

RECEIVED

JUN 15 2016

Name: 

June 3, 2016

Jennifer Clark, Director
Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, Ca 93721-3604

Subject: Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022 (Permit)

Dear Ms. Clark:

This letter is in response to the City of Fresno's notice of intent to grant approval of the subject Permit. We are writing you to appeal the construction of a 13,238 square-foot Church with educational classrooms, a social hall, and outdoor plaza (Facility) to be located on the Southwest corner of Maple and Powers Avenues.

Initially we would note that the City of Fresno (City) General Plan (GP) recognizes this area as Residential Single Family. So will the City be amending your GP if the Permit is approved?

In regards to environmental documentation, and pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), environmental impacts have to be mitigated. It is our understanding that a Category Exemption (CE) under CEQA is the path that the City is pursuing. How does the City intend to address the effects to home values, traffic, parking, noise, lighting, construction, and aesthetics under a CE? Under CEQA, *"a categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstance"*.


As a nearby homeowner, we are providing multiple reasons why we strongly disagree with any finding that there will be no impact as a result of the approval of subject Permit and ultimate construction of this Facility:

1. The plans show up to eighty (80) parking stalls with entrances on Maple Avenue as well as Powers Avenue. Given that Powers is a remote residential street with only one outlet, how will the City address the impacts to a family neighborhood with children, pets, and family activities on this quiet cul-de-sac? How does the City intend to address overflow parking on Maple and Powers Avenues?
2. The plan calls for an outdoor plaza and social hall. How does the City intend to address noise, traffic, lighting, and parking during outdoor events and activities associated with the social hall?
3. Home values will decrease given the size of the Facility and the fact that its' proposed architecture does not blend with the theme of this low-density residential community.

Ms. Clark
June 3, 2016
p. 2

4. Northbound traffic at the intersection and surrounding area of Maple and Powers is limited to one lane with no passing allowed. Any attempt to turn into the proposed Facility parking lot off Maple will only result in traffic problems that will jam up traffic for northbound Maple, likely effect southbound traffic and increase the likelihood of collisions.
5. A Facility of this size, constructed in a neighborhood designed for Residential Single Family, Medium Low Density Urban Growth, strongly limits our enjoyment of a family neighborhood environment. The community already has several churches nearby, but all are located off of major streets only, whether it be the Baptist Church at the corner of Teague and Maple, the Gospel Church off of Teague Avenue or The Well at Nees and Maple. The key point is that none of these churches impact a small, residential street and neighborhood. For reasons disclosed above, we believe that changing the zone to allow growth of this size will only harm the intimacy and contentment of a family neighborhood atmosphere.

Given these circumstances, we are respectfully requesting that the City deny the subject Permit and not allow construction of the Facility. We urge the City to keep this lot zoned for low-density, single family residences so it can be developed as such.


Blake and Sheryl Gunderson
8695 N. John Albert Avenue
Fresno, Ca. 93720

cc: Phillip Siegrist
Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, Ca 93721-3604

Lee Brand
Fresno City Council District 6
2600 Fresno Street, Room 2097
Fresno, Ca 93721-3604

Tract # 5340 Stockbridge Neighborhood

PROTEST OF CUP APPLICATION # C-16-022

Name: Jacqueline Hatcher

Address: 8691 N John Albert Ave Fresno Ca. 93720

Phone Number: 559-417-1028

City of Fresno
Development and Resource Management Department
C/O Phillip Siegrist
2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721-3604

RECEIVED

JUN - 7 2016

**DARM - DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
CITY OF FRESNO**

To Whom It May Concern:

I am PROTESTING the approval of the above CUP application for the following reasons:

I would like to join the Ruiz
petition on behalf of all
neighbors as well and in accord.

I support the reasons listed on the protest
submitted by Thomas Ruiz 8679 N. Berichon Avenue
Fresno Ca 93720.

Please send me a copy of the Notice of Intent to Grant Approval for this CUP in the event I am not on your list and/or did not receive a copy. Also, in the event this application is granted, let this document serve as my request for a Formal Appeal.

