

\*

Mi

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FRESNO, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE FRESNO GENERAL PLAN TEXT BY UPDATING TABLES THAT REFERENCE THE CITYWIDE DEVELOPMENT CODE IN CHAPTER 3: URBAN FORM, LAND USE, AND DESIGN (TABLES 3-1 AND 3-3); CHAPTER 11: HOUSING ELEMENT CONSISTENCY (TABLES 11-3, 11-4, 11-5, 11-7, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 11-12 AND 11-13); AND CHAPTER 12: IMPLEMENTATION (TABLE 12-1).

WHEREAS, on December 18, 2014, by Resolution No. 2014-226, the City Council adopted the Fresno General Plan, and by Resolution No. 2014-225 certified Master Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2012111015 ("MEIR") which evaluated the potentially significant adverse environmental impacts of urban development within the City of Fresno's designated urban boundary line and sphere of influence; and

WHEREAS, the City of Fresno Development and Resource Management Director has filed an application to amend the Fresno General Plan text by updating tables that reference the Citywide Development Code in Chapter 3: Urban Form, Land Use, and Design (Tables 3-1 and 3-3); Chapter 11: Housing Element Consistency (Tables 11-3, 11-4, 11-5, 11-7, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 11-12 and 11-13); and Chapter 12: Implementation (Table 12-1) as noted in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the environmental assessment conducted for the proposed plan amendment resulted in the filing of an Addendum on September 25, 2015; and

1 of 5

Date Adopted: Date Approved: Effective Date:

City Attorney Approval-



Resolution No. \_\_\_\_

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2015, the Fresno City Planning Commission held a public hearing to consider Text Amendment Application No. TA-15-001, Plan Amendment Application No. A-15-003 and the associated Addendum prepared for Environmental Assessment No. No. TA-15-001/A-15-003; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2015 the Council District 1 Plan Implementation Committee reviewed the text amendment and plan amendment and recommended approval; and

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2015 the Council District 2 Plan Implementation Committee reviewed the text amendment and plan amendment and recommended approval; and

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2015 the Council District 3 Plan Implementation Committee reviewed the text amendment and plan amendment and deferred action; and

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2015 the District 4 Plan Implementation Committee reviewed the text amendment and plan amendment and did not provide a recommendation; and

WHEREAS, on October 12, 2015 the District 5 Plan Implementation Committee reviewed the text amendment and plan amendment and recommended approval; and

WHEREAS, on May 27 and September 21, 2015 the District 6 Plan Implementation Committee reviewed and commented on the text amendment and plan amendment and recommended approval; and

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2015 the Fulton/Lowell Design Review Committee reviewed and commented on the plan amendment; and

WHEREAS, on September 18, 2015 the Tower District Design Review Committee reviewed and commented on the plan amendment; and

WHEREAS, on October 19, 2015 the Airport Land Use Commission reviewed the applicable portions of the text amendment and plan amendment and found them to be consistent with the applicable Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans and made a recommendation to add building lighting to all buildings over 50 feet in height; and

WHEREAS, the Fresno City Planning Commission took action, as evidenced in Planning Commission Resolution Nos. 13343 and 13344 to recommend approval of Text Amendment No. TA-15-001 and Plan Amendment Application No. A-15-003, which propose to amend the Fresno General Plan text by updating tables that reference the Citywide Development Code in Chapter 3: Urban Form, Land Use, and Design (Tables 3-1 and 3-3); Chapter 11: Housing Element Consistency (Tables 11-3, 11-4, 11-5, 11-7, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 11-12 and 11-13); and Chapter 12: Implementation (Table 12-1) as noted in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2015, the Fresno City Council held a public hearing to consider Text Amendment No. TA-15-001 and Plan Amendment Application No. A-15-003 and received both oral testimony and written information presented at the hearing regarding Plan Amendment Application No. A-15-003.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Fresno as follows:

1. The Council finds in accordance with its own independent judgment that the adoption of the Citywide Development Code was previously analyzed in Master Environmental Impact Report ("MEIR") SCH No. 2012111015, certified by the Fresno City Council on December 18, 2014 and that there is no substantial evidence in the record to indicate that the proposed plan amendment or related text amendment applications may have a significant effect on the environment, and hereby concurs with the issuance of an

Addendum pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Sections 15162 and 15164. Accordingly, the Council hereby adopts the Addendum prepared for Environmental Assessment No. TA-15-001/A-15-003 dated September 25, 2015.

- 2. The Council finds the adoption of the proposed Plan Amendment as recommended by the Planning Commission is in the best interest of the City of Fresno.
- 3. The Council of the City of Fresno hereby adopts Plan Amendment Application No. A-15-003 amending the Fresno General Plan text by updating tables that reference the Citywide Development Code in Chapter 3: Urban Form, Land Use, and Design (Tables 3-1 and 3-3); Chapter 11: Housing Element Consistency (Tables 11-3, 11-4, 11-5, 11-7, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 11-12 and 11-13); and Chapter 12: Implementation (Table 12-1) as noted in Exhibit A.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) COUNTY OF FRESNO ) ss. CITY OF FRESNO )	
L YVONNE SPENCE Cit	y Clerk of the City of Fresno, certify that the foregoing ncil of the City of Fresno, at a regular meeting held on, 2015:
AYES : NOES : ABSENT : ABSTAIN :	
Mayor Approval/No Return:_ Mayor Veto:	, 2015 , 2015 , 2015 , 2015
	YVONNE SPENCE, CMC City Clerk
	By: Deputy
APPROVED AS TO FORM: DOUGLAS T. SLOAN City Attorney	
By: Talia Kolluri-Barbick Senior Deputy City Attorney	<del></del>
Attachment: Exhibit A: Plan Amendm	ent Application No. A-15-003
TKB:jd[69592jd/tkb] 11-6-15	

