

City of Fresno

2600 Fresno Street
Fresno, CA 93721
www.fresno.gov



Meeting Minutes - Final

Wednesday, February 26, 2020

5:00 PM

Regular Meeting

Fresno City Hall, 2nd Floor
Room 2120

Housing and Community Development Commission

Chair - Bob Reyes

Vice Chair Marina Harutyunyan

Commissioners:

Jackie Holmes, Chong Yang, Kathryn C. Wilbur

Staff:

Jennifer K. Clark, AICP, HDFP, Director

Karen Jenks, Administrative Manager

Thomas Morgan, Housing Manager

Susie Williams, Recording Secretary

The Housing and Community Development Commission welcomes you to Fresno City Hall, 2nd Floor 2165N (Room A), 2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, California 93721.

The City of Fresno's goal is to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in all respects. The meeting room is physically accessible. If, as an attendee or participant at the meeting, you need additional accommodations such as interpreters, signers, assistive listening devices, or the services of a translator, please contact the Office of the City Clerk at (559) 621-7650 or clerk@fresno.gov. To ensure availability, you are advised to make your request at least 48 hours prior to the meeting. The agenda and related staff reports are available at www.fresno.gov, as well as in the Office of the City Clerk.

Individuals wishing to attend an HCDC meeting at City Hall may park in metered parking stalls located on the north, south, east or west sides of the building. Metered parking is enforced until 6:00 p.m.

La Comision de Viviendas y Desarrollo Comunitario le da la bienvenida al Cuarto de Junta A (2165N), ubicado en la Alcaldía (City Hall), do piso, 2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, California 93721.

El objetivo de la Ciudad de Fresno es cumplir con la Ley de Americanos con Discapacidades (ADA) en todo aspecto. La sala para juntas es físicamente accesible. Si usted, como asistente o participante de la junta, necesita acomodaciones adicionales como intérpretes, lenguaje de señas, aparatos auditivos, o los servicios de un traductor, por favor comuníquese con la Oficina del Secretario Municipal llamando al (559) 621-7650 o al clerk@fresno.gov. Para asegurarse de la disponibilidad. Se le recomienda llamar y hacer su petición por lo menos 48 horas antes de la junta. La agenda y los reportes de personal correspondientes están disponibles en el www.fresno.gov, o en la Oficina del Secretario Municipal.

Los individuos que deseen asistir a la junta del HCDC en la Alcaldía podrán estacionarse en el estacionamiento con parquímetros ubicados en los lados sur, este, y oeste del edificio. El estacionamiento con parquímetros se vigila hasta las 6:00 p.m.

Tso Housing and Community Development Commission tos txais koj rau Meeting Room A (2165N), nyob rau hauv City Hall, 2nd Floor, 2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, California 93721.

Lub hom phiaj ntawm nroog Fresno yuav ua kom tau txhua yam raw li txoj cai American with Disabilities Act (ADA) kom fwm txhua tus. Lub rooj sab laj txhua tus yuav tsum muaj feem koom kom tau, txawm yog cov tuaj koom, cov muaj feem rau lub rooj sab laj, yuav tsum kom muaj kev pab rau sawv daws xws li txhais lus, piav tes, tej twj mloog pob ntseg los yog ib tug txhais lus, thov hu rau Office of the City Clerk ntawm (559) 621-7650 los sis clerk@fresno.gov. Yuav kom paub tseeb tias npaj tau rau koj, koj yuav tsum tau hais ua ntej 48 xuab moos ntawm lub rooj sab laj. Daim ntawv kom tswj thiab tej ntaub ntawv cov ua dej num yuav coj los ceeb tshaj muaj nyob rau ntawm www.fresno.gov, los sis ntawm Office of the City Clerk.

Leej twg xav tuaj koom HCDC lub rooj sib tham nyob rau hauv City Hall nws nres tsheb tau rau cov parking metered parking stalls nyob rau sab qaum teb, qab teb, hnuv tuaj, hnuv poob, ntawm lub tsev. Metered parking yuav kuaj tsis so txog 6:00 teev tsaus ntuj.

A) CALL TO ORDER

Chair Reyes called the meeting to order at 5:07 P.M.

