



ATTACHMENT "A"

2018 WARRANTED NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL LOCATIONS

Priority Ranking	Intersection	Council District	School located within 1/4-mile	Total Points	Construction Cost Estimate	Comments
1	Browning and Fresno	4	Robinson Elementary	25.00	\$401,000	
2	Clinton and Valentine	3	Hanh Phan Tilley	25.00	\$1,121,200	CMAQ Awarded
3	McKinley and San Pablo***	1	Heaton Elementary	24.67	\$401,000	ATP Awarded
4	McKinley and SR 99 NB off ramp	3	Addams Elementary	24.67	\$400,000	To be completed by High Speed Rail (round a bout)
5	Blythe and Gates	2	Lawless Elementary	23.00	\$401,000	
6	Harrison and Shields*	1	Dailey Elementary	23.00	\$433,000	
7	Clinton and Crystal	1	Homan Elementary	22.67	\$401,000	
8	L Street and Fresno***	3		22.00	\$401,000	ATP Awarded
9	Princeton and West*	1	Homan/Our Lady of Victory	21.00	\$433,000	
10	California and Walnut	3	Edison Compu Tech	20.67	\$433,000	
11	Cornelia and Dakota**	1	Central High	20.67	\$389,700	
12	Gates and San Jose	2	Lawless Elementary	20.67	\$433,000	RSTP Awarded
13	Blythe and McKinley	3	El Capitan Middle	20.33	\$433,000	
14	Behymer and Chestnut	6	Riverview Elementary	19.67	\$389,700	
15	Maple/Sommerville and Plymouth	6	Copper Hills Elementary	19.67	\$389,700	
16	Bullard and Grantland	2	Herndon-Barstow Elementary	18.67	\$389,700	
17	Cedar and Woodward**	5	Sequoia Middle	18.67	\$433,000	ATP Awarded
18	Chestnut and Weldon	4	Ericson Elementary	18.00	\$401,000	
19	Millbrook and Olympic	6	Fugman Elementary	18.00	\$401,000	
20	Alamos and Marks	1		17.67	\$401,000	
21	Shields and Weber	1		17.67	\$795,000	HSIP Awarded
22	Barstow and Thorne*	2	Gibson Elementary/Bullard High	17.00	\$290,000	
23	Blythe and Dakota	1	Steinbeck Elementary	17.00	\$433,000	
24	Copper and Willow	6	Granite Ridge Intermediate	16.67	\$290,000	Future Measure "C" Tier 1 Project
25	McKinley and Winery	4		16.67	\$290,000	
26	Marty and Weber	1		16.33	\$401,000	
27	Butler and Eighth*	5	Winchell Elementary	16.00	\$401,000	
28	Cornelia and Griffith*	1	Central High	15.67	\$401,000	
29	Blackstone and Webster**	3&7	Anthony Elementary	15.00	\$401,000	ATP Awarded
30	Cedar and Olympic**	6	Fugman Elementary	15.00	\$401,000	
31	Champlain and Fox Hill/Hickory Hill	6		15.00	\$389,700	Developer to install
32	Channing and Dakota*	1	Roeding Elementary	14.67	\$401,000	
33	Clovis and Lamona	4		14.67	\$401,000	
34	Orange and Lowe*	5	Winchell Elementary	14.67	\$401,000	
35	Dennett and Palm*	3	Muir Elementary	14.00	\$290,000	ATP Awarded
36	Locan and Shields	4		14.00	\$433,000	
37	Barstow and Tenth	4		13.67	\$401,000	
38	Church and Waldby*	5	Storey Elementary	13.00	\$401,000	
39	Marks and Sierra	2		13.00	\$433,000	
40	Q street and Tulare***	3		13.00	\$401,000	
41	Armstrong and Lane*	5	John Walsh Elementary	12.67	\$401,000	
42	Bullard and Polk	2		12.67	\$360,900	
43	Dakota and Fowler	4		12.67	\$433,000	
44	Figarden and Sierra	2		12.67	\$401,000	
45	North/Parkway and 99 SB off ramp	3		12.00	\$300,000	
46	Olive and West	3		11.67	\$350,000	High Speed Rail to install
47	Amador and Trinity*	3	Columbia Elementary	11.00	\$290,000	
48	Dakota and Forestiere	1	Central High	11.00	\$433,000	
49	Hamilton and Peach	5		10.33	\$433,000	Design funding through Measure "C"
50	Belmont and SR 99 NB off ramp	3		10.00	\$400,000	High Speed Rail to install
51	Bullard and Dante	2		10.00	\$346,400	Developer to install
52	Butler and Willow	5		10.00	\$539,000	CMAQ Awarded
53	Belmont and Temperance	5		9.67	\$396,000	
54	Angus and Clinton*	7		9.00	\$290,000	
55	Audubon and Del Mar	6		9.00	\$401,000	
56	Belmont and SR 99 SB off ramp	3		9.00	\$400,000	High Speed Rail to install
57	Chestnut and Sommerville	6		8.00	\$360,900	
58	Dante/Sierra and Polk	2		8.00	\$401,000	
59	L Street and Tulare***	3		8.00	\$401,000	ATP Awarded
60	Marty and San Jose	2		8.00	\$401,000	
61	Milburn and Spruce	2		8.00	\$433,000	
62	Chestnut and Dearing	6		7.00	\$290,000	
63	Marks and Olive	3		7.00	\$396,000	
64	Fruit and Jensen	3		6.33	\$433,000	
64	Bryan and Shaw	1&2		6.00	\$401,000	
65	Chestnut and Gettysburg	4		6.00	\$401,000	

* Meets the criteria for the school signal warrant only.

** Meets the criteria for traffic signal and school signal warrants.

*** Meets the criteria for pedestrian signal

PRIORITY RANKING

Priority ranking is determined by assigning points attributed to annual daily traffic and peak hour traffic volumes, reported collisions, proximity of a school and/or other pedestrian generators, need for signal coordination, and engineering judgment.