Table 3-1 | Proposed General Plan Text

DEVELOPMENT INTE		
Land Use	Minimum to Maximum Residential Density (du/net acre) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Maximum Floor Area Ratio
Buffer	Max = 0.05 (1 unit per 20 net acres)	U
Residential	Company of the Company	
Low Density	Min = 1 unit per 5 acres Max = 3.5 units per acre	-
Medium Low Density	Min = 3.5 units per acre Max = 6 units per acre	-
Medium Density	Min = 5 units per acre Max = 12 units per acre	-
Medium High Density	Min = 12 units per acre Max = 16 units per acre	-
Urban Neighborhood Density	Min = 16 units per acre Max = 30 units per acre	-
High Density	Min = 30 units per acre Max = 45 units per acre	-
Commercial	A SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
Main Street		1.0
Community	* *	1.0
Recreation		0.5
General		2.0
Highway & Auto		0.75
Regional		1.0
Mixed-Use	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	78010
Neighborhood Mixed-Use	Min = 12 units per acre Max = 16 units per acre	1.5
Corridor/Center Mixed-Use	Min = 16 units per acre Max = 30 units per acre	1.5
Regional Mixed-Use	Min = 30 units per acre Max = 45 units per acre	2.0
Employment	BUILD COLOR HAR ON THE	
Office	# II II	2.0
Business Park	<u> </u>	1.0
Regional Business Park	-	1.0
Light Industrial		1.9
Heavy Industrial		1.9

Additional density may be allowed for affordable housing or provision of community benefits (pursuant to California Government Code Sections 65915 – 65918, as may be amended).

1

<sup>1.</sup> Based on Net Acreage.
2. Residential density refers to the ratio of residential dwelling units per acre (43,560 square feet) of land which is calculated by dividing the number of existing or proposed residential dwelling units by the land area of the property designated for, or proposed for development with, a residential use. The residential land area includes property upon which the residential and ancillary structures are located, together with yards and other private or common open spaces, and includes vehicle access drives and parking areas together with public and private roadways. The residential land area does not include major streets or State Routes designated by Figure MT-1: General Plan Circulation Diagram, and does not include schools or regional trails.

Table 3-3 | Proposed General Plan Text

	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	
General Plan Land Use Designation	Developmen	t Code Zoning District
Buffer	В	Buffer
Residential		Sec of A real principle with
Low Density	RE	Residential Estate
·	RS-1	Residential Single Family, Extremely Low Density
	RS-2	Residential Single Family, Very Low Density
	RS-3	Residential Single Family, Low Density
Medium Low Density	RS-4	Residential Single Family, Medium Low Density
Medium Density	RS-5	Residential Single Family, Medium Density
Medium High Density	RM-MH	Mobile Home Park
	RM-1	Residential Multi-Family, Medium High Density
Urban Neighborhood	RM-2	Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood
High Density	RM-3	Residential Multi-Family, High Density
Mixed-Use		
Neighborhood	NMX	Neighborhood Mixed Use
Corridor/Center	CMX	Corridor/Center Mixed Use
Regional	RMX	Regional Mixed Use
Commercial		7775 PAGES ME 21 - 32
Main Street	CMS	Commercial - Main Street
Community	CC	Commercial - Community
Regional	CR	Commercial - Regional
General	CG	Commercial - General
Highway and Auto	CH	Commercial - Highway and Auto
Recreation	CRC	Commercial - Recreation
Employment		
Office	0	Office
Business Park	BP	Business Park
Regional Business Park	RBP	Regional Business Park
Light Industrial	IL	Light Industrial
Heavy Industrial	IH	Heavy Industrial
Other	man be administration of the	The state of the control of the state of the
Open Space	os	Open Space
	PR	Parks and Recreation
Public Facilities	PI	Public and Institutional
Downtown Planning Area Ant	icipated Zoning Dis	tricts
Central Business District	DTC	Downtown Core
	DTG	Downtown General
Civic Center	DTG	Downtown General
Town Center Chinatown	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood

# TABLE 3-3: GENERAL PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATIONS AND ZONING DISTRICTS CONSISTENCY

General Plan Land Use Designation	the an experience of the selection of th	Development Code Zoning District	
Cultural Arts	A LE PRINCIPALISE	and the first of the supplier of the state of the	
South Stadium			
Neighborhood Center	NMX	Neighborhood Mixed Use	
Corridor General			
Neighborhoods	RS-5	Residential Single Family, Medium Density	
	RS-4	Residential Single Family, Medium Low Density	
Special Districts	IL.	Light Industrial	
Public Facility	Pl	Public and Institutional	
Open Conservation	OS	Open Space	
	PR	Parks and Recreation	

#### TABLE 11-3: CONSISTENCY MATRIX

Goals, Policies and Programs of the Housing Element

General Plan Consistency

#### Goal 1 - General Plan Implementation

Policy 1.1 – Continue the Housing Support Activities of the City and RDA The General Plan is not inconsistent with this Policy. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RDA as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. Housing activities are supported by the General Plan as noted in the Programs. For example: Objective LU-5, Objective HC-2 and Objective HC-3.