B) ROLL CALL

Present 5 - Vice Chair Marina Harutyunyan, Chair Bob Reyes,
Commissioner Jackie Holmes, Commissioner Chong Yang,
and Commissioner Kathryn C. Wilbur

C) COMMUNICATIONS

None

D) APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On motion of Commissioner Yang, seconded by Commissioner Holmes, that the agenda be approved. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

E) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- A.** [ID](#) Approval of HCDC minutes for October 7, 2019
[20-00272](#)

On motion of Commissioner Holmes, seconded by Commissioner Yang, that the minutes be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- B.** [ID](#) Approval of HCDC minutes for December 18, 2019
[20-00273](#)

On motion of Commissioner Holmes, seconded by Commissioner Yang, that the minutes be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

F) GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

- C.** [ID](#) Election of Housing and Community Development Commission
[20-00275](#) (HCDC) Vice Chair

Chair Reyes called for nominations for Vice Chair. Commissioner Holmes motioned to nominate Commissioner Harutyunyan.

On motion of Commissioner Holmes, seconded by Commissioner Yang, that

Marina Harutyunyan be elected Vice Chair of the Housing and Community Development Commission. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

[ID](#)
[20-00276](#)

Actions pertaining to the 2019-2020 Action Plan:

1. HEARING to obtain public comments regarding a Substantial Amendment to the 2019-2020 Action Plan and submission of a \$7.5 million Section 108 Loan Application to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the construction of the D4 Multi-generational Center in Large Park, located at 4424 N. Millbrook Avenue, Fresno, CA 93726.
2. Recommend City Council approve a Substantial Amendment to the 2019-2020 Annual Action Plan and authorize the submission of a \$7.5 million Section 108 Loan Application to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the construction of the D4 Multi-generational Center in Large Park, located at 4424 N. Millbrook Avenue, Fresno, CA 93726.

Chair Reyes introduced ID 20-00275 regarding actions pertaining to the 2019-2020 Annual Action Plan including conducting a hearing for public comments regarding a Substantial Amendment to the 2019-2020 Annual Action Plan for the submission of a \$7.5 million Section 108 loan application to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for the construction of a Multigenerational Center at Large Park, 4424 N. Millbrook Avenue; followed by consideration of a recommendation for approval to City Council to authorize submission thereof.

Housing Manager Thomas Morgan proceeded with a verbal report. Mr. Morgan noted the primary objective of the Substantial Amendment is to secure a critical portion of the financing for the construction of a Multigenerational Center at Large Park, which is currently mostly undeveloped land with the exception of a small amount of parking shared with Thomas Elementary north of the park. Mr. Morgan noted that items listed for the design of the park are still very conceptual, but that the City sees it as a multigenerational, multi-purpose center providing youth services through senior services. Mr. Morgan indicated that early conceptual planning includes recreational and meeting rooms, soccer fields, warming kitchen, and other amenities. Mr. Morgan stressed that all

amenities are conceptual at this point, then Introduced TJ miller, Executive Director of the PARCS Department, and Scott Mozier, Executive Director of the Public Works Department, who were available in the audience to answer questions. Mr. Morgan noted that the City will be seeking citizen participation regarding the design of the facility. Based on conceptual plans, the project will cost approximately \$10.75 million. Mr. Morgan noted that the commission will be considering an additional amendment on March 25 for the reprogramming of \$2.55 million CDBG funds and reminded them that in prior plans they had already considered this project, including a \$200,000 CDBG award in the 2018 Action Plan and a \$500,000 award in 2019.

Mr. Morgan discussed the Section 108 loan program, noting that the last transaction was about 18 years ago and that the City is in the latter stages of retiring that debt. He indicated that the City will apply for the maximum term of 20 years, that interest will be based on treasury bonds with comparable maturities plus about 0.15% - 0.2%, meaning that the rate is currently forecast at just over 2%, and that there will be a 2.58% financing fee to HUD. Mr. Morgan noted that the Section 108 loan program has existed since the Housing Act of 1974, and that collateral for the loan is future CDBG dollars for interest and principal payments. Mr. Morgan indicated that HUD requires the City to designate alternative collateral in the event congress terminates the CDBG program, then added that the CDBG program has always enjoyed bipartisan support, and that the City will propose to HUD that the land will serve as alternative collateral, but this does not mean that the City cannot choose to use some other means of retiring the dept in that circumstance. Mr. Morgan added that this activity is consistent with the 2015-2019 consolidated plan which identified as one of the four strategic priorities the growth of public infrastructure and public facility projects, and that the City has looked at the Parks Master Plan to confirm that there is a service gap in this part of the City and that this proposal is consistent with that service gap.