Please provide me any and all documents related to any future CUP's for my neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Signature: J. Hatcher
Resident/Property Owner

Date: 06.07.16

RECEIVED

JUN 7 2016

Name: W. J. Jones

June 3, 2016

To: Jennifer K. Clark, Director of the Development and Resource Management Department

Re. Grant approval conditional use permit application No. C-16-022, Assessor's Parcel No. 403-022-09

The purpose of this letter is to file a written appeal regarding the property located at 8669 N. Maple Ave., on the S.W. corner of Maple and Powers Ave. I live at 1836 E. Powers Ave. on the same street that the Archangel Michael and Gabriel Coptic Orthodox Church is proposed to be built.

I, along with my family, and most of the neighbors, are EXTREMELY OPPOSED to this property being used for a church - any church. I am a religious person and have nothing against this church; however, THIS is a NEIGHBORHOOD. There are YOUNG KIDS and PETS who roam freely, and people who play on this street. Children skate, skateboard, and ride bikes in this neighborhood. There are elderly people who take walks up and down this street, because it is a calm street, the houses are beautiful, and it still feels like a little piece of country. It will be a SAFETY hazard for all of us with the increase in traffic on this street.

My mother in law and her family have lived on this street for close to 40 years. We have lived here for 11. It used to be a small piece of country, very peaceful, with many large grown trees, pastures with horses and cows, sheep and goats, with and only 4 houses on the whole street. Over the years, there have been many houses that have been built by large developers at the end of the cul-de-sac, and on the northeast side of Maple and Powers. It is sad to see our "country-like" feel gradually disappear. Recently the Shehadey family has sold their land, and 10 new houses will be built on their property.

Please take into consideration that this would NOT be a good area for a church. Given more time, I would gladly send around a petition to the other neighbors to have them appeal it too. The notice you sent was postmarked May

27th, 2016, and you say that the appeals must be received to you by June 6th. THIS is NOT much time to give us to respond. Please think twice before approving this application.

And . . . as a side note, when we built our house next to my mother in law, Coleen Standing Dockstader, we had to pay to have the Fresno city sewage and water lines brought down the street from Maple Ave. We had to pay for curbs and gutter, and street lamps to be built. Our fees totaled about \$85,000, including mapping and zoning. We were supposed to get reimbursed for ANY home built that would hook up to these city sewage and water lines. Since this time, there have been A LOT of new houses built and we have NEVER received a dime of any reimbursement. My husband, Doug Standing, met with the city council members several years ago, and was promised that it would go on the top of Henry Perea's list of things to do. NOTHING has EVER been done.

This year of 2016 has been a very difficult one, as my husband has been extremely ill and unable to work. He was on disability for approximately five months. If there ever were a time that we could use this money, it would be now. If nothing is done about this, we will be forced to get a lawyer, or go to the press – Channel 47 On Your Side and have them fight the battle for us.

If you are not the person to talk to about this, but you know of who the right person is that you could talk to about this, please pass this on.

Sincerely,

 / 

Doug and Jamie Standing

Phillip Siegrist

From: Dennis Gaab <dennis.gaab@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 05, 2016 11:44 PM
To: Phillip Siegrist
Cc: Jennifer Clark
Subject: Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022 (8669 N. Maple Avenue, Fresno, California; Fresno County Assessor's Parcel No. 403-022-09)

Phillip,

My principal residence is located at 2086 E. Warwick Avenue, Fresno, California. I therefore have standing to challenge the proposed action by City of Fresno officials to grant Conditional Use Permit No. C-16-022 pertaining to property located at 8669 N. Maple Avenue, Fresno, California.

This is to express my opposition to Conditional Use Permit No. C-16-022, and to request that City staff schedule this matter for consideration by the Fresno City Planning Commission at a public hearing for such purpose. Please send me notice of any public hearing scheduled by the Fresno City Planning Commission to consider Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.

Additionally, please send me copies of the following, in PDF file format:

1. Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.
2. The staff report/analysis pertaining to Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.
3. The Initial Study Checklist, environmental assessment, and Notice of Determination pertaining to Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.
4. All documents, including any traffic impact study, referenced and/or referred to in the preparation of the environmental assessment pertaining to Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.