Program 1.1.1 –Implementation of General Plan Policies (affordable housing policies and policies for compact and mixed use development)

The General Plan is not inconsistent with the affordable housing policies and policies for compact and mixed use development in this program. Examples include: Creates a range of housing opportunities and choices. See General Plan Goals 7, 8 and 10; Objectives HC-3, UF-1, LU-2 and LU-5: Policies HC-3-a, UF-1-a, and UF-1-d. Creates walkable neighborhoods. See General Plan Goals 8, 9, 10, and 14; Objectives HC-2, D-4; UF-13, UF-14, MT-5; Policies HC-2-a, HC-2-c, HC-2-d, HC-2-e, HC-6-a, MT-6-g, UF-12-a, UF 14-b and UF-14-c. Recognizes mixed land uses, including Corridor/Center Mixed Use, Regional Mixed Use and Neighborhood Mixed-Use. See General Plan Goals 7 and 8; Objective UF-12; Policies UF-12-d, UF-12-f, LU-3-b; and Figure LU-1 (Land Use Diagram). Takes advantage of compact building designs. See General Plan Goal 10, Objective LU-2, Policies LU-1-c, LU-1-e, LU-2-a, LU-2-b, LU-2c, LU-3-b, LU-3-c, LU-5-e, LU-5-f, and UF-12-b. Policy LU-3-b explicitly encourages development of mixed-use urban corridors, with other policies supporting infill and higher density, compact development. Additionally, the General Plan is implemented through an updated Development Code, which allows housing to take advantage of compact building designs. It will provide considerable additional opportunities for medium-high (12 to 16 dwelling units per acre), urban neighborhood densities (16 to 30 units per acre) and high density (30 to 45 dwelling units per acre) residential development in close proximity to public transit and employment opportunities. Compact building designs are contemplated to accommodate for these greater densities. Additionally, the adoption of a comprehensive update of the Development Code is part of the implementation of this General Plan. Formbased codes are anticipated for the Downtown

Decree 4.4.2 Occ Stee	Planning Area as part of the pending community and Specific Plans for that area. This General Plan provides a land use plan for SEDA. See Figure LU-1 (Land Use Diagram) The General Plan is not inconsistent with this
Program 1.1.2 – One Stop Processing	program. This Program has been incorporated into the General Plan, which promotes streamlining of applications, etc. For examples, see Goal 1 commentary, Goal 13; Objective LU-5; Policies D-4-a, LU-2-b, LU-2-f, and RC-1-c. The MEIR will also allow for streamlining for certain environmental review, and the adoption of a comprehensively updated Development Code (including the streamlining of permit approval) is part of the implementation of this General Plan. Finally, Policy LU-4-c takes this a step further by creating an interagency housing task force to further synchronize programs and to further develop a coordinated affordable housing plan.
Program 1.1.3 – Reduced Housing Costs	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program, as this Program has been incorporated into the General Plan, which promotes reduced housing costs. For examples, see Goals 7, 8 and 9; Objectives LU-4, LU-5, HC-3; Implementing Policies LU-2-b, LU-2-c, LU-2-d, LU-4-b, LU-4-c, LU-5-e, LU-5-f, HC-3-c, HC-3-d.
Program 1.1.4 – Institutional Barriers	There were no institutional barriers identified during the last reporting period. However, even if any institutional barriers were identified, the General Plan will update land use policies, ordinances, and procedures to fully address such issues. See also Tables 3-1 and 3-2 (standards for density and development intensity) and Objective LU-5.
Program 1.1.5 – Complaint Referral	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objective LU-5. Policy HC-1-a supports implementation of this Program, including continuing compliance, information dissemination, and referral services through neighborhood associations. Objective HC-4 and Implementing Policies HC-4-c and HC-4-d support code enforcement and resolution of landlord/tenant issues.
Program 1.1.6 – Support of Current Law	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objective LU-5. The City has been contributing financial support to the FHCCC; for example, see also HC-3-c and related commentary.
Program 1.1.7 – Fresno Green	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program and will assist implementation of the Fresno Green program. For example, see Goals 3, 4, 9 and 16; Implementing Objectives LU-5 and HCR-3, RC-4, RC-5, RC-8, HC-2, HC-3; supporting policies RC-5-c, HC-2-e, HC-3-f and UF-12-g. Most of the objectives and programs that support these strategies are embedded throughout the General Plan, particularly in the implementing policies of the Resource Conservation Element. See also Resource Conservation and Resilience narrative entitled "Fresno Green – The City of Fresno's Strategy for Achieving Sustainability."

Program 1.1.8 Funding Energy Saving Improvements	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The General Plan promotes incentives for energy efficient improvements. For example, see Goal 3; Objectives LU-1, LU-5, RC-8, HCR-3, and Policies RC-5-c, RC-8-c.
	RC-8-d, and HC-3-d.
Goal 2 - New Construction of Affe	ordable Housing
Policy 2.1 New Construction	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. As discussed above in the narrative, the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) identified a need for approximately 20,967 units for the 2008-2013 planning period. The number of potential dwelling units allowable in the land use designations proposed by the General Plan, and by zoning in the updated Development Code meet and exceed this amount. Details can be found throughout the General Plan, including increased density and development intensity (such as Table 3-1 and Table 3-2) and infill/growth area construction (Objectives UF-1, UF-12, UF-13, LU-2, LU-4, and LU-5 and supporting Policies).
Program 2.1.1 – Land Demand	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Land use designations are designed to accommodate population growth through 2035, and zoning is consistent with this planned growth. Policies focus on supporting and encouraging infill development to make better use of underutilized lots such as providing infrastructure (LU-2-d) or other incentives (LU-2-c) to make infill more feasible and attractive to the development community. For example, see Objectives UF-12, UF-13, LU-2, LU-5; Policies UF-12-a, UF-12-b, UF-13-a, LU-1-e, LU-1-f, ED-1-d and ED-1-e.
Program 2.1.2 – Reduction in Density	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program and will continue to comply with State law regarding density reduction. The General Plan allows an overall increase in residential density and development intensity as compared to the 2025 General Plan. (See Goal 8 and 10, Tables 3-1 and 3-2) As such, under this General Plan the City will more than exceed its fair housing obligation and there will be no net loss of residential capacity.
Program 2.1.3 – Central Community Plan Area Housing	Even though Community Plans, and their potential requirements, are not part of the General Plan and are either being amended or repealed to ensure consistency, Program 2.1.3 references the Central Community Plan for the limited purpose of identifying specific geographical regions. As such, the boundaries are still applicable for the purposes of the Program.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. For example, see Commentary to Goal 1, Goal 13; Objective LU-5; Policies LU-1-d, LU-2-a, LU-2-b, RC-1-c and D-4-a. Additionally, the MEIR will also allow for a streamlined process for certain environmental review. The adoption of a comprehensively updated Development Code (including the streamlining of permit approval) is part of the implementation of this Plan. (See Resource