Chair Reyes opened the Public Hearing and explained the rules.

1) Tasha Guess, California Tupman Park, introduced herself as a resident of West Fresno who has previously requested play equipment for Californian

Tupman Park. Ms. Guess noted that the equipment is scheduled to be installed next month, then discussed the need for shade structures on account of the heat in Fresno as well as a drinking fountain. Ms Guess noted that kids that go to Edison High school walk past the park, and by the time they arrive have already walked over half a mile, and that having a drinking fountain for them would be fantastic. Additionally, a lot of Ms. Guess's neighbors don't have transportation and walk to the grocery store and other places. She reiterated the need for them to sit and have shade in a place where kids could play with a drinking fountain nearby. Ms. Guess introduced additional neighbors in attendance including the Millers and noted that Pastor Ken from New Beginnings Church, who was not able to be here, also supports the request.

2) Victoria Molina, California Tupman Park, introduced herself on behalf of Tupman Park. Ms. Molina requested shrubs along the pathway of the fence, as well as exercise equipment and a jogging track. Ms. Molina also requested a walking trail at Frank H. Ball Park and expressed how much she would appreciate these amenities.

3) Diane Smith introduced herself as a resident of southwest Fresno, and asked for clarification on the 2018 and 2019 funds, specifically if those funds were being reprogrammed from another project, and if so which project.

Chair Reyes closed the Public Hearing and asked the Commissioners if they have any questions.

Vice Chair Harutyunyan asked about the criteria for selecting the park. Housing and Community Development Manager Thomas Morgan clarified that more than one site was considered and then asked PARCS Director TJ Miller to elaborate. Director Miller noted that the City did not divert funds from another site, that these funds were specifically programmed for a Multigenerational Center in the area, and that the exact site had not been previously specified. Director Miller noted that Large Park is a very large parcel that is underdeveloped, making it a great location for development since there are no developed amenities for the public to enjoy.

Chair Reyes asked for confirmation that the \$200,000 and \$500,000 was already earmarked for a Multigenerational Center. Director Miller confirmed, reiterating that there was not a specific park identified, and that the City had previously looked at another site that it did not own, whereas the City already owns this park. Mr. Morgan reiterated that the \$700,000 was previously allocated in prior year Annual Action Plans.

Commissioner Yang asked about the timeline for the Multigenerational Center to be built. Director Clark indicated that the anticipation is that the City would start a design contract with an architect to design the facility with the assistance of the community while at the same time submitting the loan application, and that the City anticipates going out to bid potentially a year from now, resulting in a 12-18 month construction period, indicating that the City would hope to see the opening of a Multigenerational Center in about 24 months.

Commissioner Yang expressed his excitement for the construction and asked what would happen if the citizen involvement resulted in requests for things that cost more than what was projected. Director Clark responded that City Council expressed support for the amount of funds in the proposal, including a certain amount of General Fund as well as CDBG, setting a ceiling for the amount to borrow. Director Clark noted that if there was a larger demand, the City would have to make choices about what could be built or identify additional funding sources.

Commissioner Yang asked if the facility would be fully run by the City. Director Miller confirmed that the intention was for the Center to be fully run by the City, including after school programming and senior programming.

Commissioner Holmes asked if borrowing and repaying with CDBG funds was a typical activity. Mr. Morgan responded that yes, as an infrastructure and community facility project, this is the perfect type of activity to use Section 108 financing for, and that in his work experience he had experience with utilizing Section 108 financing for these types of projects.

Commissioner Holmes asked about the provision for no prepayment for ten years, and why the process came right at the end of the public meetings. Director Clark confirmed that for the past two fiscal years, this body has specified funds for design and development for this particular project and during that period of time the City has been looking for available sites consistent with the Parks Master Plan; and that last summer the City Council gave direction to pursue borrowing funds for this purpose, reiterating that the process has been moving forward over the past two years, not necessarily as part of the current Consolidated Plan process. Director Clark then noted that use of the Section 108 loan means the City does not need to wait for ten years to build up enough funds for the construction of the facilities.

Commissioner Holmes expressed excitement about the project and asked for clarification about the lack of a community center in the area. Director Miller confirmed that there is after school programming and senior programming at Melody Park, but that the facility is small.