Please acknowledge your receipt of this appeal and request for documents by sending me a return email message prior to the June 6, 2016, 5:00 p.m., deadline for filing this appeal with your office.

I look forward to hearing from you, and thank you in advance for your assistance.

Dennis M. Gaab
2086 E. Warwick Avenue
Fresno, California 93720
Telephone: 559-213-7845
Email: dennis.gaab@gmail.com

6/3/16

Jennifer Clark, Director
Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street, Room 3043
Fresno, CA 93721

Dear Ms. Clark,

We are writing to express our concerns regarding the Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022 filed on behalf of Archangel Michael and Gabriel Coptic Orthodox Church. My husband and I live at 8710 N. Maple Ave., just north of the proposed location.

The first page of Virginia Lee Burton's children's story *The Little House* reads, "Once upon a time, there was a little house way out in the country..." This story resonates with the stretch of homes along the east side of Maple Avenue with regards to the course of land development in the area once known as the Garfield Colony. To homeowners, past and present, these one acre lots serve as a highly cherished piece of country that now resides in the middle of the city.

My husband and I grew up within 0.5 miles of our current home and have watched the area change over time. My husband used to feed the horses that once lived at our current residence; the both of us watched as the lot at 8669 N. Maple Avenue was rehabbed from a rundown, overgrown house to its preparation for a family's dream home, and finally to its current state when the family could not afford to make the improvements to Maple Avenue as prescribed by the City. We bought our home in 2013 knowing that it was likely that we would someday have to relinquish part of our front yard for city improvements. What we didn't know was that we may someday have unnecessarily increased traffic and associated noise pollution outside of the typical 0700-0830 and 1600-1800 rush hours. We ask that you consider these things:

- This lot is not directly off of a 'main' intersection, but rather tucked off of Maple Avenue in a quiet neighborhood.
- Maple essentially dead-ends at both Herndon (residential to Sierra) and Plymouth Way. The people who utilize Maple Avenue are primarily neighborhood residents and emergency service vehicles (as it is considerably less busy than Cedar and/or Chestnut).
- While most people would say that this will only impact traffic one to two days of the week, our concern is that the impact will be greater. Currently there is a turning lane that begins and ends in front of our house. I have been honked at, sped past, and almost hit head on while simply pulling into my driveway (with adequate signal).
- The west side of the street has two lanes, which drivers often use as an opportunity to speed past slower cars before the lane ends. A single lane of traffic in both directions is optimal—especially since there is a nearby elementary school.
- There are three other churches near the intersection of Maple/Teague and 4 others within about half a mile.
- Parking on Maple could be a potential issue if the parking lot cannot accommodate the entire congregation (as evidenced by The Well at Maple/Teague).

While we understand that churches are often good neighbors to have, we hope that you would consider the impact of such construction on the most immediate neighbors. Having your home back directly up to a church is a much different situation than having a church directly across the street which is why we hope you will genuinely consider all communication from us and our other neighbors. If the project does move forward despite our protests, please strongly consider a single lane of traffic in both directions, bike lanes, and prohibiting parking along Maple Avenue.

Thank you,

Kyle and Jacqueline Crouch

Phillip Siegrist

From: sadmh@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 11:38 AM
To: Phillip Siegrist
Subject: Against another church in neighborhood

Phillip Siegrist,

I am writing to express my concerns with another church being built in my neighborhood. I live on 2003 E. Vermont and have seen over the past 11 years the increase in cars and traffic in the neighborhood, especially during church functions and worship. Within a 3 block radius there are 4 churches and another 10 churches within a half mile radius of my house. It's obvious during church functions, that I see a lot of cars parked along the streets and neighborhoods adjacent to the churches and the increase traffic in the neighborhood. With my house being next door to the proposed church, I am very opposed to the development of another church in the neighborhood. 14 churches in the neighborhood is insane and adding another is outright ludicrous and reflects the incompetents in city planning.

Don Hoang
2003 E. Vermont Ave.
Fresno, CA 93720

Sent from my iPhone

To whom it may concern,

6/6/16

I, Lolita Arain, who resides at 1785 E.