	O C Delline Florest continu
	Conservation and Resilience Element narrative including "CEQA Tiering and Streamlining
	Analysis of Greenhouse Gas Emissions.")
	Further, the General Plan anticipates community
	plans and Specific Plans will be approved for the
	revitalization and continued development of the
	Downtown neighborhoods, and contemplates
	adoption of zoning districts specific to the
	Downtown which will support and streamline the
	infill process. For example, see Objective LU-3;
	Policy LU-3-a.
Program 2.1.4 – Inner City	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Residential Development	inconsistent with this program. See Goal 2 and
	LU-5. Additionally, infill development is a
	significant component of the General Plan. For
	examples, see Goals 2 and 10; Objectives UF-5,
	UF-12, LU-2, LU-4, LU-9; Policies PU-4-b, ED-1-
	d, ED-3-f, POSS-1-d, NS-1-p, HCR-3-c, RC-2-a,
	RC-2-b, MT-2-c, UF-1-a, UF-12-f, LU-1-d, LU-2-
	a, LU-2-b, LU-2-c, LU-2-d, LU-2-e, LU-2-f, Also
	see Urban Form Element narrative on "Infill
	Development," Infill Development Act, and Land
	Use Diagram Figure LU-1.
Program 2.1.5 –	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Other Infill	inconsistent with this program. See LU-5. Other
	infill housing is also a significant component of
Housing	the General Plan, as is affordable housing. See
	discussion at Program 2.1.4; Goals 7, 8 and 9;
	Objectives HC-3, LU-4; Policies HC-3-a, HC-3-c,
	HC-3-d, LU-2-b, LU-2-c LU-4-c, LU-5-e, LU-5-f,
	LU-5-h, and LU-5-i; Healthy Communities
	Element narrative "Safe and Affordable
	Housing."
Program 2.1.6 –	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Multi-Family	inconsistent with this program. See LU-5 and
Land Supply	the updated Development Code. The General
	Plan expands the amount of available supply of
	land designated for multi-family residential uses.
	land designated for months army residential dises.
	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally,
	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally,
	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale
	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use
	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multi-
Program 2 1 6A - Facilitate the	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multi- family uses.
Program 2.1.6A – Facilitate the	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this
Development of Multi-Family	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835
Development of Multi-Family	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The increased density throughout various locations in
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned land to mixed use districts provides for this
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned land to mixed use districts provides for this increased capacity consistent with this program.
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre. The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned land to mixed use districts provides for this increased capacity consistent with this program. This additional housing, outside the Downtown
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre). The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned land to mixed use districts provides for this increased capacity consistent with this program. This additional housing, outside the Downtown Planning Area, is distributed within the land use
Development of Multi-Family Housing Affordable to Lower	(See Land Use Diagram, Figure LU-1) Finally, the General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including zoned districts for multifamily uses.  The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The proposed land use changes in the General Plan provide for a total of 38,835 additional dwelling units, which exceeds the 38,469 additional dwelling units identified by the rezoning under this program (Rezone 500 acres of vacant land to the R-2 or R-3 zoning district at a minimum of 20 units per acre and rezone 200 acres of vacant land to R-3 or R-4 zoning district at a minimum of 38 units per acre. The increased density throughout various locations in the city and conversion of commercially zoned land to mixed use districts provides for this increased capacity consistent with this program. This additional housing, outside the Downtown

<sup>1</sup> Housing Element 2008-2013 Amendment I.

	The Development Code update also allows for second dwelling units as a permitted use and will assist with providing additional affordable housing to lower income households. See also Objective LLL5 and Implementing Rolling LLL5 for
	Objective LU-5 and Implementing Policy LU-5-f. Any remaining actions are N/A.
Program 2.1.7 – Increase Housing Yields	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. The General Plan and Development Code are prepared consistent with State law. The General Plan is increasing density to increase housing yield per acre. Also see Goal 8, Objectives LU-2, LU-4, LU-5, and MT-8. The Development Code Update further promotes streamlining and/or eliminating some discretionary permits to remove governmental constraints to accomplish increased housing yields.
Program 2.1.7A – Maximum Density	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Goal 8, Objectives LU-2, LU-4, LU-5, D-3 to create green streets, MT-2 to make efficient use of the existing transportation system, and MT-8. Also LU-1-a to encourage new development and infill in the Downtown, along BRT corridors, and on vacant land within the City Limits (boundary as of December 31, 2012). Allowable residential densities per acre have been increased, which allows for increased housing yields. See Tables 3-1 and 3-2. The General Plan also supports large-scale rezones to promote consistency with its land use designations, including Residential use classifications. Any remaining actions are N/A.
Program 2.1.8 – Mixed-Use Zoning	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Mixed use is a recognized and promoted land use. (See Tables 3-1 and 3-2) The Urban Form, Land Use, and Design Element, as well as the Development Code update, provide design standards for Activity Centers and transit corridors. (For examples, see Urban Form, Land Use, and Design Element narrative entitled "Major Strategic Directions for Future Growth" and "BRT Corridors & Centers;" Figure UF-1; Objective LU-5; Policies UF-1-a, UF-1-c, UF-12-b through UF-12-f, LU-5-f, LU-6-d, LU-9-b through LU-9-d, D-1-b and D-2-a.) The General Plan also supports a form-based zoning code update for the Downtown Planning Area as a component of the Development Code.
Program 2.1.9 – Farmworker Housing Funding and Development	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-2, LU-5, and HC-3; and Implementing Policies LU-2-b, LU-2-d, and LU-4-c.
Program 2.1.10 – Emergency Shelter Grant Allocations	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See LU-5 and implementing policy HC-2-b.
Program 2.1.11 – Zoning for Emergency and Homeless Shelters	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-2, LU-5 and HC-3; and implementing policy HC-2-b.
Program 2.1.12 – Zoning for Special Needs Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-2, LU-5; implementing policy HC-2-a, LU-5-i.