Commissioner Yang asked if the City was keeping in mind the water needs of the park. Director Miller confirmed that water conservation and energy efficiency would be considered. Commissioner Yang asked if turf would be something that would be considered. Director Miller responded that the City would be looking at the sustainable maintenance of potential soccer fields as well as the infrastructure of the irrigation system for the park.

Chair Reyes asked if there were any further questions or comments, and seeing none asked for a motion to recommend the Substantial Amendment to the 2019-2020 Annual Action Plan for the submission of a \$7.5 million Section 108 loan.

On motion of Commissioner Yang, seconded by Commissioner Wilbur, that the item be recommended for approval. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

G) INFORMATIONAL REPORTS

- D. [ID 20-00274](#) Workshop Regarding the 2020 - 2024 Consolidated Plan, 2020 - 2021 Annual Action Plan and Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice

Chair Reyes introduced the workshop regarding the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan, noted that there would be no action taken on the item, and no public comment. Housing and Community Development Manager Thomas Morgan introduced Jeremy Gray, Mosaic Community Planning Consultant, who has been hired by the City to assist in undertaking the Consolidated Plan, Annual Action Plan, and Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice.

Mr. Gray presented a PowerPoint presentation and introduced the topics to be covered including an overview of the Consolidated and Annual Action Plans; highlights from the planning process; strategic priorities that came out of that process; and a short overview of the Analysis of Impediments.

Mr. Gray explained that the Consolidated Plan is a broader plan that looks over a 5-year period, including a needs assessment by looking both at the data and asking for input from members of the public about the needs in their communities; and identifies the biggest priority items that the City should address. The Annual Action Plan is filed every year during the five-year period and will be more specific, identifying how the City will address the priorities identifies in the Consolidated Plan; how much money will be spent; and what that money will be spent on. Mr. Gray suggested thinking of it as an application from the City to HUD for the grant funds, and covers 4 different grant programs – Community Development Block Grant, HOME Investment Partnership, Emergency Solutions Grant, and HOPWA, or Housing Opportunities for Persons with HIV/AIDS.

Mr. Gray shared the outreach process and stated that the City held 16 meetings all around the City of Fresno; that 234 people attended one or more meetings or focus groups; that specific focus groups were held with seniors and students; and that more than forty stakeholders were interviewed including government staff and nonprofit leaders. Mr. Gray pointed out that a web site was set up specifically for the project and 818 unique people visited the site; that 500 people responded to a community

survey; and that in total about 1500 residents were engaged with the process. Mr. Gray noted that this was substantial improvement over the prior last Consolidated Plan during which 111 people were engaged over 4 community forums, 20 interviews were conducted, and a survey received 417 responses. All of the advertising was translated into Spanish and Hmong, inserts were sent with utility bills to all residential addresses in Fresno in three languages, and the City used Peach Jar to share flyers with many of the school districts who were represented. A team of volunteers placed more than 10,000 door hangers, especially in south Fresno. In addition to public input data, quantitative data was also analyzed including census data, American Community Survey data, and a subset of census data that HUD utilizes called the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy or CHAS data. Other plans that the City of Fresno has done previously were also included in the analysis.

Mr. Gray presented a PowerPoint showing a summary of survey results as an example. The example showed the survey results for the top three responses for Public Infrastructure and Facility needs, which point to streets, roads, and sidewalk improvements; homeless and domestic violence shelters; and parks, gyms, and recreational fields. Mr. Gray presented another example of a table drawn from CHAS data showing disproportionate housing needs. The example referred to housing needs which include cost burdening, when a household is spending more than 30% of its income on housing costs; overcrowding; or incomplete kitchen or plumbing facilities. The example showed that, taken together, 50.8% of Fresno residents have one or more of those housing needs and that overwhelmingly those housing needs relate to cost burdening with 44% of Fresno residents experiencing cost burden. The example further illustrated differences in the breakdown by race and ethnicity, with non-white households being one and a half times more likely to have a housing need compared to white households. Mr. Gray presented an additional example of data analyzed which included Fresno Madera Continuum of Care Point-in-Time count data, which is a count homeless individuals conducted on a single night. The example showed that Fresno experiences a high number of unsheltered individuals, which include people who are living in cars or any place that's not suitable for human habitation.

