWNTOWN ALLEYS

Bid File No. 3429

	Bid Opening: 6/28/1
PARTM	IENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:
[]	Award a contract in the amount of \$
	as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.
	Remarks:
[<u>X</u>]	Reject all bids. Reason: The day bids opened, the need to modify the bid proposal page was identified. Because these changes have major monetary impacts to the bid, a last hour addendum was issued. At bid opening it was revealed that not all the bidders were able to modify their bid in response to the addendum. Of the two bidders, one asked for relief from their bid. In doing so it would be in the City's best interest to reject all bids, update plans and specs, and re-bid the project immediately.
	Department Head Approval
	ComyEnwill
	Title
	Date
	Approve Dept. Recommendation [V] Approve Finance/Purchasing Recommendatio
	[] Disapprove [] Disapprove
	[] See Attachment
	FINANCE DEPARTMENT CITY MANAGER
	Supt 7/8/16 Brah 7/19/16
	Purchasing Manager Date City Manager or Designee Date
	Finance Director Date

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

P	R	0	G	R	Α	M	٠

RECOMMENDATION	TOTAL OR CURRENT	ANNUALIZED COST
Direct Cost		
Indirect Cost		
TOTAL COST		
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated		
Net City Cost		
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)		