Program 2.1.13 – Transitional and Supportive Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-2 and LU-5 and implementing policies LU-4-c and HC-2-b and HC-3-c.
Program 2.1.14 – Single Room Occupancy Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See LU-5 and implementing policy LU-4-c, and HC-2-b. These implementing policies are in support of providing a range of housing for all residents of Fresno. The Development Code update also addresses this program, allowing Limited Residential Care in all residential zones as a permitted use and General Residential Care with a Conditional Use Permit in most residential districts (See Table 11-7).
Program 2.1.15 – Very-Low Income Large Family Single and Multi-Family Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-2, LU-5 and Implementing Policies LU-2-b, LU-2-d and LU-4-c.
Program 2.1.16 – Extremely Low, Very Low, and Low-Income Senior Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See LU-5 and implementing policy LU-4-c and HC-2-b. These implementing policies are in support of providing a range of housing for all residents of Fresno. The Development Code update also addresses this program.
Program 2.1.17 – Other Extremely Low and Very Low- Income Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objective LU-5 and implementing policy LU-4-c and HC-2-b. These implementing policies are in support of providing a range of housing for all residents of Fresno. The Development Code update also addresses this program by allowing second dwelling units in all single family residential zones as a permitted use.
Program 2.1.18 – Inclusionary and Alternative Housing Policy Programs	The General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Although the RDA has been dissolved this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RDA as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. In addition, see Objective LU-5 and Implementing Policy LU-4-c, and HC-2-b. These implementing policies are in support of providing a range of housing for all residents of Fresno. The Development Code update also addresses this program.
Program 2.1.19 –Proposition 1C Program	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See LU-5; implementing policy LU-4-c.
Program 2.1.20 – Strengthening Partnerships with Affordable Housing Developers	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objective LU-5 and Implementing Policy LU-4-c. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RD as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno.

D	
Program 2.1.21 – Zoning for	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Agricultural Employees	inconsistent with this program. See Objectives
(Farmworker) Housing	LU-2, LU-5 and HC-3; and Implementing Policies
	LU-2-b, LU-2-d, and LU-4-c.
Program 2.1.22 – Single Room	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Occupancy Housing	inconsistent with this program. See Objective
	LU-5 and Implementing Policies LU-4-c and HC-
	2-b. The Development Code update also
	addresses this program.
Goal 3 - Housing Rehabilitation, A	cquisition and Neighborhood Improvements
	First test was all affiliable stop gains and
Policy 3.1 – Neighborhood	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Revitalization	inconsistent with this program. Although the
	RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan
	recognizes and supports the function of the RDA
	as is now administered by the City of Fresno in
	its capacity of the Housing Successor to the
	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno,
	and the Successor Agency to the
	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno.
	See Goal 7, 8, and 9; also Objective LU-5.
Program 3.1.1 - Comprehensive	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Code Enforcement	inconsistent with this program. See Objectives
oodo zinoroomom	
	LU-4 and LU-5, and Implementing Policies LU-4-
	a, LU-4-b, and LU-4-c. All demonstrate the
Drogram 2.1.2 Neighbarhand	General Plan's support for this program.
Program 3.1.2 – Neighborhood	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Infrastructure	inconsistent with this program. See Goal 9;
	Objective LU-1, LU-4, LU-5, LU-11, MT-5, and
	UF-6; also Implementing Policy LU-1-a, LU-4-
	bMT-5-a, and MT-5-b.
Program 3.1.3 –Public Utilities	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Sewer and Water Deficiencies	inconsistent with this program. See Goal 9,
	Objectives LU-1 and LU-5, and Implementing
	Policies LU-1-a, LU-11, and UF-6.
Program 3.1.4 – Enhanced Police	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Service to High-Crime	inconsistent with this program. See Goal 9,
Neighborhoods	Implementing Objectives LU-5, PU-1, and
	Implementing Policies PU-1-a and PU-1-g.
Policy 3.2 – Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Rehabilitation, Replacement and	inconsistent with this program. Although the
Home Buyer Assistance	RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan
<u>•</u>	recognizes and supports the function of the RDA
	as is now administered by the City of Fresno in
	its capacity of the Housing Successor to the
	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno,
	and the Successor Agency to the
	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno.
	The General Plan does not address directly the
	rehabilitation or replacement of housings
	rehabilitation or replacement of housing;
	nevertheless, the General Plan is not
	inconsistent with this program. See Objectives
	LU-1, LU-2, and LU-5; and Implementing
	Policies LU-1-e, and LU-2-b, LU-4-b, and LU-4-
	c. They all support this policy and its
	subsequent Programs; 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.2.4,
Dro 2000 2 2 4 11	and 3.2.5.
Program 3.2.1 – Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not
Rehabilitation	inconsistent with this program. Although the
	RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan
	recognizes and supports the function of the RDA
	as is now administered by the City of Fresno in
	its capacity of the Housing Successor to the
	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno,
	interpretation in General of the Oily of Fredho,

