FOR: MCKINLEY AND BLACKSTONE TRAFFIC SIGNAL MODIFICATION AND RAILROAD IMPROVEMENT

Bid File No. 3619 Bid Opening: JULY 24, 2018

	BIDDERS	BID AMOUNT
1.	American Paving Company P.O. Box 4348 Fresno, CA 93744	\$956,015.50 <sup>m°</sup>
2.	Avison Construction, Inc. 40434 Brickyard Drive Madera, CA 93636	\$1,027,660.00 °C
3.	Sturgeon Electric California, LLC 13501 Benson Avenue Chino, CA 91710	\$1,033,125.96 <sup>mP</sup>

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City, from the date bids were opened, to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by December 14, 2018, 5:00 P.M.

The Engineer's Estimate/Budget Allocation for this expenditure is \$880,000.00. The contract price is 8.0 % above the Engineer's Estimate. If the overage is greater than 10% or only one bid was received, give explanation:

## **BACKGROUND OF PROJECT:**

The scope of work includes a complete traffic signal modification with new detector loops, traffic signal poles, and traffic signal conduit. The project includes a new median island construction on McKinley west of Blackstone and new sidewalk on either side of McKinley. This project is in coordination with the new railroad safety devices previously installed by the BNSF Railroad Co.

		Bid File No. 3619 Bid Opening: JULY 24, 2018
DEPARTM	ENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:	
[X	Award a contract in the amount of \$956,015.50	
	to <u>American Paving Company of Fresno, CA</u> as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.	
	Remarks:	
	Reject all bids. Reason:	
Dep	partment Head Approval	
_	Assistant Director	
Title	Assistant Director	
Date	= <u>10/3/18</u>	

FOR: MCKINLEY AND BLACKSTONE TRAFFIC SIGNAL MODIFICATION AND RAILROAD IMPROVEMENT

Bid File No. 3619 Bid Opening: JULY 24, 2018

A DBE goal of 14% was established for this project. The recommended Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the bid specifications.

| Contractor complied with the DBE requirements pursuant to the DBE requirements pursuant to the DBE requirem

K:\FORMS\EVALUATIONWithDBE