Powers Ave, Fresno CA is protesting the

the project located at 8669 N. Maple Ave, 93720

APN 403-022-09, which is .15 mile and/or

800 feet away from my residence.

The reasons for the protest of the project is due to the following:

- 1- Noise and traffic congestion to the Area
- 2- Height of Project eliminates the serenity view of Mountain.
- 3- 7 churches already within 1 mile from this project, therefore there doesn't appear to be a need for another church in the area.
- 4- The design of the project is not in conformity to the neighborhood, therefore appearing to be very odd.
- 5 - Reduction in home value due to this project.

Thus for the above reasons, I believe that this project will reduce my home value and is opposing the project in its entirety!

Sincerely,



Lolita Arain

Homeowner at 1785 E. Powers Ave, Fresno, CA 93720

RECEIVED

JUN 06 2016

DARM - DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
CITY OF FRESNO

June 6, 2016

Jennifer K. Clark
Director, Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street, Room 3043
Fresno, CA 93721

Re: Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022, APN 403-022-09

Jennifer K. Clark,

Please let this letter serve as appeal of the potential approval of the Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.

As the owners of adjacent and nearby properties, we feel that this "business" structure is not conducive to the surrounding neighborhood. The increase in traffic and public activity will lessen the existing tranquility and create a hazard to pedestrians walking and children playing.


Thank you for considering our appeal.

Sincerely,

TIMOTHY V. HENRY

Name

8633 N. Goddard Drive, Fresno CA 93720


Signature

ROXANN S. HENRY

Name

8633 N. Goddard Drive, Fresno CA 93720


Signature

RECEIVED

JUN 6 2016

Name: Paty Janss 4:07 pm

June 6, 2016

Jennifer K. Clark
Director, Development and Resource Management Department
2600 Fresno Street, Room 3043
Fresno, CA 93721

Re: Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022, APN 403-022-09

Jennifer K. Clark,

Please let this letter serve as appeal of the potential approval of the Conditional Use Permit Application No. C-16-022.

As the owner of the adjacent property, APN 403-022-08, we feel that this "business" structure is not conducive to the surrounding neighbor hood. The increase in traffic and public activity will lessen the existing tranquility and create a hazard to pedestrians walking and children playing.

Thank you for considering our appeal.

Sincerely,



Corbyn Raven
(559)647-6569

Local Residents
Maple and Powers
C/O Thomas Ruiz
8679 N. Meridian Avenue
Fresno, CA 93720

City of Fresno
Planning Division
Attn: Phillip Siegrist

Re: Protest of CUP C-16-022

RECEIVED

JUN - 6 2016

**DARM - DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
CITY OF FRESNO**

Mr. Siegrist,

The residents and/or homeowners listed below, by name, address, telephone number, and signature, support and submit this **"PROTEST"** for the above listed Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for the construction of a church. We **PROTEST** the CUP based on all the following factors and in doing so also request a Formal Appeal of this CUP and project in the event our PROTEST is not granted and their CUP is approved.

Factors:

1. **No regard for the current residents/property owners.**
 - a. The new owners have converged on our neighborhood and have not interacted with any current residents to discuss their intent. They simply took action to seek their own benefit without consideration of the welfare of the neighborhood or its residents.
 - b. Their actions demonstrate s their independence and non-conformity and establishes that they have no regard for thy neighbor. This type of conduct is inconsistent with the type of organization they supposedly represent. For this action alone this CUP should be denied as this demonstrates their disregard for the neighborhood and residents.
2. **The owners of the property have not been forthright about following the law from the moment they were given the land.**

This is supported by the following:

- a. The land is currently zoned as multi-residential. Following their immediate possession of the land, sometime late last year, they placed an unauthorized billboard and structure without a permit. Code enforcement was contacted, on 6/1/16, and it was verified that the owners have no permit to display any signage or place any structure on the site, yet in violation of the city's code enforcement have done so, illegally. The structures have been there for months now. As noted in item #1 above, they have not acted in a manner supporting the type of organization they purport to be, thus their disregard for the law has

already impacted the neighbors and residents. Thus, in support of our PROTEST, we are demanding the CUP be denied.