	and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. The General Plan does not address directly the rehabilitation or replacement of housing; nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-1, LU-2, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-1-e, and LU-2-b, LU-4-b, and LU-4- c.
Program 3.2.2 – Housing Replacement	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RDA as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. The General Plan does not address directly the rehabilitation or replacement of housing; nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-1, LU-2, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-1-e, and LU-2-b, LU-4-b, and LU-4-c.
Program 3.2.3 – Home Buyer Assistance	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RD as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. The General Plan does not address directly the rehabilitation or replacement of housing; nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-1, LU-2, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-1-e, and LU-2-b, LU-4-b, and LU-4-c.
Program 3.2.4 – Redevelopment Housing Sites	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RD as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. The General Plan does not address directly the rehabilitation or replacement of housing; nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-1, LU-2, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-1-e, LU-2-b, LU-4-b, and LU-4-c.
Program 3.2.5 – Comprehensive Housing Quality Survey and Upgrade Geographic Information System (GIS)	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RI as is now administered by the City of Fresno ir its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the

	inconsistent with this program. See Objectives LU-1, LU-2, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-1-e, and LU-2-b.
Goal 4 - Redevelopment and Relo	
Policy 4.1 – Preservation of Affordability of At-Risk Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this policy. See Goal 7 and Goal 9; also, Objectives LU-2 and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-2-b, LU-5-e, and LU-5-f; and comments below.
Program 4.1.1 – At-Risk Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with securing the continued affordability of at-risk housing units through preservation and replacement. This is demonstrated in Goal 7 and Goal 9, As well, Objectives LU-2, LU-4, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-2-b, LU-4-a, LU-4-b, and LU-4-c.
Program 4.1.2 – Prevention and Alleviating Foreclosures	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with preventing and alleviating foreclosures. See Objective UF-12, LU-4, and LU-5; and Implementing Policies LU-4-a, LU-4-b, and LU-4-c.
Policy 4.2 – Relocation of Residents	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See response to Program 4.2.1 that addresses relocation of residents and LU-5.
Program 4.2.1 – Provide Relocation Services as Needed	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. Although the RDA has been dissolved, this General Plan recognizes and supports the function of the RDA as is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno. The City shall continue to provide relocation assistance to individuals and families displaced due to redevelopment activities as prescribed by law. See also Objective LU-5.
Policy 4.3 -Accountability	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See responses to programs 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 that address accountability and Objective LU-5.
Program 4.3.1 – Required Reporting by Regional Housing Need Allocation Income Category	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objective LU-5 and Implementing Policies LU-5-e and LU-5-f.
Program 4.3.2 – Citywide Public Services Processing for Affordable Housing	N/A. Nevertheless, the General Plan is not inconsistent with this program. See Objective LU-5 and Implementing Policies LU-5-e and LU-5-f.

Table 11-4 | Proposed General Plan Text

TABLE 11-4: TERM EQUIVALE	NCY MATRIX
Term Used in Adopted	Equivalent Use in
Housing Element	General Plan
Planning and Development Department	Development and Resource Management Department
Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno (RDA)	The function of the RDA is now administered by the City of Fresno in its capacity of the Housing Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno, and the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Fresno
Community Plans	Note: The adopted Housing Element references several Community Plans for the limited purpose of identifying specific geographical regions or to identify potential regulations that may affect mixed-use projects. Those Community Plans, and their potential requirements, are not part of the General Plan and are either being amended or repealed to ensure consistency. The General Plan essentially consolidates many aspects of those Community Plans into a centralized planning document and remains consistent with the goals, policies and programs of the adopted Housing Element
Zoning Ordinance	Development Code

#### Table 11-5 | Proposed General Plan Text

Table 11-5 translates the zoning district terminology used citywide for the General Plan to the most equivalent zoning districts used when the Housing Element was adopted in 2008.

Zoning District Wh	nen	Zone District in new Citywide				
Housing Element Adopted		Development Code				
Exclusive	AE-20	В	Buffer			
Agricultural	AE-5	RE	Residential Estate			
Residential	No.	at to sind	ALL KUR (GIRLAGE (C)			
	R-A, R-1-E, R-1-EH	RS-1, EQ1				
	R-1-A, R-1-AH	RS-2, EQ1				
Single-Family	R-1-B, R-1-C	RS-3	Single Family			
	R-1	RS-4	_ ,			
	None	RS-5	<del></del> -			
	R-2-A	RM-1				
Multi-Family	R-2, R-3	RM-2	Multi-Family			
	R-4	RM-3				
Mobile Home Park MH, T-P		RM-MH	Mobile Home Park			