Mr. Gray then showed a slide presenting the amount of funding that HUD had awarded for the year, totaling almost \$12 million for the next year and over \$60 million over the life of the five-year plan. He then discussed the three-stage process for developing the Consolidated Plan strategies which started with the Mosaic team drafting the strategies based on the quantitative and qualitative data collected; followed by a review by City staff; and finally the strategies were taken out to the public where residents parsed the strategies line-by-line; the strategies were then updated based on this public feedback.

Mr. Gray then introduced the strategies - the first of which relates to Homelessness, providing assistance to people who are homeless or who are at risk of homelessness. The second relates to Affordable Housing, improving access to affordable housing for low income and special needs households. The third is related to Public Infrastructure and Facilities, seen as a quality-of-life issue- promoting neighborhood revitalization through improving the public facilities. The fourth was providing services to low income and special needs households that develop human capital and improve quality of life; meaning community services, delivered through either the City or nonprofits. The fifth strategy is providing services to residents and housing providers to advance fair housing, which HUD sees as an important issue and requires the city to work toward- specifically naming it as a priority here allows the City to use the consolidated plan resources to work toward fair housing issues. The sixth priority relates to administrative funding, which was included to draw out the fact that it's not just managing the awards but making sure of compliance with many regulations.

Mr. Gray then discussed the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and noted that every year when the City submits to the Annual Action Plan to HUD, there are a set of certifications that are signed; one of those being that the City will Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Choice within its jurisdiction. Mr. Gray stated that this means taking meaningful actions in addition to combatting discrimination that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities that are free from barriers that

restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Mr. Gray noted that this is a condition of receiving funds under these programs and that the Analysis of Impediments is the City's self-study of how it's doing toward that goal. Mr. Gray pointed out there are four keys to fair housing issues that are assessed which include Integration and Segregation; Areas of Poverty; Access to Opportunity; and Housing Need.

Mr. Gray shared a map showing areas of population by race and ethnicity, and stated that you can see that the white population is clustered toward the northern part of the city. Mr. Gray then discussed the census tracts called RECAPs, or Racially and Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty, Which have a poverty rate of 40% or more and a nonwhite population of 50% or more; the City currently has 40 tracts that fit that definition which are mostly south of Shields with a few scattered around the northern part of the City.

Mr. Gray introduced the nine Impediments identified as part of the study which include: a lack of safety net programs for renters facilitates housing instability among protected classes; insufficient employment supports leave residents of color with lower incomes and limited housing choices; continued need in the City of Fresno for neighborhood infrastructure development and expanded access to opportunity in areas of concentrated poverty; housing options for some protected classes are limited by poor housing conditions; racial disparities exist in access to homeownership; publicly supported housing options are concentrated outside of areas of opportunity based on lending data; many communications and marketing efforts regarding fair housing are not effectively targeted to protected classes people who do not speak English; NIMBYism (Not in my Backyard) and prejudice reduces housing choice for protected classes; and a continued need for fair housing education and enforcement.

Mr. Gray concluded his presentation with a discussion of next steps in the process, which include: a public comment period starting on Friday in which documents will be available for the public to review and comment which will be incorporated into the draft; a Public Hearing hosted as part of the HCDC meeting on March 25; presentation to City Council for consideration on April 9; and submission of Plans to HUD by May 15, after which the City will

begin its process for determining which local organizations will be recipients for the funding for the program year which begins on July 1.

Chair Reyes asked for clarification regarding RECAPs. Mr. Gray clarified that it stands for Racially and Ethnically Concentrated Area of Poverty, a HUD definition that means it's a census tract with a poverty rate of 40% or more and a nonwhite population of 50% or more.

Commissioner Yang commented that the outreach is reaching people, and given that many communities complain about not getting information, he is glad to hear that; then asked if notices could also be sent out on Hmong radio or Punjabi radio. Mr. Gray confirmed that some of the notices had been distributed in this way.

Commissioner Holmes noted that the water bills went to owners, not renters, and asked if there was a way to get the information out to people living in those neighborhoods. Planning & Development Director Jenifer Clark, confirmed that we were specifically asked by a neighborhood group and HUD to distribute the utility bill inserts; that our experience is that this method of distribution was not as effective as it could have been; that we had more success with the door-to-door outreach; and that a better targeted mailing could be more effective in terms of the dollars and the resources used.

