

Exhibit L

From: [Diana Diehl](#)
To: [Robert Holt](#)
Cc: [Miguel Arias](#)
Subject: 452 041 05 objection
Date: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 1:51:33 PM

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hello-

I am an "interested person" property owner affected by the proposed changes at parcel 452 041 05. I am opposed to this project being exempted from CEQA and I am also opposed to this condominium subdivide.

The City spends a lot of time talking about "protecting neighborhoods" until a campaign donor shows up with cash and a promise, and our neighborhood already reflects this.

Our single family homes are divided into apartments, and play yards and parking be damned. When our historic single family homes burn down, modern apartments replace them. Meanwhile new subdivisions of single family homes continue to sprawl northward unabated, and any apartment projects are carefully directed to already distressed residential neighborhoods.

Cannot help but notice this disparity repeating itself over and over again, and yet again here in this instance.

Within a one-block radius of our house, there are already 85 separate apartment units on 15 parcels. Over half those parcels used to be single family homes.

While I recognize the value of and need for multifamily housing, I submit that this area has already seen more than her fair share of this.

Now, condos are proposed. There are no successful condo projects anywhere in the Fresno area, and we're supposed to believe that this tiny project will somehow be the exception.

18 units times only 2 cars is 72 new commuting trips per day in a neighborhood already struggling with lousy air quality and too much traffic. And who knows exactly how many roommates the condo owners will need to help cover the mortgage, so that number can easily double or triple. The suggestions I've seen that appropriate parking isn't required because "bikes/transit" seem exceptionally disingenuous in a neighborhood where there's literally no safe public bike parking and bikes are stolen from our locked garages, plus, again, it's weird that these *subtractions* of amenities are, again, conveniently inflicted only SouthaShaw.

No, thank you. If this was at least affordable housing, we might have the same issues BUT at least it would be meeting a very desperate neighborhood need.

This is badly-planned city-supported private developer profit over existing neighborhoods, yet again.

Respectfully, I reiterate my formal opposition to this project.

Thank you for your time.

Hopelessly,

Diana Diehl
831 E Dennett Ave
fresNo 93728

(end)