<sup>1.</sup> Equine Overlay District

Table 11-7 | Proposed General Plan Text


9000	esergia (	on and	M2 ms	Man B		DÖ E	
District	RE	RS-1	RS-2	RS-3	RS-4	RS-5	Additional Regulations
Residential Use Residential Housi							A STATE OF S
Single-Unit Dwelling, Detached	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	Р	§15-2738, Manufactured Homes
Single-Unit Dwelling, Attached		_	_	_	С	Р	
Second Dwelling Unit	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	§15-2754, Second Dwelling Units, Backyard Cottages, and Accessory Living Quarters
Cottage Housing Development	-	-	·=			Р	§15-2723, Cottage Housing Developments (Pocket Neighborhoods)
Duplex	_	_			_	Р	§15-906, Duplex and Multi-Unit Residential Standards
Multi-Unit Residential	-	-	_	_	S-30	Р	§15-906, Duplex and Multi-Unit Residential Standards
Adult Family Day	Care						
Small (6 clients or less)	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	Р	
Large (7 to 12 clients)	( <b>-</b> )	С	С	С	С	С	
Domestic Violence	e Shel	ter				-	
Small (6 persons or less)	Р	Р	P	Р	Р	P	
Family Day Care							1
Small (8 children or less)	Р	Р	Р	P	P	Р	§15-2725, Day Care Centers and Family Child Care Homes
Large (9 to 14 children)	-	С	С	С	С	С	,
Group Residenti	al T	1	_	_		т —	T
Small (6 persons or less)	Р	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	
Residential Care	Facilit	ies	r				
Residential Care, General	-	С	С	С	С	С	
Residential Care, Limited Other Applicabl	Р	Р	Р	P	Р	P	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> P = Permitted; - = Not Allowed; C = Conditional Use Permit Required

TABLE 11-7: PLAN	HOUS	SING TY	/PES³ F	PERMIT	TED B	Y ZONI	NG DISTRICTS IN GENERAL
District	RE	RS-1	RS-2	RS-3	RS-4	RS-5	Additional Regulations
Accessory Living Quarters	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	§15-2754, Second Dwelling Units, Backyard Cottages, and Accessory Living Quarters
Home Occupations	§15-2	735, Hon	ne Occup	ations	1,		Taring detailed
Transitional and Supportive Housing	§15-2	762, Trar	nsitional a	and Supp	ortive Ho	using	

Table 11-8 | Proposed General Plan Text

## TABLE 11-8: LOT AND DENSITY STANDARDS—RESIDENTIAL SINGLE-FAMILY IN GENERAL PLAN




NOT TO SCALE

District	RE	RS-1	RS-2	RS-3	RS-4	RS-5	Additional Regulations	#
Minimum Lot Size (sq. ft.)	5 acres	36,000	20,000	9,000	5,000	4,000		
Minimum Lot Size, with Enhanced Streetscape (sq. ft.)	(#)	g <b>=</b> :	8 <b>#</b> 3	ı		2,700	§15-904-B, Enhanced Streetscape	
Maximum Lot Size				32,000	9,000	6,500		
Residential Density (dwelling units per lot)	1	1	1	1	1	1	§15-310, Determining Residential Density	
Minimum Lot Width (ft.)								
General Standard	110	110	110	70	50	35		0
Corner	110	110	110	80	55	40		0
Reversed Corner	110	110	110	90	60	50		
Where Side Property Line Abuts a Major Street, Freeway or Railroad	160	160	130	90	70	60		
Curved/Cul-de-Sac	80	80	80	60	40	30		₿
Minimum Lot Depth (ft.)								
General Standard	130	130	130	100	85	70		0
Where Front or Rear Property Line Abuts a Major Street	200	200	130	110	100	90		0
Where a Front or Rear Property Line Abuts a Freeway or Railroad	200	200	150	150	120	120		4

## Table 11-9 | Proposed General Plan Text

### TABLE 11-9: BUILDING FORM, LOT, AND DENSITY STANDARDS—RESIDENTIAL MULTI-FAMILY DISTRICTS IN GENERAL PLAN



#### NOT TO SCALE


District	RM-1	RM-2	RM-3	RM-MH	Additional Regulations	#
Maximum Density (du/ac) (min./max.)	12/16	16/30	30/45	12/16	§15-310, Determining Residential Density	DF 2011.5
Maximum Height (ft.)	40	50	60	35	§15-2012, Heights and Height Exceptions, 15- 1003-A, RS Transition Standards	0
Setbacks (ft.)						
Front (min. / max.)	10 / 20	10 / 20	10 / 20	10 / 20		8
Interior Side (min.)	10 total, min. 4/side	5	5	5	§15-313, Determining Setbacks and Yards	8
Street Side (min.) (Parcel <125 ft. in depth)	10	10	10	10	§15-1004-A, RS Transition Standards §15-1004-B, Side Setbacks for Attached Dwellings - §15-1004-C, Parking Setback §15-2014.	4
Street Side (min.) (Parcel >125 ft. in depth)	15	15	15	15		4
Rear (min.)	20	15	15	10		6
Alley (min.)	3	3	3	3	Projections/Encroachm	6
Parking, from back of sidewalk or curb (min.)	30	30	30	30	ents into Yards §15-2305, Areas to be Landscaped	0
Minimum Frontage Coverage (%)	50	50	50	-	§15-317, Determining Frontage Coverage	
Maximum Lot Coverage (% of lot)	50	50	60	50	§15-311, Determining Lot Coverage	
Minimum On-Site Open Space (% of Lot Area)	20	15	10	-		

### Table 11-10 | Proposed General Plan Text

(To be deleted due to consolidation to one table under proposed Table 11-9)

Table 11-11 | Proposed General Plan Text (renumber to Table 11-10)