- b. The residents who have formulated this petition are all law abiding and have followed all City ordinances and codes to see that their property is in compliance. We do not want individuals or organizations in our neighborhood that are not law abiding and/or that will do what they want and trespass on the rights of their neighbors and repeatedly defy the law of order and compliance established by the City of Fresno.
- c. Upon receipt and/or transfer of the land the owners held a gathering of numerous individuals at the property site. There was no permit for the assembly and cars were parked on the side of the road, impeded traffic on Maple and Powers avenue as well, leading to delays for residents who lived off of Powers and for residents or travelers on Maple avenue. Individuals were walking into the street to access their vehicles and posed a hazard to residents and travelers of those streets on the day of their gathering. Again, since this was an organizational based gathering, a permit or other authorization should have been secured, but was not. These actions support that the organization has not conformed to the laws for order and conformity and by themselves be the basis by which this CUP is denied.

3. Location, Location, Location.

- a. There are numerous churches and religious organizations along Teague Avenue and there is a great deal of land available for construction of churches there (Northeast corner of Maple and Teague for instance). This organization has not presented to any of the residents in our neighborhood and quite possibly to the Planning Commission that they have exercised due diligence to attain property on Teague Avenue in order to construct their structure there. In the event the planning commission has received any due diligence report that this organization has completed respecting the securing of property on Teague Avenue and or any other location designated for a religious organization for their project, we are requesting a copy of this due diligence report for our inspection. In the event this organization has not, then it once again establishes their disregard for seeking the best interest of the neighborhood and its residents and it further supports our PROTEST of the approval of this CUP.

4. Property Values

- a. The construction of the new project will, due to the planned design of their particular structure, will significantly impact the value of all surrounding property. Upon discussion of the design with Mr. Siegrist, it was revealed that the CUP is for a structure with a domed type design. A dome typed design is not consistent with a traditional religious structure in our vicinity and will completely be out of conformity with the neighborhood design. Again, the design presented by the organization establishes the organization's complete disregard for the residents and neighborhood and conformity. Again, this disregard for the residents and the best interest of the neighborhood will result in a non-traditional and non-conforming structure that will not be compliant with the interests of the residents and neighborhood and will significantly impact the value of our property. The impact on

property value will affect the City as well as we will request a reduction in our property tax due to the reduction in value in the event this CUP is approved. For the sake of our property value, piece of mind, the aesthetic conformity, and values our residents and neighborhood currently possesses we request this CUP be denied.

- b. The current zoning for the land is multi-residential and it is the request of all parties in support of this PROTEST that the current zoning remain intact and unchanged as the current zoning best protects our property values should additional and legal development within these rules be carried out.

5. Environmental Impact & Health & Safety

- a. The size of the structure and the parking lot design will result in numerous chemicals and construction materials being utilized all of which will produce tons of chemicals that will be dispelled into the air and impact the local residents. I, Thomas Ruiz, have a son who is missing a gene that removes all the toxins from his body. He is very sensitive to the building and construction pollution and has recently recovered greatly, via a strict regimen of vitamins and injections, from all the past construction that was done in the past couple of years at the end of Powers Avenue. My fear is this MAJOR project will seriously affect his health, health which cannot be replaced. The extent and amount of chemicals that will be used as a result of the construction of this behemoth structure will have an impact on the health of all in our neighborhood.
- b. The construction of this behemoth structure will also impact and will reduce the air quality of our city and our neighborhood. Further, the addition of a parking lot will increase the heat in our area in the summertime and impact our comfort and will impose additional cost on the residents in the immediate vicinity via additional cooling costs, impact on plants and vegetation, etc.
- c. The size of the project will invite numerous workers, vehicles, traffic, and as a result noise. While there are ordinances for the start and completion time for work, the City does not oversee the enforcement of this on a project by project basis. It becomes the obligation of the current resident and/or property owner to contact the City to initiate a complaint and/or for enforcement. This will add unwelcome duties for overseeing enforcement by the neighbors and not the City.
- d. The construction will result in numerous materials and equipment being left unattended. The location of the site will be conducive for thieves to stop by at night and trespass on the property. Further, this will invite the trespass of these same thieves to the current residents and their property and vehicles. The current residents have initiated contact with Police in the past and have been told that they cannot drive by our area on a regular basis, thus this project will invite more crime due to the presence of materials and equipment. Further, those employed by the various contractors have, in the past, driven through our neighborhood to "observe" the property and possessions of the residents. This has been noted and observed by local residents and neighbors respecting construction that has taken

