# Active Transportation Project Prioritization Tool - Ranking Comparison 

| Original Ranking |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Rank | Project | Score |
| 1 | Midtown Trail | 85 |
| 2 | Butler Bike lane | 84 |
| 3 | School Area Signals | 83 |
| 4 | Ashlan Sidewalk | 71 |
| 5 | L Street Signals | 73 |
| 6 | McKinley Sidewalk | 61 |
| 7 | Herndon Trail | 59 |
| 8 | Copper Trail | 51 |
| 8 | Woodward Sidewalk | 51 |


| Alternative Ranking |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Rank | Project | Score |
| 1 | Butler Bike lane | 84 |
| 2 | Midtown Trail | 81 |
| 3 | School Area Signals | 77 |
| 4 | McKinley Sidewalk | 74 |
| 5 | L Street Signals | 68 |
| 6 | Herndon Trail | 55 |
| 7 | Ashlan Sidewalk | 54 |
| 8 | Copper Trail | 41 |
| 9 | Woodward Sidewalk | 40 |

## After evaluating nine projects to compare alternative scoring variables, staff found the following:

- Projects ranking in the top three included the same three projects in a slightly different order.
- The biggest shift between the tools was in the McKinley sidewalk moving from a rank of a 6 up to a 4 and the Ashlan sidewalk moving from a rank of a 4 down to a 7 . The Herndon trail also shifted up one rank higher in the alternative ranking.
- The recommendation to provide 4 points to areas with 'no connectivity to key destinations within one mile' had no impact to any of the projects. It is counter to the $1 / 2$ mile and $1 / 4$ mile parameters set by many grant funding programs and therefore not recommended for inclusion.
- It was discussed that the current feasibility and engineering considerations do not include the total project cost as a prioritization value. Future priority tools may consider weighting costs given the relative trade-offs project costs require.
- If feasibility and engineering considerations are removed from the tool all together, it has minimal impact on project scores overall. However, removing these variables from the tool is symbolic in the sense that it does not remove engineering and feasibility considerations as a variable to overall project feasibility. Due to finite funding and grant requirements that cap infrastructure costs, these variables will need to be evaluated fully when selecting projects.