## TABLE 11-10: LOT AND DENSITY STANDARDS—MIXED-USE DISTRICTS IN GENERAL PLAN



TOM		

District	NMX	CMX	RMX	Additional Regulations	#
Floor Area Ratio (max.)	1.5	1.5	2.0	§15-309, Determining Floor Area Ratio	
Residential Density, du/ac (min./max.)	12/16	16/30	30/45	§15-310, Determining Residential Density Min. res. density shall not be required for the following: projects on lots less than 20,000 sq. ft. in area; projects further than 1,000 feet from a planned or existing BRT route; and projects which submit a Development Permit application prior to January 1, 2019.	
Maximum Height (ft.)	40	60	75	§15-1104-B, RS Transition Standards and §15-2012, Heights and Height Exceptions	
Setbacks (ft.)					
Front (min./max.)	0/10	0/10	0/10		0
Interior Side (min.)	0	0	0	1	€
Street Side (min.)	0	0	0	§15-313, Determining Setbacks and Yards	4
Rear (min.)	0	0	0	§15-1104-B, RS Transition Standards §15-1104-D, Parking Setback	6
Alley (min.)	3	3	3	§15-2305, Areas to be Landscaped	6
Parking, from back of sidewalk or curb (min.)	30	30	30		0
Minimum Frontage Coverage (%)	60	70	80	§15-317, Determining Frontage Coverage	
Corner Frontage (ft., measured from property corner)	15	30	50	§15-1104-C, Corner Frontage	8
Minimum On-Site Open Space (% of Lot Area)	15	10	8	§15-1104-E, On-Site Open Space	

Table 11-12 | Proposed General Plan Text

(To be deleted due to consolidation to one table under proposed Table 11-10)

## Table 11-13 | Proposed General Plan Text (renumber to Table 11-11)

TABLE 11-11: REQUIR		KING SPACES FOR ZONE					
Land Use Classification	Required Parking S	nanes					
Residential Use Classifica		paces					
Single-Unit Residential constructed prior to the adoption date of this Code	1 space per dwelling unit	Must be covered.					
Single-Unit Residential	1 space per dwelling unit	Must be within a garage.					
Backyard Cottages, Second Dwelling Units, or Accessory Living Quarters	Refer to Section 15-2754, Second Dwelling Units, Backyard Cottages, and Accessory Living Quarters.						
Affordable Housing Develop	ments (Moderate Incon	ne and Below) per the Government Code					
Studio; One- or two- bedroom	.75 space per unit	One covered space shall be designated for each unit.					
Three or more bedrooms	1.5 spaces per unit	One additional guest parking space must be provided for every 4 units.					
Multi-Unit Residential (2 or r	more units)						
Studio	1 space per unit	One covered space shall be designated for each unit. One additional uncovered guest parking space must be provided for every 4 units.					
One- or two-bedroom	1 space per unit	One covered space shall be					
Three or more bedrooms	1.5 spaces per unit	designated for each unit.  One additional uncovered guest parking space must be provided for every 2 units.					
Small Family Day Care	None in addition to wh	at is required for the residential use.					
Large Family Day Care	1 per employee plus an area for loading and unloading children, on or off-site. Required spaces and the residential driveway for the primary residential use may be counted toward meeting these requirements.						
Elderly and Long-Term Care	Facilities serving more	plus 1 for each live-in caregiver. than 15 residents shall also provide 1 ver, employee, and doctor on-site at any					
Group Residential	1 spaces for the owne for each non-resident	r-manager plus 1 for every 5 beds and 1 employee.					
Residential Care, Limited		at is required for the residential use.					
Residential Care, General		r-manager plus 1 for every 5 beds and 1					
Residential Care, Senior	1 for every 7 residents plus 1 for each live-in caregiver. Facilities serving more than 15 residents shall also provide 1 space for each caregiver, employee, and doctor on-site at any one time.						
Single Room Occupancy	0.5 space per unit						

Table 12-1 | Proposed General Plan Text

DISTRICTS CONSISTEN	COCCUCATOR REPORT OF STANDARD SPECIAL	DESIGNATIONS AND ZONING
General Plan Land Use Designation	Development	Code Zoning District
Buffer	В	Buffer
Residential	TO NAME OF STREET	ACONTO AND
ow Density	RE	Residential Estate
	RS-1	Residential Single Family, Extremely Low Density
	RS-2	Residential Single Family, Very Low Density
	RS-3	Residential Single Family, Low Density
Medium Low Density	RS-4	Residential Single Family, Medium Low Density
Medium Density	RS-5	Residential Single Family, Medium Density
Medium High Density	RM-MH	Mobile Home Park
	RM-1	Residential Multi-Family, Medium High Density
Urban Neighborhood	RM-2	Residential Multi-Family, Urban Neighborhood
High Density	RM-3	Residential Multi-Family, High Density
Mixed-Use		
Neighborhood	NMX	Neighborhood Mixed Use
Corridor/Center	CMX	Corridor/Center Mixed Use
Regional	RMX	Regional Mixed Use
Commercial		
Main Street	CMS	Commercial - Main Street
Community	CC	Commercial - Community
Regional	CR	Commercial - Regional
General	CG	Commercial - General
Highway and Auto	СН	Commercial - Highway and Auto
Recreation	CRC	Commercial - Recreation
Employment		
Office	0	Office
Business Park	BP	Business Park
Regional Business Park	RBP	Regional Business Park
Light Industrial	IL	Light Industrial
Heavy Industrial	IH	Heavy Industrial
Other		
Open Space	os	Open Space
	PR	Parks and Recreation
Public Facilities	PI	Public and Institutional
Downtown Planning Area An	ticipated Zoning Di	stricts
Central Business District	DTC	Downtown Core
	DTG	Downtown General
Civic Center	DTG	Downtown General
Town Center	DTN	Downtown Neighborhood
Chinatown		

#### TABLE 12-1: GENERAL PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATIONS AND ZONING DISTRICTS CONSISTENCY General Plan Land Use **Development Code Zoning District** Designation Cultural Arts South Stadium Neighborhood Center NMX Neighborhood Mixed Use Corridor General Neighborhoods RS-5 Residential Single Family, Medium RS-4 Residential Single Family, Medium Low Density Special Districts IL Light Industrial Public Facility PI Public and Institutional Open Conservation os Open Space PR Parks and Recreation