place at the end of Powers Avenue, yet that was on a small scale, i.e. a one home project. Again, this is a behemoth project.

- e. The members and their guests that belong to this organization will have access to our neighborhoods and this will create increased risk for those who are elderly, frail, and our young ones as not all who attend religious organizations are virtuous and they will wander into the neighborhood and, should they lack ethics, will place our safety and our possessions in jeopardy due to their ability to wander, observe, trespass, harm, and/or not conform to the law.

6. Traffic

- a. The increase in traffic will cause delays for the residents and create hazards for traffic due to the size of the structure and the time of their events. This may lead to additional safety concerns for foot traffic, bicyclists, and for drivers as well as the delays due to the additional cars heading to scheduled events for this organization will cause delays and possibly lead to accidents due to the increase of vehicles heading to their events. Currently it takes me, Thomas Ruiz, approximately 35-45 minutes to reach my downtown office from my residence. The additional traffic during construction and/or events will increase mine and other's travel time, time which we will have to expend at our own cost and not that of the organization. Time is the most priceless asset we own and it is not in the interest of the residents to lose time from their lives for an organization that has so far disregarded the interest of the residents and neighborhood and the order of the law. This further supports our PROTEST for the CUP to be denied.
- b. Accidents that may arise and/or injury sustained as a result of the increase in traffic will be due to the granting of this CUP and may expose the city to additional liability. This CUP is inconsistent with the current zoning albeit there is land available just around the corner (Northeast corner of Maple and Teague) that is zoned and currently has traditional religious structures, yet the organization presenting this CUP has not acted in good faith to seek these other parcels to build their behemoth structure, thus for the safety of the neighbors and residents due to the traffic impacts as well as maintaining the current zoning the CUP should be denied.

7. Privacy

- a. The current plans for this organization provide for a large domed tower, thus this may provide the members of this organization visual access to neighbors and their activities, which will impact the lifestyles and activities of the residents due to the potential for the organization's members to "peek" in on us (immediate neighbors) from their dome tower and or any higher elevation structures they may currently have in their building plans.

8. Amendments to Building Plan

- a. We do not want this CUP approved thus there are no changes to the current plans that will encourage our acceptance of this facility to be built in our neighborhood.
- b. Again, the organization has not adhered to the law in various areas, thus we do not want this type of organization, one that does not conform in principal, ethics, and structurally, in our neighborhood.
- c. Build the behemoth structure on Teague just east of Maple and remove the need and additional cost for the CUP.

ADDITIONAL WRITE-IN FACTORS:

Vermont is a 2 lane road thus traffic in the morning restricts (presently) property owners and/or residents from entering Maple Avenue thus with the addition of this organization/church it would further restrict access/exiting Vermont Avenue onto Maple Avenue

The following Residents/Property Owners as supported by their signatures below support all aspects of this PROTEST as noted above and further request a Formal Appeal in the event this CUP is granted. Further, again as attested to by their signatures below, pledge/grant Mr. Thomas Ruiz to stand in our place and represent each and every individual Resident/Property Owner listed below.

Further, in the event any Resident/Property Owner listed below has not been sent a Notice of Intent to Grant Approval for CUP Application C-16-022 and/or notice of Formal Hearing date, again, by their signature below, it is requested that the City of Fresno provide them with notification.