Vice Chair Harutyunyan commented that we continuously hear about the racial disparities presented by the public and cost burdening, then asked how are we doing compared to nationwide regarding RECAPs. Mr. Gray confirmed that HUD produces a lot of this data for all of its CDBG grantees and that the data is largely available; then stated the best answer he was able to give at the time would be anecdotal based on other communities he works with; and that the number of RECAPs for Fresno, especially the rate at which the number of RECAPs has grown- is high, and that the proportion of the population that lives in a RECAP is significant as well. Director Clark noted that we had a much longer presentation regarding the RECAPs and reviewed the strategies for Impediments at a prior workshop and that the presentation would be posted on the division's website. Commissioner Yang confirmed that he attended the meeting mentioned and commented on the

out-of-the-box thinking and engagement; then said he hoped to see more data that addresses fair housing issues.

Mr. Gray commented that this has been as iterative a process as he'd worked on in terms of taking information to the community, receiving feedback, and using that feedback to improve the reports. Vice Chair Harutyunyan commented that it's important to see how these issues reflect in the Annual Action Plan and how the Housing and Community Development Commission can contribute to supporting the efforts; then described the current year process as revolutionary with regard to reaching out to the public to find out what their priorities are before releasing NOFAs. Commissioner Holmes agreed and pointed out that five years ago most of the attendees of meetings were not residents of RECAP neighborhoods; that this year there was a real beginning of resident interest; and that it was exciting to see the number of residents who were willing to travel to meetings and see them actively participate.

Commissioner Holmes suggested that the City could work more with the Boys and Girls Club, the Community Development Corporations, and more neighborhood-level nonprofits to run some workshops, and to provide a glossary of terms. Mr. Gray indicated that as community engagement continues, it will get better; as residents see their participation bear fruit, it will encourage them to continue to participate. Commissioner Holmes noted that the most helpful discussion was on the way home between people who thought the City ought to fix these issues, compared to those who thought the community should fix them themselves. Commissioner Holmes commented that the presentation was long, and that if some of the people had been better prepared, they would have been able to utilize the information better, then suggested that churches and organizations could help prepare residents for those engagements.

H) COMMISSIONER ITEMS

Commissioner Yang commented that he enjoyed the community engagement process this time around, and that the energy feels a bit different.

I) UNSCHEDULED ORAL COMMUNICATION

None.

Individuals may address the Housing and Community Development Commission (HCDC) at the conclusion of the meeting regarding matters of public interest that are not listed on the agenda and that are within the jurisdiction of the HCDC. Please fill out a Speaker Request Slip and provide it to the Recording Secretary. Individuals who wish to have their attendance and/or matter of concern noticed on future HCDC agendas should contact the Housing and Community Development Division at (559) 621-8300 at least ten (10) days prior to the desired meeting date.

Los individuos pueden hablar con La Comisión para el Desarrollo Comunitario y de Viviendas (HCDC) durante la conclusión de la junta tocante a los asuntos de interés público que no se alistaron en la agenda y que caigan bajo la jurisdicción de la HCDC. Por favor llene una hoja para pedir hablar y entréguelo al Secretario de Actas. Los individuos que deseen una constancia de su presencia y/o el asunto de preocupación en agendas futuras del HCDC, deberían comunicarse con la División del Desarrollo Comunitario y de las Viviendas al (559) 621-8300 por lo menos (10) diez días antes de la fecha de la junta correspondiente.

Txhuas tus Leej twg xav hais dab tsi txog Housing and Community Development Commission (HCDC) rau thaum lub rooj sib tham yuav xaus txog tej yam teeb meem uas pej xeem xav paub tab sis ho tsis muaj teev tseg rau hauv daim kom tswj tab sis kuj muaj feem nyob rau hauv jurisdiction of the HCDC. Thov mus sau rau daim ntawv (Speaker Request Slip) thiab muab rau tus ceev ntaub ntawv. Leej twg xav tuaj tawm suab muab nws qhov kev xav thiab/los yog key txhawj xeeb los tham rau yav tom ntej rau HCDC daim kom tswj nws yuav tau hu rau Housing and Community Development Division tus xov tooj (559) 621-8300 sai kawg yuav tsum yog kaum (10) hnuv ua ntej lub rooj sib tham.

J) ADJOURNMENT

Chair Reyes called for a motion to adjourn the meeting. Vice Chair Harutyunyan motioned to adjourn, seconded by Commissioner Yang. By unanimous vote, the meeting was adjourned at 6:13 P.M.

The next scheduled Commission meeting is March 11, 2020.