All Resident/owners live in Fresno, CA with zip code 93720

1. Resident ___ Property Owner ___ Name: Blaked Sheryl Gunderson
Address: 8695 N. John Albert Telephone Number: 559 765 4014
Signature: Bb Gunderson Date: 6-5-16

2. Resident ___ Property Owner X Name: Josh Bentley
Address: 1916 E. Warwick Ave. Telephone Number: 559-930-9105
Signature: Josh Bentley Date: 6-5-16

3. Resident ___ Property Owner X Name: Beverly J. Yost
Address: 1887 E. Warwick Ave Telephone Number: 559-940-7211
Signature: Beverly J. Yost Date: 6-5-16 Warwick Avenue

4. Resident ___ Property Owner X Name: STEVE BAINS
Address: 8677 N. John Albert Ave Telephone Number: 577-0205
Signature: [Signature] Date: 6/5/16

5. Resident ___ Property Owner ___ Name: Colleen Standing
Address: 1798 E. Powers Telephone Number: 559-299-3486
Signature: Colleen Standing Date: 6-5-16
Powers Avenue

6. Resident ☒ Property Owner ☐

Name: EMAD ARAIM

Address: 1785 E. POWERS AVE
FRESNO CA 93720

Telephone Number: 559 360 9900

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6-5-16

7. Resident ☒ Property Owner ☒

Name: RYAN BERG

Address: 8624 N Giddard Dr

Telephone Number: 310 999-9876

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6/5/16

8. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒

Name: _____

Address: 8657 N. Giddard DR

Telephone Number: _____

Signature: [Signature] Arthur Markarian

Date: 6/5/16

9. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒

Name: Kyle Crouch

Address: 8710 N. Maple

Telephone Number: 907-5328

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6-5-16

10. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒

Name: Jacqui Crouch

Address: 2144 E. Warwick

Telephone Number: 559 298 9191

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6/5/16 2144 E. Warwick

11. Resident ☒ Property Owner ☐

Name: Dawn Hanks

Address: 2003 E. Vermont Ave

Telephone Number: (559) 765-5165

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6/5/16

12. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒

Name: Wayne Perkins WAYNE PERKINS

Address: 2017 E. Vermont Ave

Telephone Number: 709-5405

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6/5/16

2017 E. Vermont Avenue

13. Resident ☒ Property Owner ☐ Name: Judy Waltherman
Address: 8634 N. Backer Telephone Number: 559-299-8008
Signature: Judy Waltherman Date: 6-5-16

14. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒ Name: Jim Kasoff
Address: 8590 N. Backer Ave Telephone Number: 559 375 2313
Signature: Jim P. Kasoff Date: 6/5/16

15. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒ Name: Chuck Haran
Address: 8687 N. Backer Telephone Number: (559) 299-6206
Signature: CH Date: 6/5/16

16. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒ Name: STEVE T. DURBANO
Address: 8679 N. BACKER Telephone Number: 559 696-8412
Signature: St E. Durban Date: 6-5-16

17. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒ Name: Suzanne Merrick
Address: 8663 N Backer Telephone Number: 559 281-9258
Signature: Suzanne Date: 6-5-16

18. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒ Name: Suzanne Datterville
Address: 8552 N Meridian Telephone Number: 260-2200
Signature: Suzanne Datterville Date: 6-5-16

19. Resident ☐ Property Owner ☒ Name: Greg Standing
Address: 1827 E Cok Ave Telephone Number: 322-8422
Signature: Greg Date: 6/5/2016

20. Resident ☒ Property Owner ☒ Name: Greg Standing
Address: 1817 E. Cole Ave Telephone Number: 322-8422
Signature: [Signature] Date: 6/5/2016

21. Resident ☒ Property Owner ☒ Name: Greg Standing
Address: 8776 N. Chance Ave Telephone Number: 322-8422
Signature: [Signature] Date: 6/5/2016

22. Property owner.
1938 E. Warwick Ave.
Fresno. Ca- 93720.

Name: MAKESH GUPTA

[Signature] 6/5/16
Signature

23. Property owner
JAGDEEP KAUR DHALIWAL
8674 N. Meridian Ave
Fresno CA 93720 559-472-3274
Jagdeepa Dhal

24. Thomas Durr - Property Owner 6/5/16
8679 N. Meridian Avenue
Fresno, CA 93720 559-270-8332
[Signature]